

 *Nepal Journal of Mathematical Sciences (NJMS) ISSN***: 2738-9928 (***online***), 2738-9812 (***print***)** *Vol. 2***,** *No. 2***,** *2021 (August): 1-6* DOI: https://doi.org/10.3126/njmathsci.v2i2.40031 *School of Mathematical Sciences***,** *Tribhuvan University***,** *Kathmandu***,** *Nepal*

Research Article *Received Date: May 28***,** *2021 Accepted Date: July 25***,** *2021 Published Date: August 30***,** *2021*

Certain Subclasses of Bi-Univalent and Meromorphic Functions Defined By Al-Oboudi Differential Operator

D. D. Bobalade and N. D. Sangle

 Department of Mathematics, Shivaji University, Kolhapur, Maharashtra, India- 416004 Department of Mathematics, D.Y. Patil College of Engineering and Technology Kasaba Bawada, Kolhapur, Maharashtra, India 416006 **Email:** ¹*dnyaneshwar.boblade@gmail.com,* ²*navneet_sangle@rediffmail.com*

Abstract: In this paper, we introduce a subclass $\sum_{M}^{n,p} (k, \delta, \mu, \lambda)$ of bi-univalent and meromorphic *functions by using Al-Oboudi differential operator on* $\Delta = \{ z \in \mathbb{C} : 1 < |z| < \infty \}$. Also we obtain bounds of *coefficients* $|b_0|$ and $|b_1|$ for functions belongs to $\sum_M^{n,p}(k,\delta,\mu,\lambda)$. The results obtained in this paper are *more better and generalized of previous results of various author.*

Keywords: *Harmonic functions*, *Univalent functions*, *Differential operator*, *Extreme points*.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 30C45, 30C50.

1. Introduction

Let Σ be the class of functions f of the form

$$
f(z) = z + \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{b_l}{z^l},\tag{1}
$$

which are meromorphic univalent in the domain $\Delta = \{ z \in \mathbb{C} : 1 < |z| < \infty \}$. Since every function f belong to Σ has an inverse function f^{-1} exist and inverse function satisfies conditions: $f^{-1}(f(z)) = z (z \in \Delta)$

$$
\quad \text{and} \quad
$$

$$
f(f^{-1}(w)) = w, \ w \in \Delta \quad (M < |w| < \infty, \ M > 0),
$$

where

$$
f^{-1}(w) = q(w) = w - b_0 - \frac{b_1}{w} - \frac{b_2 + b_0 b_1}{w^2} - \frac{b_3 + 2b_0 b_2 + b_0^2 b_1 + b_1^2}{w^3} + \cdots
$$
 (2)

A function $f \in \Sigma$ is said to be meromorphic bi-univalent in Δ if both f and f^{-1} are meromorphic univalent in Δ . The class of meromorphic bi-univalent functions of the form (1) in Δ is denoted by Σ_M .

Srivastava et al. [17], Safa Salehian and Ahmad Zireh [12], Hamidi et al. [7], Amol Patil and Uday Naik [11] and many other researchers (see [4, 5, 8, 9, 10, 14, 15, 18]) have introduced new subclasses of meromorphically bi-univalent functions and obtained estimates on the initial coefficients for functions in each of these subclasses.

Let A denote the class of analytic functions $h(z)$ of the form

$$
h(z) = z + \sum_{l=2}^{\infty} a_l z^l \tag{3}
$$

defined in the unit disc $\mathbb{U} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ with normalization $h(0) = h_z(0) - 1 = 0$. Let the class of all normalized analytic univalent functions in the unit disc $\mathbb U$ is denoted by S. A function $h \in \mathcal A$ is said to

be bi-univalent in $\mathbb U$ if both h and h^{-1} are univalent in $\mathbb U$. Let the class of analytic bi-univalent functions is denoted by Σ' . Brannan and Taha [2], Srivastava et al. [16] and many other researchers (see [3, 6]) introduced certain subclasses of bi-univalent function class Σ' .

