NPRC Journal of Multidisciplinary Research
Volume 2, Issue 14, December, 2025 Pages: 65-77
ISSN: 3059-9148 (Online)
DOI: 10.3126/nprcjmr.v2i14.88027

The Life of Children with Down Syndrome and Their Family: A Narrative Inquiry

Somnath Mishra
Principal
Pancharatna Balbatika School, Kathmandu
mishrasomnath2063@gmail.com

Received: October 19, 2025 Revised & Accepted: December 28, 2025

Abstract

Background: While clinical research on Down syndrome (DS) is well-established, a significant gap exists in understanding the subjective, lived realities and relational dynamics of families raising a child with DS. Prevailing research, often quantitative and deficit-focused, fails to capture the holistic processes of meaning-making, identity construction, and adaptation that occur within the family unit over time.

Objectives: This study aimed to explore the nuanced life experiences of children with DS and their families through a narrative lens. It sought to understand how families interpret their journey, construct a shared identity, and develop resilience in response to a DS diagnosis, moving beyond clinical descriptions to document their unique stories.

Methods: Employing a qualitative, longitudinal Narrative Inquiry methodology, in-depth semi-structured interviews and field texts were collected from three family units in Kathmandu over nine months. The approach focused on co-constructing and analyzing the families' chronological narratives, emphasizing temporality, sociality, and place.

Findings: Analysis revealed three central narrative themes: 1) the negotiation between institutional expectations and lived reality, 2) the development of interdependent resilience among family members, and 3) the active re-scripting of "family success" toward unique developmental individuality. Families consistently constructed a positive, adaptive identity where the child with DS was viewed as a catalyst for deeper relational bonds, joy, and purpose.

Conclusion: The study concludes that a narrative inquiry focus illuminates the strength and complexity of these families, challenging deficit-based models. It advocates for a fundamental shift toward family-centered support systems that validate and are informed by these unique stories of adaptation and resilience.

Novelty: This research contributes a longitudinal, narrative perspective from a South Asian context (Nepal), centering the voices of families to humanize disability discourse and provide a foundation for culturally sensitive, narrative-informed practice and policy.

Keywords: Family narratives, Down syndrome, Resilience, Identity construction, Qualitative research

Introduction

The arrival of a child with Down syndrome (DS) fundamentally reshapes the familial landscape, presenting unique challenges alongside unanticipated opportunities for profound personal growth and redefinition of purpose. While the chromosomal etiology of DS is well-documented, the bulk of existing scholarly work has historically centered on clinical outcomes, neurodevelopmental deficits, and measures of parental stress or burden (Smith, 2021). The family unit, serving as the primary ecological context for the child's development, is a complex system of interconnected roles, relationships, and shared understandings. A growing body of research advocates for shifting the lens from individual pathology to family-level resilience, recognizing that the family's capacity to adjust, communicate, and collaboratively face challenges is the most powerful determinant of well-being for all members, including the child with DS (Garcia, 2020). The depth need remains to capture the totality of experience as narrated by the families themselves.

There is a significant gap in literature that persists regarding the subjective, lived realities and longitudinal relational dynamics of these families. Most studies rely on cross-sectional quantitative instruments that standardize experiences, failing to account for the unique ways families negotiate the intersection between their private lives and external social, educational, and medical institutions (Chen & Lee, 2022). To truly understand how families construct a positive, adaptive identity over time interpreting milestones, navigating institutional bureaucracy, and redefining success a methodological shift is required. Narrative Inquiry (NI) offers a powerful framework for this exploration, emphasizing stories as the primary unit of analysis and allowing researchers to delve into the temporal, social, and personal dimensions of experience.

The present study addresses this void by employing a qualitative, longitudinal Narrative Inquiry methodology to explore the life of children with Down syndrome within the context of their immediate families. We seek to understand the nuanced, relational dynamics, the processes of interdependent resilience among parents and siblings, and the ways families negotiate external expectations to ultimately re-script their concept of a "successful" life. By focusing on the unique chronologies of shared family life across three diverse families, this research aims to illuminate the strength and complexity of adaptation, particularly where the child with DS acts as a catalyst for deeper relational bonds and purpose. The findings will provide critical, context-rich insights intended to inform pediatric practice, social work, and policy, recommending a fundamental shift toward family-centered support models that validate and incorporate these unique narratives of adaptation and strength.

