MedS Alliance Journal of Medicine and Medical Sciences **Original Investigation**



Assessment of Attitude and Practices towards COVID-19 among **Paramedical Students**

Khushbu Yadav^{1*} | Satyam Prakash² | Basant Kumar Yadav³ | Bishal Pokhrel⁴

¹Department of Microbiology, Janaki Medical College Teaching Hospital, Nepal

²Department of Biochemistry, Janaki Medical College Teaching Hospital, Nepal

³Janak Nandani Hospital, Janakpurdham, Nepal

⁴Department of Community Medicine, Janaki Medical College Teaching Hospital, Nepal

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT **INTRODUCTION:** The country's response to a potential outbreak may benefit greatly from the practical knowledge, experience and skills of paramedical students in a number of ways. Thus, this

Article history: Received: 5 May 2023 Revised: 15 June 2023 Accepted: 26 June 2023

*Correspondence: Mrs. Khushbu Yadav, Assistant Professor, Department of Microbiology, Janaki Medical College, Nepal

E-mail:

meetkhushi20@gmail.com 0000-0001-5001-3983

Citation:

Yadav K, Prakash S, Yadav BK, Pokhrel B. Assesment of Attitude and Practices towards COVID-19 among Paramedical Students. MedS. J. Med. Sci. 2023;2(4):52-62.



study was conducted to evaluate attitude and practices regarding COVID-19 among paramedical students studying in different colleges of Janakpurdham, Nepal. MATERIALS AND METHODS: A structured questionnaire was used in a descriptive cross-sectional study to collect information on COVID-19 attitude and practices among HA students enrolled in different selected colleges affiliated to Council for Technical Education and Vocational Training (CTEVT), Nepal in April and May of 2022. Statistical Package for the Social Sciences was used to analyse the data. Significant results were defined as a p-value of less than 0.05.RESULTS: Majority of girls from NHRDA and MTA (53.7% and 50.58%) respectively, believed that COVID-19 infection is a global problem than CMC girls (35.08%). Of 63.5% and 49.35% girls from NHRDA and MTA agreed that the public is crucial for infection control. More than 60% of girls from NHRDA and MTA suffered from mild fever after immunization fall down by 52.17% girls from CMC's while 52.3%, 30.6% and 42.42% girls from each college respectively felt 4healthy after immunization and one fourth of total suffered from high fever after immunization. The significant association between the COVID-19 immunization among the students of different colleges (p = 0.00).CONCLUSIONS: Most of the girl students had positive attitude and optimistic practice, while the boys followed appropriate safety measures to avoid contracting COVID-19. A significant correlation has been found between students from various colleges and the COVID-19 vaccine.

KEYWORDS: Attitude; COVID-19; Practice; Paramedical Students; Vaccine.

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original whitps://doi.org/10.3126/mjmms.v3i6.66577 author and source are credited.

INTRODUCTION

Throughout recorded history, humans have been assaulted by severe epidemics of infectious disease [1]. The new pandemic, COVID-19 is a disease caused by one of the novel coronavirus families called severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-COV-2) that causes diseases in humans and animals [2]. When COVID-19 arrived in humans in late 2019, the causative agent of SARS-COV-2 was quickly identified and isolated, recognized as a coronavirus, and its genome was sequenced. SARS-COV-2 infection usually causes a relatively mild disease, COVID-19 can present with severe pneumonia, leading to respiratory collapse and death [1].

Since the date that COVID-19 was declared a pandemic [3], global communities strived to implement various strategies to mitigate the deadly impact caused by the virus. Students are an influential group in society and can play a positive role in educating the general public and preventing stress in different sections of society against COVID-19. Health assistants (HA) in Nepal, who are healthcare workers holding a Proficiency Certificate in General Medicine, have primary responsibilities for offering the general public in hospitals and clinical settings regarding therapeutic, preventative, and promotional services [4]. If healthcare workers and other medical professionals, have sufficient awareness, a good perspective, and understanding of best practices addressing COVID-19, they can prevent cross-infection during patient's care [5].

