

Nepal Journal of Mathematical Sciences (NJMS) ISSN: 2738-9928 (online), 2738-9812 (print) Vol. 2, No. 2, 2021 (August): 1-6 DOI: https://doi.org/10.3126/njmathsci.v2i2.40031 © School of Mathematical Sciences, Tribhuvan University, Kathmandu, Nepal Research Article Received Date: May 28, 2021 Accepted Date: July 25, 2021 Published Date: August 30, 2021

Certain Subclasses of Bi-Univalent and Meromorphic Functions Defined By Al-Oboudi Differential Operator

D. D. Bobalade ¹and N. D. Sangle ²

¹Department of Mathematics, Shivaji University, Kolhapur, Maharashtra, India- 416004
²Department of Mathematics, D.Y. Patil College of Engineering and Technology Kasaba Bawada, Kolhapur, Maharashtra, India 416006
Email: ¹dnyaneshwar.boblade@gmail.com, ²navneet_sangle@rediffmail.com

Abstract: In this paper, we introduce a subclass $\sum_{M}^{h,p} (k, \delta, \mu, \lambda)$ of bi-univalent and meromorphic functions by using Al-Oboudi differential operator on $\Delta = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : 1 < |z| < \infty\}$. Also we obtain bounds of coefficients $|b_0|$ and $|b_1|$ for functions belongs to $\sum_{M}^{h,p} (k, \delta, \mu, \lambda)$. The results obtained in this paper are more better and generalized of previous results of various author.

Keywords: Harmonic functions, Univalent functions, Differential operator, Extreme points.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 30C45, 30C50.

1. Introduction

Let Σ be the class of functions f of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{b_l}{z^l} ,$$
 (1)

which are meromorphic univalent in the domain $\Delta = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : 1 < |z| < \infty\}$. Since every function f belong to Σ has an inverse function f^{-1} exist and inverse function satisfies conditions:

$$f(f^{-1}(w)) = w, \ w \in \Delta \quad (M < |w| < \infty, \ M > 0)$$

 $f^{-1}(f(z)) = z \ (z \in \Delta)$

where

$$f^{-1}(w) = q(w) = w - b_0 - \frac{b_1}{w} - \frac{b_2 + b_0 b_1}{w^2} - \frac{b_3 + 2b_0 b_2 + b_0^2 b_1 + b_1^2}{w^3} + \cdots.$$
 (2)

A function $f \in \Sigma$ is said to be meromorphic bi-univalent in Δ if both f and f^{-1} are meromorphic univalent in Δ . The class of meromorphic bi-univalent functions of the form (1) in Δ is denoted by Σ_M .

Srivastava et al. [17], Safa Salehian and Ahmad Zireh [12], Hamidi et al. [7], Amol Patil and Uday Naik [11] and many other researchers (see [4, 5, 8, 9, 10, 14, 15, 18]) have introduced new subclasses of meromorphically bi-univalent functions and obtained estimates on the initial coefficients for functions in each of these subclasses.

Let \mathcal{A} denote the class of analytic functions h(z) of the form

$$h(z) = z + \sum_{l=2}^{\infty} a_l z^l \tag{3}$$

defined in the unit disc $\mathbb{U} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ with normalization $h(0) = h_z(0) - 1 = 0$. Let the class of all normalized analytic univalent functions in the unit disc \mathbb{U} is denoted by *S*. A function $h \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to

be bi-univalent in \mathbb{U} if both h and h^{-1} are univalent in \mathbb{U} . Let the class of analytic bi-univalent functions is denoted by Σ' . Brannan and Taha [2], Srivastava et al. [16] and many other researchers (see [3, 6]) introduced certain subclasses of bi-univalent function class Σ' .

Now, Al-Oboudi [1] introduced the Al-Oboudi operator $D_{\delta}^k: \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ and defined as

 $D^{k}h(z) = D^{k}_{\delta}h(z) = z + \sum_{l=2}^{\infty} [1 + (l-1)\delta]^{k}a_{l}z^{l}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_{0} = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, \delta \ge 0,$

where $h \in \mathcal{A}$ of the form (3).

