NPRC Journal of Multidisciplinary Research
Volume 2, Issue 4, April, 2025 Pages: 179-191
ISSN: 3059-9148 (Online)
DOI: https://doi.org/10.3126/nprcjmr.v2i4.78323

OPEN ACCESS

Focused Analysis: YouTube's Role in Understanding Difficult Concepts & Exam Preparation

Satyanarayan Choudhary, PhD
Associate Professor
Tribhuvan University, Nepal
snc.pentagon@gmail.com
https://orcid.org/0009-0000-4908-8195

Laxman Kandel*
Faculty of Management
Tribhuvan University, Nepal
laxmankandel61@gmail.com
https://orcid.org/0009-0005-7069-8955

Bishnu Bahadur Khatri
Faculty and Head of Central Department of Rural Development
Tribhuvan University, Nepal
bishnu.khatri@cdrd.tu.edu.np
https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4777-1307

Original Research Article
Corresponding Author*

Received: December 03, 2024 Revised & Accepted: April 25, 2025

Abstract

Background: The proliferation of digital learning platforms has positioned YouTube as a dominant resource in student learning ecosystems, particularly for exam preparation and conceptual understanding. While studies acknowledge its widespread use, questions persist regarding its actual educational efficacy and relationship to academic performance. **Objective:** This study examines the predictive relationship between YouTube usage frequency for exam preparation and students' perceived academic improvement, while addressing gaps in existing literature regarding platform-specific learning impacts. **Methods:** A quantitative cross-sectional design was employed, collecting data from 390 university students via a

NPRC Journal of Multidisciplinary Research
Volume 2, Issue 4, April, 2025 Pages: 179-191
ISSN: 3059-9148 (Online)
DOI: https://doi.org/10.3126/nprcjmr.v2i4.78323

validated survey instrument. Reliability analysis confirmed strong internal consistency (α=0.866). Statistical analyses included Pearson correlation and simple linear regression to assess the relationship between usage frequency and perceived academic benefits. **Findings:** Results revealed a significant positive relationship (β=0.811, p<0.001), with YouTube usage frequency explaining 65.8% of variance in perceived academic improvement (R^2=0.658). The strong predictive power suggests YouTube plays a substantial role in students' learning strategies. **Conclusion:** While YouTube demonstrates significant potential as an academic resource, its effectiveness depends on usage patterns and content quality. The findings advocate for structured integration of YouTube in educational contexts alongside media literacy training. **Novelty:** This study provides empirical evidence quantifying YouTube's specific contribution to perceived academic performance, advancing beyond general technology-use studies. It introduces a validated measurement approach for platform-specific educational impact assessment.

Keywords: YouTube in education, exam preparation, educational technology, academic performance, digital learning, self-directed learning

Introduction

The rapid expansion of digital technologies has transformed educational landscapes, with video-sharing platforms like YouTube becoming increasingly prominent in student learning strategies (Boté-Vericad, 2025). Recent studies indicate that over 70% of higher education students regularly utilize YouTube for academic purposes, particularly for exam preparation and understanding complex concepts (Curran et al., 2020). This shift reflects broader trends in technology-enhanced learning, where on-demand video content offers flexible, accessible alternatives to traditional classroom instruction. However, despite its widespread adoption, questions remain about YouTube's actual efficacy as an educational tool and its impact on measurable academic outcomes.

Existing literature highlights YouTube's dual potential as both a valuable learning resource and a source of potential misinformation (Osman et al., 2022). The platform's open-access nature allows for diverse content quality, ranging from expert-created educational materials to amateur productions with questionable accuracy. Research suggests that while students generally perceive YouTube as helpful for learning, these perceptions may not always correlate with objective academic improvement (Osman et al., 2022). This discrepancy raises important questions about how students evaluate and utilize YouTube content in their academic work, particularly in high-stakes situations like exam preparation.

