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#### Abstract

In the present study, a wider class of sequence is used for a least set of sufficient conditions for absolute Cesàro $\varphi-|C, \alpha, \beta ; \delta ; \gamma|_{k}$ summable factor for an infinite series. Many corollaries have been determined by using sutaible conditions in the main theorem. Validation of the theorem done by the previous findings of summablity. In this way, system's stability is improved by finding the conditions for absolute summability.
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## 1. Introduction

Let partial sums' sequence of $\sum a_{n}$ is given by $s_{n}=\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{k}$ and $n^{t h}$ sequence to sequence transform of the sequence $\left\{s_{n}\right\}$ is determined by $u_{n}$, s.t.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n}=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} u_{n k} s_{k} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

An infinite series $\sum a_{n}$ is absolutely summable, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} u_{i}=s \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left|u_{i}-u_{i-1}\right|<\infty \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 1 [1]: Let $\left\{n a_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence. The $n^{\text {th }}$ Cesàro mean of this sequence is represented by $t_{n}^{\alpha}$. This mean is of order $\alpha(0<\alpha \leq 1)$. Then $\sum a_{n}$ is summable $|C, \alpha ; \delta|_{k}$ for $\delta \geq 0$ and $k \geq 1$, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\delta k-1}\left|t_{n}^{\alpha}\right|^{k}<\infty \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $t_{n}^{\alpha}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{n}^{\alpha}=\frac{1}{A_{n}^{\alpha}} \sum_{p=1}^{n} A_{n-p}^{\alpha-1} p a_{p}, \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
A_{n}^{\alpha}= \begin{cases}O\left(n^{\alpha}\right), & \text { for } n>0  \tag{1.6}\\ 1, & \text { for } n=0 \\ 0, & \text { for } n<0\end{cases}
$$

Definition 2: If sequence of means $\left\{t_{n}^{\alpha}\right\}$ satisfies:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi_{n}^{k-1}}{n^{k-\delta k}}\left|t_{n}^{\alpha}\right|^{k}<\infty \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $\sum a_{n}$ is $\varphi-|C, \alpha ; \delta|_{k}$ summable. Where $\left\{\varphi_{n}\right\}$ is a positive real number sequence, $\delta \geq 0$ and $k \geq 1$.
Definition 3: Let $t_{n}^{\alpha, \beta}$ represents the $n^{\text {th }}$ Cesàro means of order $(\alpha, \beta)$, with $\alpha+\beta>-1$, for a sequence $\left\{n a_{n}\right\}$, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{n}^{\alpha, \beta}=\frac{1}{A_{n}^{\alpha+\beta}} \sum_{v=1}^{n} A_{n-v}^{\alpha-1} A_{v}^{\beta} v a_{v}, \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
A_{n}^{\alpha+\beta}= \begin{cases}0, & n<0,  \tag{1.9}\\ 1, & n=0, \\ O\left(n^{\alpha+\beta}\right), & n>0 .\end{cases}
$$

If the sequence $\left\{t_{n}^{\alpha, \beta}\right\}$ satisfies,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi_{n}^{k-1}}{n^{k}}\left|t_{n}^{\alpha, \beta}\right|^{k}<\infty \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the infinite series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n}$ is indexed absolute $\varphi-|C, \alpha, \beta|_{k}$ summable.
Definition 4: For a series $\sum a_{n}$, if the condition given below is satisfied,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi_{n}^{(\delta k+k-1)}}{n^{k}}\left|t_{n}^{\alpha, \beta}\right|^{k}<\infty, \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

then it is said to be $\varphi-|C, \alpha, \beta ; \delta|_{k}$ summable, where $\delta \geq 0, k \geq 1$ and $\left\{\varphi_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence containing (+)ve real numbers.

