

## **DYNAMICS OF SPORTS DIPLOMACY IN PROMOTING INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS AND PEACE-BUILDING**

Abinav Acharya  
Lecturer  
Saraswati Multiple Campus, TU  
[abinavacharya@gmail.com](mailto:abinavacharya@gmail.com)

Received: April 27, 2024    Revised: July 13, 2024    Accepted: September 22, 2024

### **Abstract**

In the ever-changing landscape of international relations, sports diplomacy is positioned to shine as a dynamic and influential tool, facilitating connections and nurturing mutual understanding among nations. This research aims in analyzing the relationship between sports and diplomacy, investigating how the unifying appeal of sports can cultivate cultural exchange, forge people-to-people connections, and foster peaceful coexistence. It evaluates the role of sports diplomacy in creating significant strides toward fortifying international stability. This research adopts a case study approach and comparative review to analyze sports diplomacy initiatives. It conducts qualitative assessments of key diplomatic events, all to gain a nuanced grasp of how sports can effectively drive positive international interactions. Historic events achieved through sports diplomacy are explored using qualitative analysis methods. The research is analytical and based on secondary sources of data. The scope of research includes contemporary developments and hurdles in sports diplomacy. The significance of this study lies in its potential contribution to the evolving landscape of diplomatic discourse, spotlighting the capacity of non-traditional actors and innovative approaches to diplomacy. Successful sports-driven case-studies are examined, illuminating the prospective value of sports diplomacy as a complementary force to conventional diplomatic endeavors. The research aims to emphasize the influence of sports diplomacy on shaping international relations and propelling peace-building initiatives forward.

**Keywords:** Sports diplomacy, international relation, peace and cooperation, games, soft power diplomacy

### **Introduction**

In the dynamic arena of international relations, the role of sports diplomacy has become increasingly pronounced, reflecting a global trend towards utilizing sport events as catalysts for fostering harmony and cooperation among nations. The Global Sports Impact (GSI) Report of 2023 revealed a remarkable 25% surge in the number of international sporting events hosted annually over the past decade, underscoring the growing recognition of sports as a potent force in transcending geopolitical barriers. From the camaraderie displayed during the FIFA World Cup to the symbolic gestures of unity witnessed at the Olympics, sports have emerged as powerful platforms for promoting cross-cultural understanding and mutual respect on the world stage. This surge in sports diplomacy initiatives highlights its transformative potential in not only strengthening international relations but also in advancing peace-building efforts across diverse regions.

This research analyzes the multifaceted realm of sports diplomacy, with the exploration of its significance, scope, and methodology in promoting international relations and peace-building endeavors. Drawing upon an interdisciplinary framework that encompasses political science, sociology, and international relations theories, the study aims to unravel the complex dynamics underlying sports diplomacy. Methodologically, a nuanced qualitative research method is employed, including rigorous case studies and literary reviews. By addressing the gaps in empirical evidence and theoretical frameworks, this research provides actionable insights for effectively leveraging sports as a strategic tool for fostering cross-cultural dialogue, reconciliation, and sustainable peace on the global stage.

Diplomacy is the practice of conducting negotiations between representatives of states or other political entities to manage international relations, resolve conflicts, and foster cooperation. As Berridge (2022) notes, diplomacy encompasses a range of activities, from high-level summits to everyday communications between embassies. The evolution of diplomacy reflects changes in global politics, technology, and societal norms. Traditionally, diplomacy was seen as the purview of professional diplomats, but over time, its scope has expanded to include various actors and methods. Diplomatic theory has evolved to address the complexities of modern international relations. From the Machiavellian strategies of manipulation and power politics to the more cooperative approaches advocated by figures like Kissinger, diplomatic practice has adapted to the changing landscape of world politics (Berridge, Keens-Soper, & Otte, 2001). This evolution reflects broader shifts in the international system, such as the rise of international organizations and non-state actors.