Theoretical frameworks of multimedia learning, particularly (Cavanagh & Kiersch, 2023) cognitive theory of multimedia learning, provide a lens for understanding YouTube's educational potential. According to this perspective, well-designed video content can enhance learning through dual-channel processing of visual and auditory information. However, the theory also emphasizes that learning effectiveness depends on proper instructional design, which varies widely across YouTube's content ecosystem. Social cognitive theory (Bandura,

NPRC Journal of Multidisciplinary Research
Volume 2, Issue 4, April, 2025 Pages: 179-191
ISSN: 3059-9148 (Online)
DOI: https://doi.org/10.3126/nprcjmr.v2i4.78323

1986) further suggests that observational learning through video platforms may be particularly effective for skill acquisition, though its application to conceptual understanding requires further investigation.

Despite growing research interest in educational technology, few studies have specifically examined the relationship between YouTube usage patterns and academic performance perceptions. Most existing research focuses either on general technology use or specific educational platforms, leaving gaps in our understanding of YouTube's unique role in student learning (Shoufan & Mohamed, 2022). Additionally, the majority of studies rely on self-reported data without examining how usage frequency correlates with perceived learning outcomes. This study aims to address these gaps by investigating the predictive relationship between YouTube usage for exam preparation and students' perceptions of academic improvement.

The current study contributes to the literature by providing empirical evidence about YouTube's role in academic contexts, with particular attention to exam preparation practices. By employing rigorous statistical analysis of survey data from 390 students, the research offers insights into how frequency of YouTube use relates to perceived academic benefits. The findings have important implications for both educators seeking to integrate digital resources into their teaching and students navigating the increasingly complex landscape of online learning tools. As educational technologies continue to evolve, understanding the strengths and limitations of platforms like YouTube becomes essential for maximizing their educational potential.

Methodology

Research Design

This study employed a quantitative, cross-sectional research design (Mahat, Neupane & Shrestha, 2024) to examine the relationship between YouTube usage for exam preparation and perceived academic improvement. A survey-based approach was selected to collect self-reported data from participants regarding their study habits and perceptions of YouTube's educational value. The design allowed for efficient data collection from a large sample while maintaining the ability to analyze statistical relationships between variables. This methodological choice aligns with similar studies investigating technology-mediated learning.

Participants and Sampling

The study involved 390 participants recruited from undergraduate and graduate programs across multiple disciplines. A convenience sampling technique was used to access willing participants within academic networks. The sample size was determined based on power analysis calculations to ensure adequate statistical power for regression analysis. Participant demographics including age, gender, and academic level were collected to characterize the sample, though these variables were not included as controls in the primary analysis.

Instrumentation

Data were collected using a structured questionnaire containing Likert-scale items measuring YouTube usage frequency and perceived academic improvement. The survey instrument was

NPRC Journal of Multidisciplinary Research
Volume 2, Issue 4, April, 2025 Pages: 179-191
ISSN: 3059-9148 (Online)
DOI: https://doi.org/10.3126/nprcjmr.v2i4.78323

adapted from previously validated measures of educational technology use (Lazar, Panisoara & Panisoara, 2020). Reliability analysis confirmed good internal consistency (Cronbach's $\alpha > 0.80$) for all scales. The questionnaire also included open-ended items allowing participants to elaborate on their YouTube usage patterns, though these qualitative data were not analyzed in the current study.

Data Collection Procedures

Data collection occurred over a four-week period during the academic semester. Participants received electronic survey links through institutional mailing lists and social media platforms. Informed consent was obtained electronically before survey commencement. To minimize response bias, the survey was anonymous and emphasized honest responses about study habits. The timing of data collection (mid-semester) was strategically chosen to capture typical exam preparation behaviors rather than peak exam periods which might represent atypical study patterns.

Data Analysis

The analysis employed descriptive statistics to characterize YouTube usage patterns and perceived benefits. Pearson correlation analysis examined bivariate relationships between variables. Simple linear regression was conducted to test whether YouTube usage frequency predicted perceived academic improvement. All analyses were performed using SPSS version 26, with statistical significance set at $p < 0.05$. Assumptions of normality, linearity, and homoscedasticity were verified through diagnostic plots and statistical tests before conducting regression analysis.

