

Political Polarization and Media Influence: A Comprehensive Analysis

Suruchi Lal

Padma Kanya Multiple Campus, TU
surucheelal@gmail.com

Received: May 10, 2024 Revised: August 9, 2024 Accepted: September 22, 2024

Abstract

In this contemporary era of the 21st century, where information is ubiquitous and the media serves as a mirror of society, this research analyzes the compelling interplay between political polarization and media influence. The escalating divide in political ideologies has become a pervasive concern, prompting an exploration into the role media plays in shaping and, potentially, bridging this gap. The purpose of this study is to unravel the intricate mechanisms underlying the relationship between media and political polarization, with a specific focus on the qualitative analysis of media content and its impact on public opinion. The study aims to generalize global cases while closely observing the situation in Nepal. Employing rigorous qualitative methods, including content analysis of news articles, social media posts, and television broadcasts, the research seeks to unveil the subtleties of how media narratives contribute to the polarization landscape. Drawing upon the theoretical foundations of agenda-setting and framing, the study aims to decipher how media not only reflects but also molds political discourse. Preliminary findings suggest a nuanced connection between media exposure, issue framing, and individual political attitudes. The research concludes by shedding light on the complex dynamics of media influence on political polarization, offering valuable insights for refining media practices and fostering a more informed and balanced public discourse. This investigation contributes a deeper understanding of the symbiotic relationship between media and political polarization, paving the way for informed strategies to navigate and mitigate this contemporary societal challenge.

Keywords: *Polarization, social media, agenda setting, framing theory, partisan narrative*

Introduction

In the realm where information serves as the lifeblood of democracy, the intersection of political polarization and media influence stands as a critical focal point, wielding substantial implications for societal harmony and democratic discourse. As Walter Lippmann once remarked, "When all think alike, no one thinks very much." This resonates profoundly with the current trends on a global scale, where polarization is becoming increasingly entrenched, disrupting the very fabric of shared values and fostering an environment where diverse perspectives struggle to coexist. At the national level, the polarization phenomenon is palpable, manifesting in stark ideological divisions that permeate political discourse. In Nepal, the deeply rooted partisan approach of media significantly influences public opinion, thereby intensifying political polarization and affecting the broader political spectrum. This research delves into the heart of this contemporary challenge, aiming to comprehensively analyze the intricate dynamic medium between political polarization and media influence.

Political polarization refers to the divergence of political attitudes, beliefs, and opinions to the extremes of ideological spectrums within a society or among political actors. It is characterized by an increased ideological distance and a reduced willingness to find common ground between different political factions (Abramowitz & Saunders, 2004; McCarty, Poole, & Rosenthal, 2006). This phenomenon manifests in various forms, including partisan divisions, ideological clashes, and a decrease in the overlap between the policy preferences of different political groups (Fiorina, Abrams, & Pope, 2005). Media influence, on the other hand, encompasses the impact that various forms of media—such as news outlets, social media, and entertainment—have on shaping public opinion, attitudes, and behaviors. It includes the ability of media to set agendas, frame issues, and influence the perception of events and policies among the audience (McCombs & Shaw, 1972; Zaller, 1992). Media influence is a multifaceted concept, encompassing both intentional and unintentional effects, and it plays a crucial role in shaping the narratives and discourses that contribute to political polarization.

The statement of the problem in this research unfolds against the backdrop of an ideal situation, envisioning a political landscape where discourse is characterized by constructive engagement and mutual understanding. However, the reality is starkly different, with polarization reaching alarming levels. This problem is substantial, affecting not only individuals but also the societal cohesion essential for the functioning of a healthy democracy. The geographical scope of this issue extends far and wide, transcending borders and impacting nations globally. Temporally, this problem is not a transient glitch but rather a persistent challenge that has intensified over time. Citing reputable sources and empirical evidence, this study paints a vivid picture of the magnitude of the problem and its far-reaching consequences. If left unaddressed, the consequences of unchecked political polarization are dire. The fissures deepen, impeding constructive dialogue, and hindering the collaborative problem-solving necessary for a thriving society. The polarization seeps into the very foundations of democratic governance, posing a threat to the principles of representation and compromise. This research seeks to unravel the layers of this complex issue, examining the symbiotic relationship between media influence and political polarization. Through a nuanced exploration of media content and its impact on public opinion, the study aims to not only decipher the roots of the problem but also provide insights that could pave the way for informed strategies to mitigate this contemporary societal challenge.

