

# Novel Approaches Characterizing Separation in Fuzzy Topology with Quasi-Coincidence

**Dr.Kamlesh Kumar Lal Karn**

Patan Multiple Campus T.U.

Email: [kkamlesh349@gmail.com](mailto:kkamlesh349@gmail.com)

Doi: <https://doi.org/10.3126/ppj.v3i2.66148>

## Abstract

Fuzzy sets were introduced by Zadeh in 1965, and three years later, Chang defined fuzzy topological spaces. Fuzzy topological spaces are families of fuzzy sets that satisfy the three classical axioms of topology. In this paper, we introduce and study some new notions of  $T_0$  separation axioms in fuzzy topological spaces using the quasi-coincident relation for fuzzy sets. Every ordinary (crisp) topological space vacuously satisfies the condition of being quasi- $T_0$ . We define the quasi-separation axioms for fuzzy topological spaces, as quasi- $T_0$ , quasi- $T_1$ , Quasi- $T_2$ . We have introduced and studied some new notions of  $T_0$  separation axioms in fuzzy topological spaces using the quasi-coincident relation for fuzzy sets. These quasi-separation axioms are weaker than the corresponding classical  $T_0$  separation axioms, but they are still useful for characterizing and studying fuzzy topological spaces.

**Key Words:** Fuzzy topological space, quasi - coincidence, Fuzzy quasi- $T_0$ ,  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  space.

## Introduction

Building on fuzzy sets introduced by (Zadeh, 1965), fuzzy topology emerged with Chang's work in (Chang, 1968). This framework classified fuzzy sets as open or not. (Goguen, 1973) later extended this concept by employing lattice structures instead of a closed interval. (Ying, 1991) linked fuzzy topology to Hohles topology, sparking further research. (Zhang and Xu, 1999) then defined neighborhoods in fuzzifying topological spaces. Separation axioms, a cornerstone of fuzzy topology (Shen, 1993; Yue & Fang, 2006), have traditionally focused on crisp points. This paper explores separation axioms ( $T_0$ ,  $T_1$ ,  $T_2$ ) within the framework of fuzzy topological spaces.

## Preliminaries:

**Definition 2.1:** X is a fuzzy T-space iff for all x, distinct grades  $\lambda, \mu$  in  $[0, 1]$ ,

either,  $X_\lambda \notin X_\mu$

or,  $X_\mu \notin X_\lambda$ .

**Definition 2.2:** X is a fuzzy  $T_0$ -space iff distinct fuzzy points e, d exist in X such that  $e \neq d$ , either,  $e \notin d$ ,

or,  $d \notin e$

**Definition 2.3:** X is a fuzzy T1-space iff all fuzzy points are closed

The following implications are obvious:

$$T_1 \Rightarrow T_0 \Rightarrow \text{quasi-} T_0$$

Every ordinary (crisp) topological space vacuously satisfies condition of being quasi-  $T_0$  and, hence the quasi-  $T_0$  separation is a particularity in a fuzzy topology.

Let  $(X, T)$  be a quasi-  $T_0$  space,

Let,  $x \in X$ , and  $\Delta = (p_1, p_2)$  ( $0 \leq p_1 < p_2 < 1$ );

then there exists  $B \in T$  such that  $B(x) \in \Delta$

In fact,

$$\text{Let } \lambda = 1 - p_1, \mu = 1 - p_2$$

$$\text{Then, } \lambda > \mu > 0$$

Since  $(X, T)$  is a quasi-  $T_0$  space,  $X_\lambda \notin X_\mu$ .

Hence there exists some open Q-neighborhood, i.e.  $(B(x) > 1 - \lambda = p_1)$ , which is not a quasi-coincident with  $x_\mu$ , i.e.  $(B(x) \leq 1 - \mu = p_2)$ .

Hence  $B(x) \in \Delta$ .

### Proving Quasi- $T_0$ space properties as theorems

The following properties concerning quasi- $T_0$  space can be sharpened in the form of theorem as follows:

**Theorem 1 (Necessity):**

- $B = \emptyset$  works for  $p = 0$ .
- For  $0 < p < 1$ , take an increasing sequence  $(\Delta_n)$  converging to  $p$  with open sets  $(B_n)$  in each  $\Delta_n$ .
- Their union  $(B = \cup B_n)$  is open with  $B(x) = p$ .

**Theorem 1 (Sufficiency):**

- Given distinct fuzzy points  $(x, \lambda)$  and  $(x, \mu)$  ( $\lambda \neq \mu$ ), there's B open such that  $B(x) = 1 - \mu > 1 - \lambda$ .
- B is open and not quasi-coincident with  $(x, \lambda)$ , making it closed.

**Theorem 2:**

- $(X, T)$  is  $T_0$  iff quasi- $T_0$  and for any distinct  $x, y$ , and  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ , there exists B open such that  $B(x) = \lambda$  and  $B(y) > \lambda$  (or  $B(x) > \lambda$  and  $B(y) = \lambda$ ).

*Proof (Necessity):*

Quasi- $T_0$  spaces are also  $T_0$ .

Given distinct points  $x, y$ , define  $\lambda = 1 - \mu$ .

We get distinct fuzzy points  $(x, \lambda)$  and  $(y, \mu)$ .

If  $(y, \mu)$  isn't closed, there's an open set containing  $y$  but not  $(x, \lambda)$ , making them quasi-coincident (contradiction).

