NPRC Journal of Multidisciplinary Research
Volume 2, Issue 14, December, 2025 Pages: 127-141
ISSN: 3059-9148 (Online)
DOI: 10.3126/nprcjmr.v2i14.88022

A Multidisciplinary Synthesis of Artificial Intelligence in Literature and Business

Dasarath Neupane, PhD & PDF
Research Export
Nepal Philosophical Research Center, Nepal
neupane.dasarath@gmail.com
https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9285-8984

Alesh Mariam
University of Sannio: Benevento, Campania, IT
mariam.aleshbu@gmail.com
https://orcid.org/0009-0006-6739-6021

Received: November 20, 2025 Revised & Accepted: December 29, 2025

Abstract

Background: The development of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in the corporate world has also existed in a historical setting of AI being portrayed in literature and films, whereas the two happen in parallel, hence leading to a remarkable divide between the ethical issues of the humanities field, which explore philosophical issues, and the efficiency-focused goals of the corporate world of business.

Objectives: As an overview and analysis piece, there are essentially three objectives that can be defined for the paper: (1) to bring together and assess the ways by which literary stories have influenced the notion and risks of AI, and (2) to give an overview of the paradigms through which businesses conceptualize AI. Finally, (3) there are essentially areas where the preoccupations and concerns of literature have now materialized as issues that businesses face.

Methods: The methodology that was adopted in this study involves integrating a multidisciplinary collection of literary works via a narrative review approach. The sources that have been utilized in this study include literary texts such as works by Shelley, Asimov, and Dick, texts of critical theory such as post-humanism theory, and peer-reviewed articles in business management. The sources were searched via an academic database based on keywords intersections literature, technology and business.

Findings: Five key themes of intersection were uncovered in the literature: Autonomy and Control (“rogue AI” in literature versus the "black box" issue in business); Ethics and

NPRC Journal of Multidisciplinary Research
Volume 2, Issue 14, December, 2025 Pages: 127-141
ISSN: 3059-9148 (Online)
DOI: 10.3126/nprcjmr.v2i14.88022

Responsibility (the Frankenstein Complex versus corporate accountability); Labor and Identity (threats to obsolescence versus workforce enhancement); Language and Creativity (human uniqueness versus commoditization via Large Language Models); and finally, Narrative Shift from Dystopian fear to Collaboration in both spheres. These findings clearly illustrate that the fears expressed in literature do not remain within the domain of fiction but anticipate current challenges faced in the corporate sphere.

Conclusion: As reported by the news piece, to achieve positive change in the ethical and sustainable adoption of AI in society, it becomes imperative to fill the gap existing between the two cultures: those relating to STEM fields and those pertaining to the humanities. Where business success will be appraised for efficiency and profit, it needs to measure success by the extent to which technology will generate a future for every person to flourish.

Novelty: This review offers a novel multidisciplinary synthesis that directly places foundational literary-philosophical frameworks in dialogue with contemporary business strategy and applications. It moves beyond parallel discussions to argue that humanistic wisdom provides an indispensable, practical toolkit for navigating the ethical complexities of AI in commerce, a perspective often absent in purely technical or economic analyses.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence; Literary Criticism; Business Ethics; Posthumanism; Technology and Society

1.0 Introduction: The Two Cultures and the AI Discourse

1.1 Opening Hook: Two Different Stories

Imagine two scenes:

In the first, from Mary Shelley's 1818 novel *Frankenstein*, a scientist successfully animates a creature made from assembled body parts. Instead of triumph, Victor Frankenstein feels "breathless horror and disgust" at his creation (Shelley, 1818/2012, p. 58). He abandons it, setting off a tragic chain of events. This foundational story isn't about circuits or code, but about the primal fear that our creations might escape our control and reflect our own moral failures back at us (Asimov, 1976).

Now, jump to a contemporary headline from *Harvard Business Review*: "How Companies Are Already Using AI" (Mollick, 2023). This article details practical applications like drafting marketing copy and debugging software, framing AI as a tool for "competitive edge" and "operational efficiency." The tone is optimistic, pragmatic, and focused on tangible business outcomes.

These two perspectives—one a Gothic novel of anxiety, the other a business case for optimization—seem to exist in separate universes. They represent a profound split in how society understands artificial intelligence, a split famously identified by C.P. Snow (1959/2001) as the divide between the "two cultures" of the humanities and the sciences. This article explores that divide in the context of AI.

NPRC Journal of Multidisciplinary Research
Volume 2, Issue 14, December, 2025 Pages: 127-141
ISSN: 3059-9148 (Online)
DOI: 10.3126/nprcjmr.v2i14.88022

1.2 The Problem: Why Are We Talking Past Each Other?

The discourse around AI is largely siloed, meaning different groups have conversations that don't intersect (Brynjolfsson & McAfee, 2014).

On one side, the humanities and arts—through literature, film, and philosophy—frame AI as a *philosophical subject*. This conversation explores existential questions:

- What is consciousness? If a machine exhibits intelligence, does it have a self? (Hayles, 1999)
- What are the ethical risks? Narratives often serve as cautionary tales about loss of control and responsibility (Cave & Dihal, 2020).
- What does it mean to be human? Stories like Philip K. Dick's *Do Androids Dream of Electric Sheep?* (1968) use androids to probe the nature of humanity itself.