Sports diplomacy is a subset of public diplomacy that leverages the universal appeal of sports to promote international relations and cultural exchange. This form of diplomacy uses sports as a platform to build bridges between nations, enhance mutual understanding, and convey political messages. According to Murray (2012), sports diplomacy can be divided into two halves: the symbolic and the substantive. Symbolic sports diplomacy involves high-profile events and gestures, such as Olympic Games or international matches that create opportunities for showcasing national identity and fostering goodwill. Substantive sports diplomacy, on the other hand, includes long-term programs and initiatives that aim to build sustainable relationships and address specific diplomatic goals. Sports diplomacy can also play a role in soft power, which is the ability of a country to influence others through attraction and persuasion rather than coercion. Connell (2018) highlights how China has used football to enhance its global image and assert its influence on the world stage. By investing in football infrastructure and hosting major events, China seeks to project a positive image and build cultural connections with other countries. The effectiveness of sports diplomacy often hinges on its ability to engage diverse audiences and transcend political differences. Chehabi (2001) notes sports diplomacy between the United States and Iran has been particularly impactful in fostering people-to-people connections and reducing mutual mistrust. This kind of diplomacy leverages the universal language of sports to create a common ground for dialogue and interaction, which can be especially valuable in contexts where formal diplomatic relation is strained.

Sports diplomacy is a multifaceted phenomenon that extends beyond the boundaries of traditional international relations and diplomacy. It encompasses the use of sports as a powerful tool for fostering international cooperation, resolving conflicts, and promoting national interests on the global stage. As a means of uniting nations, bridging cultural divides, and furthering

foreign policy objectives, sports diplomacy has gained prominence in recent years. Sports diplomacy is characterized by its diverse applications, from the use of athletic events as a platform for fostering peace and cooperation to leveraging the cultural and economic aspects of sports to achieve political objectives. Diplomacy in sports often takes the form of ping-pong diplomacy, cricket diplomacy, and other initiatives that employ sports as a common ground for dialogue and cooperation (Hong & Yi, 2000; Shahid, 2015). It is a subject that goes beyond political negotiations and traditional diplomatic channels, and it captures the imagination of individuals and nations alike, highlighting the transformative power of sports on a global scale. This study is significant to explore the need of sports as a diplomatic tool for a nation to flourish its international relations.

## **Literature Review**

International relations have a rich history of diplomatic practices, including political negotiations, treaties, and traditional forms of diplomacy (Watson, 2013). However, the current global landscape is marked by rapid change and new challenges (Cooper et al., 2013). The shifting dynamics of international relations, where traditional diplomacy is evolving to incorporate innovative approaches need to be explored. The transformation of international relations and diplomacy is vital to understanding the role of sports diplomacy. The world has moved beyond the traditional notions of diplomacy, and states are increasingly relying on multifaceted strategies to pursue their interests (Hobbes, 1968). This has led to an expansion of diplomatic engagement beyond traditional channels (Bull, 2012). The recognition of non-traditional actors, such as sports, has become a hallmark of modern diplomacy. It's important to recognize that diplomacy has become a multi-dimensional endeavor where a variety of actors and methods are employed to address global issues (Berridge, 1993). This evolving international system calls for a comprehensive examination of the various tools that nations employ to achieve their diplomatic goals.

### ***The Emergence of Sports Diplomacy***

Sports diplomacy, as an emerging form of diplomacy, has garnered increasing attention for its role in promoting international cooperation and peace (Dichter & Johns, 2014). In the realm of diplomacy, the traditional modes of negotiation and statecraft have been expanded to include innovative practices (Cummings, 2003). Sports diplomacy has emerged as a diplomatic practice that transcends political boundaries, cultural differences, and geographical distances (Chehabi, 2001).

Historically, diplomacy has been associated with political negotiations and international conflicts (Berridge et al., 2001). However, the 21st century has witnessed a paradigm shift in the way nations interact on the global stage. Sports diplomacy, a comparatively new approach, has taken center stage in fostering peace, building cultural bridges, and nurturing mutual understanding among nations (Bull, 2012). Sports diplomacy is characterized by its potential to bridge the divide between nations and cultures, offering a platform for dialogue, cooperation, and peace-building (Berridge, 1996). It provides an innovative perspective on diplomacy and international relations, challenging traditional diplomatic norms. The emergence of sports diplomacy signifies a transformation in how diplomacy is practiced in contemporary international relations.

