

Adherence to Buprenorphine Maintenance Treatment Program in Western Nepal

Leepa Vaidya¹, Rajan Sharma¹, Lumeshwor Acharya², Nirmal Lamichhane³, Ravi Raj Timasina³

Authors Affiliations

¹Pokhara Academy of Health Sciences

²Kaski Model Hospital

³Gandaki Medical College

Correspondence:

Dr. Leepa Vaidya, MD

Senior Consultant Psychiatrist
Pokhara Academy of Health Sciences,
Pokhara, Nepal

Email: leepavaidya@gmail.com

Article received : 18th October, 2019

Article accepted : 18th December, 2019

ABSTRACT:

Background: Opioid use is a serious global problem and the problem is increasing over years. Buprenorphine has been approved as a substitution therapy in Nepal, but there are few long-term studies of its effectiveness. **Aim:** This study aimed to assess the 1-year efficacy of buprenorphine and reasons for dropout. **Materials and Methods:** This study was conducted at Western Regional Hospital, Pokhara from 29th August 2016 to 31st August 2017. The Buprenorphine Maintenance Treatment Program (BMT) was started on 29th August 2016 and all the clients enrolled in the program within 1 year of starting BMT were included in the study. **Results:** 75% of the enrolled clients dropped out in 1-year period. **Conclusion:** Adherence to Buprenorphine was better in flexible dose adjustment group. Combination of buprenorphine and psychosocial treatment would have been related with better outcome.

INTRODUCTION

Use of opioid and its dependence are global problems. The problem is increasing and is a matter of serious concern in Nepal as well. According to the Survey Report on Current Hard Drug Users in Nepal - 2069 done by Government of Nepal, Ministry of Home Affairs, there were altogether 91,534 current drug users in 2069 which is nearly a double of 46,309 in 2063. The highest number of the drug users were found in Kathmandu valley (36,998) followed by Sunsari: 7,407; Kaski: 6917.¹ Especially growing number of youth and children are getting involved in drug addiction.² About 70,390 drug users were below the age of 30. Among the total drug users, 57% (52,174) were IDUs and among them 13% shared needles with someone else.¹ The use of intravenous opioid is gradually increasing and so is the risk of transmitting blood borne illnesses like HIV, Hepatitis B and C as well as their participation

in criminal activities.

In 2014 the Ministry of Home Affairs approved the use of buprenorphine as substitution therapy under Harm Reduction Program.³ Since then it has come to its use. Buprenorphine is a semisynthetic opioid with partial agonist property, has been found to decrease craving and to block withdrawal symptoms in opioiddependent patients. Thus qualifying as an alternative to Methadone.^{4,5}

In western world, number of studies have shown Buprenorphine also to be effective in substitution treatment.⁶⁻⁹ Means of measuring effectiveness varied among studies but overall the variables considered were; treatment retention or dropout rate, reduction in abuse and diversion, improvements in quality of life, and reduction of morbidity, mortality or crimes related to substance use. Studies that found low retention rate in buprenorphine

substitution.¹⁰ were either because of low dosage of Buprenorphine or use of buprenorphine alone not in a combination with naloxone or because of fixed dosing schedule.¹¹⁻¹⁴

In India there is preponderance of studies on buprenorphine substitution therapy than other agents. It demonstrated good retention rate, reduction in abuse and improvement in quality of life.¹⁵ However, Indian studies showed high rates of retention even with low doses such that it was recommended in their guideline.¹⁶ But some argue that generalizing the result would be unacceptable as randomized controlled trials were not done to conclude it.¹⁷

Nepal has less experience with Buprenorphine. Therefore, there are few studies related with buprenorphine substitution therapy and non-regarding its effectiveness considering primary measures as mentioned above.^{18,19} Thus, this gap in evidences for buprenorphine substitution therapy in Nepal was one of the reasons for conduction of this study. Besides, this study would try to identify reasons for retention and dropouts which might be a help in formation of solid guideline for Buprenorphine substitution therapy in Nepal.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This is descriptive study which was conducted at Western Regional Hospital, Pokhara from 29th August 2016 to 31st August 2017. The Buprenorphine Maintenance Treatment Program (BMT) was started on 29th August 2016 and all the clients enrolled in the program within 1 year of starting BMT were included in the study. The sociodemographic profiles of all the included clients were collected, the dosage they were taking when they were continuing with the BMT program and even at time when they dropped out of the program were noted and the reason for dropping out were also noted.

RESULT

A total of 61 clients were enrolled within 1 year of the BMT program at the Western Regional Hospital.

Table 1.