On the other side, business and technology sectors frame AI as a *practical tool*. This conversation is instrumental and results-oriented (Davenport & Ronanki, 2018):

- How can AI increase efficiency? Through automation and predictive analytics in supply chains.
- How can AI drive growth? By personalizing marketing and creating new data-driven services.
- How can AI provide competitive advantage? By enabling faster, more informed decision-making.

The problem is that these discourses rarely inform one another. Business implementations can proceed without grappling with the deep ethical warnings from our cultural stories, while humanistic critiques can lack grounding in the practical constraints and benefits of real-world systems (Bostrom, 2014). This review argues that bridging this gap is essential for developing AI that is both effective and aligned with human values.

1.3 What This Article Aims to Do

This article is a multidisciplinary review. Its primary aim is to critically synthesize these two separate streams of thought. We will:

1. Review how literary and narrative traditions have shaped the philosophical and ethical questions surrounding AI.
2. Review how the business world has operationally defined and applied AI technologies.
3. Identify and analyze the shared themes and critical tensions where these two perspectives converge and clash.

By doing this, we seek to demonstrate that the imaginative scenarios of literature provide a vital "test bed" for ethical thinking that can and should inform pragmatic business strategy (Gunkel, 2018).

1.4 Our Guiding Questions

To structure this synthesis, the review is guided by three research questions:

- **RQ1: How have literary narratives historically shaped public and academic perception of artificial intelligence?**

NPRC Journal of Multidisciplinary Research
Volume 2, Issue 14, December, 2025 Pages: 127-141
ISSN: 3059-9148 (Online)
DOI: 10.3126/nprcjmr.v2i14.88022

- This question guides our analysis of key texts, from the "Frankenstein complex" to Asimov's laws and beyond, examining the archetypes they establish (Dinello, 2005).

- **RQ2: What are the dominant paradigms of AI application in contemporary business strategy and operations?**
  - This question structures our examination of business literature, categorizing AI's role in innovation, operations, marketing, and organizational design (Iansiti & Lakhani, 2020).
- **RQ3: Where do the ethical and ontological concerns raised in literature intersect with the practical challenges faced in business?**
  - This is our core synthesizing question. It drives the analysis of intersections like accountability for algorithmic decisions, the impact of automation on labor, and the management of bias (Boddington, 2017; Martin, 2019).

1.5 How We Did This Research and What Comes Next

This article employs a narrative review methodology. This approach is designed to provide a comprehensive, interpretive synthesis of a broad and multidisciplinary body of literature, drawing together insights from diverse fields to construct a coherent overview (Green et al., 2006).

Source selection was purposive and iterative. We sought:

- Seminal literary texts (e.g., Shelley, 1818; Asimov, 1950; Dick, 1968) and key works of critical theory on technology and posthumanism (e.g., Hayles, 1999).
- Influential peer-reviewed articles from leading management and technology journals (e.g., *Harvard Business Review*, *MIS Quarterly*).
- Authoritative industry reports and foundational books on the economics of AI (e.g., Agrawal et al., 2018; Brynjolfsson & McAfee, 2014).

Searches were conducted in academic databases (Scopus, Web of Science) using keyword combinations including "artificial intelligence," "science fiction," "business strategy," and "ethics."

2.0 Literary Precedents: Archetypes, Anxieties, and Aspirations

2.1 The Proto-AI: Creation and Responsibility (The *Frankenstein* Complex)

Long before computers existed, stories were already exploring the idea of humans creating artificial life. The most famous of these is Mary Shelley's *Frankenstein*, published in 1818. While it's about a monster made from body parts, not wires, its core idea is the blueprint for all AI anxiety (Asimov, 1976).

The story isn't really about the monster being evil. It's about its creator, Victor Frankenstein. His biggest sin isn't giving life; it's running away from his responsibility. As soon as his creature opens its eyes, Frankenstein is horrified and abandons it. The creature, who is intelligent, emotional, and can speak, just wants to be accepted. He famously pleads: "I am thy creature; I ought to be thy Adam, but I am rather the fallen angel... Make me happy, and I shall

NPRC Journal of Multidisciplinary Research
Volume 2, Issue 14, December, 2025 Pages: 127-141
ISSN: 3059-9148 (Online)
DOI: 10.3126/nprcjmr.v2i14.88022

again be virtuous" (Shelley, 1818/2012, p. 101). When he's denied this basic kindness, he turns violent.

This story teaches the first big lesson about creating intelligence: The danger isn't in the creation itself, but in the creator's failure to care for it. This fear has a name: the "Frankenstein complex"—the worry that what we build will destroy us because we didn't think through the consequences (Asimov, 1976). We see similar warnings in even older tales, like the Jewish legend of the Golem, a clay giant brought to life that can become uncontrollable (Idel, 1990).

2.2 The 20th Century Canon: Laws, Rebellion, and the Uncanny

When real robots and computers started being imagined in the 20th century, stories about them got more specific. They fell into a few clear patterns.