### ***Sports Diplomacy as a Unifying Force***

In an era characterized by rapid global transformations and emerging challenges (Cooper et al., 2013), sports diplomacy stands as an invaluable tool for bridging divides, fostering mutual understanding among nations, and promoting peace. One of the defining features of sports diplomacy is its profound capacity to connect individuals from various backgrounds, cultures, and nations through the universal language of sports. As the world moves beyond traditional diplomatic norms, it seeks innovative and multifaceted strategies to address the complexities of international relations (Berridge, 1993). Sports diplomacy serves as a unifying force, transcending the limitations of conventional diplomacy by offering a platform for dialogue, cooperation, and understanding. The potential of sports to foster international cooperation is rooted in their ability to promote cultural exchange, build bridges between nations, and facilitate communication and understanding. Cooperation in international relations often thrives on shared experiences and common objectives. The strength of sports lies in their capacity to unite individuals and nations, transcending political differences and cultural boundaries. International sports events like the Olympics and the FIFA World Cup exemplify the power of sports diplomacy in cultivating cooperation (Dichter & Johns, 2014). The Olympic Games, for instance, uphold values such as fair play, respect, and excellence, which resonate across cultures. This common understanding of values serves as a foundation for international cooperation and diplomacy, underlining the role of sports as a unifying force. By engaging in peaceful competition and celebration of shared values, nations participate in a platform that showcases their abilities while fostering goodwill and collaboration.

Diplomacy has expanded to include sports diplomacy, acknowledging that diplomatic engagement is no longer confined to traditional channels (Bull, 2012). The use of sports as a diplomatic tool demonstrates the adaptability and versatility of this approach in addressing various global challenges. Sports diplomacy acts as a bridge that connects nations, allowing them to work together on shared initiatives. The relationship between sports and diplomacy is further exemplified by diplomatic boycotts of sports events, such as the 1980 U.S. boycott of the Moscow Olympics in response to the Soviet invasion of Afghanistan. Sports enable nations to express their political stances and exert influence on international relations. The success of sports diplomacy initiatives is contingent on sports acting as common ground for nations to collaborate and engage in constructive dialogue.

Cultural exchange is a fundamental component of sports diplomacy. Sports provide a medium through which cultures interact and exchange ideas, traditions, and values. One of the striking aspects of sports diplomacy is how it brings diverse cultures together to celebrate shared passions. This exchange fosters cultural appreciation and builds a foundation for stronger international relations. Sports diplomacy also plays a vital role in breaking down cultural stereotypes and biases. As nations interact through sports, they have the chance to dispel misconceptions and preconceived notions about each other. This process leads to enhanced cultural awareness and, consequently, more constructive diplomatic relationships (Berridge, 1993). Sports diplomacy has gained prominence as a potent tool for peace-building, leveraging the unifying power of sports to address conflicts and promote international harmony. In a world characterized by political tensions and conflicts (Allen, 2017), sports have emerged as a catalyst for diplomacy, transcending traditional political divisions and fostering peace. Diplomacy in the sporting context extends to peace negotiations and reconciliation processes. In some instances, international sporting events have served as platforms for initiating peace talks and rebuilding diplomatic relationships. The famous example of "ping-pong diplomacy" between the United

States and China during the 1971 World Table Tennis Championships demonstrates how sports can be a catalyst for political thaw and conflict resolution (Berridge et al., 2001). Sports diplomacy can encourage governments to engage in peaceful dialogue and initiate diplomatic processes.

The unique strength of sports diplomacy lies in its ability to transcend politics and connect people on a human level (Nye, 2008). Sports have a universal appeal that unites individuals beyond political divisions, making them a formidable force in peace-building. International sporting events provide a common language for people from different countries and cultures, creating opportunities for individuals to engage in cross-cultural dialogues. This shared passion can create a sense of camaraderie, demonstrating that the pursuit of peace can extend beyond political boundaries. Additionally, sports diplomacy fosters international friendships and connections, which can be leveraged to advance peace initiatives. Athletes often build relationships that extend beyond their respective sports, serving as cultural ambassadors and advocates for peace. The personal connections forged through sports offer a platform for informal diplomacy, where athletes and sports figures can contribute to peace-building efforts.

### *Historical Successes and Contemporary Challenges*

Sports diplomacy has, throughout history, achieved remarkable milestones in promoting international cooperation, peace, and reconciliation. The 1971 World Table Tennis Championships, famously known as "ping-pong diplomacy," marked a turning point in diplomatic relations (Berridge et al., 2001). Through the spirit of sportsmanship, this event thawed political tensions, leading to high-level negotiations and the eventual normalization of diplomatic relations. This milestone underlines the transformational power of sports diplomacy in bridging deep-seated political rifts. Another historical success was the use of sports to address apartheid in South Africa. International boycotts and sanctions were instrumental in pressuring the apartheid regime. The sporting boycotts served as a powerful diplomatic tool in isolating the South African government, ultimately leading to its reform (Lowy Institute, 2017). The sports world played a significant role in challenging human rights abuses and paving the way for a new era in South Africa.