Limitations

The study's limitations include reliance on self-reported data, which may be subject to recall and social desirability biases. The cross-sectional design precludes causal inferences about the relationship between YouTube usage and academic outcomes. The convenience sampling method limits generalizability, as participants may differ systematically from non-participants. Additionally, the study did not account for potential confounding variables such as prior academic performance or concurrent use of other learning resources. These limitations suggest directions for future research using longitudinal designs and objective performance measures.

Results and Analysis

Table 1:Descriptive Statistics

	N	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation
How helpful do you find YouTube videos in understanding difficult concepts?	390	1	5	3.48	1.218
How often do you use YouTube for exam preparation?	390	1	5	3.47	1.158

NPRC Journal of Multidisciplinary Research
Volume 2, Issue 4, April, 2025 Pages: 179-191
ISSN: 3059-9148 (Online)
DOI: https://doi.org/10.3126/nprcjmr.v2i4.78323

Does YouTube provide up-to-date and relevant information for your studies?	390	1	5	3.80	1.022
Valid N (listwise)	390				

The descriptive statistics reveal that students generally perceive YouTube as a moderately helpful resource for understanding difficult concepts, with a mean score of 3.48 out of 5 and a relatively high standard deviation of 1.218. This suggests that while many students find YouTube useful, there is significant variability in their opinions, with some strongly agreeing and others disagreeing. The wide range (1 to 5) indicates polarized views—some students may rely heavily on YouTube, while others find it less effective. This divergence could stem from differences in learning styles, the quality of available videos, or the relevance of content to specific subjects. The high standard deviation highlights that YouTube's usefulness is not universally agreed upon, and its effectiveness likely depends on individual preferences and needs.

Similarly, the frequency of using YouTube for exam preparation averages 3.47, closely mirroring the perceived helpfulness score, with a slightly lower standard deviation of 1.158. This consistency suggests that students who find YouTube helpful also tend to use it more often for exams. However, the again broad range (1 to 5) implies that usage habits vary widely—some students may integrate YouTube heavily into their study routines, while others rarely do. The lower standard deviation compared to the first question indicates slightly more consensus, but the variability still underscores that YouTube is not a one-size-fits-all tool. Factors such as subject matter, availability of alternative resources, or the credibility of YouTube content creators may influence these differences.

The highest mean score (3.80) is for the question about whether YouTube provides up-to-date and relevant information, with the lowest standard deviation (1.022) among the three items. This suggests that students are more aligned in their positive perception of YouTube's relevance and timeliness, though the range (1 to 5) still shows some dissent. The relatively lower variability indicates that most students agree YouTube offers current and applicable content, which could be attributed to the platform's vast and continuously updated repository. However, the fact that the mean is still below 4 implies room for improvement—students may encounter outdated or irrelevant videos occasionally. This could be a concern for disciplines requiring the latest information, such as technology or medicine, where accuracy is critical. Overall, while YouTube is seen as a valuable resource, its reliability may vary by field and context.

NPRC Journal of Multidisciplinary Research
Volume 2, Issue 4, April, 2025 Pages: 179-191
ISSN: 3059-9148 (Online)
DOI: https://doi.org/10.3126/nprcjmr.v2i4.78323

Table 2: Correlations

		How helpful do you find YouTube videos in understanding difficult concepts?	How often do you use YouTube for exam preparation?
How helpful do you find YouTube videos in understanding difficult concepts?	Pearson Correlation	1	.771**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000
	Sum of Squares and Cross-products	577.408	422.831
	Covariance	1.484	1.087
	N	390	390
How often do you use YouTube for exam preparation?	Pearson Correlation	.771**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	
	Sum of Squares and Cross-products	422.831	521.190
	Covariance	1.087	1.340
	N	390	390
**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).			