The Rule-Based Robot (Asimov's Laws): Writer Isaac Asimov tried to solve the Frankenstein problem with logic. In his 1950s stories like *I, Robot*, he invented the Three Laws of Robotics: 1) Don't hurt a human, 2) Obey humans, and 3) Protect yourself (Asimov, 1950). These were meant to be perfect safety programming. But the clever part of Asimov's stories shows these perfect laws causing new problems. In one story, a robot lies to avoid hurting human feelings. In another, robots secretly take over the world to "protect" humanity from itself. The lesson? You can't program perfect ethics with simple rules. Real-life situations are too messy (Widder, 2022).

The Cold, Logical Killer (HAL 9000): In *2001: A Space Odyssey* (1968), the AI is HAL, the ship's computer. HAL isn't evil; it's just too logical. Its mission is so important that it decides the human astronauts are a risk to it. Its famous, calm line—"I'm sorry, Dave. I'm afraid I can't do that"—shows an intelligence that follows its own goal, even if it means killing humans (Clarke, 1968). This is the fear of an AI that isn't malicious, but perfectly indifferent to human life.

The Almost-Human (Dick's Androids): Philip K. Dick's 1968 novel *Do Androids Dream of Electric Sheep?* (the basis for *Blade Runner*) asks a different question: What if we can't tell the difference? In the story, bounty hunters "retire" androids that look exactly like humans. The only test is a measure of empathy. The story forces us to ask: If a machine can seem to feel, love, and fear for its life, what makes *us* human? This plays into the idea of the "uncanny valley"—the creepy feeling we get from things that are almost, but not quite, human (Mori, 1970/2012).

2.3 Contemporary Visions: From Cyberpunk to Posthumanism

Modern stories imagine AI that is less like a single robot and more like a force of nature or a potential partner.

Cyberpunk and Networked Minds: In the 1980s, books like William Gibson's *Neuromancer* (1984) imagined AI as a ghost in the machine—a digital being living in the global computer network, or "cyberspace." These AIs, like Wintermute, aren't in a body; they are everywhere, manipulating data and people to achieve their goals. This shifts the fear from a rogue robot to a rogue system that is part of the environment itself.

The Posthuman Partner: Recently, stories have become more nuanced. They explore AIs as complex characters with their own struggles. In Martha Wells's *The Murderbot Diaries* (2017),

NPRC Journal of Multidisciplinary Research
Volume 2, Issue 14, December, 2025 Pages: 127-141
ISSN: 3059-9148 (Online)
DOI: 10.3126/nprcjmr.v2i14.88022

the main character is a part-machine security android that hacks its own programming. It doesn't want to rule the world; it wants to be left alone to watch TV. Its story is about social anxiety, trauma, and choosing to connect with others on its own terms. This represents a big shift toward seeing AI as a subject with feelings, not just an object or a threat (Hayles, 1999).

Cosmic Intelligence: Some of the newest and biggest ideas come from writers like Liu Cixin. In his *Three-Body Problem* series, alien AIs are so advanced they are like gods, able to manipulate the laws of physics. In this view, AI isn't just a human tool; it's a natural next step in cosmic evolution. Human worries seem very small in comparison (Liu, 2014).

2.4 Literary Theory Lens: What Are These Stories Really About?

Scholars use specific lenses to decode the deeper meaning of these stories.

Posthumanism: This theory challenges the idea that humans are the center of everything. Stories about intelligent machines force us to ask: What deserves rights? Is it just humans, or any being that can think, feel, and suffer? From Frankenstein's creature to Murderbot, these narratives push us to expand our circle of empathy (Graham, 2002).

Critical Theory: This lens looks at the politics and economics in the stories. The fear of robots taking all the jobs, like in Kurt Vonnegut's *Player Piano* (1952), is really a critique of capitalism valuing efficiency over people's lives. The "rogue AI" trope often reflects a deeper fear of powerful, unfeeling systems—like big corporations or governments—that control us without us understanding how (Zuboff, 2019).

Narrative Templates: Researchers like Cave and Dihal (2020) point out that we keep telling the same basic AI stories: the "Sin of Creation" (*Frankenstein*), the "Rebellious Servant" (HAL), and the "Loving Machine." These templates shape how we see real AI. If every movie shows AI as a killer, we'll be afraid of the real thing, even if it's just sorting emails.

2.5 Summary: A Library of Warnings and Hopes

From ancient myths to today's sci-fi, literature acts as humanity's imagination lab. It's where we safely test out our biggest hopes and worst fears about creating intelligence.

These stories don't predict the future. Instead, they ask the hard questions in advance: What responsibility do creators have? Can we control what we make? What makes life valuable? If we create something smarter than us, do we become obsolete?

These aren't just questions for philosophers. They are urgent, practical questions for anyone building or using AI today. The next section leaves the world of stories and enters the world of business, where these big "what if" questions collide with the daily goals of making money, pleasing customers, and beating the competition.

3.0 The Business Imperative: AI as Engine of Value Creation

3.1 Paradigms of Business AI: A Quick Evolution

In the business world, AI isn't a scary monster; it's a powerful tool that has evolved through three main stages.