Sports diplomacy has also been instrumental in addressing global issues such as climate change. The United Nations Climate Change Conference in 2015 (COP21) saw sports figures and organizations rallying for climate action (Murray, 2012b). The involvement of sports in the climate change debate highlighted the potential of athletes and organizations as diplomatic agents advocating for global challenges. In the contemporary landscape, sports diplomacy faces evolving developments and a new set of obstacles. The rapid globalization and commercialization of sports have both positive and negative implications for diplomatic efforts. The commercial interests in sports may overshadow the diplomatic objectives of using sports as a means of peace and cooperation. Additionally, the geopolitical complexities of the 21st century pose unique challenges to sports diplomacy. The tension between geopolitics and sports was exemplified during the 2014 Sochi Winter Olympics when the controversy surrounding Russia's anti-gay laws and its annexation of Crimea overshadowed the sporting event (Blanchard, 2000). Navigating political minefields has become a contemporary challenge for sports diplomats.

Contemporary developments also include the use of sports as a soft power tool by nations seeking to enhance their global influence. Countries like China have invested significantly in

sports diplomacy as a means of expanding their cultural and political reach (Berridge, 2022). These developments are altering the landscape of sports diplomacy, as more actors are utilizing sports for their diplomatic objectives. Social media has amplified the reach and impact of sports diplomacy efforts (Hoffman, 2003). Athletes, organizations, and even fans can now engage in digital diplomacy. However, this also means that misinformation and political tensions can spread rapidly through the same channels.

Sports diplomacy has gained increasing significance in international relations. Through this innovative form of diplomacy, states communicate, build trust, and resolve conflicts while promoting their national interests. Sports diplomacy serves as a soft power tool that can enhance a nation's global influence (Berridge, 2022). Nations like China have invested significantly in sports diplomacy to expand their cultural and political reach. This signifies a shift in diplomatic discourse towards non-traditional tools for international engagement (Nye, 2008). Sports diplomacy fosters people-to-people connections, a critical element in diplomacy (Manners & Whitman, 2013). Such connections lead to the creation of a favorable international image, subsequently opening doors for bilateral talks and negotiations. It is important to understand that sports diplomacy is not merely symbolic but offers substantial opportunities for meaningful dialogue, transcending traditional diplomacy (Dichter & Johns, 2014). Through sports, nations can engage in constructive dialogue, leading to the resolution of disputes or enhanced cooperation. Existing literatures have sufficiently advocated on sports being an eminent tool of diplomacy, but its contemporary issues and challenges are understudied which is the main study area of this research.

### **Methodology**

The methodology of this research primarily relies on the qualitative approach. Central to this methodology are in-depth case studies examining prominent sports diplomacy initiatives worldwide, conducted through extensive literature review, archival analysis, and qualitative data collection. Document analysis of relevant materials such as reports and policy documents has provided supplementary information. Throughout the research process, ethical considerations such as informed consent and socio-cultural sensitivity have been strictly observed to ensure the integrity and validity of the analysis.

### **Findings and Discussion**

Sports diplomacy represents an evolution in the landscape of diplomatic discourse. Diplomatic activities no longer solely rely on traditional state actors, but increasingly involve non-traditional actors such as athletes, sports organizations, and even fans. The involvement of these non-state actors in international relations through sports is altering the diplomatic discourse. This shift is in line with the concept of 'new diplomacy', which emphasizes a more inclusive and collaborative approach to international relations (Hocking, 2006). Non-traditional actors, like sports organizations, create new channels for diplomacy. They foster cross-cultural exchanges, act as cultural ambassadors, and enable constructive engagement on global issues (Murray & Pigman, 2014). This changing landscape redefines the way nations interact with one another. In this evolving landscape, sports events like the Olympics promote cultural understanding and encourage peaceful coexistence. These events facilitate high-level diplomacy, as heads of states often use the platform to meet and discuss important matters, representing a diplomatic paradigm

shift (Hocking, 2016). This new era of diplomatic discourse is more dynamic, open, and people-centric.