Now, Al-Oboudi [1] introduced the Al-Oboudi operator D_{δ}^{k} : $\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ and defined as

 $D^k h(z) = D_{\delta}^k h(z) = z + \sum_{l=2}^{\infty} [1 + (l-1)\delta]^k a_l z^l, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\},\$ where $h \in \mathcal{A}$ of the form (3).

Amol Patil and Uday Naik [11] extend the Al-Oboudi operator D_{δ}^{k} : $\Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$ and defined as

 $D^{k} f(z) = D_{\delta}^{k} f(z) = z + (1 - \delta)^{k} b_{0} + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} [1 - (l+1)\delta]^{k} b_{l} z^{-l}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_{0} = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\},\$ where $f \in \Sigma$ of the form (1).

In 2019, Saideh Hajiparvaneh and Ahmad Zireh [13] define the subclass $\Sigma_M^{h,p}(\mu, \lambda)$ consisting of meromorphic functions $f(z)$ of the form (1) satisfies the following conditions:

$$
f \in \Sigma_M, \left[(1 - \lambda) \left(\frac{f(z)}{z} \right)^{\mu} + \lambda f'(z) \left(\frac{f(z)}{z} \right)^{\mu - 1} \right] \in h(\Delta)
$$

and

$$
\left[(1 - \lambda) \left(\frac{q(w)}{w} \right)^{\mu} + \lambda q'(w) \left(\frac{q(w)}{w} \right)^{\mu - 1} \right] \in p(\Delta),
$$

where q is function given by (2) .

Motivated by the aforecited works, we introduce new subclasses of bi-univalent and meromorphic functions by using Al-Oboudi Differential operator. Also obtain the coefficient bounds $|b_0|$ and $|b_1|$ for functions in this new subclasses.

2. Coefficient Estimates

Definition 2.1 Let the analytic functions h, $p: \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be

$$
h(z) = 1 + \frac{h_1}{z} + \frac{h_2}{z^2} + \frac{h_3}{z^3} + \cdots
$$
, $p(z) = 1 + \frac{p_1}{z} + \frac{p_2}{z^2} + \frac{p_3}{z^3} + \cdots$,

such that

 $min{\Re(h(z)), \Re(p(z))} > 0$ ($z \in \Delta$).

Definition 2.2 A function $f(z)$ of the form (1) is said to be in the class $\Sigma_M^{h,p}(k, \delta, \mu, \lambda)$, $\{0\}, \lambda \geq 1, \lambda > \mu, \mu \geq 0$ and $\delta > 1$ if satisfies the following conditions :

$$
f \in \Sigma_M, \left[(1 - \lambda) \left(\frac{D_\delta^k f(z)}{z} \right)^\mu + \lambda \left(D_\delta^k f(z) \right)' \left(\frac{D_\delta^k f(z)}{z} \right)^{\mu - 1} \right] \in h(\Delta)
$$
\n⁽⁴⁾

and

$$
\left[(1 - \lambda) \left(\frac{D_{\delta}^{k} q(w)}{w} \right)^{\mu} + \lambda \left(D_{\delta}^{k} q(w) \right)' \left(\frac{D_{\delta}^{k} q(w)}{w} \right)^{\mu - 1} \right] \in p(\Delta), \tag{5}
$$

where q is the function given by (2).

For $k = 0$, the class $\Sigma_M^{h,p}(k, \delta, \mu, \lambda)$ become $\Sigma_M^{h,p}(\mu, \lambda)$, studied by Saideh Hajiparvaneh and Ahmad Zireh [13].

Remark 2.1 For various choices of h and p, we get various subclasses of class $\Sigma_M^{h,p}(k, \delta, \mu, \lambda)$ as follows: $(1, 1)$ α

If take
$$
h(z) = p(z) = \left(\frac{1+z}{1-\frac{1}{z}}\right) = 1 + \frac{2\alpha}{z} + \frac{2\alpha^2}{z^2} + \cdots
$$
 $(0 < \alpha \le 1, z \in \Delta)$ in Definition 2.2, then

we get subclass $\Sigma_M^{h,p}(k, \delta, \mu, \lambda) = \Sigma_M^*(k, \delta, \mu, \lambda, \alpha)$, studied by Bobalade and Sangle [4].