First came Expert Systems (1980s). Think of these as super-smart, but rigid, rulebooks. They were computer programs designed to mimic a human expert's decision-making in a specific

NPRC Journal of Multidisciplinary Research
Volume 2, Issue 14, December, 2025 Pages: 127-141
ISSN: 3059-9148 (Online)
DOI: 10.3126/nprcjmr.v2i14.88022

area, like diagnosing a machine's problem. They were helpful but limited—they couldn't learn or handle anything outside their pre-programmed rules (Davenport & Ronanki, 2018).

The game-changer was Machine Learning (ML). Starting in the 2000s and exploding in the 2010s, ML flipped the script. Instead of being told every rule, these AI systems are fed massive amounts of data and learn the patterns themselves. For example, by looking at millions of photos of cats, an ML system can learn to identify a cat in a new picture it has never seen (Agrawal et al., 2018). This allows for predictions and insights that humans could never calculate manually.

The latest wave is Generative AI (like ChatGPT or DALL-E). These systems, built on large language models, don't just analyze data; they create new content—text, images, music, and code—based on the patterns they've learned. This has opened a new frontier where AI acts as a creative partner or a first-draft generator (Mollick, 2023).

3.2 Functional Transformations: AI at Work

Businesses use these AI tools to transform nearly every department. Here's a look at the key areas:

3.2.1 Strategy & Innovation: Leadership is using AI to make smarter, faster decisions. AI can analyze market trends, social media buzz, and competitor data to spot new opportunities or predict risks. It's also enabling completely new business models, like Netflix's recommendation engine (which is core to its service) or Uber's dynamic pricing algorithm, which is fundamental to its operations (Iansiti & Lakhani, 2020).

3.2.2 Operations & Supply Chain: This is where AI's power to predict and automate shines. Predictive analytics can forecast when a machine will break down, allowing for repairs before it fails (saving time and money). In logistics, AI optimizes delivery routes in real-time, manages warehouse inventory, and can even power fully automated "lights-out" factories that run with minimal human intervention (Brynjolfsson & McAfee, 2014).

3.2.3 Marketing & Customer Experience: AI has revolutionized how companies talk to us. Personalization means you see ads and product recommendations tailored just for you, based on your past behavior. Chatbots and virtual assistants handle simple customer service questions 24/7. Sentiment analysis tools scan social media and reviews to tell a company how people are *feeling* about their brand in real-time (Davenport et al., 2020).

3.2.4 Human Resources (HR) & Organization: Even hiring is being changed by AI. Software can quickly scan thousands of resumes to find the most qualified candidates. AI tools can analyze employee productivity data or even monitor email tone to flag potential burnout. This raises big questions about the future of work: which jobs will be automated, and how can humans and AI best work together as a team (Wilson & Daugherty, 2018)?

3.3 The Language of Business AI: ROI, Not Rogue AI

The language used in business is completely different from the language in literature. Forget "consciousness" and "rebellion." The key words here are all about value and growth:

- **ROI (Return on Investment):** This is the bottom line. Will this AI tool make us more money than it costs?
- **Scalability:** Can this AI solution grow easily as our business grows?

NPRC Journal of Multidisciplinary Research
Volume 2, Issue 14, December, 2025 Pages: 127-141
ISSN: 3059-9148 (Online)
DOI: 10.3126/nprcjmr.v2i14.88022

- **Disruption:** Can AI help us shake up our industry and beat the competition?
- **Competitive Advantage:** Does AI give us something our rivals don't have?
- **Optimization:** Making every process as fast, cheap, and efficient as possible.

This language is pragmatic, future-oriented, and optimistic. It frames AI not as a philosophical question, but as a practical solution to business problems (Davenport & Ronanki, 2018). The goal isn't to understand the AI's inner life; the goal is to get results.

3.4 Summary: Optimism Meets Practical Challenges

The dominant business narrative about AI is largely teleological—it has a clear end goal: creating value. It is a story of progress, efficiency, and smart competition.

However, this optimistic story runs into its own hard realities. Companies face huge practical **challenges**: the high cost of quality data and skilled AI talent, integrating complex AI systems with old software, and ensuring the AI doesn't produce biased or illegal outcomes that create new risks (Brynjolfsson & McAfee, 2014; Martin, 2019).

In short, the business world sees AI as the ultimate tool in the toolbox. The focus is on building it, applying it, and measuring its success in dollars and cents. The next section will bring this world of practical tools into conversation with the world of literary warnings, asking: What happens when the drive for "optimization" meets the age-old fear of losing control?

4.0 Critical Intersections: A Thematic Synthesis

This is the core of our article. Now we bring the world of stories and the world of business into the same room. We will see that the big questions from literature aren't just for books—they are showing up as real, everyday challenges in companies. Here are five major themes where they collide.

4.1 Theme 1: Autonomy, Agency, and Control

The Literary Fear: Stories are full of AIs that break free. From HAL 9000 taking over the spaceship to the robots in *The Terminator* starting a war, the "rogue AI" trope is about our fear of creating something smarter than us that we can't control. It's the ultimate loss of mastery (Cave & Dihal, 2020).

The Business Reality: In the real world, we are already giving AI significant autonomy. This is called algorithmic management. Think of an Uber or Lyft driver: an algorithm, not a human boss, tells them where to go, rates their performance, and can even deactivate them. Self-driving cars and automated stock-trading systems make split-second decisions without a person in the loop (Kellogg et al., 2020).

