

## $L^2$ SOLUTION OF LINEARIZED CUTOFF BOLTZMANN EQUATION WITH BOUNDARY CONDITION

CONG HE<sup>1</sup>, JINGCHUN CHEN<sup>2,\*</sup>, HOUZHANG FANG<sup>3</sup>, HUAN HE<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee,  
Milwaukee, WI 53201, USA  
conghe@uwm.edu*

<sup>2</sup>*Department of Mathematics and Statistics, The University of Toledo,  
Toledo, OH 43606, USA  
jingchun.chen@utoledo.edu*

<sup>3</sup>*School of Computer Science and Technology, Xidian University,  
Xi'an 710071, China  
houzhangfang@xidian.edu.cn*

<sup>4</sup>*College of Post and Telecommunication, Wuhan Institute of Technology,  
Wuhan 430073, China  
HuanHe0501@163.com*

**Abstract:** We are concerned with the existence of  $L^2$  solution for the linearized cutoff Boltzmann equation with the specular reflection condition. And we obtain the weak solution  $f \in L^2([0, T]; L^2_v)$  by applying the dual method and the classical functional analysis tool such as Hahn-Banach theorem.

**Key Words:** Linearized Boltzmann equation, Specular reflection boundary condition,  $L^2$  solution, Dual method.

**MSC [2020] Subject Classification.** Primary 35Q20; Secondary 35A01, 35F15.

---

Received: March 12, 2021    Accepted: May 25, 2021    Published Online: June 30, 2021

---

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Boundary value problem occurs when the plasma-wall interaction happens. The gas particles are driven by binary collision dynamics following from the intermolecular potential acting on them and by a gas-surface interaction process described by an interaction law. This law gives a balance between the number of the incident particles and the ratio of those

---

\*Corresponding Author: Jingchun Chen

Email address: [jingchun.chen@utoledo.edu](mailto:jingchun.chen@utoledo.edu)

reflected or captured by the body, see [7, 8]. This phenomenon could be characterized by the Boltzmann equation with boundary condition which could be formulated as follows:

$$(\partial_t + v \cdot \nabla_x)F = Q(F, F),$$

where  $F(t, x, v)$  is the distribution function for the gas particles at time  $t \geq 0$ , position  $x \in \Omega$ , and velocity  $v \in \mathbb{R}^3$ . And the collision operator takes the form of

$$\begin{aligned} Q(F_1, F_2) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} |v - u|^\gamma F_1(u') F_2(v') q_0(\theta) d\omega du \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} |v - u|^\gamma F_1(u) F_2(v) q_0(\theta) d\omega du \\ &\equiv Q_{gain}(F_1, F_2) - Q_{loss}(F_1, F_2), \end{aligned}$$

where  $u' = u + [(v - u) \cdot \omega]\omega$ ,  $v' = v - [(v - u) \cdot \omega]\omega$ ,  $\cos \theta = \frac{(u-v) \cdot \omega}{|u-v|}$ ,  $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$  (hard potential) and  $0 \leq q_0(\theta) \leq C|\cos \theta|$  (angular cutoff), and the boundary condition will be defined shortly.

In terms of the standard perturbation  $f$  near Maxwellian such that  $F = \mu + \sqrt{\mu}f$ , the Boltzmann equation can also be rewritten as

$$\{\partial_t + v \cdot \nabla_x + L\}f = \Gamma(f, f), \quad f(0, x, v) = f_0(x, v),$$

where the standard linearized Boltzmann operator [5] is given by

$$Lf \equiv \nu f - Kf = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu}}\{Q(\mu, \sqrt{\mu}f) + Q(\sqrt{\mu}f, \mu)\} = \nu f - \int \mathbf{k}(v, v')f(v')dv',$$

with the collision frequency  $\nu(v) \equiv \int |v - u|^\gamma \mu(u) q_0(\theta) du d\theta \sim \{1 + |v|^\gamma\}$  for  $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$ ; and

$$\Gamma(f_1, f_2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu}}\{Q(\sqrt{\mu}f_1, \sqrt{\mu}f_2) \equiv \Gamma_{gain}(f_1, f_2) - \Gamma_{loss}(f_1, f_2)\}.$$

And the coercivity takes the form as following:

$$(Lf, f) \geq c_0 \|f\|_{L^2}^2 - c_1 \|f\|_{L^2}^2,$$

where  $\|f\|_{L^2}^2 = \int |f|^2 \nu dv$ .