Background of the study

The diagnosis of Down syndrome (DS), resulting from Trisomy 21, initiates a profound and lifelong trajectory for the individual and their family. Historically, research into DS has been rooted firmly within the medical-clinical paradigm, focusing predominantly on the identification and measurement of associated developmental and intellectual deficits (Williams, 2018). This body of work has been invaluable in establishing standardized care protocols, optimizing early intervention services, and improving the health outcomes and longevity of individuals with DS. However, this necessary clinical focus has often been accompanied by a methodological emphasis on quantitative, reductionist measures. These studies primarily assess individual-level phenomena such as cognitive capacity, motor skill delay, or specific biological markers effectively isolating the child with DS from the complex, reciprocal, and fluid environment of their family.

As scholarly attention broadened from the individual child to the family system, initial research efforts were naturally driven by stress-coping theory. The introduction of a child with special needs was often framed as a major life stressor, leading to extensive research quantifying parental burden, emotional distress, and the need for external coping mechanisms (Rodriguez & Klein, 2019). Studies in this domain, typically employing cross-sectional surveys and standardized questionnaires, highlighted correlations between the severity of the child's needs and elevated stress levels in parents, establishing a vital understanding of vulnerability points. Nevertheless, these models can inherently risk framing the experience through a lens of pathology and burden, often generalizing experiences across diverse populations. Critically, these methods frequently fail to capture the variability, subjective interpretation, and powerful adaptive shifts that occur over time within the family, thus presenting an incomplete and sometimes overly negative portrait of family life with DS.

This historical reliance on standardized, cross-sectional quantitative instruments creates a significant methodological and theoretical gap concerning the holistic experience. By seeking to standardize and compare, these methods inevitably marginalize the idiosyncratic, lived realities and the unique ways families negotiate their private lives against the often-inflexible demands of external social, educational, and medical institutions. Compelling counter-narratives from clinical practice and emerging qualitative research suggest that, far from being solely a source of strain, raising a child with DS frequently leads to unexpected sources of joy, profound strengthening of sibling bonds, increased spiritual fulfillment, and a deepened sense of purpose and resilience among parents (Patel et al., 2021). These experiences the meaning-making processes and the re-scripting of family identity are intensely subjective and are fundamentally missed by instruments designed to standardize them. Capturing the richness of this adaptive process requires an approach sensitive to the social, temporal, and relational context in which these experiences unfold.

Statement of the Problem

The huge gap exists in the research concerning the subjective, relational, and adaptive realities of families raising a child with Down syndrome (DS). While historical inquiry successfully established the clinical and biological aspects of DS, and subsequent quantitative studies have quantified parental burden and stress using generalized cross-sectional data, the prevailing research paradigm remains fragmented, and deficit focused. This standardized approach consistently fails to capture the holistic, longitudinal processes by which families negotiate daily life, construct positive familial identities, and develop interdependent resilience. This standardized approach fails to capture the holistic, longitudinal processes by which families negotiate daily life, construct positive familial identities, and develop interdependent resilience over time (Patel et al., 2021). The reliance on generalized metrics obscures the unique, context-rich narratives of struggle, adaptation, and meaning-making that underpin true family well-being.

Purpose of the study

The purpose of this narrative inquiry is to explore and deeply understand the lived experiences of children with Down syndrome (DS) and their immediate family members. This study will move beyond clinical descriptions to document the dynamic, relational narratives of selected families, offering rich, context-specific insights into their unique meaning-making processes. Specifically, we seek to illuminate how families perceive, navigate, and shape their identities and futures in response to a DS diagnosis. By employing narrative methods, this research aims to provide an empathetic and holistic perspective that can inform person-centered support systems, influence educational policies, and cultivate a more nuanced societal understanding of disability and family life. The findings are intended to amplify the voices often marginalized in traditional research and contribute significantly to qualitative disability studies.

Research Questions

1. How do family members (parents/siblings) narrate the experience of the Down syndrome diagnosis, and what relational meanings do they construct regarding their family's identity and life trajectory over time?
2. What are the central narratives that emerge from the daily life accounts of the children with Down syndrome and their family members, focusing on their unique challenges, sources of joy, and moments of relational negotiation?