Amol Patil and Uday Naik [11] extend the Al-Oboudi operator $D_{\delta}^k: \Sigma \to \Sigma$ and defined as

 $D^{k}f(z) = D_{\delta}^{k}f(z) = z + (1-\delta)^{k}b_{0} + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} [1-(l+1)\delta]^{k}b_{l}z^{-l}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_{0} = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, \delta > 1,$ where $f \in \Sigma$ of the form (1).

In 2019, Saideh Hajiparvaneh and Ahmad Zireh [13] define the subclass $\Sigma_M^{h,p}(\mu, \lambda)$ consisting of meromorphic functions f(z) of the form (1) satisfies the following conditions:

$$f \in \Sigma_M, \left[(1-\lambda) \left(\frac{f(z)}{z} \right)^{\mu} + \lambda f'(z) \left(\frac{f(z)}{z} \right)^{\mu-1} \right] \in h(\Delta)$$

and

$$\left[(1-\lambda) \left(\frac{q(w)}{w}\right)^{\mu} + \lambda q'(w) \left(\frac{q(w)}{w}\right)^{\mu-1} \right] \in p(\Delta),$$

where q is function given by (2).

Motivated by the aforecited works, we introduce new subclasses of bi-univalent and meromorphic functions by using Al-Oboudi Differential operator. Also obtain the coefficient bounds $|b_0|$ and $|b_1|$ for functions in this new subclasses.

2. Coefficient Estimates

Definition 2.1 Let the analytic functions $h, p: \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be

$$h(z) = 1 + \frac{h_1}{z} + \frac{h_2}{z^2} + \frac{h_3}{z^3} + \cdots, \qquad p(z) = 1 + \frac{p_1}{z} + \frac{p_2}{z^2} + \frac{p_3}{z^3} + \cdots,$$

such that

 $\min\{\Re(\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{z})), \Re(\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{z}))\} > 0 \quad (\mathbf{z} \in \Delta).$

Definition 2.2 A function f(z) of the form (1) is said to be in the class $\Sigma_{M}^{h,p}(k, \delta, \mu, \lambda)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}_{0} = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, \lambda \ge 1, \lambda > \mu, \mu \ge 0$ and $\delta > 1$ if satisfies the following conditions :

$$f \in \Sigma_{M}, \left[(1-\lambda) \left(\frac{D_{\delta}^{k} f(z)}{z} \right)^{\mu} + \lambda \left(D_{\delta}^{k} f(z) \right)' \left(\frac{D_{\delta}^{k} f(z)}{z} \right)^{\mu-1} \right] \in h(\Delta)$$

$$\tag{4}$$

and

$$\left[(1-\lambda) \left(\frac{D_{\delta}^{k} q(w)}{w} \right)^{\mu} + \lambda \left(D_{\delta}^{k} q(w) \right)' \left(\frac{D_{\delta}^{k} q(w)}{w} \right)^{\mu-1} \right] \in p(\Delta),$$
(5)
function given by (2)

where q is the function given by (2).

For k = 0, the class $\Sigma_M^{h,p}(k, \delta, \mu, \lambda)$ become $\Sigma_M^{h,p}(\mu, \lambda)$, studied by Saideh Hajiparvaneh and Ahmad Zireh [13].

Remark 2.1 For various choices of *h* and *p*, we get various subclasses of class $\Sigma_M^{h,p}(k, \delta, \mu, \lambda)$ as follows: If take $h(z) = n(z) - \left(\frac{1+\frac{1}{z}}{z}\right)^{\alpha} - 1 + \frac{2\alpha}{z} + \frac{2\alpha^2}{z} + \dots \quad (0 \le \alpha \le 1, z \in \Lambda)$ in Definition 2.2, then

If take
$$h(z) = p(z) = \left(\frac{1+\frac{z}{z}}{1-\frac{1}{z}}\right) = 1 + \frac{2\alpha}{z} + \frac{2\alpha^2}{z^2} + \cdots \quad (0 < \alpha \le 1, z \in \Delta)$$
 in Definition 2.2, then

we get subclass $\Sigma_M^{n,p}(k, \delta, \mu, \lambda) = \Sigma_M^*(k, \delta, \mu, \lambda, \alpha)$, studied by Bobalade and Sangle [4].