As to the boundary conditions for the Boltzmann equation, mainly there are several typical types as below:

1) Bounce-back reflection boundary condition:  $\forall x \in \partial\Omega$ ,

$$(1.1) \quad M\gamma^+ f(t, x, v) = f(t, x, -v);$$

2) Specular reflection boundary condition:

$$(1.2) \quad M\gamma^+ f(t, x, v) = f(t, x, v - 2(n(x) \cdot v) \cdot n(x)), \quad \forall x \in \partial\Omega;$$

3) Diffuse boundary condition:

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{cases} M\gamma^+ f(t, x, v) = c_\mu \sqrt{\mu(v)} \int_{v' \cdot n(x) > 0} f(t, x, v') \sqrt{\mu(v')} \{n(x) \cdot v\} dv', & \forall (x, v) \in \gamma^- \\ c_\mu \int_{v \cdot n(x) > 0} \mu(v) |n(x) \cdot v| dv = 1. \end{cases}$$

Now we turn to review some results related to the boundary value problems of Boltzmann equations which have been studied for several decades.

In the  $L^1$  framework, Mischler [9] demonstrated the existence of DiPerna-Lions renormalized solutions [2] to the Boltzmann equation and the Vlasov-Poisson-Boltzmann system for the initial boundary value problem. More precisely, Mischler considered the following problem:

$$(1.4) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f + \nabla_x \phi \cdot \nabla_v f = Q(f, f) \\ \Delta \phi(t, x) = \int f(t, x, v) dv, \quad (t, x) \in (0, \infty) \times \Omega \\ \phi(t, x) = 0, \quad (t, x) \in (0, \infty) \times \partial\Omega \\ \gamma^- f = M\gamma^+ f \\ \iint_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3} f_0(1 + |v|^2 + |\log f_0|) dv dx < \infty, \end{array} \right.$$

and he obtained a solution  $f$  to (1.4) such that

$$\sup_{[0, T]} \iint_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3} f(1 + |v|^2 + |\log f|) dv dx \leq C_T.$$

For the  $L^\infty$ -framework, Guo [5] obtained an  $L^\infty$  solution for the Boltzmann equation in the bounded domains with four basic types of boundary conditions: in-flow, bounce-back reflection, specular reflection and diffuse reflection. For instance,

**Proposition 1.1.** ([5]) *Assume  $w(v) = (1 + \rho^2|v|^2)^\beta$ ,  $\rho > 0$ ,  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $w^{-2}(1 + |v|)^3 \in L^1$ , then  $\exists \delta > 0$ , such that if  $F_0(x, v) = \mu + \sqrt{\mu}f_0$  and  $\|wf_0\|_\infty \leq \delta$ . There exists a unique solution*

$$F(t, x, v) = \mu + \sqrt{\mu}f$$

to the specular boundary value problem

$$(1.5) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (\partial_t + v \cdot \nabla_x + L)f = \Gamma(f, f) \\ M\gamma^+ f(t, x, v) = f(t, x, v - 2(n(x) \cdot v) \cdot n(x)) \\ f(0, x, v) = f_0(x, v). \end{array} \right.$$

Moreover,

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq \infty} e^{\lambda t} \|wf(t)\|_\infty \leq C \|wf_0\|_\infty, \quad \text{for some } \lambda > 0.$$

Further, Guo, Kim, Tonon and Trescases [6] considered the regularity of solution for Boltzmann equation in the convex domain based on the existence of  $L^\infty$  solution.