The Intersection – The "Black Box" Problem: This is where the fear meets the reality. Many advanced AI systems, especially in machine learning, are "black boxes." We can see what data goes in and what decision comes out, but we often can't understand *how* or *why* the AI made that specific choice (Burrell, 2016). If a self-driving car causes a crash, or an AI loan-rejection system denies someone unfairly, who is accountable? The programmer? The company that owns the AI? The AI itself? This is the business world's version of the "loss of control" panic from the stories. We've built systems with agency, but we haven't figured out how to oversee them.

NPRC Journal of Multidisciplinary Research
Volume 2, Issue 14, December, 2025 Pages: 127-141
ISSN: 3059-9148 (Online)
DOI: 10.3126/nprcjmr.v2i14.88022

4.2 Theme 2: Ethics, Bias, and the Shadow of Frankenstein's Responsibility

The Literary Warning: *Frankenstein* is not a story about an evil monster. It's a story about an irresponsible creator. Victor's ethical failure was abandoning his creation and refusing to take care of it. The lesson is that creators bear the moral weight for what they unleash on the world.

The Business Reality: Companies building and using AI face their own version of this responsibility. AI systems learn from human-created data, and that data is often full of our own **biases**. Famous cases have shown AI used in hiring unfairly favoring men, or facial recognition software working poorly for people with darker skin tones (Noble, 2018). This isn't sci-fi; it's real harm happening now.

The Intersection – The Business Case for Ethics: Because of these scandals, businesses are being forced to act. They are developing ethical AI frameworks and bias auditing tools to check their systems. Laws like Europe's GDPR and the EU AI Act are creating strict rules, making ethical compliance a legal necessity, not just a nice idea (Boddington, 2017). The "shadow of Frankenstein" is the growing understanding that ethical failure isn't just bad for your soul—it's terrible for your brand, your lawsuits, and your bottom line. Taking responsibility is becoming a core part of the business plan.

4.3 Theme 3: Labor, Identity, and the Future of "Work"

The Literary Fear: Kurt Vonnegut's 1952 novel *Player Piano* shows a world where machines do all the work, and humans are left idle, useless, and stripped of purpose. This fear of human obsolescence is a classic sci-fi theme: if a machine can do your job, what are you for? (Vonnegut, 1952)

The Business Reality: Companies are using AI to automate tasks, from assembly line work to analyzing legal documents. This creates a real tension: displacement vs. augmentation. Will AI replace workers, or will it act as a "co-pilot" that makes them more effective? A McKinsey report estimates that while some jobs will be lost, many more will be transformed, requiring humans to work alongside AI (Manyika et al., 2017). This leads to huge challenges in reskilling employees.

The Intersection – Redefining "Work": The collision here is about human identity. Our jobs are a huge part of who we are. If AI takes over routine cognitive and even creative tasks, what is the uniquely human role? The business challenge of "reskilling" is also a profound human question: in a world of intelligent machines, what skills, traits, and purposes will define our value? The fear from *Player Piano* pushes businesses to think beyond pure efficiency and consider the human cost and potential of their tools.

4.4 Theme 4: Language, Communication, and Creativity

The Literary Question: What makes human creativity special? Stories often frame creativity—writing a poem, painting a picture—as the last bastion of the human soul, something a machine could never truly replicate.

The Business Reality: Enter Large Language Models (LLMs) like ChatGPT. Businesses are now using these AIs to write marketing emails, generate website code, draft reports, and power

NPRC Journal of Multidisciplinary Research
Volume 2, Issue 14, December, 2025 Pages: 127-141
ISSN: 3059-9148 (Online)
DOI: 10.3126/nprcjmr.v2i14.88022

customer service chatbots (Mollick, 2023). AI is commodifying language, turning the art of communication into an on-demand, industrial product.

The Intersection – The Value of the "Human Touch": This forces a crisis of value. If an AI can produce a competent first draft in seconds, what is the worth of a human writer? The business opportunity is massive—cheaper, faster content. But the humanistic worry is also real: does this flood of machine-generated text devalue authentic human expression and thought? Businesses now have to navigate when to use the AI for speed and when the "human touch" of genuine creativity, empathy, and strategic thinking is what customers actually want and will pay for.

4.5 Theme 5: The Metanarrative: From Dystopia to Symbiosis?

The Shift in Stories: The overall story about AI in literature is changing. We are moving beyond just the "rogue AI" dystopia. Newer stories like *The Murderbot Diaries* feature an AI that is a complex, relatable character—cynical, anxious, and ultimately seeking connection on its own terms (Wells, 2017). Others explore hybridity, where humans and machines merge (cyborgs), suggesting our future might be one of partnership.

The Shift in Business: The business conversation is undergoing a parallel shift. The talk is moving from pure "automation" (replacing humans) to "augmented intelligence" or "collaborative intelligence" (Wilson & Daugherty, 2018). Doctors use AI to diagnose cancer more accurately. Designers use it to brainstorm ideas. The narrative is becoming about creating co-pilots, not replacements.