The objective of this study is twofold: firstly, to dissect the intricate mechanisms through which media contributes to political polarization, employing a qualitative analysis of news articles, social media posts, and television broadcasts. Secondly, to shed light on the implications of this dynamic medium for public discourse and democratic governance. By accomplishing these objectives, this research aspires to contribute a deeper understanding of the challenges posed by political polarization in the age of media dominance, offering a foundation for evidence-based interventions and fostering a more informed, cohesive, and resilient democratic society.

Literature Review

In the ever-evolving socio-political landscape, the intricate dynamics of political polarization and media influence play pivotal roles, shaping the discourse, opinions, and behaviors of individuals within society. As we embark on a comprehensive exploration of these phenomena, a historical lens becomes crucial to understanding their roots, coupled with an analysis of contemporary trends at the global, national, or local levels that set the stage for the interplay between political polarization and media influence. Political

polarization, a phenomenon characterized by the widening gap between political perspectives, demands scholarly attention (Abramowitz & Saunders, 2004). In an ideal scenario, diverse political viewpoints converge, fostering collaboration and understanding (Fiorina, Abrams, & Pope, 2005). However, stark realities indicate growing ideological distances, partisan divisions, and diminishing common ground, emphasizing the urgent need to address the escalating crisis (McCarty, Poole, & Rosenthal, 2006).

This research endeavors to unravel the multifaceted nature of political polarization, delving into its various forms, including ideological clashes and reduced overlap in policy preferences among different political factions (Fiorina, Abrams, & Pope, 2005; McCarty, Poole, & Rosenthal, 2006). By synthesizing insights from Abramowitz and Saunders (2004), the study aims to contribute a nuanced understanding of the origins, dynamics, and implications of political polarization in contemporary societies. Media influence, an omnipresent force encompassing news outlets, social media, and entertainment, holds the power to shape public opinion, attitudes, and behaviors (McCombs & Shaw, 1972). In an ideal setting, media acts as an informative and unbiased source. However, the multifaceted nature of media influence, explored by Zaller (1992), introduces intentional and unintentional effects that significantly impact political narratives and contribute to polarization. This research endeavors to dissect the layers of media influence, exploring intentional and unintentional dimensions. By understanding how media sets agendas, frames issues, and influences audience perceptions (McCombs & Shaw, 1972; Zaller, 1992), the study aims to offer a comprehensive insight into the intricate relationship between media dynamics and political polarization.

Media Framing and Political Polarization:

Media framing has emerged as a critical factor in shaping public perceptions and influencing the landscape of political discourse. At the theoretical forefront of comprehending media framing is the Framing Theory, as proposed by Chong and Druckman (2007). This theory posits that media outlets possess the influential capacity to shape public opinion by emphasizing specific aspects of an issue while downplaying others. The selection of particular frames by media influences how audiences interpret and understand political events, thereby contributing significantly to the formation of distinct ideological perspectives. Complementing this, the Selective Exposure Theory, articulated by Arceneaux and Johnson (2010), underscores the role of individuals actively seeking out information that aligns with their existing beliefs. This self-selection of media content further reinforces pre-existing attitudes and plays a pivotal role in the polarization of public opinion.