Definition 2.3 [4] A function
$$f(z) \in \Sigma_{M}^{n,p}(k, \delta, \mu, \lambda)$$
 of the form (1) belongs to the class $\Sigma_{M}^{*}(k, \delta, \mu, \lambda, \alpha)$ if

$$f \in \Sigma_{M}, \left| \arg \left[(1 - \lambda) \left(\frac{D_{\delta}^{k} f(z)}{z} \right)^{\mu} + \lambda \left(D_{\delta}^{k} f(z) \right)' \left(\frac{D_{\delta}^{k} f(z)}{z} \right)^{\mu - 1} \right] \right| < \frac{\alpha \pi}{2} \quad (z \in \Delta)$$

Nepal Journal of Mathematical Sciences (NJMS), Vol. 2, No. 2, 2021 (August): 1-6

and

$$\left| \arg\left[(1-\lambda) \left(\frac{\mathrm{D}_{\delta}^{k} q(w)}{w} \right)^{\mu} + \lambda \left(\mathrm{D}_{\delta}^{k} q(w) \right)' \left(\frac{\mathrm{D}_{\delta}^{k} q(w)}{w} \right)^{\mu-1} \right] \right| < \frac{\alpha \pi}{2} \quad (w \in \Delta),$$

= $\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, \lambda > 1, \lambda > \mu, \mu > 0, \delta > 1 \text{ and } 0 < \alpha < 1$.

where $k \in \mathbb{N}_0 =$

where $k \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, \lambda \ge 1, \lambda > \mu, \mu \ge 0, \delta > 1$ and $0 < \alpha \le 1$. **Remark 2.2** If take $h(z) = p(z) = \frac{1 + \frac{(1-2\beta)}{z}}{1 - \frac{1}{z}} = 1 + \frac{2(1-\beta)}{z} + \frac{2(1-\beta)}{z^2} + \cdots$ $(0 \le \beta < 1, z \in \Delta)$ in Definition

2.2, then we get subclass $\Sigma_M^{h,p}(k, \delta, \mu, \lambda) = \Sigma_M^*(k, \delta, \mu, \lambda, \beta)$, studied by Bobalade and Sangle [4].

Definition 2.4 [4] A function $f(z) \in \Sigma_M^{h,p}(k, \delta, \mu, \lambda)$ of the form (1) belongs to the class $\Sigma_M^*(k, \delta, \mu, \lambda, \beta)$ if

$$f \in \Sigma_{M}, \Re\left[(1-\lambda) \left(\frac{D_{\delta}^{k} f(z)}{z} \right)^{\mu} + \lambda \left(D_{\delta}^{k} f(z) \right)' \left(\frac{D_{\delta}^{k} f(z)}{z} \right)^{\mu-1} \right] > \beta \quad (z \in \Delta)$$

and

$$\Re\left[\left(1-\lambda\right)\left(\frac{\mathrm{D}_{\delta}^{k}\mathsf{q}(w)}{w}\right)^{\mu}+\lambda\left(\mathrm{D}_{\delta}^{k}\mathsf{q}(w)\right)'\left(\frac{\mathrm{D}_{\delta}^{k}\mathsf{q}(w)}{w}\right)^{\mu-1}\right]>\beta\quad(w\in\Delta),$$

where $k \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, \lambda \ge 1, \lambda > \mu, \mu \ge 0, \delta > 1$ and $0 \le \beta < 1$.

If we put k = 0 in the classes $\Sigma_M^*(k, \delta, \mu, \lambda, \alpha)$ and $\Sigma_M^*(k, \delta, \mu, \lambda, \beta)$, then we get two classes $\Sigma_{M}^{*}(\mu, \lambda, \alpha)$ and $\Sigma_{M}^{*}(\mu, \lambda, \beta)$ respectively, studied by Orhan et al. [10].