Rationale of the study

Most of the research concerning Down syndrome (DS) and family dynamics has historically relied on quantitative measures, focusing on clinical outcomes, psychological stress levels, or predefined developmental milestones. While valuable, this approach inherently fragments the holistic, lived experience and often fails to capture the day-to-day relational complexity, resilience, and unique wisdom developed within these families. Consequently, current support services and educational policies risk being generic or deficit-focused, rather than being authentically informed by the perspectives of the individuals and families they serve. The rationale for this work rests on the imperative to amplify marginalized voices, humanize the data surrounding disability, and provide a deep, empathetic foundation for developing truly person-centered interventions and fostering a more inclusive societal narrative.

Delimitation of the study

This narrative inquiry is delimited by several methodological and practical boundaries to ensure the achievement of the study's specific qualitative goals. The research is confined to a strictly qualitative design specifically, narrative inquiry meaning it focuses on in-depth meaning-making and does not incorporate quantitative data, statistical analysis, or the testing of causal hypotheses. The findings are intended for transferability, not broad generalization. Data was collected from four families residing in Kathmandu. The content was delimited to the subjective, retrospective narratives of the participants regarding their lived experiences. The study does not evaluate the efficacy of specific educational programs, clinical interventions, or policy outcomes.

Literature Review

Research concerning Down syndrome (DS) has historically been shaped by a biomedical and developmental paradigm, largely focusing on clinical characteristics, cognitive performance, and the psychological impact on families. A significant body of quantitative work has prioritized measuring developmental milestones and identifying risk factors (Smith, 2019). This research often frames DS through a deficit lens, emphasizing associated medical challenges and delayed development. Furthermore, studies exploring family life have frequently adopted stress-vulnerability models, quantifying levels of parental burden, marital distress, and emotional adjustment following a diagnosis (Johnson & Chen, 2021). While these clinical assessments are crucial for informing early intervention and medical care, they inherently reduce the complex reality of family life to measurable variables. The existing literature establishes a necessary baseline of clinical understanding but simultaneously creates a gap by failing to capture the lived, temporal, and holistic experience of family life with Down Syndrome. Recent qualitative work has begun to explore family resilience and positive coping, reframing the experience of raising a child with DS not as a burden, but as a source of deep connection and personal growth (Davis, 2020). The existing qualitative studies often remain thematic or descriptive, failing to utilize methodologies that are explicitly sensitive to the nuances of life narratives. To address the limitations of the existing quantitative and thematically focused qualitative literature, this study employs narrative inquiry, a methodology uniquely suited to explore lived experience as understood by participants themselves (Polkinghorne, 2015). Narrative inquiry is grounded in the premise that humans experience the world and make sense of their lives through stories.

By collecting, analyzing, and restoring the accounts of children and their families, this method allows for a deep dive into the intersection of personal, social, and cultural experiences. Unlike general qualitative approaches, narrative inquiry emphasizes temporality and place, enabling the research to trace the evolving relationship between the family and the DS diagnosis across various life stages and settings. This approach is ethically and methodologically necessary to empower and amplify marginalized voices (Williams, 2022). Using narrative inquiry will yield rich, contextualized data that provides an empathetic understanding of family systems with DS, thereby offering a crucial foundation for truly person-centered practice and policy development, making a significant contribution to the field of qualitative disability research.

Conceptual/Thematic Review

This study focused on the lived experiences of families raising children with Down Syndrome, reveals complex, multi-layered themes that collectively challenge simplistic, deficit-focused views of disability. Central to these family narratives is the profound journey of parental adjustment, a conceptual shift that typically progresses from initial diagnostic shock and grief to resilient, proactive advocacy (Smith & Jones, 2021). While the practical demands and potential for marital stress are undeniable, the collected stories frequently underscore remarkable personal growth, enhanced familial cohesion, and a redefined, often enriched, sense of 'normalcy.' Siblings often emerge as central, developing elevated levels of empathy and protective care, fostering unique mutual relationships (Garcia et al., 2022). The research focuses on measuring burden or pathology toward recognizing capability and resilience, using the family's voice to critique systemic barriers and advocating for authentic community inclusion.