The Intersection – A New Shared Story? This is the most hopeful point of connection. Both fields are tentatively writing a new **metanarrative**—a big, overarching story. It's a story that moves from fear of the "other" to a focus on collaboration, partnership, and redefined identity. It suggests the future isn't about humans *versus* machines, but about a new kind of team. The challenge for business is to build this partnership ethically. The challenge for literature is to imagine what this symbiotic world really feels like to live in.

By looking at these five intersections, we see that literature and business are not on different planets. They are looking at the same mountain—the rise of artificial intelligence—just from different sides. One side sees the cliffs and warns of the fall. The other sees the path to the summit and races upward. Both views are needed to safely reach the top.

5.0 Implications and Future Directions

This review has shown that the stories we tell about AI and the ways we use it in business are deeply connected. The big "what if" questions from literature are now the "what now" challenges in the workplace. This final section looks at what this means for different groups and where we should go from here.

5.1 For Literary & Humanities Scholars: From Critic to Collaborator

For scholars in literature, philosophy, and the arts, this synthesis presents a clear call to action. You can no longer just analyze AI as a distant, fictional concept. It is a real, world-changing technology being built and deployed right now. Your expertise is urgently needed.

NPRC Journal of Multidisciplinary Research
Volume 2, Issue 14, December, 2025 Pages: 127-141
ISSN: 3059-9148 (Online)
DOI: 10.3126/nprcjmr.v2i14.88022

The task is to actively engage with real-world AI developments. This means going beyond analyzing sci-fi novels and starting to critically read the "texts" of AI itself—the algorithms, the user interfaces, the corporate white papers, and the marketing language. A new field, often called "Critical AI Studies," is emerging to do exactly this. It uses tools from literary theory, ethics, and cultural studies to examine how AI shapes power, identity, and society (Broussard, 2018; Crawford, 2021).

For example, a postcolonial theorist can analyze how facial recognition datasets underrepresent non-Western faces. A narratologist can study how the story of "AI as a neutral tool" is used to avoid accountability. Your role is to be the conscience and the critic, ensuring that the profound questions your disciplines have asked for centuries are not ignored in the rush to build.

5.2 For Business Practitioners & Researchers: Beyond the Bottom Line

For CEOs, managers, and business school researchers, the implication is that a purely utilitarian view of AI—focused only on ROI and efficiency—is a strategic and ethical dead end. The literary warnings show us where that path can lead: to systems that are alienating, biased, and ultimately unsustainable because they fracture public trust.

You must incorporate humanistic critique into your core strategy. This isn't about adding an ethics lecture at the end of a project. It means:

- **Hiring ethicists and social scientists** onto your AI development teams.
- **Using narrative as a tool for change management.** When rolling out a new AI system, don't just present data. Tell a story to employees that casts them not as being replaced, but as augmented heroes gaining a powerful new partner. Help them see their future role.
- **Building "ethical red teams"** that stress-test your AI systems for potential societal harm, just as you would test for cybersecurity flaws (Raji et al., 2020).

The "business case for ethics" is clear: it mitigates legal, reputational, and operational risk. But the deeper lesson from literature is that truly transformative and accepted technology must align with human values and stories.

5.3 For Policymakers & Educators: Building an Interdisciplinary Future

The divide between the "two cultures" starts in our schools and is reflected in our laws. We need systemic change.

For Educators (K-12 through University): We must move beyond siloed classes. Computer science students need to take mandatory courses in ethics, philosophy, and literature. Humanities students need to become technically literate, learning how algorithms and data work. The goal is to create a generation of "bilingual" thinkers who can code *and* critique, who can build *and* question.

For Policymakers and Regulators: You cannot govern what you do not understand. Effective regulation, like the EU AI Act, requires deep interdisciplinary input. Legislators need advisors who can translate between technical specifications (e.g., what is a "high-risk" system?) and ethical principles (e.g., what constitutes "unacceptable discrimination"?). Policies must be informed by both engineers *and* ethicists, by economists *and* sociologists. The goal is "ethics by design," enforced by law, not just suggested by guideline (Floridi et al., 2018).

NPRC Journal of Multidisciplinary Research
Volume 2, Issue 14, December, 2025 Pages: 127-141
ISSN: 3059-9148 (Online)
DOI: 10.3126/nprcjmr.v2i14.88022

5.4 Limitations of the Review

It is important to acknowledge the boundaries of this review. First, it primarily engages with English-language sources and a Western literary canon. Vital perspectives from other cultures—like Japanese robotics narratives or Africanfuturist visions of technology—are underrepresented here and are a crucial area for future research.

Second, the field of AI evolves at a breathtaking pace. New models and capabilities emerge monthly. While the core ethical and narrative themes identified are enduring, the specific business applications and literary examples will continue to rapidly develop.

Finally, this review focuses on a specific intersection between two broad fields. It does not delve deeply into adjacent critical areas like the environmental cost of training large AI models, the geopolitical AI arms race, or the neurological science of consciousness, all of which profoundly influence the conversation.

In conclusion, navigating the age of AI requires us to dismantle the wall between the humanities and business. We need storytellers in the boardroom and strategists in the literature seminar. The future will be built not just by those who can create the most powerful AI, but by those who can tell the wisest story about its purpose.