Theorem 2.1 Let f(z) of the form (1) belong to the class $\Sigma_M^{h,p}(k, \delta, \mu, \lambda)$. Then

$$|b_0| \le \min\left[\sqrt{\frac{|h_1|^2 + |p_1|^2}{2(\mu - \lambda)^2 (1 - \delta)^{2k}}}, \sqrt{\frac{|h_2| + |p_2|}{|(\mu - 2\lambda)(\mu - 1)(1 - \delta)^{2k}|}}\right]$$
(6)

and

$$|b_1| \le \min\left[\frac{|h_2| + |p_2|}{2|(\mu - 2\lambda)(1 - 2\delta)^k|}, \sqrt{\frac{|h_2|^2 + |p_2|^2}{2(\mu - 2\lambda)^2(1 - 2\delta)^{2k}}} + \frac{(\mu - 1)^2(|h_1|^2 + |p_1|^2)^2}{16(\mu - \lambda)^4(1 - 2\delta)^{2k}}\right].$$
(7)

Proof. From conditions (4) and (5), we have

$$(1-\lambda)\left(\frac{D_{\delta}^{k}f(z)}{z}\right)^{\mu} + \lambda\left(D_{\delta}^{k}f(z)\right)'\left(\frac{D_{\delta}^{k}f(z)}{z}\right)^{\mu-1} = h(z) \ (z \in \Delta)$$
(8)

and

$$(1-\lambda)\left(\frac{D_{\delta}^{k}q(w)}{w}\right)^{\mu} + \lambda\left(D_{\delta}^{k}q(w)\right)'\left(\frac{D_{\delta}^{k}q(w)}{w}\right)^{\mu-1} = p(w) \quad (w \in \Delta), \tag{9}$$

where h(z) and p(w) are functions such that it's real part positive in Δ and have forms

$$h(z) = 1 + \frac{h_1}{z} + \frac{h_2}{z^2} + \frac{h_3}{z^3} + \dots$$
(10)

and

$$p(w) = 1 + \frac{p_1}{w} + \frac{p_2}{w^2} + \frac{p_3}{w^3} + \cdots.$$
(11)

Implies

$$(1-\lambda)\left(\frac{D_{\delta}^{k}f(z)}{z}\right)^{\mu} + \lambda\left(D_{\delta}^{k}f(z)\right)'\left(\frac{D_{\delta}^{k}f(z)}{z}\right)^{\mu-1} = 1 + \frac{h_{1}}{z} + \frac{h_{2}}{z^{2}} + \frac{h_{3}}{z^{3}} + \cdots, (z \in \Delta)$$
(12)

and

$$(1-\lambda)\left(\frac{D_{\delta}^{k}q(w)}{w}\right)^{\mu} + \lambda\left(D_{\delta}^{k}q(w)\right)'\left(\frac{D_{\delta}^{k}q(w)}{w}\right)^{\mu-1} = 1 + \frac{p_{1}}{w} + \frac{p_{2}}{w^{2}} + \frac{p_{3}}{w^{3}} + \cdots (w \in \Delta).$$
(13)

Now, equating the coefficients in equation (12) and (13), we obtain

$$(\mu - \lambda)(1 - \delta)^k b_0 = h_1, \tag{14}$$

$$(\mu - 2\lambda) \left[(1 - 2\delta)^k b_1 + \left(\frac{\mu - 1}{2}\right) (1 - \delta)^{2k} b_0^2 \right] = h_2, \tag{15}$$

$$-(\mu - \lambda)(1 - \delta)^k b_0 = p_1 \tag{16}$$

and

$$(\mu - 2\lambda) \left[-(1 - 2\delta)^k b_1 + \left(\frac{\mu - 1}{2}\right) (1 - \delta)^{2k} b_0^2 \right] = p_2.$$
(17)