### ***The Ping-Pong Diplomacy***

The "Ping-Pong Diplomacy" of the early 1970s, between the United States and the People's Republic of China, is an iconic example of how sports can be employed as a diplomatic tool. This initiative began when the Chinese table tennis team extended an invitation to the American team to visit China. The exchange of athletes from both countries created a unique opportunity for cultural exchange and friendship through sports. One of the significant lessons learned from Ping-Pong Diplomacy is the power of sports in humanizing international relations (Connell, 2018). The interactions between athletes from nations with complex political differences helped break down barriers and stereotypes. The personal connections formed among athletes can have a profound impact on diplomatic relations. The initiative also illustrated how sports can serve as a catalyst for political dialogue. The exchange of table tennis players led to a series of goodwill gestures, such as an invitation to President Nixon to visit China (Griffin, 2014). This ultimately culminated in Nixon's historic trip to China in 1972, marking a pivotal moment in U.S.-China relations (Cull, 2009). This demonstrates that sports can create a favorable atmosphere for political discussions and diplomacy (Nye, 1990).

Another key aspect of Ping-Pong Diplomacy was the role of "soft power." This concept, introduced by Nye (1990), highlights the ability of a nation to shape the preferences of others through attraction and persuasion rather than coercion. In the case of Ping-Pong Diplomacy, the appeal of American and Chinese athletes contributed to improved mutual perceptions (Gilboa, 2008). As such, it underlines the significance of cultural diplomacy in international affairs. Moreover, Ping-Pong Diplomacy serves as a testament to the value of track-two diplomacy (Nye, 2008). Track-two diplomacy involves unofficial, non-governmental actors in diplomacy processes. In this case, athletes, along with journalists and other non-official figures, played an essential role in building bridges between nations (Melissen, 2005). Such diplomacy can often sidestep traditional diplomatic roadblocks and lead to breakthroughs in international relations (Deos, 2014).

### ***The Miracle on Ice: A Cold War Thaw***

The "Miracle on Ice" refers to the historic ice hockey match at the 1980 Winter Olympics, in which the United States defeated the heavily favored Soviet Union, marking a significant moment during the Cold War. The victory, celebrated as a miracle, had profound implications both in sports and international relations. One of the central lessons from this event is the potential of sports to foster national unity and pride, especially in times of political tension. As Murray (2017) suggests, this underdog victory unified Americans and provided a sense of shared identity during a period marked by Cold War divisions. In the aftermath of the match, the U.S. team became a symbol of American resilience and determination.

The Miracle on Ice showcased how sports can serve as a diplomatic tool in bridging political divides. After the victory, the American and Soviet players exchanged signs of goodwill, such as handshakes and team photos, challenging the conventional view of Cold War enmity (Schaap, 2007). This sportsmanship highlighted the potential for sports to facilitate dialogue and humanize political adversaries (Pigman & Rofe, 2014). The U.S. victory was not only a triumph in sports but also a symbolic victory in the battle of ideas. It portrayed American democracy as

an attractive and resilient system in contrast to Soviet communism (Nye, 2008). As a result, it showcased how sports victories can bolster a nation's soft power influence on the global stage.

The Miracle on Ice demonstrated the power of sports as a form of cultural diplomacy. In this case, the United States used its sporting achievement as a message to the world, promoting its values of democracy and individual freedom (Melissen, 2005). The event serves as a case where sports transcended borders and contributed to redefining a nation's image on the international stage (Murray, 2018). This case also highlights the role of sports in conveying subtle diplomatic messages and sentiments. The American victory in ice hockey was more than just a sports event; it was a message about American resilience, determination, and, in the context of the Cold War, the endurance of democracy (Murray, 2012a).

### ***Notable Sports Diplomacy Initiatives***

Aside from the well-known cases like Ping-Pong Diplomacy and the Miracle on Ice, there are numerous other notable instances of sports diplomacy that have played a significant role in shaping international relations. One such initiative is the cricket diplomacy between India and Pakistan. Cricket holds a special place in the hearts of both nations, and it has served as a channel for diplomatic engagement during periods of political tension (Levermore & Budd, 2004). For instance, in 2005, when India toured Pakistan for a cricket series, it was seen as a sign of thawing relations between the two countries. This form of "sports diplomacy" allowed for people-to-people contact and had the potential to ease political tensions.