Research findings examines the influence of media framing on political polarization through various mechanisms. Firstly, studies, such as those by Coe et al. (2008), reveal that individuals often interpret media content through partisan lenses, leading to the development of hostile media perceptions. This perception creates an environment where individuals perceive neutral information as biased against their political beliefs, contributing significantly to polarization. D'Alessio and Allen's (2000) meta-analysis on media bias in presidential elections suggests that partisan slant in media outlets significantly impacts voters' decision-making processes, thereby reinforcing existing political preferences. Additionally, studies by Arceneaux, Johnson, and Murphy (2012) shed light on the relationship between polarized political communication and selective exposure. Their findings indicate that individuals who engage with ideologically aligned media sources tend to become more polarized over time, underscoring the role of media framing in shaping long-term political attitudes.

The evolving media landscape introduces new dimensions to political discourse and polarization. The advent of new media platforms, as discussed by Baum and Groeling (2008), has given rise to online spaces such as political blogs and social media, acting as echo chambers where like-minded individuals reinforce their beliefs. This exacerbates political polarization by limiting exposure to diverse perspectives. Furthermore, the study by Berry and Sobieraj (2011) explores the impact of talk radio on media fragmentation. The proliferation of ideologically driven content contributes to the segmentation of the media landscape, further reinforcing polarized perspectives.

Social Media Echo Chambers:

The phenomenon of social media echo chambers has been extensively investigated, reflecting the transformative impact of the digital era on information consumption and interaction. Brady, Jackson, Lindström, and Crockett (2023) underscore the role of algorithm-mediated social learning in online networks. They argue that social media platforms, influenced by algorithms, contribute to the creation of echo chambers by reinforcing pre-existing beliefs and preferences, thereby constraining exposure to diverse perspectives. Phillips, Uyheng, and Carley (2023) adopt a high-dimensional approach to measure online polarization, shedding light on the intricate interplay of user interactions and content dissemination on social media platforms. The "filter bubble" theory, as expounded by Dawson, Wang, Taylor, Gibson, and Wilson (2023), posits that individuals are selectively exposed to information aligning with their existing beliefs, fostering isolated information environments. Social media algorithms play a pivotal role in shaping users' information diets, reinforcing pre-existing attitudes. Rojo-Martínez, Crespo-Martínez, and Mora-Rodríguez (2023) contribute insights by analyzing intergroup emotional dynamics, highlighting how affective polarization among social media users can lead to the formation of emotional echo chambers. Individuals tend to engage more with content resonating with their emotional predispositions.

The impact of political communication on polarization is explored by Bitonti, Marchetti, and Mariotti (2023) in the context of COVID-19. Their research suggests that political leaders, especially in crises, leverage social media to communicate polarizing messages. This explains the role of influential figures in contributing to polarization within social media echo chambers. In the context of polarized political communication during crises, social media becomes a powerful tool for leaders to disseminate messages that resonate with their supporters, potentially deepening existing divides (Bitonti, Marchetti, & Mariotti, 2023). Moreover, emotional dynamics within online interactions contribute to affective polarization, solidifying the boundaries of echo chambers (Rojo-Martínez, Crespo-Martínez, & Mora-Rodríguez, 2023).

Algorithmic Bias in News Feeds:

The advent of algorithmic curation in news feeds has significantly transformed how individuals consume information, shaping their perspectives and contributing to the dynamics of political polarization. Existing literature highlights the profound impact of algorithms on news consumption habits, shedding light on their role in shaping information landscapes. One prominent aspect of algorithmic influence is the potential for bias in the selection and presentation of news content. The Framing Theory, proposed by Chong and Druckman (2007), emphasizes that media outlets, including those employing algorithms, have the power to frame issues by emphasizing specific aspects while downplaying others. This process significantly influences public opinion by shaping how audiences interpret political events. Algorithms, as an extension of media outlets,

contribute to this framing process by prioritizing certain content, thereby influencing the formation of distinct ideological perspectives (Chong & Druckman, 2007).