For the  $L^2$  framework, Esposito ect. [3] considered the linearized Boltzmann equation for diffuse reflection boundary conditions and established an  $L^2$ -solution for the following system of equation:

$$(1.6) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f + Lf = g \\ \gamma^- f = M\gamma^+ f \\ f(0) = f_0, \end{array} \right.$$

where

$$M\gamma^+ f(t, x, v) = c_\mu \sqrt{\mu(v)} \int_{v' \cdot n(x) > 0} f(t, x, v') \sqrt{\mu(v')} \{n(x) \cdot v\} dv', \quad \forall (x, v) \in \gamma^-$$

is the diffuse reflection boundary condition and  $\iint_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3} g(t, x, v) \sqrt{\mu} dv = 0$ .

To study the linearized Boltzmann equation with specular boundary conditions is interesting. In this context, we consider the existence of  $L^2$  solution for the linearized cutoff Boltzmann equation with the specular reflection boundary condition, i.e.,

$$(1.7) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f + Lf = H \\ \gamma^- f = M\gamma^+ f \\ f(0) = f_0, \end{cases}$$

where  $M$  defined by (1.2), i.e. the specular reflection boundary condition, and  $H \in L^2([0, T] \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3)$ .

To realize our goal, we mainly adopt the dual method. Comparatively, in [3], the authors adopted the iteration method. To be more precise, we establish the functional inequality  $|l(P^*g)| \leq c \|P^*g\|_{L^2([0, T]; L^2_{\nu^{-1}})}$ ,  $\forall g \in W$ , and Hahn-Banach theorem, Riesz representation theorem are applied to establish this estimate.

Lastly, we introduce some definitions and notations for later use.

i) ([10]) The trace operator  $\gamma^\pm f = f|_{\Sigma^\pm}$  are defined primarily on  $f \in C_0^1(\bar{D})$ , which could be extended to  $W_2 = \{f \in L^2(D) \mid (\partial_t + v \cdot \nabla_x)f \in L^2(D)\}$ , where

$$\Sigma^+ = \{(x, v) \in \partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3 : n(x) \cdot v > 0\},$$

$$\Sigma^- = \{(x, v) \in \partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3 : n(x) \cdot v < 0\},$$

$$D = (0, T) \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3, \quad \Omega \text{ is a domain in } \mathbb{R}^3.$$

ii) Denote the boundary inner product

$$\langle g_1, g_2 \rangle_\pm = \iint_{\pm v \cdot n(x) > 0} g_1(x, v) g_2(x, v) |v \cdot n(x)| dv dS_x,$$

and

$$\|\gamma^\pm g\|_{L^2, \pm}^2 = \int_{\Sigma^\pm} |g|^2 |v \cdot n(x)| dv dS_x,$$

where  $dS_x$  is the standard surface measure on  $\partial\Omega$ ,  $n(x)$  is outward normal vector, and the  $L^2$  inner product is defined as  $(f, g) = \int_{[0, T] \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3} f g ds dx dv$ .

iii) We call  $f \in L^2([0, T]; L^2_\nu)$  is weak solution if

$$(f, (-\partial_t - v \cdot \nabla_x + L)g) = \int_0^T (H, g)_{L^2} ds + (f_0, g(0))_{L^2}, \quad \forall g \in W,$$

where

$$W =: \{g \in L^2_\nu \mid (\partial_t + v \cdot \nabla_x)g \in L^2, \gamma^\pm g \in L^2, \gamma^+ g = M\gamma^- g, g(T) = 0\}.$$

Now we are in the position to state the main theorem and give its proof as well.

2. THE MAIN THEOREM AND ITS PROOF

**Theorem 2.1.** *Assume  $f_0 \in L^2(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3)$ ,  $H \in L^2([0, T] \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3)$ , then there exists a weak solution  $f \in L^2([0, T]; L^2_\nu)$  to (1.7).*

*Proof.* Our proof relies on the dual method. Firstly, we introduce a formal adjoint operator defined as follows:

$$(2.1) \quad P^*g = -\partial_t g - v \cdot \nabla_x g + Lg, \quad g \in W.$$

Then we are going to prove the following claim.