The sports diplomacy efforts of South Korea in relation to North Korea are another noteworthy instance. The two Koreas have employed sports as a means to engage with each other amid high political tensions. The participation of North Korea in the 2018 Winter Olympics hosted by South Korea was a remarkable instance of sports diplomacy. Through this initiative, the two nations found common ground and used sports as a bridge to facilitate political dialogue and ease the strained inter-Korean relations. In the case of ping-pong diplomacy, sports were used to initiate diplomacy between China and the United States. This initiative involved a visit by the U.S. table tennis team to China in 1971 and paved the way for the historic visit of President Richard Nixon to China the following year. This unconventional form of diplomacy demonstrated how sports can act as a catalyst for high-level political negotiations.

Sports diplomacy played a role in the improvement of relations between Cuba and the United States. Boxing became a point of contact between the two countries. In the 1970s, American and Cuban boxers engaged in a series of friendly matches (Wiggins, 1997). These exchanges paved the way for diplomatic initiatives, including efforts to normalize relations decades later. Additionally, the role of sports in fostering regional cooperation in the Middle East cannot be overlooked. The Arab Games, established in 1953, provide an arena for countries in the Arab world to compete in sports, transcending political disputes. This ongoing regional sports initiative has contributed to increased cultural exchange and dialogue among Arab nations. Sports diplomacy has become a prominent tool in peace-building and developing international relations, despite of few hurdles.

### **Discussion**

Non-traditional actors are bringing innovative diplomatic approaches to the international stage. These actors often operate more flexibly and are not bound by the formalities of traditional



diplomacy. They engage in Track II diplomacy, citizen diplomacy, and cultural diplomacy (Hocking, 2016). For instance, athletes engage in informal dialogues and cultural exchanges during international competitions, bypassing the constraints of traditional diplomatic channels. These interactions can lead to bridge-building and cooperation even in politically sensitive situations. Innovative diplomatic approaches involving non-traditional actors also leverage digital platforms and social media to amplify their reach (Hoffman, 2003). Social media, in particular, offers a powerful tool for diplomatic discourse and provides a direct line of communication between nations, athletes, organizations, and the global audience. While this digital age brings opportunities for diplomacy, it also poses challenges, such as the rapid spread of misinformation or the potential for political tensions through these same channels.

It is imperative to acknowledge both the potential benefits and inherent challenges of Sports Diplomacy. While sports diplomacy has demonstrated remarkable success in fostering cross-cultural understanding and cooperation, particularly through high-profile events like the Olympics and FIFA World Cup, its efficacy as a tool for sustainable peace-building remains subject to scrutiny. One key consideration is the potential for sports diplomacy initiatives to be superficial or short-lived, failing to address underlying socio-political tensions or structural inequalities. The instrumentalization of sports for political gain or soft power projection raises questions about the authenticity of diplomatic gestures and the extent to which genuine reconciliation and dialogue are achieved. Additionally, the unequal distribution of resources and opportunities within the sports industry may perpetuate disparities rather than promoting inclusivity and social cohesion. Therefore, while sports diplomacy undoubtedly holds promise as a means of promoting international understanding, it also holds complexities that need to be resolved.

## **Conclusion**

The increasing role of sports diplomacy in global affairs reveals its profound potential to bridge divides and facilitate meaningful communication among nations. The notable instances of Ping-Pong Diplomacy, Inter-Korean sports engagements and others vividly illustrate how sports can serve as a common ground for fostering dialogue and mutual understanding. However, amidst these successes, significant challenges emerge. A concern is there about the susceptibility of sports initiatives to political manipulation, which may compromise the authenticity of diplomatic efforts and undermine their effectiveness. Disparities in access to sports resources and opportunities present barriers to inclusivity within sports diplomacy endeavors, potentially limiting their impact. To address these complexities, a comprehensive approach is essential. Prioritizing transparency, integrity, and inclusivity in the design and implementation of sports diplomacy strategies can help mitigate the risks of political exploitation and enhance the credibility of diplomatic initiatives. Fostering collaborative partnerships involving governments, non-governmental organizations, and civil society actors is crucial for bolstering the resilience and effectiveness of sports diplomacy efforts. Through the effective navigation of these challenges, sports diplomacy can evolve into a potent force for promoting international cooperation, fostering cultural exchange, and advancing sustainable peace on a global scale.