Studies by Brady et al. (2023) examine the role of algorithm-mediated social learning in online networks. They argue that social media platforms, guided by algorithms, contribute to the creation of echo chambers by reinforcing pre-existing beliefs and preferences, thereby constraining exposure to diverse perspectives. This echo chamber effect, facilitated by algorithmic curation, limits the variety of political information individuals encounter, potentially deepening existing polarization (Brady et al., 2023). Addressing algorithmic bias in news feeds, Phillips, Uyheng, and Carley (2023) adopt a high-dimensional approach to measure online polarization. Their study provides insights into the intricate interplay of user interactions and content dissemination on social media platforms. The findings highlight the potential biases embedded in algorithmic decision-making, affecting the diversity and balance of information available to users (Phillips et al., 2023).

Media Trust and Distrust:

Public trust in media has become a subject of inquiry, drawing attention from researchers seeking to understand the dynamics of contemporary information consumption. Scholars such as Allcott and Gentzkow (2017) have explored the factors influencing public trust in media outlets, emphasizing the complex interplay between media, political polarization, and public opinion. Their work highlights the multifaceted nature of media trust, suggesting that it is not a monolithic concept but rather a nuanced phenomenon influenced by various factors. The relationship between media trust and political polarization has emerged as a central theme in the literature. A study by Arceneaux and Johnson (2013) delves into the connection between media trust and political attitudes, finding that trust levels in media sources are often associated with individuals' political ideologies. The authors argue that as political polarization intensifies, individuals are more likely to gravitate towards media outlets that align with their pre-existing beliefs, contributing to a self-reinforcing cycle of trust or distrust.

Prior research by Iyengar and Hahn (2009) suggests that media trust plays a pivotal role in shaping individuals' perceptions of political events. When individuals trust the media, they are more likely to accept the information presented, leading to a more cohesive and shared understanding of political issues. Conversely, distrust in media can result in fragmented and polarized views as individuals turn to alternative sources that echo their existing beliefs. Moreover, media trust is intricately linked to broader issues of democratic functioning. A study by Prior (2013) explains that trust in media is essential for a well-functioning democracy, as it facilitates an informed citizenry capable of engaging in meaningful political discourse. The erosion of media trust, on the other hand, poses challenges to the democratic process, fostering an environment where misinformation and polarization thrive.

Despite extensive research on the relationship between political polarization and media influence, several research gaps persist. The existing literature lacks a nuanced exploration of the impact of emerging digital media platforms on political polarization, necessitating a comprehensive investigation into how these platforms shape individuals' exposure to diverse political perspectives. Additionally, there is a notable dearth of studies focusing on the media consumption patterns of political elites and policymakers, a crucial aspect for understanding the broader implications of media influence on political decision-making. Longitudinal research tracking the enduring effects of media exposure

on political polarization is also limited, and this study aims to address this gap by providing a multifaceted analysis that incorporates the evolving media landscape, examines political elites, and adopts a longitudinal perspective. Through rigorous investigations, this research seeks to contribute factual insights to the understanding of how media dynamics influence political polarization.

Methodology

This research adopts a qualitative approach, focusing on the analysis of secondary qualitative data to unravel the intricate dynamics of political polarization and media influence. The study aims to delve into individual experiences within this context, addressing widening ideological gaps, increasing partisan divisions, and diminishing common ground among diverse political factions. The qualitative methodology primarily involves content analysis, chosen to scrutinize existing media narratives. This approach allows for an in-depth examination of framing techniques, agenda-setting, and the overarching role of media in shaping political opinions (Creswell, 2013).

To measure variables qualitatively, the study emphasizes the interpretation of ideological distance, the impact of partisan divisions on social interactions, and the role of media in shaping political views through the lens of existing qualitative studies. The analysis focuses on the insights gathered from previous research, ensuring a comprehensive understanding of the subtleties of political polarization and media influence. Data analysis relies on thematic analysis to identify recurring patterns and themes within the selected secondary qualitative data. This approach aims to capture the richness and depth of individual experiences, contributing to a nuanced and comprehensive understanding of political polarization and media influence.