**Claim:**  $\|g(t, \cdot)\|^2 + \int_t^T \|g(s)\|_{L^2_\nu}^2 ds \lesssim \int_t^T \|P^*g\|_{L^2_{\nu^{-1}}}^2 ds.$

In fact, on the one hand, by the Green formula [4], we have

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \iint_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3} (v \cdot \nabla_x g) g dv dx &= \frac{1}{2} \iint_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3} v \cdot \nabla_x g^2 dv dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \iint_{\partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3} g^2 \cdot (n(x) \cdot v) dv dS_x \quad (\text{Green's formula}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \iint_{n(x) \cdot v > 0} |g|^2 \cdot (n(x) \cdot v) dv dS_x + \iint_{n(x) \cdot v < 0} |g|^2 \cdot (n(x) \cdot v) dv dS_x \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \iint_{n(x) \cdot v > 0} |g|^2 \cdot |(n(x) \cdot v)| dv dS_x - \iint_{n(x) \cdot v < 0} |g|^2 \cdot |(n(x) \cdot v)| dv dS_x \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \|\gamma^+ g\|_{L^2}^2 - \|\gamma^- g\|_{L^2}^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$(2.3) \quad (P^*g, g) = -\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{d}{dt} \|g\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\gamma^+ g\|_{L^2,+}^2 - \|\gamma^- g\|_{L^2,-}^2 \right) + (Lg, g)_{L^2},$$

multiplying  $e^{2cs}$  with  $c$  to be determined, and integrating with respect to  $s$  in  $[t, T]$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_t^T e^{2cs} (P^*g, g) ds &= -\frac{1}{2} \int_t^T e^{2cs} \frac{d}{ds} \|g\|_{L^2}^2 ds + \frac{1}{2} \int_t^T e^{2cs} (\|\gamma^- g\|_{L^2,-}^2 - \|\gamma^+ g\|_{L^2,+}^2) ds \\ &\quad + \int_t^T e^{2cs} (Lg, g) ds \\ &=: I_1 + I_2 + I_3 \end{aligned}$$

For  $I_1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= -\frac{1}{2} \int_t^T \frac{d}{ds} (e^{2cs} \|g\|_{L^2}^2) ds + \frac{1}{2} \int_t^T 2ce^{2cs} \|g\|_{L^2}^2 ds \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} (e^{2cT} \|g(T)\|^2 - e^{2ct} \|g(t)\|_{L^2}^2) + \frac{1}{2} \int_t^T 2ce^{2cs} \|g(s)\|_{L^2}^2 ds, \end{aligned}$$

note that  $g(T) = 0$ , we have  $I_1 \geq \frac{1}{2} \|g(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + c \int_t^T e^{2cs} \|g(s)\|_{L^2}^2 ds.$

For  $I_2$ , it is easy to get  $I_2 = 0$  since  $\|\gamma^+ g\|_{L^2, +}^2 = \|\gamma^- g\|_{L^2, -}^2$ .

For  $I_3$ , note that

$$(Lg, g) \geq c_0 \|g\|_{L^2}^2 - c_1 \|g\|_{L^2}^2$$

and

$$I_3 \geq c_0 \int_t^T e^{2cs} \|g\|_{L^2}^2 ds - c_1 \int_t^T e^{2cs} \|g\|_{L^2}^2 ds.$$

It follows that ,

$$(2.4) \quad \int_t^T e^{2cs} |(P^* g, g)| ds \geq \frac{1}{2} \|g(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + c \int_t^T e^{2cs} \|g(s)\|_{L^2}^2 ds \\ + c_0 \int_t^T e^{2cs} \|g\|_{L^2}^2 ds - c_1 \int_t^T e^{2cs} \|g\|_{L^2}^2 ds.$$

On the other hand, by Young's inequality with  $\epsilon$  [4], taking  $\epsilon$  small enough, and the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, we derive

$$\int_t^T e^{2cs} |(P^* g, g)| ds \lesssim \int_t^T e^{2cs} (c_\epsilon \|P^* g\|_{L^2_{\nu^{-1}}} + \epsilon \|g\|_{L^2}^2) ds \\ \lesssim \int_t^T e^{2cs} c_\epsilon \|P^* g\|_{L^2_{\nu^{-1}}} ds + \epsilon \int_t^T e^{2cs} \|g\|_{L^2}^2 ds.$$