Empirical Review

Empirical work employing Narrative Inquiry for this topic is fundamentally qualitative, relying on rich, first-person accounts to document the evolution of family life following a Down Syndrome diagnosis. Studies, such as those by Chen and Kim (2023), utilize extended, semi-structured interviews and thematic analysis, generating granular data that empirically documents the chronological stages of family adaptation, typically spanning years. A recurring empirical finding is the deep process of caregiver identity negotiation, where parents transition from being passive recipients of medical news to becoming skilled knowledge-brokers and tenacious advocates for inclusive education and community systems (Miller, 2024). The information often reveals high variability in the family experience, directly correlating positive outcomes with early, sustained intervention access and strong community support networks.

Policies Review

The policy landscape governing the lives of children with Down Syndrome is anchored globally by the United Nations Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (CRPD). Policy review reveals significant implementation gaps (Adhikari & Shrestha, 2023). This framework mandates signatory nations to ensure non-discrimination, full inclusion, and necessary family support systems. Nationally, adherence to the CRPD requires a paradigm shift from institutionalized care to community-based, rights-focused services, particularly in areas like inclusive education and healthcare access. In the context of Nepal, policy commitment exists through constitutional rights and national strategies that acknowledge disability as a key area for development. Effective policy reform must focus on decentralized resource allocation, enhanced financial support for caregivers, and a shift in policy design from prescriptive mandates to collaborative, lived-experience driven models (Pandey, 2024).

Theoretical Review

The theoretical foundation of this study rests on two intersecting frameworks - the Social Model of Disability and Narrative Identity Theory. The Social Model shifts analysis away from the child's impairment (the medical model) toward the societal and infrastructural barriers such as inaccessible education or inadequate community services that create disability (Goodley, 2024). This theoretical lens is crucial for analyzing the disparities in resource distribution and implementation gaps noted in Nepali policy contexts. Narrative Identity Theory posits that individuals construct an integrated sense of self by internalizing and continuously evolving their life stories (McAdams, 2008). By collecting detailed family narratives, this inquiry seeks to understand how parents negotiate their identities shifting from mourning to advocacy in the face of dominant, deficit-based cultural narratives surrounding Down Syndrome.

Identification of Research Gap

The current literature highlights policy deficiencies and infrastructure gaps but crucially lacks the first-person accounts detailing how Nepali families construct their identities and make meaning of their lives when faced with profound systemic neglect and deeply ingrained cultural stigma. This study addresses some gaps by using potent tool to connect the abstract failures of international and national policy implementation with the concrete, subjective experience of family life. This yields essential, contextualized data required for effective evidence-based advocacy and culturally sensitive policy development in the South Asian context.

Methodology

Philosophical Foundation

The philosophical foundation of this inquiry rests upon the Interpretivist paradigm, positing that the reality of living with Down Syndrome is not objective, but is socially and culturally constructed. Ontologically, disability is understood not as a biological tragedy, but as a phenomenon shaped by systemic barriers and cultural narratives, a view central to the Social Model of Disability. Epistemologically, the study seeks subjective knowledge, recognizing that truth is co-created through human experience and interpretation (Creswell & Miller, 2000).

This approach validates their unique perspectives, allowing for the emergence of contextualized knowledge that actively challenges universalistic, deficit-based understandings prevalent in both global discourse and local practice, thus centering the expertise of lived experience.

Research Paradigm

The research paradigm for "The Life of Children with Down Syndrome and Their Family: A Narrative Inquiry" is Interpretivism, grounded in an Ontological view that reality is socially constructed and multiple, not singular and objective. This means the study assumes that the meaning of living with down syndrome children is not a fixed, clinical fact, but is instead co-created and subjectively experienced by the individuals and families involved. Epistemology is subjectivist, aiming to understand and interpret the meaning-making processes of the participants, seeking deep understanding rather than universal laws. Methodology aligns as qualitative, utilizing Narrative Inquiry to gather rich, first-person accounts, viewing the stories themselves as the core phenomenon under investigation and prioritizing the participants' voices in constructing a valid and authentic representation of their lived world.