Definition 5: The series $\sum a_{n}$ is indexed $\varphi-|C, \alpha, \beta ; \delta ; \gamma|_{k}$ summable for $k \geq 1, \delta \geq 0$ and $\gamma$ is a real number, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi_{n}^{\gamma(\delta k+k-1)}}{n^{k}}\left|t_{n}^{\alpha, \beta}\right|^{k}<\infty . \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Bor [2-6] has determined various important results by using absolute summability factors for infinite series with the application of diffferent classes of sequences. Özarslan derived the theorems on absolute matrix summable factors $[7,8]$ and ( $K, 1, \alpha$ ) summable factor has been used by Parashar in [9]. Absolute product summability has been used by Chandra and Jain [10] for Fourier series. Various theorems on absolute Cesàro summability have been established by Sonker and Munjal in $[11,12]$ and they used triangle matrices for infinite series in [13].

## 2. Known Results

A positive sequence $B=\left\{B_{n}\right\}$ is quasi- $f$-power increasing sequence [14] with $K=$ $K(B, f) \geq 1$ for all $1 \leq m \leq n$ s.t.

$$
\begin{gather*}
K f_{n} B_{n} \geq f_{m} B_{m}  \tag{2.1}\\
f=\left[f_{n}(\varsigma, \eta)\right]=\left\{n^{\varsigma}(\log n)^{\eta}, 0<\varsigma<1, \eta \geq 0\right\} . \tag{2.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

A wider class has been used in [15] and Bor [16] used absolute summable factor of order $\alpha$ for the result.

Theorem 2.1. Let $\left\{B_{n}\right\}$ be a wider class (a quasi-f-power sequence), which is an increasing sequence for $\varsigma(0<\varsigma<1)$. Assume $\exists$ a sequence $\left\{D_{n}\right\}$ s.t. it is $\xi$-quasi-monotone with conditions:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sum n \xi_{n} B_{n}=O(1),  \tag{2.3}\\
\Delta D_{n} \leq \xi_{n},  \tag{2.4}\\
\left|\Delta \lambda_{n}\right| \leq\left|D_{n}\right|  \tag{2.5}\\
\sum D_{n} B_{n} \text { is convergent for all } n . \tag{2.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

If the following two conditions

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sum_{i=1}^{p} \frac{\left(w_{i}^{\alpha}\right)^{k}}{i}=O\left(B_{p}\right) \quad \text { as } \quad p \rightarrow \infty  \tag{2.7}\\
\left|\lambda_{i}\right| B_{i}=O(1) \quad \text { as } \quad i \rightarrow \infty \tag{2.8}
\end{gather*}
$$

are satisfied, then $|C, \alpha|_{k}$ summable factor has been followed by infinite series $\sum a_{n} \lambda_{n}$ with $0<\alpha \leq 1$ and $k \geq 1$.

## 3. Main results

Increasing sequences are very useful for establishing a number of results on absolute summable factor. In the present study, quasi-f-power sequence is playing an important role for summable factor of a generalized series. Conditions are determined on absolute summable factor which are sufficient for an infinite series to make it absolute summable.

Theorem 3.1. Let $\left\{B_{n}\right\}$ be a wider class of sequence (A quasi-f-power sequence), which is a increasing sequence for $\varsigma(0<\varsigma<1)$. Assume $\exists$ a sequence $\left\{D_{n}\right\}$ s.t. it is $\xi$-quasi-monotone with conditions:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sum n \xi_{n} B_{n}=O(1),  \tag{3.1}\\
\Delta D_{n} \leq \xi_{n},  \tag{3.2}\\
\left|\Delta \lambda_{n}\right| \leq\left|D_{n}\right|,  \tag{3.3}\\
\left|\lambda_{n}\right| B_{n}=O(1) \quad \text { as } \quad n \rightarrow \infty . \tag{3.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