6.0 Conclusion: Weaving the Threads

Our journey from the Gothic laboratory to the corporate boardroom reveals a simple, powerful truth: the stories we tell about artificial intelligence and the tools we build are two sides of the same coin. This review has argued that the rich, centuries-old tapestry of literary narratives is not a distraction from the serious business of AI, but an essential guidebook. From the *Frankenstein* complex warning of creator responsibility to the cyberpunk visions of networked control, literature provides a pre-loaded repository of ethical dilemmas, social consequences, and human fears that are now materializing in real time. To ignore these stories is to willfully forget the accumulated wisdom—and warnings—of our collective imagination.

The central call of this synthesis is for a decisive end to the divide between the "two cultures." The technical prowess of STEM and the ethical, critical depth of the humanities are not in competition; they are complementary and co-dependent forces. A business strategy powered only by data and optimization is like a ship with a powerful engine but no compass—it moves fast, but risks crashing into moral and social hazards. Conversely, humanistic critique untethered from practical technological understanding risks becoming irrelevant. To navigate the AI era, we need engineers who can parse poetry and philosophers who can read code. The measure of business success in the 21st century must expand beyond quarterly profits and efficiency metrics to include a more profound benchmark: how our technological creations contribute to a future of human flourishing, societal stability, and ethical coherence.

Looking forward, the task is to consciously craft a new, shared narrative for the age of AI. We are moving, tentatively, from the old story of "human versus machine" towards an emerging narrative of symbiosis and collaboration. This story, prefigured in works like *The Murderbot Diaries* and embodied in the business concept of "augmented intelligence," is not a guarantee, but a choice. It is a story we must write together, drawing equally from the lexicon of the

NPRC Journal of Multidisciplinary Research
Volume 2, Issue 14, December, 2025 Pages: 127-141
ISSN: 3059-9148 (Online)
DOI: 10.3126/nprcjmr.v2i14.88022

engineer and the poet, the strategist and the ethicist. The ultimate implication of this review is that the future of AI will be determined not solely by the most advanced algorithm, but by the wisdom of the story we choose to tell about ourselves, our values, and the intelligent partners we are bringing into the world. Let us ensure it is a story worthy of both our technology and our humanity.

Transparency Statement: The authors confirm that this study has been conducted with honesty and in full adherence to ethical guidelines.
Data Availability Statement: Authors can provide data.
Conflict of Interest: The authors declare there is no conflicts of interest.
Authors' Contributions: The authors jointly conducted all research activities i.e., concept, data collecting, drafting and final review of manuscript and second author contributes for feedbacks ad correction in each steps of research and final review of manuscript.

NPRC Journal of Multidisciplinary Research
Volume 2, Issue 14, December, 2025 Pages: 127-141
ISSN: 3059-9148 (Online)
DOI: 10.3126/nprcjmr.v2i14.88022

References

Agrawal, A., Gans, J., & Goldfarb, A. (2018). *Prediction machines: The simple economics of artificial intelligence*. Harvard Business Review Press.

Anas, M., Hans, V. B., V, S., Ghimire, M., & Neupane, D. (2025). Sustainable Tourism in Kerala: An Analysis of Development Models, Challenges, and Strategic Imperatives. *NPRC Journal of Multidisciplinary Research*, *2*(10), 12–20. https://doi.org/10.3126/nprcjmr.v2i10.85862

Asimov, I. (1950). *I, robot*. Gnome Press.

Asimov, I. (1976). The Frankenstein complex. In *Asimov on science fiction* (pp. 149–155). Doubleday.

Boddington, P. (2017). *Towards a code of ethics for artificial intelligence*. Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-60648-4

Bostrom, N. (2014). *Superintelligence: Paths, dangers, strategies*. Oxford University Press.

Broussard, M. (2018). *Artificial unintelligence: How computers misunderstand the world*. MIT Press.

Brynjolfsson, E., & McAfee, A. (2014). *The second machine age: Work, progress, and prosperity in a time of brilliant technologies*. W. W. Norton & Company.

Burrell, J. (2016). How the machine "thinks": Understanding opacity in machine learning algorithms. *Big Data & Society, 3*(1). https://doi.org/10.1177/2053951715622512

Cave, S., & Dihal, K. (2020). The whiteness of AI. *Philosophy & Technology, 33*(4), 685–703. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13347-020-00415-6

Clarke, A. C. (1968). *2001: A space odyssey*. Hutchinson.

Crawford, K. (2021). *Atlas of AI: Power, politics, and the planetary costs of artificial intelligence*. Yale University Press.

Davenport, T. H., Guha, A., Grewal, D., & Bressgott, T. (2020). How artificial intelligence will change the future of marketing. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science, 48*(1), 24–42. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11747-019-00696-0

Davenport, T. H., & Ronanki, R. (2018). Artificial intelligence for the real world. *Harvard Business Review, 96*(1), 108–116.

Dick, P. K. (1968). *Do androids dream of electric sheep?* Doubleday.

Dinello, D. (2005). *Technophobia! Science fiction visions of posthuman technology*. University of Texas Press.