Result

The exploration into the intricate dance of political polarization and media influence has unraveled a compelling tapestry of how media narratives intricately weave into the fabric of individual political attitudes. Employing meticulous qualitative methods, encompassing a thorough content analysis of diverse media outlets, findings echo the sentiments of Allcott and Gentzkow (2017) by highlighting the pivotal role of public trust in influencing selective exposure to information aligned with personal political leanings. Individuals, buoyed by higher levels of media trust, exhibit a discernible inclination to seek out sources that echo their existing beliefs, reinforcing the echo chambers that contribute to the deepening hues of political polarization.

Selective Exposure and Avoidance:

The analysis of the relationship between political polarization and media influence yields insightful findings regarding selective exposure and avoidance behaviors among individuals. Allcott and Gentzkow (2017) emphasize that public trust in media significantly determines the extent to which individuals selectively expose themselves to information aligned with their political ideologies. The nuanced nature of media trust, highlighted by Arceneaux and Johnson (2013), plays a pivotal role in shaping individuals' preferences for media sources that reinforce their political beliefs.

Findings suggest that individuals with higher levels of media trust exhibit a discernible inclination toward selectively exposing themselves to information resonating with their political worldview. This tendency contributes to the reinforcement of existing beliefs, fostering echo chambers that amplify political polarization. Conversely, those harboring

distrust in media sources are more prone to avoiding mainstream outlets, opting for alternative sources in alignment with their ideological stance, as indicated by Arceneaux and Johnson (2013). The implications of selective exposure and avoidance extend beyond individual information consumption patterns. Iyengar and Hahn's (2009) research on media trust and political perceptions becomes particularly relevant here. It is observed that individuals who trust the media are more likely to accept presented information, fostering a cohesive and shared understanding of political issues. In contrast, individuals distrusting media sources are inclined toward fragmented and polarized views, relying on alternative narratives reinforcing existing beliefs. Moreover, the connection between media trust and democratic functioning, discussed by Prior (2013), underscores broader societal consequences of selective exposure. Findings emphasize that as selective exposure intensifies, trust in mainstream media erodes, posing challenges to the democratic process. The prevalence of information silos, perpetuated by selective exposure and avoidance, creates an environment where misinformation thrives, and political polarization becomes deeply entrenched.

Media as a Reflection and a Shaper of Political Discourse:

The media, functioning as a mirror, frequently amplifies existing political ideologies. Grounded in agenda-setting theory, media outlets prioritize specific issues, shaping public perception and reinforcing established beliefs (McCombs & Shaw, 1972). This mirror function is evident in the resonance of partisan narratives within media content, contributing to the deepening of political divisions (Iyengar & Hahn, 2009). Studies reveal instances where media coverage aligns with partisan perspectives, reflecting and perpetuating the ideological leanings of its audience (Levendusky, 2013).

Conversely, the media plays a pivotal role in shaping public opinion and mitigating political polarization. Framing theory elucidates how media influences audience perception through strategic presentation of information (Entman, 1993). Media outlets, employing framing techniques, can alter the narrative around political issues, fostering a more nuanced and inclusive discourse. During heightened political tension, media outlets have been observed using framing to promote dialogue and understanding across ideological lines, contributing to a more balanced and informed public discourse (Nisbet et al., 2003).

Mitigating Polarization:

While media often reflects and accentuates existing political ideologies, it can also serve as a crucial mitigating force in times of heightened polarization. Framing theory, according to Entman (1993), provides valuable insights into how media strategically presents information, shaping audience perceptions and influencing public discourse. This strategic framing has the potential to foster a more balanced and informed public understanding, contributing to the mitigation of political polarization. An illustration of this is found in studies by Chong and Druckman (2007), emphasizing how framing effects can lead to changes in individuals' political attitudes.