The correlation analysis reveals a strong positive relationship ($r = 0.771$, $p < 0.01$) between how helpful students find YouTube videos for understanding difficult concepts and how often they use YouTube for exam preparation. This high correlation suggests that students who perceive YouTube as a useful learning tool are also more likely to rely on it when preparing for exams. The significance level ($p = 0.000$) confirms that this association is statistically robust, meaning it is unlikely due to random chance. This finding aligns with expectations, as students who derive value from YouTube's educational content would logically incorporate it more frequently into their study routines. However, the strength of the correlation also implies that students who do not find YouTube helpful may avoid using it altogether, reinforcing the idea that perceived utility drives usage behavior.

Despite the strong correlation, it is important to note that causation cannot be inferred—while it is plausible that helpfulness leads to increased usage, the reverse could also be true: frequent users may develop a stronger belief in YouTube's effectiveness due to repeated exposure. Additionally, external factors, such as the lack of alternative resources or the convenience of video-based learning, could influence both variables. The high covariance values (1.484 and 1.340) further support the strong linear relationship, indicating that the two variables change together consistently across the sample. Nevertheless, the correlation does not account for qualitative differences in how students engage with YouTube—some may use it passively (e.g., watching lectures) while others may use it interactively (e.g., following step-by-step problem-solving), which could affect perceived helpfulness differently.

NPRC Journal of Multidisciplinary Research
Volume 2, Issue 4, April, 2025 Pages: 179-191
ISSN: 3059-9148 (Online)
DOI: https://doi.org/10.3126/nprcjmr.v2i4.78323

From a practical standpoint, this finding highlights YouTube's growing role in self-directed learning and exam preparation. However, the reliance on YouTube also raises questions about content quality control and potential misinformation, as the platform's open nature means accuracy varies widely. Educators and institutions could leverage this trend by curating or recommending high-quality channels while also addressing gaps where YouTube may fall short (e.g., advanced or discipline-specific topics). Future research could explore mediating factors, such as student motivation or academic discipline, to better understand why some learners find YouTube more beneficial than others. Overall, while the correlation underscores YouTube's significance in modern education, it also calls for a critical evaluation of how it complements (or competes with) formal learning resources.

Table 3: Correlations

		How helpful do you find YouTube videos in understanding difficult concepts?	Does YouTube provide up-to-date and relevant information for your studies?
How helpful do you find YouTube videos in understanding difficult concepts?	Pearson Correlation	1	.637**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000
	Sum of Squares and Cross-products	577.408	308.800
	Covariance	1.484	.794
	N	390	390
Does YouTube provide up-to-date and relevant information for your studies?	Pearson Correlation	.637**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	
	Sum of Squares and Cross-products	308.800	406.400
	Covariance	.794	1.045
	N	390	390
**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).			

The correlation analysis reveals a moderately strong positive relationship ($r = 0.637$, $p < 0.01$) between how helpful students find YouTube videos for understanding difficult concepts and their perception of whether YouTube provides up-to-date and relevant information for their studies. This suggests that students who believe YouTube offers current and applicable content are also more likely to find it helpful for learning complex topics. The highly significant p-value ($p = 0.000$) confirms that this association is not due to random chance, reinforcing the reliability of the finding.

NPRC Journal of Multidisciplinary Research
Volume 2, Issue 4, April, 2025 Pages: 179-191
ISSN: 3059-9148 (Online)
DOI: https://doi.org/10.3126/nprcjmr.v2i4.78323

Implications of the Relationship

This correlation implies that perceived relevance and timeliness are key factors in YouTube's effectiveness as a learning tool. Students who trust YouTube's content to be current and aligned with their academic needs are more inclined to rely on it for conceptual clarity. However, the strength of the relationship, while notable, is not as strong as the previously observed correlation between YouTube's helpfulness and exam preparation usage (r = 0.771). This difference suggests that while up-to-date information matters, other factors—such as teaching quality, engagement style, or topic coverage—may also significantly influence how helpful students find YouTube.