Knowledge, attitude, and practice (KAP) about COVID-19 pandemic is critically a suitable method for evaluating effective behavioural change strategies among health care workers. Several researchers have conducted KAP surveys with a variety of respondents which included the general public [6, 7] and other studies involved healthcare students [8, 9], students from various academic programs [10] as well as undergraduate medical and dental students in Nepal [11]. Comprehensive assessments of paramedical students' knowledge, attitude, and practice are essential for optimizing their potential in educating the public and encouraging communities to follow health standards. However, there is still a dearth of KAP data among students enrolled as health assistants in Janakpurdham, Madhesh Province, Nepal. As part of our KAP study, the knowledge assessment on COVID-19 among paramedical

students has been revealed in our earlier published data [4]. Here, we are presenting probably the first exploration so far to evaluate attitude and practices regarding COVID-19 among paramedical students studying in different colleges of Janakpurdham, Nepal.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Study design and setting:

This study was used in a descriptive cross-sectional study to collect information on COVID-19 attitude and practices from HA students enrolled in National Human Resources Development Academy (NHRDA), Care Medical Center (CMC), and Mithila Technical Academy (MTA) colleges in Janakpurdham, Madhesh Province, Nepal. These educational institutions are situated in Janakpurdham, Nepal, and are affiliated with the Council for Technical Education and Vocational Training (CTEVT), Nepal. The data was obtained in April and May of 2022.

Participants, sample size and sampling technique:

Interviews were conducted with HA students enrolled in NHRDA, CMC, and MTA programs. At the time of data collection, all first, second-, and third-year students who were enrolled in three different colleges were considered eligible. All participants were briefed about the objective of the study, and those who accepted to sign a consent form were included in the study. Our target group comprised 120 students from each college enrolled in a three-year general medical proficiency certificate program. Out of total targeted 360 students, 280 were enrolled. The teaching faculty, staff members, nursing students, and laboratory students enrolled at these colleges were excluded.

Data collection procedure and study variables

A standardized, self-administered structured questionnaire was developed by reviewing the relevant available literature to collect data. Sixteen questions were designed for the attitude and practice and data collection was conducted through face-to-face interviews. A prior published research included information on sociodemographic characteristics and sixty-two knowledgebased items on the COVID-19. Readers are referred to our previous publication for further details [4].

Statistical analysis and data management:

Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS), version 21, was used to code, validate, and analyze the data. The frequencies and proportions were determined using descriptive analysis. Chi-square test was applied and significant results were defined as a p-value of less than 0.05.

Ethical consideration:

Prior to data collection, the National Human Research Development Academy (Ref: 72/078-079), Mithila Technical Academy (Ref: 115/079), and Care Medical Centre (Ref: 27/079) affiliated with CTEVT, Nepal provided work approval letters.

RESULTS

Attitude towards COVID-19 among study population

In all, 280 students were enrolled for this study. Of these, 102 were from NHRDA, 95 from MTA, and 83 from CMC. The majority of girls from NHRDA and MTA, 53.7% and 50.58%, respectively, believed that COVID-19 infection is a global problem. However, fewer girls (35.08%) than in CMC had a positive attitude. A greater proportion of girls among all three institutions had a positive attitude towards the risk of contracting COVID-19, but the same proportion of boys and girls from NHRDA and MTA showed a negative attitude towards risk of getting COVID-19 infection. The public can play the most significant role in COVID-19 control, based on 50% of boys and girls in CMC had a positive attitude. However, more than half of NHRDA girls (63.5%) and MTA girls (49.35%) agreed that the public is crucial for infection control. Table 1 presents the findings.

Out of total study population, disinfectant was used in the house on a daily basis by the majority of NHRDA boys (56.5%) and (43.5%) of girls followed by MTA and CMC students. More than 60% of boys from CMC practiced the proper methods of coughing and sneezing etiquette followed by the boys of MTA and NHRDA. Most of the MTA boys (53.57%) and (42.23%) of girls pro-actively reported to the institution and isolated themselves when they had close contact with confirmed case followed by students of

Table 1 Attitude towards COVID-19 among study	population
---	------------

S.	Attitude	Gender (CMC)		Total	Gender (NHRDA)		Total	Gender (MTA)		Total
No		Boys (n=39) (%)	Girls (n=42) (%)	N= 83	Boys (n=45) (%)	Girls (n=57) (%)	N= 102 Boys (n=48) (%)	Girls (n=47) (%)		N= 95
1	COVID-19 inf	ection is a	global pr	oblem						
	Agree	37 (64.9)	20 (35.0)	57	44 (46.3)	51 (53.7)	95	42 (49.4)	43 (50.5)	85
	Disagree	1 (4.3)	22 (95.6)	23	1 (14.3)	6 (85.7)	7	2 (33.3)	4 (66.6)	6
	Neutral	1 (33.3)	2 (66.6)	3	0	0	0	4 (100)	0	4

Yadav et al.