Consequently,

$$\int_t^T e^{2cs} c_\epsilon \|P^* g\|_{L^2_{\nu^{-1}}} ds \geq \frac{1}{2} \|g(t)\|^2 + \int_t^T (c - c_1) e^{2cs} \|g\|_{L^2}^2 ds \\ + \int_t^T (c_0 - \epsilon) e^{2cs} \|g\|_{L^2}^2 ds.$$

Note  $\epsilon$  is small and taking  $c > c_1$ , then the claim holds.

Now we define a functional  $l$  on  $P^*W$  as follows:

$$(2.5) \quad P^* g \longrightarrow l(P^* g) = \int_0^T (H, g)_{L^2} ds + (f_0, g(0))_{L^2}$$

By the claim proved above , we have

$$|l(P^* g)| \leq \int_0^T \|H\|_{L^2} \|g\|_{L^2} ds + \|f_0\|_{L^2} \|g_0\|_{L^2} \\ \leq \left( \int_0^T \|H\|_{L^2} ds + \|f_0\|_{L^2} \right) \left( \int_0^T \|P^* g\|_{L^2_{\nu^{-1}}}^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

i.e.

$$|l(P^* g)| \leq c \left( \int_0^T \|P^* g\|_{L^2_{\nu^{-1}}}^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = c \|P^* g\|_{L^2([0, T]; L^2_{\nu^{-1}})}.$$

Thanks to the Hahn - Banach Theorem [1],  $l$  has a bounded extension  $\tilde{l}$  to  $L^2([0, T], L^2_{\nu^{-1}})$ . Finally, the Riesz representation theorem guarantees there exists  $f \in L^2([0, T], L^2_{\nu})$ , such that

$$(2.6) \quad \tilde{l}(h) = (f, h), \quad \forall h \in L^2([0, T], L^2_{\nu^{-1}}).$$

Restricting to  $P^*W \subset L^2([0, T], L^2_{\nu^{-1}})$ , we have

$$(2.7) \quad l(P^*g) = (f, P^*g), \quad \forall g \in W,$$

i.e.

$$(2.8) \quad (f, (-\partial_t - v \cdot \nabla_x + L)g) = \int_0^T (H, g)_{L^2} ds + (f_0, g(0))_{L^2}$$

which ends the proof of Theorem 2.1. □

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Conway, J.B., A course in functional analysis, Springer, 2007.
- [2] DiPerna, R.J., Lions, P.L., On the Cauchy problem for Boltzmann equation: global existence and weak stability, *Ann. Math.* 130 (1989) 321-366.
- [3] Esposito, R., Guo, Y., Kim, C., Marra, R., Non-isothermal boundary in the Boltzmann theory and Fourier law, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 323 (2013) 177–239.
- [4] Evans, L.C., Partial differential equations, American Mathematical Society, Vol. 19, 1997.
- [5] Guo, Y., Decay and Continuity of the Boltzmann Equation in Bounded Domains, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 197 (2010) 713-809.
- [6] Guo, Y., Kim, C., Tonon, D., Trescases, A., Regularity of the Boltzmann Equation in Convex Domains, *Invent. Math.* (2017) 115–290.
- [7] Grad, H., Asymptotic theory of the Boltzmann equation, II. in Rarefied Gas Dynamics, Vol. 1, Ed. LAURMANN, J., Acad. Press, (1963) 26-59.
- [8] Grad, H., Asymptotic equivalence of the Navier-Stokes and nonlinear Boltzmann equation, *Proc. Symp. Appl. Math.* 17 (1965) 154-183.
- [9] Mischler, S., On the Initial Boundary Value Problem for the Vlasov-Poisson-Boltzmann System, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 210 (2000) 447-466.
- [10] Ukai, S., Solutions of the Boltzmann equation. Pattern and Waves, *Stud. Math. Appl.* 18 (1986) 37-96.