Despite the clear association, causation cannot be assumed. It is possible that students who already find YouTube helpful are more likely to perceive its content as relevant, creating a confirmation bias. Additionally, the correlation does not account for variations across disciplines—subjects like technology or medicine, where information evolves rapidly, may place higher importance on up-to-date content compared to more static fields like mathematics or history. The moderate covariance values (0.794 and 1.045) indicate consistent co-variation but also leave room for unmeasured influences, such as the credibility of creators or algorithmic personalization of content.

For educators and content creators, this finding underscores the importance of ensuring that educational videos are not only clear and pedagogically sound but also regularly updated and aligned with curricular trends. Students' trust in YouTube's relevance directly impacts its perceived utility, so outdated or generic content could diminish its effectiveness. Institutions might consider guiding students toward verified, high-quality channels or even collaborating with creators to produce tailored content. Meanwhile, researchers could further investigate discipline-specific differences in how students evaluate YouTube's relevance, providing deeper insights into its role in modern education.

While the correlation confirms that up-to-date and relevant content enhances YouTube's perceived helpfulness, it also highlights the platform's limitations as an unstructured learning resource. Students' reliance on YouTube may be beneficial, but it also calls for critical media literacy to discern credible sources from misinformation. Ultimately, YouTube's educational value depends heavily on the quality and currency of its content—factors that educators, learners, and creators must actively address.

Table 4: Model Summary

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.811[a]	.658	.657	.580
a. Predictors: (Constant), How often do you use YouTube for exam preparation?				

The regression analysis reveals a strong predictive relationship between how often students use YouTube for exam preparation and how helpful they find the platform for understanding difficult concepts. With an R value of 0.811 and an R^2 of 0.658, the model demonstrates that

NPRC Journal of Multidisciplinary Research
Volume 2, Issue 4, April, 2025 Pages: 179-191
ISSN: 3059-9148 (Online)
DOI: https://doi.org/10.3126/nprcjmr.v2i4.78323

approximately 65.8% of the variance in perceived helpfulness can be explained by usage frequency. This indicates that students who regularly turn to YouTube for exam study tend to view it as significantly more beneficial for learning complex material. The adjusted R^2 value of 0.657 confirms the model's reliability, showing minimal overfitting and suggesting that YouTube usage is indeed a key factor in determining its perceived educational value. However, the remaining 34.2% of unexplained variance implies that other factors, such as video quality or teaching style, also play important roles in shaping students' perceptions.

The standard error of the estimate (0.580) provides insight into the model's precision, indicating that predictions of YouTube's helpfulness may deviate by about ±0.58 points on the 5-point Likert scale. While this level of accuracy is acceptable for identifying broad trends, it may be less reliable for making individual-level predictions. For instance, a predicted score of 4.0 could correspond to an actual response ranging from 3.42 to 4.58. This margin of error suggests that while the model effectively captures general patterns, its practical applications might be limited when precise measurements are required. Consequently, the findings are most valuable for understanding group-level behaviors rather than individual student experiences.

These results highlight YouTube's significant role in modern education but also underscore its limitations. The strong correlation between usage and perceived helpfulness supports the platform's value as a study aid, particularly for exam preparation. However, the unexplained variance and prediction error indicate that YouTube should not be viewed as a standalone solution. Educators and institutions should consider integrating curated YouTube resources into broader learning frameworks while promoting media literacy to help students critically evaluate content. Future research could enhance the model by incorporating additional variables, such as academic discipline or video credibility, to provide a more comprehensive understanding of YouTube's educational impact. Ultimately, while YouTube is a powerful tool, its effectiveness depends on how it is used and supplemented within the learning process.

Table 5: ANOVA

ANOVA[a]						
Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	251.212	1	251.212	746.187	.000[b]
	Residual	130.624	388	.337		
	Total	381.836	389			
a. Dependent Variable: Has YouTube contributed to improved academic performance?						
b. Predictors: (Constant), How often do you use YouTube for exam preparation?						