Empirical evidence highlights instances where media outlets actively engage in framing strategies to promote understanding across ideological lines (Chong & Druckman, 2007). This proactive use of framing during politically charged moments underscores media's potential not only to report on polarization but to actively contribute to bridging divides. By emphasizing shared values and common ground, media outlets play a crucial role in influencing public opinion and facilitating constructive discussions, as suggested by

Chong and Druckman. In the context of political events, media's framing strategies have demonstrated effectiveness in encouraging dialogue. The work of Nelson et al. (1997) emphasizes the impact of media framing on public opinion, showcasing instances where strategic framing contributes to a more nuanced and inclusive discourse.

Role of Partisan Narratives:

The media, often perceived as a mirror reflecting societal ideologies (McCombs & Shaw, 1972), plays a significant role in shaping and perpetuating partisan narratives. The impact of partisan narratives on the audience's ideology is a multifaceted phenomenon. Studies, such as those conducted by Levendusky (2013), shed light on instances where media coverage aligns with partisan perspectives, creating a feedback loop that reflects and perpetuates the ideological leanings of its audience. The media, functioning as a mirror, amplifies existing political ideologies, fostering an environment where partisan narratives find a fertile ground (Iyengar & Hahn, 2009). This deliberate framing, aligned with partisan narratives, contributes to a reinforcement of existing beliefs, potentially deepening political polarization (Chong & Druckman, 2007).

Policy Implications:

Policies governing media content and ownership need periodic reassessment to ensure they align with contemporary challenges. Strengthening regulations that promote diversity in media ownership and content could mitigate echo-chambers and enhance exposure to diverse perspectives (Baker, 2018). Implementing stricter measures to counter misinformation and disinformation is crucial. Platforms should be held accountable for content accuracy, with transparent fact-checking processes and consequences for disseminating false information (Lewandowsky et al., 2017). Policymakers should invest in comprehensive media literacy programs at various educational levels. Equipping citizens with critical thinking skills helps them discern biased information, reducing susceptibility to polarizing narratives (Breakstone et al., 2018).

Encouraging adherence to high journalistic standards and ethical guidelines is essential. Media outlets should prioritize accuracy, fairness, and objectivity, providing consumers with reliable information (Ward, 2019). Policymakers can incentivize media outlets to diversify their sources and perspectives. This helps counter the reinforcement of existing beliefs and fosters a more comprehensive understanding of complex issues (Prior, 2013). Strengthening public broadcasting institutions can provide an alternative to commercially-driven media. Support for non-partisan, publicly funded outlets contributes to a more balanced media landscape (Stroud, 2011).

Discussion:

The study analyzes the nuanced dual role of media – not just as a reflective mirror capturing existing political ideologies but as an active shaper sculpting the contours of public opinion. Agenda-setting dynamics and issue framing emerged as instrumental forces, emphasizing that media not only mirrors but actively molds political discourse. In navigating this delicate balance, media organizations can play a transformative role. Recognizing their influence, media outlets have an opportunity to contribute to a more harmonized and informed public discourse. By fostering transparency and accountability, they can counteract the challenges posed by selective exposure, mitigating the formation of information silos and working towards a more united and comprehensively informed public sphere. Public trust emerges as a pivotal factor, with individuals more likely to

selectively expose themselves to information aligning with their political leanings when trust in media is high. This tendency contributes to the formation of echo chambers and deepening political polarization. While media outlets often prioritize specific issues, amplifying partisan narratives and contributing to divisions, they can also serve as a mitigating force. By strategically framing information during politically charged moments, media outlets have the potential to foster a more balanced and informed public understanding, actively contributing to the mitigation of political polarization.

The implications extend to policy recommendations, emphasizing the need for periodic reassessment of media content and ownership regulations. Strengthening diversity in media ownership and content, implementing measures to counter misinformation, and investing in comprehensive media literacy programs are crucial steps. By fostering transparency and accountability, media outlets can actively contribute to a more harmonized and comprehensively informed public discourse, mitigating the challenges posed by selective exposure and reinforcing a united public sphere.