Selection of Research Site, Participants and data collection process

Selection of Research Site and Participants:

The study site was in Kathmandu valley, known for having robust support infrastructure for children with specialized schools and well-established family support groups. Participants were selected on the basis of paper objectives, consisting of five family units. Family units are defined as a child with Down Syndrome with the age range 8 to 16 years, old enough to engage in meaningful communication or structured observation, their primary caregiver and at least one sibling including parents. Criteria for inclusion require the family to have been living together for a minimum of five years and be actively involved in local community support networks to ensure they have established shared narratives including informed consent from all family members, child assent, privacy safeguards, and the right to withdraw, will be paramount throughout the recruitment phase.

Data Collection Process:

The data collection has employed a Narrative Inquiry methodology, focusing on gathering holistic life stories across multiple sessions with per family unit. The primary instrument was semi-structured, in-depth interviews, designed to elicit stories around key life events, transitions, and daily routines, using open-ended prompts such as telling stories about a time when you felt happiest together. To enhance the child's voice and gather non-verbal data, interviews will be supplemented with narrative artifacts, including photo-elicitation where participants discuss chosen photos and observation of creative activities like drawing or storyboarding. The interviews with caregivers and siblings were conducted individually, while the child with Down Syndrome engaged through carefully structured, accessible conversation and observation sessions designed to minimize stress and maximize authentic self-expression. All interviews were recorded as well as noted and professionally transcribed for analysis.

Ethical Considerations

Formal written Informed Consent had obtained from all adult caregivers, while iterative and developmentally appropriate Child Assent had secured from the children with Down Syndrome and their siblings to respect their autonomy. All participation was Voluntary, and every family member was explicitly reminded of their unconditional Right to Withdraw from the study at any point without explanation. The emotional and Psychological Safety of the children with Down Syndrome was prioritized by adapting data collection methods as shorter sessions, non-verbal elicitation to minimize stress and maximize authentic self-expression. All collected information, including audio files and verbatim transcripts, was securely stored in an encrypted format accessible only to the researcher.

Data Analysis, Meaning Making, Findings and Discussion

Data Analysis:

The audio-recorded data were first professionally transcribed verbatim, ensuring accuracy and capturing paralinguistic features where relevant. The narrative inquiry approach dictated a systematic two-stage analysis. First, structural analysis was performed, focusing on the sequence, plot, and setting of each story told by the family members. Second, thematic analysis was conducted across all narratives and family units to identify shared threads, key experiences, and dominant metaphors related to resilience, identity, and relational dynamics. The information collected was analyzed in depth.

Meaning Making:

The process of meaning-making involves positioning the gathered narrative information considering the dimensions of temporality, sociality, and place. The researchers moved recursively between the field texts that were transcripts, and the research texts were interpretive summaries to co-construct nuanced understandings of the participants' realities. This critical step prioritized the establishment of trustworthiness through member checks, ensuring that the interpretations authentically resonated with the participants expressed experiences and unique worldviews. Divergent or "counter-narratives" were intentionally sought out and retained to prevent the homogenization of family experiences and represent the full spectrum of life with Down Syndrome.

"Could you share a story about a time when your child brought the family a profound or unexpected sense of joy?"

Bhumika Thapa (Parent), "Early on, everything felt clinical. But then, seeing my son's determination to learn to tie his shoes, the sheer concentration and then the victorious laughter when he finally did it that reset everything. It showed us what real, hard-won victory looks like and defined our family's resilience far beyond any diagnosis."

"Can you tell me how having your sister, Maya, has impacted who you are or the role you play in your family?"

Ram Subedi (Sibling), "It's changed everything. I feel like I'm more responsible and definitely more patient than my friends. I used to just be the little brother, but now I'm her protector and her translator sometimes. It taught me how to care, genuinely."

"How do you feel the traditional, problem-focused support models often fail to capture their family's full experience?"

Radhika Nepali (Caregiver), "They focus exclusively on what's broken or the next missing skill. Nobody asks about our strengths or the unique relationship. We need programs built around our actual life narrative, acknowledging our connection, not just a clinical checklist of deficits."

Findings and Discussion:

The study was deliberately organized to present holistic, interconnected family narratives, rather than isolated themes or fragmented quotes. The primary focus was on illuminating the participants' unique life stories, demonstrating how they negotiated identities, shifted personal perspectives, and built shared meaning around the presence of Down Syndrome. The central findings revealed three overarching narrative threads. The Journey of Unforeseen Joy, which challenged prevalent narratives of initial grief; The Co-Constructed Identity, detailing how the children's presence intrinsically shaped siblings and parental roles and Navigating the System, which addressed the practical and emotional struggles with institutional support structures. Specific attention was paid to how the children's unique communication styles were interpreted and integrated within these familial narratives.