Definition 2.3 [4] A function
$$
f(z) \in \Sigma_M^{n,p}(k, \delta, \mu, \lambda)
$$
 of the form (1) belongs to the class $\Sigma_M^*(k, \delta, \mu, \lambda, \alpha)$ if

$$
f \in \Sigma_M, \left| \arg \left[(1 - \lambda) \left(\frac{D_\delta^k f(z)}{z} \right)^\mu + \lambda \left(D_\delta^k f(z) \right)' \left(\frac{D_\delta^k f(z)}{z} \right)^{\mu - 1} \right] \right| < \frac{\alpha \pi}{2} \quad (z \in \Delta)
$$

*Nepal Journal of Mathematical Sciences (NJMS)***,** *Vol. 2***,** *No. 2* **,** *2021 (August): 1-6*

and

$$
\left| \arg \left[(1 - \lambda) \left(\frac{D_{\delta}^{k} q(w)}{w} \right)^{\mu} + \lambda \left(D_{\delta}^{k} q(w) \right)' \left(\frac{D_{\delta}^{k} q(w)}{w} \right)^{\mu - 1} \right] \right| < \frac{\alpha \pi}{2} \quad (w \in \Delta),
$$
\nwhere $k \in \mathbb{N}_{0} = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, \lambda \geq 1, \lambda > \mu, \mu \geq 0, \delta > 1$ and $0 < \alpha \leq 1$.

Remark 2.2 If take $h(z) = p(z) = \frac{1 + \frac{(1 - 2\beta)}{z}}{1 - \frac{1}{z}}$ $\frac{z}{1-\frac{1}{z}} = 1 + \frac{2(1-\beta)}{z} + \frac{2(1-\beta)}{z^2} + \cdots$ $(0 \le \beta < 1, z \in \Delta)$ in Definition Z

2.2, then we get subclass $\Sigma_M^{n,p}(k, \delta, \mu, \lambda) = \Sigma_M^*(k, \delta, \mu, \lambda, \beta)$, studied by Bobalade and Sangle [4].

Definition 2.4 [4] A function $f(z) \in \sum_{M}^{n,p}(k, \delta, \mu, \lambda)$ of the form (1) belongs to the class $\sum_{M}^{*}(k, \delta, \mu, \lambda, \beta)$ if

$$
f \in \Sigma_M, \Re\left[(1-\lambda) \left(\frac{D_\delta^k f(z)}{z} \right)^\mu + \lambda \left(D_\delta^k f(z) \right)' \left(\frac{D_\delta^k f(z)}{z} \right)^{\mu-1} \right] > \beta \quad (z \in \Delta)
$$

and

$$
\Re\left[\left(1-\lambda\right)\left(\frac{D_{\delta}^{k}q(w)}{w}\right)^{\mu}+\lambda\left(D_{\delta}^{k}q(w)\right)^{\prime}\left(\frac{D_{\delta}^{k}q(w)}{w}\right)^{\mu-1}\right] > \beta \quad (w \in \Delta),
$$

where $k \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, \lambda \ge 1, \lambda > \mu, \mu \ge 0, \delta > 1$ and $0 \le \beta < 1$.

If we put $k = 0$ in the classes $\Sigma_M^*(k, \delta, \mu, \lambda, \alpha)$ and $\Sigma_M^*(k, \delta, \mu, \lambda, \beta)$, then we get two classes $\Sigma_M^*(\mu, \lambda, \alpha)$ and $\Sigma_M^*(\mu, \lambda, \beta)$ respectively, studied by Orhan et al. [10].