From equation (14) and equation (17), we get

$$h_1 = -p_1 \tag{18}$$

and

$$2(\mu - \lambda)^2 (1 - \delta)^{2k} b_0^2 = h_1^2 + p_1^2.$$
⁽¹⁹⁾

By adding equation (15) to equation (17), we get

$$(\mu - 2\lambda)(\mu - 1)(1 - \delta)^{2k}b_0^2 = h_2 + p_2.$$
⁽²⁰⁾

Therefore, From equation (19), we get

$$b_0^2 = \frac{h_1^2 + p_1^2}{2(\mu - \lambda)^2 (1 - \delta)^{2k}}$$
(21)

and from equation (20), we get

$$b_0^2 = \frac{h_2 + p_2}{(\mu - 2\lambda)(\mu - 1)(1 - \delta)^{2k}}.$$
(22)

Hence, from (21) and (22), we find that

$$|b_0|^2 \le \frac{|h_1|^2 + |p_1|^2}{2(\mu - \lambda)^2 (1 - \delta)^{2k}}$$

and

$$|b_0|^2 \le \frac{|h_2| + |p_2|}{|(\mu - 2\lambda)(\mu - 1)(1 - \delta)^{2k}|}$$

Hence

$$|b_0| \le \min\left[\sqrt{\frac{|h_1|^2 + |p_1|^2}{2(\mu - \lambda)^2(1 - \delta)^{2k}}}, \sqrt{\frac{|h_2| + |p_2|}{|(\mu - 2\lambda)(\mu - 1)(1 - \delta)^{2k}|}}\right]$$

Now, subtracting equation (17) from equation (15), we obtain

$$2(\mu - 2\lambda)(1 - 2\delta)^k b_1 = h_2 - p_2.$$
⁽²³⁾

By squaring and adding equations (15) and (17), we get new equation. Using equation (19) in new equation, we obtain

$$b_1^2 = \frac{h_2^2 + p_2^2}{2(\mu - 2\lambda)^2 (1 - 2\delta)^{2k}} + \frac{(\mu - 1)^2 (h_1^2 + p_1^2)^2}{16(\mu - \lambda)^4 (1 - 2\delta)^{2k}}.$$
(24)

By using equations (10), (11) in equation (23) and (24), finally we yield

$$|b_1| \le \frac{|h_2| + |p_2|}{2|(\mu - 2\lambda)(1 - 2\delta)^k|} \tag{25}$$

and

$$|b_1| \le \sqrt{\frac{|h_2|^2 + |p_2|^2}{2(\mu - 2\lambda)^2(1 - 2\delta)^{2k}} + \frac{(\mu - 1)^2(|h_1|^2 + |p_1|^2)^2}{16(\mu - \lambda)^4(1 - 2\delta)^{2k}}}.$$
(26)

Hence

$$|b_{1}| \leq \min\left[\frac{|h_{2}|+|p_{2}|}{2|(\mu-2\lambda)(1-2\delta)^{k}|}, \sqrt{\frac{|h_{2}|^{2}+|p_{2}|^{2}}{2(\mu-2\lambda)^{2}(1-2\delta)^{2k}}} + \frac{(\mu-1)^{2}(|h_{1}|^{2}+|p_{1}|^{2})^{2}}{16(\mu-\lambda)^{4}(1-2\delta)^{2k}}\right].$$
(27)

This complete the proof.

3. Corollaries and Consequences

If we take k = 0 in Theorem 2.1, then obtain following Corollary. **Corollary 3.1** [13] Let f(z) of the form (1) be in the class $\Sigma_M^{h,p}(\mu, \lambda)$, $\lambda \ge 1, \lambda > \mu, \ge 0$. Then

$$|b_0| \le min\left[\sqrt{\frac{|h_1|^2 + |p_1|^2}{2(\mu - \lambda)^2}}, \sqrt{\frac{|h_2| + |p_2|}{|(\mu - 2\lambda)(\mu - 1)|}}\right]$$

Nepal Journal of Mathematical Sciences (NJMS), Vol. 2, No. 2, 2021 (August): 1-6

and
$$|b_1| \le \min\left[\frac{|h_2|+|p_2|}{2|(\mu-2\lambda)|}, \sqrt{\frac{|h_2|^2+|p_2|^2}{2(\mu-2\lambda)^2}} + \frac{(\mu-1)^2(|h_1|^2+|p_1|^2)^2}{16(\mu-\lambda)^4}\right]$$

Remark 3.1 Corollary 3.1 is an improvement result of result obtained by Orhan [10] in Theorem 1.2.