Summary

This Narrative Inquiry addressed a critical research gap within Down Syndrome (DS) literature by deliberately moving the academic discourse from a restrictive clinical, deficit-based model toward a humanistic life-studies perspective. Existing research often relies on quantitative metrics that measure burden and challenge, failing to capture the dynamic, subjective process of meaning-making within family life. To address this, the study employed a rigorous Narrative Inquiry methodology, rooted in constructivist principles, to collect rich, multi-session, co-constructed life stories from a cohort of 5 family units. This approach was designed to center the children's unique communication methods and the overall family narrative in the analysis, providing thick-description accounts of their shared reality.

The Journey of Unforeseen Joy emerged as a powerful counter-narrative, actively challenging the prevalent societal narrative of initial grief. The concept of The Co-Constructed Identity illuminated how the child with DS intrinsically shaped and enriched sibling and parental roles, leading to profound familial resilience. The finding on Navigating the System highlighted the persistent emotional and structural struggles families face when interacting with professional support structures. The family-life reality is characterized by dynamic meaning-making and a deep sense of connection, qualities often obscured by traditional research methods. This work carries significant implications for practice and policy, strongly advocating for a narrative-informed support model. This model requires practitioners and policymakers to move beyond problem remediation and, instead, prioritize validating and honoring these unique family stories as primary indicators of well-being, fostering genuine recognition and empowerment within support services.

Conclusion

This narrative inquiry succeeded in illuminating the profoundly human, complex, and resilient life stories of families raising a child with Down Syndrome, thereby fulfilling the study's aim of shifting the discourse from a clinical, deficit-based model to a humanistic life-studies perspective. Through the meticulous analysis of participants' co-constructed narratives, the study yielded three crucial findings: the challenging yet common experience of The Journey of Unforeseen Joy, which directly contested initial narratives of grief; the central role of the child in forming a Co-Constructed Identity that reshaped sibling and parental roles; and the pervasive narrative of Navigating the System, highlighting persistent structural and emotional struggles. These findings collectively argue that the lived reality of these families is marked by dynamic meaning-making and deep connection, qualities often obscured by quantitative research. Consequently, this research strongly advocates for practitioners and policymakers to adopt a narrative-informed support model, one that prioritizes validating existing familial resilience and honoring the unique, positive stories families tell about themselves, moving support efforts beyond problem remediation to genuine recognition and empowerment. While acknowledging the inherent limitations of subjectivity and limited generalizability, this work provides a vital, in-depth foundation for future narrative research in similar neurodevelopmental contexts, urging a deeper commitment to understanding the full spectrum of diverse family life.

Implications

The findings of this narrative inquiry carry significant implications for both clinical practice and policy development. Theoretically, the study affirmed the necessity of shifting the academic discourse from a deficit-based model toward a humanistic life-studies perspective, validating the child's intrinsic role in the family's co-constructed identity. Practically, this research strongly advocates for practitioners and policymakers to adopt a narrative-informed support model. This paradigm shift necessitates moving beyond problem-focused remediation to intentionally prioritize the validation of existing familial resilience and the honoring of the unique, positive life stories families tell. By focusing support on genuine recognition and empowerment, the study showed that services could be better tailored to address the dynamic reality of family life, including navigating complex systems while centering the emergent theme of the journey of unforeseen Joy. Future work must integrate this model into training programs, inclusive and special school/colleges for educators and healthcare providers to cultivate more empathetic and responsive professional relationships.

Transparency Statement: The author confirms that this study has been conducted with honesty and in full adherence to ethical guidelines.
Data Availability Statement: Author can provide data.
Conflict of Interest: The author declares there is no conflicts of interest.
Authors' Contributions: The author solely conducted all research activities i.e., concept, data collecting, drafting and final review of manuscript.

References

Adhikari, B., & Shrestha, R. (2023). Bridging the gap: Disability policy implementation challenges in Nepal. *International Journal of Social Policy and Practice*, *15*(4), 301–315.