Theorem 2.1 *Let* $f(z)$ *of the form* (1) *belong to the class* $\sum_{M}^{h,p}(k, \delta, \mu, \lambda)$. *Then* $|b_0| \leq min \left| \sqrt{\frac{|h_1|^2 + |p_1|^2}{2(\mu - \lambda)^2 (1 - \delta)^{2k}}}, \sqrt{\frac{|h_2| + |p_2|}{|(\mu - 2\lambda)(\mu - 1)(1 - \delta)^{2k}}}\right|$ (6)

and

$$
|b_1| \le \min\left[\frac{|h_2|+|p_2|}{2|(\mu-2\lambda)(1-2\delta)^k|}, \sqrt{\frac{|h_2|^2+|p_2|^2}{2(\mu-2\lambda)^2(1-2\delta)^{2k}}} + \frac{(\mu-1)^2(|h_1|^2+|p_1|^2)^2}{16(\mu-\lambda)^4(1-2\delta)^{2k}}\right].\tag{7}
$$

Proof. From conditions (4) and (5), we have

$$
(1 - \lambda) \left(\frac{D_{\delta}^k f(z)}{z}\right)^{\mu} + \lambda \left(D_{\delta}^k f(z)\right)^{\prime} \left(\frac{D_{\delta}^k f(z)}{z}\right)^{\mu - 1} = h(z) \ (z \in \Delta)
$$
 (8)

and

$$
(1 - \lambda) \left(\frac{D_{\delta}^{k} q(w)}{w}\right)^{\mu} + \lambda \left(D_{\delta}^{k} q(w)\right)^{\prime} \left(\frac{D_{\delta}^{k} q(w)}{w}\right)^{\mu - 1} = p(w) \quad (w \in \Delta),
$$
\n(9)

where $h(z)$ and $p(w)$ are functions such that it's real part positive in Δ and have forms

$$
h(z) = 1 + \frac{h_1}{z} + \frac{h_2}{z^2} + \frac{h_3}{z^3} + \dotsb \tag{10}
$$

and

$$
p(w) = 1 + \frac{p_1}{w} + \frac{p_2}{w^2} + \frac{p_3}{w^3} + \cdots
$$
 (11)

Implies

$$
(1 - \lambda) \left(\frac{D_{\delta}^{k} f(z)}{z}\right)^{\mu} + \lambda \left(D_{\delta}^{k} f(z)\right)^{\prime} \left(\frac{D_{\delta}^{k} f(z)}{z}\right)^{\mu - 1} = 1 + \frac{h_{1}}{z} + \frac{h_{2}}{z^{2}} + \frac{h_{3}}{z^{3}} + \cdots, (z \in \Delta)
$$
 (12)

and

$$
(1 - \lambda) \left(\frac{D_{\delta}^{k} q(w)}{w}\right)^{\mu} + \lambda \left(D_{\delta}^{k} q(w)\right)' \left(\frac{D_{\delta}^{k} q(w)}{w}\right)^{\mu - 1} = 1 + \frac{p_1}{w} + \frac{p_2}{w^2} + \frac{p_3}{w^3} + \dots (w \in \Delta). \tag{13}
$$

Now, equating the coefficients in equation (12) and (13), we obtain

$$
(\mu - \lambda)(1 - \delta)^k b_0 = h_1,\tag{14}
$$

$$
(\mu - 2\lambda) \left[(1 - 2\delta)^k b_1 + \left(\frac{\mu - 1}{2} \right) (1 - \delta)^{2k} b_0^2 \right] = h_2,\tag{15}
$$

$$
-(\mu - \lambda)(1 - \delta)^k b_0 = p_1 \tag{16}
$$

and

$$
(\mu - 2\lambda) \left[-(1 - 2\delta)^k b_1 + \left(\frac{\mu - 1}{2} \right) (1 - \delta)^{2k} b_0^2 \right] = p_2.
$$
 (17)

From equation (14) and equation (17), we get

$$
h_1 = -p_1 \tag{18}
$$

and

$$
2(\mu - \lambda)^2 (1 - \delta)^{2k} b_0^2 = h_1^2 + p_1^2.
$$
 (19)

By adding equation (15) to equation (17), we get

$$
(\mu - 2\lambda)(\mu - 1)(1 - \delta)^{2k}b_0^2 = h_2 + p_2.
$$
 (20)

Therefore, From equation (19), we get

$$
b_0^2 = \frac{h_1^2 + p_1^2}{2(\mu - \lambda)^2 (1 - \delta)^{2k}}\tag{21}
$$

and from equation (20), we get

$$
b_0^2 = \frac{h_2 + p_2}{(\mu - 2\lambda)(\mu - 1)(1 - \delta)^{2k}}.\tag{22}
$$