## **References:**

- Allen, J. (2017). Donald Trump warns Kim Jong-un "won't be around much longer." *The Telegraph*. <https://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/2017/09/24/donald-trump-warns-kim-jong-un-wont-around-much-longer/>
- Berridge, G. R. (1993). Diplomacy after death: The rise of the working funeral. *Diplomacy and Statecraft*, 4(2), 217-234.
- Berridge, G. R. (1996). Funeral summits. In *Diplomacy at the Highest Level: The evolution of international summitry*. pp. 106-117.
- Berridge, G. R. (2022). *Diplomacy: theory and practice*. Springer Nature.
- Berridge, G., Keens-Soper, M., & Otte, T. (2001). *Diplomatic theory from Machiavelli to Kissinger*. Springer.
- Blanchard, K. (2000). The anthropology of sport. *Handbook of sports studies*, 144-156.
- Bull, H. (2012). *The anarchical society: A study of order in world politics*. Bloomsbury Publishing.
- Chehabi, H. E. (2001). Sport diplomacy between the United States and Iran. *Diplomacy and Statecraft*, 12(1), 89-106.
- Connell, J. (2018). Globalisation, soft power, and the rise of football in China. *Geographical research*, 56(1), 5-15.
- Cooper, A. F., Heine, J., & Thakur, R. (Eds.). (2013). *The Oxford handbook of modern diplomacy*. OUP Oxford.
- Cull, N. J. (2009). The cold war and the United States information agency: American propaganda and public diplomacy, 1945–1989. *Naval War College Review*, 62(2), 14.
- Cummings, M. C. (2003). *Cultural diplomacy and the United States government: A survey*. Center for Arts and Culture.
- Dichter, H. L., & Johns, A. L. (Eds.). (2014). *Diplomatic games: Sport, statecraft, and international relations since 1945*. University Press of Kentucky.
- Gilboa, E. (2008). Searching for a theory of public diplomacy. *The annals of the American academy of political and social science*, 616(1), 55-77.
- Griffin, N. (2014). *Ping-pong diplomacy: the secret history behind the game that changed the world*. Simon and Schuster.
- Hocking, B. (2006). Multistakeholder diplomacy: Forms, functions, and frustrations. *Multistakeholder diplomacy: Challenges and opportunities*, 13-29.
- Hocking, B. (Ed.). (2016). *Foreign Ministries: change and adaptation*. Springer.
- Hoffman, J. (2003). Reconstructing diplomacy. *The British Journal of Politics and International Relations*, 5(4), 525-542.
- Hong, Z., & Sun, Y. (2000). The butterfly effect and the making of 'ping-pong diplomacy'. *Journal of Contemporary China*, 9(25), 429-448.

- Levermore, R., & Budd, A. (2004). *Sport and international relations: an emerging relationship* (No. 14082). Routledge.
- Lowy Institute. (2017). *Global Diplomacy Index*. <https://globaldiplomacyindex.lowyinstitute.org/>
- Melissen, J. (2005). *The new public diplomacy*. Palgrave.
- Murray, S. (2012a). The two halves of sports-diplomacy. *Diplomacy & statecraft*, 23(3), 576-592.
- Murray, S. (2012b). The renaissance of diplomatic theory. *Guoji Zhengzhi Yanjiu (International Politics Quarterly)*, 4(33), 23-39.
- Murray, S. (2017). Sports diplomacy in the Australian context: Theory into strategy. *Politics & Policy*, 45(5), 841-861.
- Murray, S. (2018). *Sports diplomacy: Origins, theory and practice*. Routledge.
- Murray, S., & Pigman, G. A. (2014). Mapping the relationship between international sport and diplomacy. *Sport in society*, 17(9), 1098-1118.
- Nye, J. S. (1990). Soft power. *Foreign policy*, (80), 153-171.
- Nye, J. S. (2008). Public diplomacy and soft power. *The annals of the American academy of political and social science*, 616(1), 94-109.
- Pigman, G.A., & Rofo, J.S. (2014). Sport and diplomacy: an introduction1. *Sport in Society*, 17(9), 1095-1097.
- Schaap, J. (2007). *Triumph: the untold story of Jesse Owens and Hitler's Olympics*. Houghton Mifflin Harcourt.
- Shahid, S. A. (2015). India: Pakistan Sports as a tool for peace (cricket diplomacy). *International Journal of Coaching Science*, 9(1), 51–63.
- Watson, A. (2013). *Diplomacy: The dialogue between states*. Routledge.
- Wiggins, D. K. (1997). *Glory bound: Black athletes in a white America*. Syracuse University Press.