If the following two conditions

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum D_{n} B_{n}<\infty \text { for all } n,  \tag{3.5}\\
& \sum_{n=1}^{m} \frac{\left(w_{n}^{\alpha, \beta}\right)^{k} \varphi_{n}^{\gamma(\delta k+k-1)}}{n^{k}}=O\left(B_{m}\right) \text { as } m \rightarrow \infty,  \tag{3.6}\\
& \sum_{n=v}^{m} \frac{\varphi_{n}^{\gamma(\delta k+k-1)}}{n^{k(1+\alpha+\beta)}}=\frac{\varphi_{v}^{\gamma(\delta k+k-1)}}{v^{k(1+\alpha+\beta)-1}}, \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

are satisfied, then generalized summable factor $\varphi-|C, \alpha, \beta ; \delta ; \gamma|_{k}$ has been followed by infinite series $\sum a_{n} \lambda_{n}$, where $k \geq 1, \alpha+\beta>0,0<\alpha \leq 1, \beta>-1, \delta \geq 0, \gamma$ (real number). The term of sequence $\left\{w_{n}^{\alpha, \beta}\right\}$ is

$$
w_{n}^{\alpha, \beta}=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\max _{1 \leq v \leq n}\left|t_{v}^{\alpha, \beta}\right|, & \beta>-1,0<\alpha<1,  \tag{3.8}\\
\left|t_{n}^{\alpha, \beta}\right|, & \beta>-1, \alpha=1 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

## 4. Proof of the Theorem

The series $\sum a_{n} \lambda_{n}$ will follow $\varphi-|C, \alpha, \beta ; \delta ; \gamma|_{k}$ summable factor, if the $n^{t h}$ mean satisfies the condition,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi_{n}^{\gamma(\delta k+k-1)}}{n^{k}}\left|t_{n}^{\alpha, \beta}\right|^{k}<\infty . \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $n^{t h}$ sequence to sequence transform $(C, \alpha, \beta)$ of $\left\{n a_{n} \lambda_{n}\right\}$ is

$$
T_{n}^{\alpha, \beta}=\frac{1}{A_{n}^{\alpha+\beta}} \sum_{v=1}^{n} A_{n-v}^{\alpha-1} A_{v}^{\beta} v a_{v} \lambda_{v}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{1}{A_{n}^{\alpha+\beta}} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \Delta \lambda_{v} \sum_{p=1}^{v} A_{n-p}^{\alpha-1} A_{p}^{\beta} p a_{p}+\frac{\lambda_{n}}{A_{n}^{\alpha+\beta}} \sum_{v=1}^{n} A_{n-v}^{\alpha-1} A_{v}^{\beta} v a_{v} . \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By taking modulus value of both sides and using the concept of modulus,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|T_{n}^{\alpha, \beta}\right| & \leq \frac{1}{A_{n}^{\alpha+\beta}} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1}\left|\Delta \lambda_{v}\right|\left|\sum_{p=1}^{v} A_{n-p}^{\beta-1} A_{p}^{\alpha} p a_{p}\right|+\frac{\left|\lambda_{n}\right|}{A_{n}^{\alpha+\beta}}\left|\sum_{v=1}^{n} A_{n-v}^{\beta-1} A_{v}^{\alpha} v a_{v}\right| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{A_{n}^{\alpha+\beta}} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} A_{v}^{\alpha, \beta} w_{v}^{\alpha, \beta}\left|\Delta \lambda_{v}\right|+\left|\lambda_{n}\right| w_{n}^{\alpha, \beta} \\
& =T_{n, 1}^{\alpha, \beta}+T_{n, 2}^{\alpha, \beta} . \tag{4.3}
\end{align*}
$$