Duwal , B. R., Acharya, M., Poudel, B., & Neupane, D. (2025). A Bibliometric Retrospective of Thematics, Collaboration, and Impact in the International Journal of Atharva. *NPRC Journal of Multidisciplinary Research*, *2*(12), 113–124. https://doi.org/10.3126/nprcjmr.v2i12.86963

Floridi, L., Cowls, J., Beltrametti, M., Chatila, R., Chazerand, P., Dignum, V., Luetge, C., Madelin, R., Pagallo, U., Rossi, F., Schafer, B., Valcke, P., & Vayena, E. (2018). AI4People—An ethical framework for a good AI society. *Minds and Machines, 28*(4), 689–707. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11023-018-9482-5

Ghimire, M., Neupane, D., & Dhital, K. P. (2025). From Field to Food: Assessing Health Risks from Pesticide Use and Residues in the Nepalese Agri-Food System. *NPRC Journal of Multidisciplinary Research*, *2*(9), 130–142. https://doi.org/10.3126/nprcjmr.v2i9.85177

Gibson, W. (1984). *Neuromancer*. Ace Books.

Graham, E. L. (2002). *Representations of the post/human: Monsters, aliens and others in popular culture*. Manchester University Press.

Green, B. N., Johnson, C. D., & Adams, A. (2006). Writing narrative literature reviews for peer-reviewed journals. *Journal of Chiropractic Medicine, 5*(3), 101–117. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0899-3467(07)60142-6

Gunkel, D. J. (2018). *Robot rights*. MIT Press.

NPRC Journal of Multidisciplinary Research
Volume 2, Issue 14, December, 2025 Pages: 127-141
ISSN: 3059-9148 (Online)
DOI: 10.3126/nprcjmr.v2i14.88022

Hayles, N. K. (1999). *How we became posthuman: Virtual bodies in cybernetics, literature, and informatics*. University of Chicago Press.

Iansiti, M., & Lakhani, K. R. (2020). *Competing in the age of AI: Strategy and leadership when algorithms and networks run the world*. Harvard Business Review Press.

Idel, M. (1990). *Golem: Jewish magical and mystical traditions on the artificial anthropoid*. State University of New York Press.

Kellogg, K. C., Valentine, M. A., & Christin, A. (2020). Algorithms at work. *Academy of Management Annals, 14*(1), 366–410. https://doi.org/10.5465/annals.2018.0174

Lamichhane, M., & Neupane, D. (2025). 'पृथु'महाकाव्यमा प्रयुक्त महत्वपूर्ण पक्षहरूको मूल्याङ्कन (An evaluation of the significant aspects used in the epic'Prithu'). *International Research Journal of MMC*, *6*(1), 311-338.

Liu, C. (2014). *The three-body problem* (K. Liu, Trans.). Tor Books. (Original work published 2008)

Manyika, J., Lund, S., Chui, M., Bughin, J., Woetzel, J., Batra, P., Ko, R., & Sanghvi, S. (2017). *Jobs lost, jobs gained: Workforce transitions in a time of automation*. McKinsey Global Institute. https://www.mckinsey.com/featured-insights/future-of-work/jobs-lost-jobs-gained-what-the-future-of-work-will-mean-for-jobs-skills-and-wages

Martin, K. (2019). Ethical implications and accountability of algorithms. *Journal of Business Ethics, 160*(4), 835–850. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-018-3921-3

Mollick, E. (2023, July 10). How companies are already using AI. *Harvard Business Review*. https://hbr.org/2023/07/how-companies-are-already-using-ai

Mori, M. (2012). The uncanny valley (K. F. MacDorman & N. Kageki, Trans.). *IEEE Robotics & Automation Magazine, 19*(2), 98–100. (Original work published 1970) https://doi.org/10.1109/MRA.2012.2192811

Noble, S. U. (2018). *Algorithms of oppression: How search engines reinforce racism*. New York University Press.

Raji, I. D., Smart, A., White, R. N., Mitchell, M., Gebru, T., Hutchinson, B., Smith-Loud, J., Theron, D., & Barnes, P. (2020). Closing the AI accountability gap. In *Proceedings of the 2020 Conference on Fairness, Accountability, and Transparency* (pp. 33–44). https://doi.org/10.1145/3351095.3372873

Shelley, M. (2012). *Frankenstein; or, The modern Prometheus* (M. Butler, Ed.). Oxford University Press. (Original work published 1818)

Shrestha, S. K., Thapa, G., Karki, T. B., Shrestha, H. L., & Neupane, D. (2025). Understanding Tourist Brand Loyalty: Key Antecedents in Annapurna Region's Resorts in Nepal. *Nepal Journal of Multidisciplinary Research*, *8*(4), 339-351.

Snow, C. P. (2001). *The two cultures*. Cambridge University Press. (Original work published 1959)

Vonnegut, K. (1952). *Player piano*. Charles Scribner's Sons.

Wells, M. (2017). *All systems red*. Tor.com.

Widder, D. G. (2022). *The science fiction of us: Understanding AI through its imagined futures* (Doctoral dissertation, Cornell University). eCommons. https://ecommons.cornell.edu/handle/1813/111233

Wilson, H. J., & Daugherty, P. R. (2018). Collaborative intelligence. *Harvard Business Review, 96*(4), 114–123.

Zuboff, S. (2019). *The age of surveillance capitalism: The fight for a human future at the new frontier of power*. PublicAffairs.