If we take
$$h(z) = p(z) = \left(\frac{1+\frac{1}{z}}{1-\frac{1}{z}}\right)^{\alpha} = 1 + \frac{2\alpha}{z} + \frac{2\alpha^2}{z^2} + \dots (0 < \alpha \le 1, z \in \Delta)$$
, in Theorem 2.1, then we

obtain following result.

Corollary 3.2 Let f(z) of the form (1) belong to the class $\Sigma_M^*(k, \delta, \mu, \lambda, \alpha)$, then

$$|b_0| \leq \min\left[\frac{2\alpha}{|(\mu-\lambda)(1-\delta)^k|}, \frac{2\alpha}{\sqrt{|(\mu-2\lambda)(\mu-1)(1-\delta)^{2k}|}}\right]$$

and

$$|b_1| \le \min\left[\frac{2\alpha^2}{|(\mu-2\lambda)(1-2\delta)^k|}, 2\alpha^2\sqrt{\frac{1}{(\mu-2\lambda)^2(1-2\delta)^{2k}} + \frac{(\mu-1)^2}{(\mu-\lambda)^4(1-2\delta)^{2k}}}\right]$$

Remark 3.2 Corollary 3.2 is an improvement result of result obtained by Bobalade and Sangle [4] in Theorem 2.6.

If we take k = 0 in Corollary 3.2, then we obtain following Corollary. **Corollary 3.3** [13] Let f(z) of the form (1) belong to the class $\Sigma_M^*(\mu, \lambda, \beta)$, then

$$|b_0| \leq \min\left[\frac{2\alpha}{(\lambda-\mu)}, \frac{2\alpha}{\sqrt{|(\mu-2\lambda)(\mu-1)|}}\right]$$

and

$$|b_1| \le \min\left[\frac{2\alpha^2}{(2\lambda-\mu)}, 2\alpha^2\sqrt{\frac{1}{(\mu-2\lambda)^2} + \frac{(\mu-1)^2}{(\mu-\lambda)^4}}\right].$$

If we take
$$h(z) = p(z) = \frac{1 + \frac{(1-2\beta)}{z}}{1 - \frac{1}{z}} = 1 + \frac{2(1-\beta)}{z} + \frac{2(1-\beta)}{z^2} + \dots \quad (0 \le \beta < 1, z \in \Delta)$$
, in Theorem

2.1, then we obtain following result.

Corollary 3.4 Let f(z) of the form (1) be in the class $\Sigma_M^*(k, \delta, \mu, \lambda, \beta)$, then

$$|b_0| \leq \min\left[\frac{2(1-\beta)}{|(\mu-\lambda)(1-\delta)^k|}, \sqrt{\frac{4(1-\beta)}{|(\mu-2\lambda)(\mu-1)(1-\delta)^{2k}|}}\right]$$

and

$$|b_1| \le \min\left[\frac{2(1-\beta)}{|(\mu-2\lambda)(1-2\delta)^k|}, 2(1-\beta)\sqrt{\frac{1}{(\mu-2\lambda)^2(1-2\delta)^{2k}} + \frac{(1-\beta)^2(\mu-1)^2}{(\mu-\lambda)^4(1-2\delta)^{2k}}}\right]$$

Remark 3.3 Corollary 3.4 is an improvement result of result obtained by Bobalade and Sangle [4] in Theorem 2.3.

If we take k = 0 in Corollary 3.4, then we get following Corollary . Corollary 3.5 [13] Let f(z) of the form (1) belong to the class $\Sigma_M^*(\mu, \lambda, \beta)$, then

$$|b_0| \le \min\left[\frac{2(1-\beta)}{(\lambda-\mu)}, 2\sqrt{\frac{(1-\beta)}{|(\mu-2\lambda)(\mu-1)|}}\right]$$

and

$$|b_1| \le \min\left[\frac{2(1-\beta)}{(2\lambda-\mu)}, 2(1-\beta)\sqrt{\frac{1}{(\mu-2\lambda)^2} + \frac{(1-\beta)^2(\mu-1)^2}{(\mu-\lambda)^4}}\right]$$

Acknowledgment:

The authors wish to thank the referee, for the careful reading of the paper and for the helpful suggestions and comments.