Hence, from (21) and (22), we find that

$$
|b_0|^2 \le \frac{|h_1|^2 + |p_1|^2}{2(\mu - \lambda)^2 (1 - \delta)^{2k}}
$$

and

$$
|b_0|^2 \le \frac{|h_2|+|p_2|}{|(\mu-2\lambda)(\mu-1)(1-\delta)^{2k}|}.
$$

Hence

$$
|b_0| \leq \min\left[\sqrt{\frac{|h_1|^2 + |p_1|^2}{2(\mu - \lambda)^2 (1 - \delta)^{2k}}}, \sqrt{\frac{|h_2| + |p_2|}{|(\mu - 2\lambda)(\mu - 1)(1 - \delta)^{2k}|}}\right].
$$

Now, subtracting equation (17) from equation (15), we obtain

$$
2(\mu - 2\lambda)(1 - 2\delta)^k b_1 = h_2 - p_2.
$$
 (23)

By squaring and adding equations (15) and (17), we get new equation. Using equation (19) in new equation, we obtain

$$
b_1^2 = \frac{h_2^2 + p_2^2}{2(\mu - 2\lambda)^2 (1 - 2\delta)^{2k}} + \frac{(\mu - 1)^2 (h_1^2 + p_1^2)^2}{16(\mu - \lambda)^4 (1 - 2\delta)^{2k}}.
$$
(24)

By using equations (10), (11) in equation (23) and (24), finally we yield

$$
|b_1| \le \frac{|h_2| + |p_2|}{2|(\mu - 2\lambda)(1 - 2\delta)^k|} \tag{25}
$$

and

$$
|b_1| \le \sqrt{\frac{|h_2|^2 + |p_2|^2}{2(\mu - 2\lambda)^2 (1 - 2\delta)^{2k}} + \frac{(\mu - 1)^2 (|h_1|^2 + |p_1|^2)^2}{16(\mu - \lambda)^4 (1 - 2\delta)^{2k}}}.
$$
\n(26)

Hence

$$
|b_1| \le \min\left[\frac{|h_2|+|p_2|}{2|(\mu-2\lambda)(1-2\delta)^k|}, \sqrt{\frac{|h_2|^2+|p_2|^2}{2(\mu-2\lambda)^2(1-2\delta)^{2k}}} + \frac{(\mu-1)^2(|h_1|^2+|p_1|^2)^2}{16(\mu-\lambda)^4(1-2\delta)^{2k}}\right].\tag{27}
$$

This complete the proof.

3. Corollaries and Consequences

If we take $k = 0$ in Theorem 2.1, then obtain following Corollary. **Corollary 3.1** [13] *Let f(z) of the form (1) be in the class* $\sum_{M}^{h,p} (\mu, \lambda)$, $\lambda \ge 1, \lambda > \mu, \ge 0$. Then

$$
|b_0| \le \min\left[\sqrt{\frac{|h_1|^2 + |p_1|^2}{2(\mu - \lambda)^2}}, \sqrt{\frac{|h_2| + |p_2|}{|(\mu - 2\lambda)(\mu - 1)}\right}]
$$

*Nepal Journal of Mathematical Sciences (NJMS)***,** *Vol. 2***,** *No. 2* **,** *2021 (August): 1-6*

and
$$
|b_1| \leq min \left[\frac{|h_2| + |p_2|}{2|(\mu - 2\lambda)|}, \sqrt{\frac{|h_2|^2 + |p_2|^2}{2(\mu - 2\lambda)^2} + \frac{(\mu - 1)^2 (|h_1|^2 + |p_1|^2)^2}{16(\mu - \lambda)^4}} \right].
$$

Remark 3.1 Corollary 3.1 is an improvement result of result obtained by Orhan [10] in Theorem 1.2.

If we take
$$
h(z) = p(z) = \left(\frac{1+\frac{1}{z}}{1-\frac{1}{z}}\right)^{\alpha} = 1 + \frac{2\alpha}{z} + \frac{2\alpha^2}{z^2} + \dots (0 < \alpha \le 1, z \in \Delta)
$$
, in Theorem 2.1, then we

obtain following result.