Similar argument for  $(x, \lambda)$ .

*Proof (Sufficiency):*

We only need to separate  $(x, \lambda)$  and  $(y, \mu)$  ( $\lambda \neq \mu$ ).

Define  $\rho = 1 - \lambda$  and  $\sigma = 1 - \mu$ .

By assumption, there's  $B \in \mathcal{T}$  such that  $B(x) = \rho$  and  $B(y) > \sigma$ .

$B$  is open and not quasi-coincident with  $(x, \lambda)$ .

Similar argument for  $(y, \mu)$ .

**Theorem 3 (Necessity):**

If all fuzzy points are closed,  $B = X$  works for  $\lambda = 1$ .

Otherwise,  $B = (x, \lambda)$  is open (given point is closed).

**Theorem 3 (Sufficiency):**

Let  $x$  be any fuzzy point. There exists  $B$  such that  $B(x) = \lambda$  and  $B(y) = 1$  for all  $y \neq x$ .

This implies the complement of  $(x, \lambda)$  is closed.

**Definition 4:**

$T_2$  spaces: for distinct points with different supports, there exist open sets not quasi-coincident with each other.

*Proposition:*

- Accumulation points of  $(x, \lambda)$  are of the form  $(x, \mu)$  with  $\mu > \lambda$ ,
  - When  $\mu \leq \lambda$ , any open set can be quasi-coincident with  $(x, \lambda)$  at most once, so  $x$  isn't an accumulation point.
  - When  $\mu > \lambda$ ,  $T_2$  guarantees open sets separating  $(x, \lambda)$  and  $(x, \mu)$ .

*Example:*

$X = \{y, z\}$ ,  $T$  with base  $\{(y, 1), (z, 1 - \varepsilon) : \varepsilon > 0\}$ .

This is  $T_2$ , but not quasi- $T_0$  (no open set with value 0.5 at  $y$ ).

**Theorem 4:**

If  $T_2$  and quasi- $T_0$ , then  $T_1$ .

Let  $y$  be a fuzzy point. The only possible accumulation point is  $(y, \mu)$  with  $\mu > \lambda$ .

By quasi- $T_0$  and previous theorem, there's  $B$  open and not quasi-coincident with  $(y, \mu)$ .

Hence,  $(y, \mu)$  isn't an accumulation point, and  $y$  has none.

**Result and Discussion**

Since the derived set of every fuzzy point in a  $T_1$  space is obviously  $\emptyset$ , we obtain the result that the derived set of every fuzzy set on a  $T_1$  space is closed.

The obtained results significantly contribute to the theoretical framework of fuzzy topological spaces, unraveling intricate relationships and shedding light on key properties. Theorems 1 and 2 provide a foundation for understanding the convergence of fuzzy quasi- $T_0$  and  $T_0$  spaces, establishing conditions that illuminate the nuanced interplay between these essential topological concepts. These findings have implications not only for the

theoretical underpinnings of fuzzy spaces but also for applications in various fields where a nuanced understanding of spatial relationships is crucial.

The exploration of  $T_1$  spaces in Theorem 3 adds depth to the discussion, linking specific open sets to the  $T_1$  property and offering insights into point separation within fuzzy spaces. This connection between topological properties and open sets provides a valuable tool for characterizing spaces based on their structural features. Furthermore, the analysis of fuzzy  $T_2$  (Hausdorff) spaces in Definition 4 and the subsequent Proposition contributes to our understanding of separation properties in fuzzy settings. Theorem 4 then establishes a unifying link between  $T_2$  and quasi- $T_0$  spaces, showcasing the intricate harmony between these properties. Collectively, these results contribute to a refined understanding of fuzzy topological spaces, enriching both theoretical foundations and potential practical applications.

### Conclusion

In conclusion, the presented theorems and proofs establish fundamental properties of fuzzy quasi- $T_0$  spaces,  $T_0$  spaces, and  $T_1$  spaces. The first theorem characterizes fuzzy quasi- $T_0$  spaces and provides a proof for both necessity and sufficiency. The second theorem links  $T_0$  spaces to quasi- $T_0$  spaces, outlining the conditions under which a space is both quasi- $T_0$  and  $T_0$ . The third theorem introduces  $T_1$  spaces and demonstrates their equivalence to certain open sets. Lastly, Theorem 4 establishes that a space simultaneously satisfying the  $T_2$  and quasi- $T_0$  properties is also a  $T_1$  space. These results contribute to the understanding of fuzzy topological spaces, shedding light on their properties and relationships.

### References

- Chang, C. (1968). Fuzzy Topological Space. *Journal of mathematical analysis and publication*, 24, 182-190.
- Goguen, J. A. (1973). The fuzzy Tychonoff theorem. *Journal of mathematical analysis and application*, 43, 734-742.
- Shen, J. (1993). Separation axiom in fuzzifying topology. *Fuzzy sets and Systems*, 57 (01), 113-123.
- Ying, M. S. (1991). A new approach for fuzzy topology. *Journal of mathematical analysis and publications*, 39 (03), 303-321.
- Yue, Y., & Fang, J. (2006). On Separation axioms I-Fuzzy topological spaces. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 157 (06), 780-793.
- Zadeh, L. A. (1965). Fuzzy Sets. *Information and Computation* (08), 338-353.
- Zhang, D., & Xu, L. (1999). Categories isomorphic to FNS. *Fuzzy Sets and System*, 104 (03), 373-380.