D. D. Bobalade and N. D. Sangle / Certain Subclasses of Bi-Univalent and Meromorphic Functions Defined ...

References

- Ai-Oboudi, F. M. (2004). On univalent functions defined by a generalized Salagean operator. *Int.J. of Math. And Math. Sci.*, 27: 1429-1436.
- [2] Brannan, D. A. and Taha, T. S. (1986). On some classes of bi-univalent functions. *Studia Univ. Babes-Bolyai Math.*, 31(2): 70-77.
- [3] Bobalade, D. D. and Sangle, N. D. (2019). Coefficient estimates for a certain subclass of bi-univalent functions defined by multiplier transformation. International Journal of Research and Analytical Reviews, 6(1): 123-128.
- [4] Bobalade, D. D. and Sangle, N. D. (2021). Certain subclasses of bi-univalent and meromorphic functions associated with al-oboudi differential operator. *International Journal of Mathematics Trends and Technology*, 67(5): 86-89.
- [5] Duren, P. L. (1983). Univalent functions (Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 259, Springer-Verlag, New York, Berlin, Heidelberg and Tokyo).
- [6] Frasin, B. A. and Aouf, M. K. (2011). New subclasses of bi-univalent functions. *Appl. Math. Lett.*, 24: 1569-1573.
- [7] Hamidi, S. G., Halim, S. A. and Jahangiri, J. M. (2013). Coefficient estimates for a class of meromorphic bi-univalent functions. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. 1, 351: 349-352.
- [8] Janani, T. and Murugusundaramoorthy, G. (2014). Coefficient estimates of meromorphic bi-starlike functions of complex order. *Internat. J. Anal. Appl.*, 4(1): 68-77.
- Kubota, Y. (1977). Coefficients of meromorphic univalent functions. *Kodai Mathematical Seminar Report*, 28: 253-261.
- [10] Orhan, H., Mangesh, N. and Balaji, V. K. (2014). Initial coefficient bounds for certain subclasses of meromorphic bi-univalent functions. *Asian European J.Math.*, 7(1): 1-9.
- [11] Patil, A.B. and Naik, U.H. (2017). Coefficient estimates for a new subclass of meromorphic bi-univalent functions defined by Al-Oboudi differential operator. *Global Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 13(9): 4405-4414.
- [12] Safa S. and Ahmad Z. (2017). Coefficient estimates for certain subclass of meromorphic and bi-univalent functions. *Commun. Korean Math. Soc.*, 32(2): 389-397.
- [13] Saideh H. and Ahmad Z. (2019). Coefficients estimates for certain subclass of meromorphic and bi-univalent functions. *Commun. Fac. Sci. Univ. Ank. Ser. A1 Math. Stat.*, 68(1): 654-662.
- [14] Schiffer, M. (1938). Surun probleme dextremum de la representation conforme. *Bull. Soc. Math.* France, 66: 48-55.
- [15] Springer, G. (1951). The Coefficients problem for Schlicht mappings of the exterior of the unit circle. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 70: 421-450.
- [16] Srivastava, H. M., Mishra, A. K. and Gochhayat, P. (2010). Certain subclasses of analytic and bi-univalent functions. *Applied Mathematics Letters*, 23: 1188-1192.
- [17] Srivastava, H. M., Joshi, S.B., Joshi, S. S. and Haridas, P. (2016). Coefficient Estimates for Certain Subclasses of Meromorphically Bi-Univalent Functions. *Palestine Journal of Mathematics*, 5(1): 250-258.
- [18] Suzeini, A.H., Samaneh, G. H. and Ravichandran, V. (2011). Coefficient Estimates for Meromorphic Bi-Univalent Functions. *arXiv*:1108.4089v1, 1–9.