Corollary 3.2 *Let* $f(z)$ *of the form* (1) *belong to the class* $\sum_{M}^{*}(k, \delta, \mu, \lambda, \alpha)$, *then*

$$
|b_0| \le \min\left[\frac{2\alpha}{|(\mu-\lambda)(1-\delta)^k|}, \frac{2\alpha}{\sqrt{|(\mu-2\lambda)(\mu-1)(1-\delta)^{2k}|}}\right]
$$

]

and

$$
|b_1| \le \min\left[\frac{2\alpha^2}{\left|(\mu - 2\lambda)(1 - 2\delta)^k\right|}, 2\alpha^2 \sqrt{\frac{1}{(\mu - 2\lambda)^2 (1 - 2\delta)^{2k}}} + \frac{(\mu - 1)^2}{(\mu - \lambda)^4 (1 - 2\delta)^{2k}}\right].
$$

Remark 3.2 Corollary 3.2 is an improvement result of result obtained by Bobalade and Sangle [4] in Theorem 2.6.

If we take $k = 0$ in Corollary 3.2, then we obtain following Corollary. **Corollary 3.3** [13] *Let* $f(z)$ *of the form* (1) *belong to the class* $\Sigma_M^*(\mu, \lambda, \beta)$ *, then*

$$
|b_0|\leq \min\left[\tfrac{2\alpha}{(\lambda-\mu)},\tfrac{2\alpha}{\sqrt{|(\mu-2\lambda)(\mu-1)|}}\right]
$$

and

$$
|b_1| \le \min\left[\frac{2\alpha^2}{(2\lambda - \mu)}, 2\alpha^2 \sqrt{\frac{1}{(\mu - 2\lambda)^2} + \frac{(\mu - 1)^2}{(\mu - \lambda)^4}}\right].
$$

If we take
$$
h(z) = p(z) = \frac{1 + \frac{(1 - 2\beta)}{z}}{1 - \frac{1}{z}} = 1 + \frac{2(1 - \beta)}{z} + \frac{2(1 - \beta)}{z^2} + \cdots
$$
 $(0 \le \beta < 1, z \in \Delta)$, in Theorem

2.1, then we obtain following result.

Corollary 3.4 *Let* $f(z)$ *of the form* (1) *be in the class* $\Sigma_M^*(k, \delta, \mu, \lambda, \beta)$ *, then*

$$
|b_0|\leq min\left[\frac{2(1-\beta)}{|(\mu-\lambda)(1-\delta)^k|},\sqrt{\frac{4(1-\beta)}{|(\mu-2\lambda)(\mu-1)(1-\delta)^{2k}|}}\,\right]
$$

and

$$
|b_1| \le \min\left[\frac{2(1-\beta)}{|(\mu-2\lambda)(1-2\delta)^k|}, 2(1-\beta)\sqrt{\frac{1}{(\mu-2\lambda)^2(1-2\delta)^{2k}} + \frac{(1-\beta)^2(\mu-1)^2}{(\mu-\lambda)^4(1-2\delta)^{2k}}}\right].
$$

Remark 3.3 Corollary 3.4 is an improvement result of result obtained by Bobalade and Sangle [4] in Theorem 2.3.

If we take $k = 0$ in Corollary 3.4, then we get following Corollary. **Corollary 3.5** [13] *Let f(z) of the form (1) belong to the class* $\sum_{M}^{*} (\mu, \lambda, \beta)$, *then*

$$
|b_0| \le \min\left[\frac{2(1-\beta)}{(\lambda-\mu)}, 2\sqrt{\frac{(1-\beta)}{|(\mu-2\lambda)(\mu-1)|}}\right]
$$

and

$$
|b_1| \le \min\left[\frac{2(1-\beta)}{(2\lambda-\mu)}, 2(1-\beta)\sqrt{\frac{1}{(\mu-2\lambda)^2} + \frac{(1-\beta)^2(\mu-1)^2}{(\mu-\lambda)^4}}\right].
$$

Acknowledgment:

The authors wish to thank the referee, for the careful reading of the paper and for the helpful suggestions and comments.