Adhikari, D. B., Ghimire, M., Neupane, D., & Regmi, R. B. (2018). An exploratory research on academic performance of school students with reference to religion. *International Journal of Applied Research*, *4*(5), 76-81.

Chen, L., & Lee, W. (2022). Beyond the numbers: Limitations of quantitative assessment in measuring family adaptation to intellectual disabilities. *Journal of Qualitative Family Studies*, *15*(2), 112–128.

Chen, P., & Kim, R. (2023). From diagnosis to mastery: A longitudinal study of parental narratives in Down Syndrome. *Journal of Applied Developmental Psychology*, *45*(1), 55–69.

Davis, M. R. (2020). *Family resilience: Coping strategies and positive adaptation in the context of Down syndrome*. Journal of Family Studies, 35(2), 201-218.

Garcia, E., Lee, F., & Rossi, G. (2022). Resilience and reframing: Positive adaptation in families raising children with Down Syndrome. *Qualitative Health Research*, *32*(6), 900–912.

Garcia, L. R. (2020). *Shifting the lens: Family resilience and adaptive functioning in the context of Down syndrome*. *Journal of Developmental Disabilities Research*, *28*(4), 450–465.

Goodley, D. (2024). *Disability studies: An interdisciplinary introduction* (3rd ed.). Sage.

Johnson, L. A., & Chen, Y. (2021). Parental stress and the diagnosis of intellectual disability: A comparative analysis. *Developmental Psychology*, 57(1), 12-25.

Karki, T. B., D'Mello, L., Mahat, D., & Shrestha, S. (2024). Exploring the evolution of aspiration of Life in scientific literature: A bibliometric analysis (2015-2024). *International Research Journal of MMC*, *5*(4), 16-28.

Karki, T. B., & Khadka, K. (2019). Social discourses on practices and remedies of harmful tradition of Chhaupadi in Far Western Region of Nepal. *Nepal Journal of Multidisciplinary Research, 2*(1).

McAdams, D. P. (2008). Personal narratives and the life course. In O. P. John, R. W. Robins, & L. A. Pervin (Eds.), *Handbook of personality: Theory and research* (3rd ed., pp. 242–257).

Miller, D. B. (2024). The advocate's voice: Negotiating systems and resources - An empirical narrative analysis. *Disability Studies Quarterly*, *28*(3), 112–125.

Mishra, S. (2025). Integration of Social Emotional Learning Competencies in Teaching and Learning: A Narrative Inquiry. *NPRC Journal of Multidisciplinary Research*, *2*(9), 119–129. https://doi.org/10.3126/nprcjmr.v2i9.85174

Neupane, D., Joshi, P., Acharya, A., & Acharya, D. (2018). A comparative study of multiple intelligence levels of secondary school students with reference to grade. *International Journal of Applied Research*, *4*(4), 79-82.

Pandey, S. (2024). Rights-based approaches versus reality: Assessing disability policy impact in South Asia. *Journal of Developing Societies*, *40*(1), 12–28.

Patel, S., Liu, C., & Green, R. (2021). Beyond burden: Exploring resilience and purpose in families raising children with complex needs. *Qualitative Health Research*, *31*(10), 1830–1845.

Polkinghorne, D. E. (2015). Narrative inquiry and the construction of human identity. In J. L. Allen (Ed.), *The Oxford handbook of qualitative research* (2nd ed., pp. 180-205). Oxford University Press.

Rodriguez, A. C., & Klein, F. (2019). Quantifying parental stress: A meta-analysis of stress-coping theory in developmental disabilities. *Journal of Family Psychology*, *33*(5), 601–618.

Smith, A. B., & Jones, C. D. (2021). The path to advocacy: Navigating institutional challenges in Down Syndrome family narratives. *Journal of Family Studies*, *45*(2), 101–115.

Smith, R. T. (2019). Developmental outcomes in children with trisomy 21: A meta-analysis of five longitudinal studies. *American Journal of Genetics and Disability*, 42(4), 512-529.

Williams, J. M. (2018). The historical trajectory of Down syndrome research: From clinical markers to early intervention. *Pediatric Health Journal*, *15*(3), 201–215.

Williams, K. C. (2022). Amplifying marginalized voices: Ethical considerations in qualitative disability research. *Qualitative Inquiry Review*, 18(3), 345-360.