	Retained (n=15)	Dropouts (n=46)
<u>Age</u>		
18-25	2 (13%)	21 (46%)
26-35	6 (40%)	22 (48%)
36-45	5 (34%)	3 (6%)
46-55	2 (13%)	
<u>Education</u>		
School	12 (80%)	35 (76%)
College	3 (20%)	11 (24%)
<u>Occupation</u>		
Unemployed	6 (40%)	30 (65%)
Employed	9 (60%)	16 (35%)
<u>Marital Status</u>		
Married	10 (67%)	20 (43%)
Unmarried	4 (27%)	24 (53%)
Divorced/ Separated	1(6%)	2 (4%)

Among 61 enrolled clients, 46 dropped out and only 15 clients continued with the OST program which meant 75% of the enrolled clients dropped out in 1-year period.

Table 2. dosage adjustments in retained and dropouts.

Dosage	retained	drop outs
Dose adjustment	5 (8%)	16 (26%)
Fixed	10 (17%)	30 (49%)
Total	15 (26%)	46 (75%)

This study also tried to assess the association of dosage adjustment (increase or decrease in dose or both) and dropout from the OST. In both the groups (retained and dropouts), dropout was more in those

who had fixed dosage but 26 out of 46 dropouts, left OST within 1 month of enrollment.

Table 3. List of reasons for dropout

Reason for discontinuation	no.
Misuse	10
Police custody	7
Could not tolerate	7
Rehabilitation center	5
Transfers (moved to different place)	5
Changed to Methadone	4
Drug free	4
Hospitalized	3
Unknown cause	2
Total	46

The main reason for drop out was found to be misuse of other psychoactive substances, arrest by police and admission in rehabilitation center. Few of them did not tolerate Buprenorphine and changed to Methadone or discontinued Buprenorphine.

DISCUSSION

Retention in this study was very less. Only 15 out of 61 enrolled clients continued to take Buprenorphine (24.6%) in 1year study period.75.4% dropped in one year out of which 42.6% dropped within a month of enrollment. In a study done by Andrew J. Saxona, 46% dropped out of buprenorphine/naloxone and 30% dropped out within 30 days of starting treatment.²⁰ It was found that age had a significant relation in discontinuing OST. Dropout was more frequent in younger age group (<35 years- 46%+48%). Similar finding was mentioned in a study done in Georgia, where patients >40 years had better adherence to treatment.²¹ Employment was again related to better outcome in retention. Working individuals were more in retention group and more unemployed in dropout group. However, level of education had no obvious relation with retention and dropout. In the current study, it was observed that retention was better in married individuals (67%) and dropout was more in single (unmarried/divorced/separated- 53%+4%) than married clients (43%).

A study done in Methadone suggested retention to

be better in those who decreased the dose gradually and maintained in lower doses of Methadone.²² Whereas the current study found dropout to be more in those who did not adjust the dose although they were in minimum dose (2mg). Also, dropout was more within 1 month of enrollment which was similarly found in one of the study where thirty percent dropped out within 30 days of starting treatment.²⁰

However, there was also a study which found higher doses to be more associated with good retention.²³The main reason for discontinuation of Buprenorphine were misuse of other psychoactive substance and arrest by police (also due to misuse). There were also few who could not tolerate the drug and changed to Methadone. Only four of them gradually tapered the dose and said to attain drug free life. Whatever the reason for discontinuation, 75.4% dropping out of treatment was a significantly high. In order to assess reason for the high percentage of drop out, possibility of lack of psychological intervention and follow up could have been one of the reasons. There were several studies stating outcome to be better with psychological interventions and follow ups.²²⁻²⁴

CONCLUSION

Adherence to Buprenorphine was better in flexible dose adjustment group and combination of buprenorphine and psychosocial treatment would have been related with better outcome.

REFERENCES

1. Survey Report on Current Hard Drug Users in Nepal - 2069 done by Government of Nepal, Ministry of Home Affairs
2. Ambekar A, Rao R, Pun A, Kumar S, Kishore K. The trajectory of methadone maintenance treatment in Nepal. *Int J Drug Policy*. 2013 Nov;24(6):e57-60 DOI: 10.1016/j.drugpo.2013.06.001.
3. Opioid substitution therapy in Nepal. Learnings from building a national programme
A publication in the German Health Practice Collection
www.health.bmz.de/ghpc
4. Ling W, Charuvastra C, Collins JF, Batki S, Brown LS Jr, Kintaudi P et al. Buprenorphine maintenance treatment of opiate dependence: A multicenter, randomized clinical trial. *Addiction*.1998Apr;

93(4). Research Library pg. 475

DOI:10.1046/j.1360-0443.1998.9344753.x

5. Pani PP, Maremmanni I, Pirastu R, Tagliamonte A, Gessa GL. Buprenorphine: A controlled trial in the treatment of opioid dependence. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence* 2000;60 (1):39–50.