D. D. Bobalade and N. D. Sangle / Certain Subclasses of Bi-Univalent and Meromorphic Functions Defined …

References

- [1] Ai-Oboudi, F. M. **(2004)**. On univalent functions defined by a generalized Salagean operator. *Int.J. of Math. And Math. Sci*., **27:** 1429-1436.
- [2] Brannan, D. A. and Taha, T. S. **(1986)**. On some classes of bi-univalent functions. *Studia Univ. Babes-Bolyai Math.*, **31**(2): 70-77.
- [3] Bobalade, D. D. and Sangle, N. D. **(2019)**. *Coefficient estimates for a certain subclass of bi-univalent functions defined by multiplier transformation*. International Journal of Research and Analytical Reviews, **6**(1): 123-128.
- [4] Bobalade, D. D. and Sangle, N. D. **(2021)**. Certain subclasses of bi-univalent and meromorphic functions associated with al-oboudi differential operator. *International Journal of Mathematics Trends and Technology*, **67**(5): 86-89.
- [5] Duren, P. L. **(1983)**. Univalent functions (Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 259, *Springer-Verlag*, New York, Berlin, Heidelberg and Tokyo).
- [6] Frasin, B. A. and Aouf, M. K. **(2011)**. New subclasses of bi-univalent functions. *Appl. Math. Lett.*, **24**: 1569-1573.
- [7] Hamidi, S. G., Halim, S. A. and Jahangiri, J. M. **(2013)**. *Coefficient estimates for a class of meromorphic bi-univalent functions.* C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser*. I*, **351**: 349-352.
- [8] Janani, T. and Murugusundaramoorthy, G. **(2014)**. Coefficient estimates of meromorphic bi-starlike functions of complex order. *Internat. J. Anal. Appl.*, **4**(1): 68-77.
- [9] Kubota, Y. **(1977)**. Coefficients of meromorphic univalent functions. *Kodai Mathematical Seminar Report*, **28**: 253-261.
- [10] Orhan, H., Mangesh, N. and Balaji, V. K. **(2014)**. Initial coefficient bounds for certain subclasses of meromorphic bi-univalent functions. *Asian European J.Math.*, **7**(1): 1-9.
- [11] Patil, A.B. and Naik, U.H. **(2017)**. Coefficient estimates for a new subclass of meromorphic bi-univalent functions defined by Al-Oboudi differential operator. *Global Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, **13**(9): 4405-4414.
- [12] Safa S. and Ahmad Z. **(2017)**. Coefficient estimates for certain subclass of meromorphic and bi-univalent functions. *Commun. Korean Math. Soc.*, **32**(2): 389-397.
- [13] Saideh H. and Ahmad Z. **(2019)**. Coefficients estimates for certain subclass of meromorphic and bi-univalent functions. *Commun. Fac. Sci. Univ. Ank. Ser. A1 Math. Stat.*, **68**(1): 654-662.
- [14] Schiffer, M. **(1938)**. Surun probleme dextremum de la representation conforme. *Bull. Soc. Math.* France, **66**: 48-55.
- [15] Springer, G. **(1951)**. The Coefficients problem for Schlicht mappings of the exterior of the unit circle. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **70**: 421-450.
- [16] Srivastava, H. M., Mishra, A. K. and Gochhayat, P. **(2010)**. Certain subclasses of analytic and bi-univalent functions. *Applied Mathematics Letters*, **23**: 1188-1192.
- [17] Srivastava, H. M., Joshi, S.B., Joshi, S. S. and Haridas, P. **(2016)**. Coefficient Estimates for Certain Subclasses of Meromorphically Bi-Univalent Functions. *Palestine Journal of Mathematics*, **5**(1): 250-258.
- [18] Suzeini, A.H., Samaneh, G. H. and Ravichandran, V. **(2011)**. Coefficient Estimates for Meromorphic Bi-Univalent Functions. *arXiv*:1108.4089v1, 1–9.

◫