The ANOVA results reveal a statistically significant relationship between how often students use YouTube for exam preparation and their perception of whether YouTube has contributed to improved academic performance. The regression model produces an exceptionally high F-value of 746.187 with a significance level of $p < .001$, indicating that the model fits the data substantially better than a model with no predictors. This strong result suggests that frequency of YouTube usage for exam preparation is a meaningful predictor of perceived academic

NPRC Journal of Multidisciplinary Research
Volume 2, Issue 4, April, 2025 Pages: 179-191
ISSN: 3059-9148 (Online)
DOI: https://doi.org/10.3126/nprcjmr.v2i4.78323

improvement through the platform. The large mean square for regression (251.212) compared to the residual mean square (0.337) demonstrates that most of the variance in the dependent variable is explained by the model rather than being due to random error.

The analysis shows that the independent variable (YouTube usage frequency) accounts for a significant portion of the total variance in perceived academic improvement. With 251.212 sum of squares for regression out of the total 381.836, approximately 65.8% of the variation in students' perception of YouTube's academic benefits can be attributed to how often they use it for exam preparation. The extremely small residual sum of squares (130.624) relative to the regression sum of squares further confirms the model's strong explanatory power. These findings align with the previously reported R^2 value of 0.658, providing consistent evidence that YouTube usage patterns strongly influence students' assessment of its educational value.

These results have important implications for educational practice and technology integration. The statistically robust relationship suggests that students who incorporate YouTube into their exam preparation routines are more likely to perceive tangible academic benefits from the platform. However, educators should note that while the model demonstrates a strong association, it doesn't establish causation - other factors may contribute to both increased YouTube usage and academic improvement. The findings support the strategic incorporation of YouTube resources into learning frameworks, but also highlight the need for guidance in selecting high-quality, relevant content to maximize potential benefits for student performance.

Table 6: Coefficients

Coefficients[a]						
Model		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.
		B	Std. Error	Beta		
1	(Constant)	1.302	.093		14.005	.000
	How often do you use YouTube for exam preparation?	.694	.025	.811	27.316	.000
a. Dependent Variable: Has YouTube contributed to improved academic performance?						

The regression coefficients reveal a statistically significant positive relationship between YouTube usage for exam preparation and perceived academic improvement. The unstandardized coefficient (B = 0.694) indicates that for each one-point increase on the Likert scale measuring YouTube usage frequency, students' perception of YouTube's contribution to academic performance increases by approximately 0.694 points. This substantial effect size is highly significant ($p < .001$), demonstrating that more frequent use of YouTube for exam preparation is strongly associated with greater perceived academic benefits. The constant term (1.302) suggests that even at theoretical zero usage, students still report some minimal

NPRC Journal of Multidisciplinary Research
Volume 2, Issue 4, April, 2025 Pages: 179-191
ISSN: 3059-9148 (Online)
DOI: https://doi.org/10.3126/nprcjmr.v2i4.78323

perceived benefit from YouTube, though this baseline interpretation should be made cautiously as it extends beyond the actual data range.

The standardized coefficient (Beta = 0.811) confirms the strength of this relationship, showing that YouTube usage frequency accounts for about 81.1% of the standard deviation in the perceived academic improvement variable. This exceptionally high standardized effect size, combined with the very small standard error (0.025), indicates remarkable precision in the estimate of this relationship. The t-value of 27.316 further reinforces the robustness of this finding, as it far exceeds conventional thresholds for statistical significance. These results suggest that frequency of YouTube usage is not just statistically associated with, but likely a major determinant of students' perceptions about its academic value.

These findings have important practical implications for both students and educators. The strong positive relationship suggests that encouraging strategic use of YouTube for exam preparation could enhance students' academic experiences. However, educators should note that these results reflect perceived rather than objectively measured academic improvement, and the analysis doesn't account for potential confounding variables like video quality or student motivation. The findings support the integration of YouTube into study routines, but also highlight the need for guidance in selecting high-quality educational content. Future research could build on these results by examining how different types of YouTube usage (passive viewing vs. active engagement) might differentially impact actual academic performance metrics.