6. Amass L, Kamien JB, Mikulich SK. Efficacy of daily and alternate-day dosing regimens with the combination buprenorphine-naloxone tablet. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*. 2000;58:143–152.

7. Bickel WK, Stitzer ML, Bigelow GE, Liebson IA, Jasinski DR, Johnson RE. A clinical trial of buprenorphine: Comparison with methadone in the detoxification of heroin addicts. *Clinical Pharmacology and Therapeutics* 1988;43 (1):72–8.

DOI:10.1038/clpt.1988.13

8. Montoya ID, Gorelick DA, Preston KL, Schroeder JR, Umbricht A, Cheskin LJ, et al. Randomized trial of buprenorphine for treatment of current opiate and cocaine dependence. *Clinical Pharmacology and Therapeutics* 2004; 75(1):34–48.

9. West S, O'Neal K, Graham C. A meta-analysis comparing the effectiveness of buprenorphine and methadone. *Journal of Substance Abuse* 2000;12(4):405–14.

10. Barnett PG, Rodgers JH, Bloch D. A meta-analysis comparing buprenorphine to methadone for treatment of opiate dependence. *Addiction* 2001;96(5):683–90.

11. Muruganandam P, Shukla L, Sharma P, Kandasamy A, Chand P, Murthy P. 'Too little dose—too early discontinuation?'—Effect of buprenorphine dose on short term treatment adherence in opioid dependence. *Asian journal of psychiatry*. 2019 Aug;44:58-60

12. Balhara YP, Jain R. A urinalysis-based comparative study of treatment adherence on buprenorphine and buprenorphine/naloxone combination used as opioid substitution therapy. *Innovations in clinical neuroscience*. 2012 Jul;9(7-8):24

13. Fareed A, Vayalapalli S, Casarella J, Drexler K. Treatment outcome for flexible dosing buprenorphine maintenance treatment. *The American journal of drug and alcohol abuse*. 2012 Mar;38(2):155-60

14. Mattick RP, Breen C, Kimber J, Davoli M. Buprenorphine maintenance versus placebo or methadone maintenance for opioid dependence.

Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2014, Issue 2.

DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD002207.pub4

15. Ambekar A, Rao R, Agrawal A, Kathiresan P. Research on opioid substitution therapy in India: A brief, narrative review. *Indian journal of psychiatry*. 2018 Jul;60(3):265.

16. Rao R, Agrawal A, Ambekar A. Opioid substitution therapy under National AIDS Control Programme: Clinical practice guidelines for treatment with buprenorphine. Department of AIDS Control, Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, Government of India, New Delhi. 2014.

17. Ghosh A, Basu D, Avasthi A. Buprenorphine-based opioid substitution therapy in India: A few observations, thoughts, and opinions. *Indian journal of psychiatry*. 2018 Jul;60(3):361.

18. Adhikari A & Ghimire M & Khatriwada K & Pathak K & Thapa R. (2019). Status of Drug User in Buprenorphine Opioid Substitution Treatment (OST) Center of Kathmandu Valley. *International Journal of New Technology and Research*. 5. 10.31871/IJNTR.5.1.15.

19. Impact of Buprenorphine Opioid Substitution Therapy Program in Nepal December 2014;book

20. Andrew J. Saxona,b,*, Yih-Ing Hserc, George Woodyd, and Walter Ling. Medication-assisted treatment for opioid addiction: methadone and buprenorphine. *J Food Drug Anal*. 2013 December; 21(4): S69–S72. doi:10.1016/j.jfda.2013.09.037

21. Ruadze and Todadze. Retention in Georgia opioid substitution therapy program and associated factors. *Harm Reduction Journal* (2016) 13:35 DOI 10.1186/s12954-016-0124-z

22. Dangol, K., Chapagain, M., & Tulachan, P. (2013). A Study on Treatment Compliance among Methadone Clients in MMTP Program TUTH, Nepal. *Journal of Nobel Medical College*. 2013;2(2), 50-56. <https://doi.org/10.3126/jonmc.v2i2.8806>

23. Bentzley B S, Barth K S, Back S E, Book S W. Discontinuation of Buprenorphine Maintenance Therapy: Perspectives and Outcomes. *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment*. 2015 May;52,48-57. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsat.2014.12.011>

24. Kakko J, Svanborg K D, Kreek M J, Heilig M. 1-year retention and social function after buprenorphine-assisted relapse prevention treatment for heroin dependence in Sweden: a randomised, placebo-controlled trial. *The Lancet*. 2003 Feb;361,(9358):662-668 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(03\)12600-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(03)12600-1)