With the use of Minkowski's inequality's concept,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|T_{n}^{\alpha, \beta}\right|^{k}=\left|T_{n, 1}^{\alpha, \beta}+T_{n, 2}^{\alpha, \beta}\right|^{k} \leq 2^{k}\left(\left|T_{n, 1}^{\alpha, \beta}\right|^{k}+\left|T_{n, 2}^{\alpha, \beta}\right|^{k}\right) . \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is enough to prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi_{n}^{\gamma(\delta k+k-1)}}{n^{k}}\left|T_{n, r}^{\alpha, \beta}\right|^{k}<\infty, \quad \text { for } r=1,2 \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{1}}^{\alpha, \beta}$ : By applying Abel's transformation and Hölder's inequality, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \frac{\varphi_{n}^{\gamma(\delta k+k-1)}}{n^{k}}\left|T_{n, 1}^{\alpha, \beta}\right|^{k} \\
& \leq \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \frac{\varphi_{n}^{\gamma(\delta k+k-1)}}{n^{k}} \frac{1}{\left(A_{n}^{\alpha+\beta}\right)^{k}}\left(\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} A_{v}^{\alpha, \beta} w_{v}^{\alpha, \beta}\left|\Delta \lambda_{v}\right|\right)^{k} \\
& \leq \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \frac{\varphi_{n}^{\gamma(\delta k+k-1)}}{n^{k(1+\alpha+\beta)}} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} v^{(\alpha+\beta) k}\left(w_{v}^{\alpha, \beta}\right)^{k}\left|D_{v}\right|\left(\sum_{v=1}^{n-1}\left|D_{v}\right|\right)^{k-1} \\
&= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m} v^{(\alpha+\beta) k}\left(w_{v}^{\alpha, \beta}\right)^{k}\left|D_{v}\right| \sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} \frac{\varphi_{n}^{\gamma(\delta k+k-1)}}{n^{k(1+\alpha+\beta)}} \\
&= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m} v^{(\alpha+\beta) k}\left(w_{v}^{\alpha, \beta}\right)^{k}\left|D_{v}\right| \frac{\varphi_{v}^{\gamma(\delta k+k-1)}}{v^{k(1+\alpha+\beta)-1}} \\
&= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m} v\left|D_{v}\right|\left(w_{v}^{\alpha, \beta}\right)^{k} \frac{\varphi_{v}^{\gamma_{v}^{\gamma(\delta k+k-1)}}}{v^{k}} \\
&= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} \Delta\left(v\left|D_{v}\right|\right) \sum_{r=1}^{v}\left(w_{r}^{\alpha, \beta}\right)^{k} \frac{\varphi_{r}^{\gamma \gamma(\delta k+k-1)}}{r^{k}} \\
&+O(1) m\left|D_{m}\right| \sum_{v=1}^{m}\left(w_{v}^{\alpha, \beta}\right)^{k} \frac{\varphi_{v}^{\gamma(\delta k+k-1)}}{v^{k}} \\
&= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1}|(v+1) \Delta| D_{v}\left|-\left|D_{v}\right| B_{v}+O(1) m\right| D_{m} \mid B_{m} \\
&= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} v\left|\Delta D_{v}\right| B_{v}+O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1}\left|D_{v}\right| B_{v}+O(1) m\left|D_{m}\right| B_{m}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} v \xi_{v} B_{v}+O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1}\left|D_{v}\right| B_{v}+O(1) m\left|D_{m}\right| B_{m} \\
& =O(1) \text { as } m \rightarrow \infty \tag{4.6}
\end{align*}
$$

For $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{n}, 2}^{\alpha, \beta}$ : By applying Abel's transformation and Hölder's inequality, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{n=2}^{m} \frac{\varphi_{n}^{\gamma(\delta k+k-1)}}{n^{k}}\left|T_{n, 2}^{\alpha, \beta}\right|^{k}= & O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m}\left|\lambda_{n}\right|\left(w_{n}^{\alpha, \beta}\right)^{k} \frac{\varphi_{n}^{\gamma(\delta k+k-1)}}{n^{k}} \\
= & O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} \Delta\left|\lambda_{n}\right| \sum_{v=1}^{n}\left(w_{v}^{\alpha, \beta}\right)^{k} \frac{\varphi_{v}^{\gamma(\delta k+k-1)}}{v^{k}} \\
& +O(1)\left|\lambda_{m}\right| \sum_{n=1}^{m}\left(w_{n}^{\alpha, \beta}\right)^{k} \frac{\varphi_{n}^{\gamma(\delta k+k-1)}}{n^{k}} \\
= & O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m-1}\left|\Delta \lambda_{n}\right| B_{n}+O(1)\left|\lambda_{m}\right| B_{m} \\
= & O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m-1}\left|D_{n}\right| B_{n}+O(1)\left|\lambda_{m}\right| B_{m} \\
= & O(1) a s m \rightarrow \infty . \tag{4.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Collecting (4.2) - (4.7), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi_{n}^{\gamma(\delta k+k-1)}}{n^{k}}\left|T_{n}^{\alpha, \beta}\right|^{k}<\infty . \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence the proof of the theorem is completed.