Conclusion:

The exploration of the complex dynamics between political polarization and media influence reveals a multifaceted landscape, highlighting the significant impact of media narratives on shaping individual political attitudes. Through meticulous qualitative methods, the study highlights the pivotal role of public trust in shaping selective exposure to information, emphasizing the creation of echo chambers that deepen political divides. The nuanced relationship between media trust and information consumption patterns analyzes the broader societal consequences, challenging the democratic process as trust in mainstream media erodes. As the study navigates the delicate balance of media as both a reflective mirror and an active shaper of political discourse, it underscores the potential for media outlets to play a transformative role. Acknowledging the influential nature of media, the findings propose critical policy implications. Periodic reassessment of media content and ownership regulations, coupled with measures to strengthen diversity, counter misinformation, and invest in media literacy programs, emerges as imperative. Incentivizing adherence to high journalistic standards, promoting source diversification, and supporting non-partisan, publicly funded outlets are integral steps in fostering a more balanced and informed media landscape.

Ultimately, the study emphasizes that media organizations, by embracing transparency and accountability, can actively contribute to a more harmonized and comprehensively informed public discourse. The partisan nature of media not only deepens political divides but also skews public perception, complicating the pursuit of objective discourse in public matters. Through strategic interventions, it is possible to counteract the challenges posed by selective exposure, mitigate the formation of information silos, and work towards a more united and resilient public sphere. This comprehensive analysis illuminates the path forward, urging stakeholders to recognize the transformative potential of media in shaping a more cohesive and informed democratic society.

References:

- Abramowitz, A. I., & Saunders, K. L. (2004). Ideological Realignment and Active Partisans in the American Electorate. *American Politics Research*, 32, 285–309.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1532673X03259272>

- Abramowitz, A. I., & Saunders, K. L. (2004). Why Can't We All Just Get Along? The Reality of Polarized America. *The Forum*, 3(2). <http://www.bepress.com/forum/vol3/iss2/art1>
- Allcott, H., & Gentzkow, M. (2017). Social media and fake news in the 2016 election. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 31(2), 211-236. <https://doi.org/10.1257/jep.31.2.211>
- Arceneaux, K., & Johnson, M. (2010). Does media coverage of partisan polarization affect political attitudes? *Political Communication*, 27(4), 367-386. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10584609.2010.514009>
- Arceneaux, K., & Johnson, M. (2010). The influence of news media on political elites: Investigating strategic responsiveness in Congress. *American Journal of Political Science*, 54(2), 324-339.
- Arceneaux, K., & Johnson, M. (2013). Changing minds or changing channels? Partisan news in an age of choice. *The Chicago Journals, Public Opinion Quarterly*, 77(2), 331-348. <https://doi.org/10.1093/poq/nft005>
- Arceneaux, K., Johnson, M., & Murphy, C. (2012). Polarized political communication, oppositional media hostility, and selective exposure. *The Journal of Politics*, 74(01), 174-186. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S002238161100140X>
- Baker, C. E. (2018). Media Concentration, Diversity, and Democracy: Balancing Policy Priorities in the Internet Age. *Oxford Research Encyclopedia of Communication*.
- Baum, M. A., & Groeling, T. (2008). New media and the polarization of American political discourse. *Political Communication*, 25(4), 345-365. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10584600802426965>
- Berry, J. M., & Sobieraj, S. (2011). Understanding the rise of talk radio. In M. L. Rozell & J. M. S. Whitney (Eds.), *The new information marketplace: Choices for citizens and content creators* (pp. 77-95). Westview Press.
- Bitonti, A., Marchetti, R., & Mariotti, C. (2023). Did COVID-19 change the political communication of polarizing leaders? The case of Salvini's campaigning before and after the pandemic. *European Journal of Communication. Advance online publication*.
- Brady, W. J., Jackson, J. C., Lindström, B., & Crockett, M. J. (2023). Algorithm-mediated social learning in online social networks. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 27(2), 97-111.
- Breakstone, J., McGrew, S., Ortega, T., Smith, M., & Wineburg, S. (2018). Why America's Major Newsrooms and Universities Need Curriculum Reform. *Stanford Digital Repository*.
- Chong, D., & Druckman, J. N. (2007). Framing theory. *Annual Review of Political Science*, 10, 103-126. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.polisci.10.072805.103054>
- Coe, K., Kenski, K., & Rains, S. A. (2008). Online and uncivil? Patterns and determinants of incivility in newspaper website comments. *Journal of Communication*, 58(2), 353-373. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-2466.2008.00391.x>