Discussion

The findings of this study demonstrate a strong positive relationship between students' use of YouTube for exam preparation and their perception of its contribution to academic performance ($\beta = 0.811$, $p < 0.001$). This aligns with existing literature highlighting YouTube's growing role in self-directed learning, particularly among digital-native students (Reid, Button & Brommeyer, 2023). The high standardized coefficient suggests that frequency of YouTube usage is a dominant predictor of perceived academic benefits, reinforcing the platform's value as an accessible and flexible learning resource. However, while the regression model explains 65.8% of the variance ($R^2 = 0.658$), the remaining unexplained variance implies that other factors—such as video quality, instructor credibility, or supplementary study methods—also influence learning outcomes (Beege, Krieglstein & Arnold, 2022).

The results support the notion that YouTube's educational utility extends beyond passive content consumption to active exam preparation. This is consistent with social cognitive theory (Bandura, 1986), which posits that learners benefit from observational learning through multimedia platforms. The significant coefficient (B = 0.694) suggests that each incremental increase in YouTube usage corresponds to a substantial rise in perceived academic improvement. However, caution is warranted, as perceived benefits may not always translate to measurable performance gains (Reid, Button & Brommeyer, 2023). Future research should incorporate objective academic metrics (e.g., grades or test scores) to validate these self-

NPRC Journal of Multidisciplinary Research
Volume 2, Issue 4, April, 2025 Pages: 179-191
ISSN: 3059-9148 (Online)
DOI: https://doi.org/10.3126/nprcjmr.v2i4.78323

reported findings and explore potential moderators, such as discipline-specific differences in YouTube's effectiveness.

Despite YouTube's advantages, concerns remain regarding content accuracy and cognitive overload (Zhou et al., 2020). The study's findings suggest that while students perceive YouTube as beneficial, educators should guide them toward credible, pedagogically sound content. Institutional support—such as curated playlists or faculty-recommended channels—could enhance YouTube's educational impact while mitigating misinformation risks. Future studies could also examine how interactive features (e.g., comments, quizzes) influence learning efficacy. Overall, YouTube's integration into academic strategies appears promising, but structured guidance is essential to maximize its potential.

Conclusion

The study provides compelling evidence that students perceive YouTube as a valuable tool for exam preparation, with frequency of use significantly predicting perceived academic improvement. The strong positive correlation ($\beta = 0.811$) and high explanatory power of the regression model ($R^2 = 0.658$) underscore YouTube's growing importance in self-directed learning. However, the reliance on self-reported perceptions rather than objective performance metrics suggests the need for cautious interpretation. While the findings align with existing literature on digital learning platforms, they also highlight the platform's limitations, including potential variability in content quality and the risk of misinformation. The results emphasize that YouTube's educational value is substantial but not universal, as its effectiveness depends on how it is integrated into broader learning strategies and complemented with structured guidance.

To maximize YouTube's educational potential, institutions should develop curated lists of credible, high-quality channels and provide training on critical media literacy to help students evaluate content effectively. Educators are encouraged to incorporate YouTube resources into formal curricula while emphasizing active learning strategies, such as note-taking and discussion, to enhance knowledge retention. Future research should explore the relationship between YouTube usage and measurable academic outcomes, such as exam scores, and investigate discipline-specific differences in the platform's effectiveness. Additionally, studies could examine how interactive features, such as quizzes or peer discussions, influence learning outcomes. By addressing these areas, stakeholders can better harness YouTube's benefits while mitigating its limitations in academic settings.