## 5. Corollaries

Corollary 5.1. Let $\left\{B_{n}\right\}$ be a wider class of sequence (quasi-f-power sequence), which is an increasing sequence for $\varsigma(0<\varsigma<1)$. Assume $\exists$ a sequence $\left\{D_{n}\right\}$ s.t. it is $\xi$-quasi-monotone with conditions (3.1-3.5) and

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sum_{i=1}^{p} \frac{\varphi_{i}^{(k-1)}\left(w_{i}^{\alpha}\right)^{k}}{i^{k}}=O\left(B_{p}\right) \quad \text { as } \quad p \rightarrow \infty  \tag{5.1}\\
\sum_{i=v}^{p} \frac{\varphi_{i}^{(k-1)}}{i^{(\alpha+1) k}}=O\left(\frac{\varphi_{v}^{(k-1)}}{v^{(\alpha+1) k-1}}\right) \tag{5.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

then $\varphi-|C, \alpha|_{k}$ summable factor followed by the series $\sum a_{n} \lambda_{n}$ with $k \geq 1,0<\alpha \leq 1$ and $w_{n}^{\alpha}$ is

$$
w_{n}^{\alpha}=\left\{\begin{array}{lr}
\max _{1 \leq v \leq n}\left|t_{v}^{\alpha}\right|, & 0<\alpha<1,  \tag{5.3}\\
\left|t_{n}^{\alpha}\right|, & \alpha=1 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Proof: Use $\gamma=1, \delta=0$ and $\beta=0$ in the present result.

Corollary 5.2. Let $\left\{B_{n}\right\}$ be a wider class of sequence (quasi-f-power sequence), which is an increasing sequence for $\varsigma(0<\varsigma<1)$. Assume $\exists$ a sequence $\left\{D_{n}\right\}$ s.t. it is $\xi$-quasi-monotone with conditions (3.1-3.5) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{p} \frac{\left(w_{i}^{\alpha}\right)^{k}}{i}=O\left(B_{p}\right) \quad \text { as } \quad p \rightarrow \infty \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $|C, \alpha|_{k}$ summable factor followed by $\sum a_{n} \lambda_{n}$ series with $k \geq 1,0<\alpha \leq 1$ and $w_{n}^{\alpha}$ is

$$
w_{n}^{\alpha}=\left\{\begin{array}{lr}
\max _{1 \leq v \leq n}\left|t_{v}^{\alpha}\right|, & 0<\alpha<1  \tag{5.5}\\
\left|t_{n}^{\alpha}\right|, & \alpha=1
\end{array}\right.
$$

Proof: By using $\varphi_{n}=n, \gamma=1, \delta=0$ and $\beta=0$ in the present resent.

## 6. Conclusion

Present work is on absolute summability factor which makes the system stable. If an impulse response be absolutely summable, then the system is BIBO stable, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { BIBO stable } \Leftrightarrow \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}|h(n)|<\infty . \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

With the help of summable factor, error is minimized and the output is made stable. Absolute summable factor is used to predict the input data and the complete changes in the process.

Present work is applicable in rectification of signals in Filter. By finding the corollary, we can be concluded that present result is very important. This is a generalized research on absolute summability which is used for find various previous results. Validation of present work is done by corollary 5.2 , which is establised by Bor [16] for infinite series to be absolute summable.
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