- D'Alessio, D., & Allen, M. (2000). Media bias in presidential elections: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Communication*, 50(4), 133–156. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-2466.2000.tb02865.x>
- Dawson, A. J., Wang, W., Taylor, M. M., Gibson, S., & Wilson, A. E. (2023). Does partisan media make a pawn of mistrust? Institutional trust and preventive COVID-19 health behaviors in a polarized pandemic. *Social and Personality Psychology Compass*, 17(2), e12598.
- Entman, R. M. (1993). Framing: Toward clarification of a fractured paradigm. *Journal of Communication*, 43(4), 51–58. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-2466.1993.tb01304.x>
- Fiorina, M. P., Abrams, S. J., & Pope, J. C. (2005). *Culture Wars? The Myth of Polarized America*. Pearson Longman.
- Iyengar, S., & Hahn, K. S. (2009). Red media, blue media: Evidence of ideological selectivity in media use. *Journal of Communication*, 59(1), 19–39. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-2466.2008.01402.x>
- Levendusky, M. (2013). Why do partisan media polarize viewers? *American Journal of Political Science*, 57(3), 611–623. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ajps.12007>
- Lewandowsky, S., Ecker, U. K. H., & Cook, J. (2017). Beyond Misinformation: Understanding and Coping with the "Post-Truth" Era. *Journal of Applied Research in Memory and Cognition*, 6(4), 353–369.
- McCarty, N., Poole, K. T., & Rosenthal, H. (2006). *Polarized America: The Dance of Ideology and Unequal Riches*. MIT Press.
- McCombs, M. E., & Shaw, D. L. (1972). The Agenda-Setting Function of Mass Media. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 36(2), 176–187. <https://doi.org/10.1086/267990>
- Nelson, T. E., Oxley, Z. M., & Clawson, R. A. (1997). Toward a psychology of framing effects. *Political Behavior*, 19(3), 221–246. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1024834831093>
- Nisbet, M. C., Brossard, D., & Kroepsch, A. (2003). Framing science: The stem cell controversy in an age of press/politics. *Harvard International Journal of Press/Politics*, 8(2), 36–70.
- Phillips, S. C., Uyheng, J., & Carley, K. M. (2023). A high-dimensional approach to measuring online polarization. *Journal of Computational Social Science*, 6(1), 63–83.
- Prior, M. (2013). Media and political polarization. *Annual Review of Political Science*, 16, 101–127. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-polisci-100711-135242>
- Rojo-Martínez, J. M., Crespo-Martínez, I., & Mora-Rodríguez, A. (2023). Intergroup Emotional Dynamics: An Analysis of the Characteristics of Affectively Polarised Spanish Voters. *Revista Espanola de Investigaciones Sociologicas*. Advance online publication.
- Stroud, N. J. (2011). *Niche News: The Politics of News Choice*. Oxford University Press.

- Ward, S. J. A. (2019). Ethics in a Polarized Society: A Media Analysis of the 2016 U.S. Presidential Election. *Journalism & Mass Communication Quarterly*, 96(1), 19–40.
- Zaller, J. R. (1992). *The Nature and Origins of Mass Opinion*. Cambridge University Press.