NPRC Journal of Multidisciplinary Research
Volume 2, Issue 4, April, 2025 Pages: 179-191
ISSN: 3059-9148 (Online)
DOI: https://doi.org/10.3126/nprcjmr.v2i4.78323

References

Adhikari, D. B., Ghimire, M. N., Neupane, D., & Dhakal, R. L. (2018). An explorative study of academic performance of school students with reference to Ethnicity. *International Journal of Applied Research*, *4*(5), 36-38.

Bandura, A. (1986). Social foundations of thought and action. *Englewood Cliffs, NJ*, *1986*(23-28), 2.

Beege, M., Krieglstein, F., & Arnold, C. (2022). How instructors influence learning with instructional videos-The importance of professional appearance and communication. *Computers & Education*, *185*, 104531. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2022.104531

Boté-Vericad, J.-J. (2025). Information-seeking and content creation: The impact of YouTube educational videos on learning practices in library and information science. Journal of Librarianship and Information Science, 0(0). https://doi.org/10.1177/09610006241309102

Cavanagh, T.M.& Kiersch, C. (2023) Using commonly-available technologies to create online multimedia lessons through the application of the Cognitive Theory of Multimedia Learning. *Education Tech Research Dev* 71, 1033–1053 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-022-10181-1

Curran, V., Simmons, K., Matthews, L. *et al.* (2020). YouTube as an Educational Resource in Medical Education: a Scoping Review. *Med.Sci.Educ.* 30, 1775–1782. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40670-020-01016-w

Ghimire, M. N., Khanal, N., Neupane, D., & Acharya, A. (2018). Result analysis of mid-term examination of Trilok academy. *IJAR*, *4*(5), 82-84.

Hajjar, S. T. (2018). Statistical analysis: Internal-consistency reliability and construct validity. *International Journal of Quantitative and Qualitative Research Methods*, *6*(1), 27-38.

Lazar IM, Panisoara G, Panisoara IO (2020) Digital technology adoption scale in the blended learning context in higher education: Development, validation and testing of a specific tool. PLoS ONE 15(7): e0235957. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0235957

Mahat, D., Neupane, D., & Shrestha, S. (2024). Quantitative Research Design and Sample Trends: A Systematic Examination of Emerging Paradigms and Best Practices. *Cognizance Journal of Multidisciplinary Studie*, *4*(2), 20-27. https://doi.org/10.47760/cognizance.2024.v04i02.002

Mahat, PhD, Dipak and Neupane, Dasarath and Karki, Tej Bahadur, Exploring the Academic Landscape: A Critical Analysis and Review of the Nepal Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (December 23, 2023). Available at SSRN: https://ssrn.com/abstract=4707402 or http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4707402

Neupane, D., Pant, S., & Bhattarai, P. (2023). Preferred Learning Techniques among Bachelor's Level Students. *Nepal Journal of Multidisciplinary Research*, *6*(2), 145-154.

Neupane, D., Mahat, D., Shrestha, S. K., & Karki, T. B. (2025). Reckoning the student perspectives on the educational environment: An in-depth analysis using the Dundee Ready Education Environment Measure in the management discipline. *Humanities and Social Sciences Letters*, *13*(1), 301-312.

Osman, W., Mohamed, F., Elhassan, M. *et al. (2022)* Is YouTube a reliable source of health-related information? A systematic review. *BMC Med Educ* 22, 382. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-022-03446-z

Reid, L., Button, D., & Brommeyer, M. (2023). Challenging the myth of the digital native: A narrative review. *Nursing Reports*, *13*(2), 573-600. https://www.mdpi.com/2039-4403/13/2/52

Shoufan, A., & Mohamed, F. (2022). YouTube and education: A scoping review. *IEEE Access*, *10*, 125576-125599. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2022.3225419

Zhou, Q., Lee, C.S., Sin, S.-C.J., Lin, S., Hu, H. and Fahmi Firdaus Bin Ismail, M. (2020), "Understanding the use of YouTube as a learning resource: a social cognitive perspective", *Aslib Journal of Information Management*, Vol. 72 No. 3, pp. 339-359. https://doi.org/10.1108/AJIM-10-2019-0290