2	There is always risk of getting COVID-19 infection											
	Agree	29 (44.6)	36 (55.3)	65	36 (42.4)	49 (57.6)	85	35 (49.2)	36 (50.7)	71		
	Disagree	4 (57.1)	3 (42.8)	7	3 (50)	3 (50)	6	11 (50)	11 (50)	22		
	Neutral	5 (45.4)	6 (54.5)	11	6 (54.5)	5 (45.5)	11	2 (100)	0	2		
3	You should co	nsult doct	or for COV	VID-19 i	nfection				-			
	Agree	23 (37.7)	38 (62.2)	61	31 (36.4)	54 (63.5)	85	45 (51.1)	43 (48.8)	88		
	Disagree	5 (50)	5 (50)	10	2 (100)	0	6	1 (20)	4 (80)	5		
	Neutral	6 (50)	6 (50)	12	8 (72.7)	3 (27.3)	11	2 (100)	0	2		
4	COVID-19 is	preventabl	e			<u> </u>				1		
	Agree	26 (45.6)	31 (54.3)	57	32 (45.1)	39 (54.9)	89	38 (50.6)	37 (49.3)	75		
	Disagree	5 (45.4)	6 (54.5)	11	6 (42.9)	8 (57.1)	2	6 (42.8)	8 (57.1)	14		
	Neutral	7 (46.6)	8 (53.3)	15	7 (41.2)	10 (58.8)	11	3 (60)	2 (40)	5		
5	Government's	responsib	oility is to	prevent	COVID-19	·						
	Agree	17 (37.7)	28 (62.2)	45	28 (41.2)	40 (38.8)	71	31 (49.2)	32 (50.7)	63		
	Disagree	9 (39.1)	14 (60.8)	23	14 (48.3)	15 (51.7)	29	11 (42.3)	15 (57.6)	26		
	Neutral	10 (66.6)	5 (33.3)	15	3 (100)	0	3	5 (83.3)	1 (16.6)	6		
6	Individual car	n contribut	te to preve	ntion of	COVID-19							
Ŭ	Agree	32 (47.7)	35 (52.2)	67	23 (34.8)	43 (65.2)	66	37 (46.8)	42 (53.1)	79		
	Disagree	6 (60)	4 (40)	10	16 (66.7)	8 (33.3)	24	4 (66.6)	2 (33.3)	6		
	Neutral	1 (16.6)	5 (83.3)	6	6 (50)	6 (50)	12	8 (80)	2 (20)	10		
7	Public can pla	v the mos	t importan	t role in	COVID -19	control		- ()	- ()			
-	Agree	35 (50)	35 (50)	70	31 (36.5)	54 (63.5)	85	39 (50.6)	38 (49.3)	77		
	Disagree	2 (28.5)	5 (71.4)	7	12 (85.7)	2 (14.3)	14	9 (52.9)	8 (47.0)	17		
	Neutral	1 (16.6)	5 (83.3)	6	2 (66.6)	1 (33.3)	3	0	1 (100)	1		
8	There is a high chance for COVID-19 to spread in the future if it happens once											
	Agree	25 (47.1)	28 (52.8)	53	29 (40.3)	31 (51.7)	60	20 (41.6)	28 (58.3)	48		
	Disagree	8 (47.0)	9 (52.9)	17	9 (36)	16 (64)	25	15 (48.3)	16 (51.6)	31		
	Neutral	5 (38.4)	8 (61.5)	13	7 (41.2)	10 (58.8)	17	13 (81.2)	3 (18.7)	16		
9	You are worrie	ed that you	ı might ge	t COVII	D-19 infectio	on						
	Agree	19 (35.8)	34 (64.1)	53	26 (41.9)	36 (58.1)	62	22 (40.7)	32 (59.2)	54		
	Disagree	10 (66.6)	5 (33.3)	15	11 (40.7)	16 (59.3)	27	15 (53.5)	13 (46.4)	28		
	Neutral	9 (60)	6 (40)	15	8 (61.5)	5 (38.5)	13	11 (84.6)	2 (15.3)	13		
10	COVID-19 pre	evention n	neasures sl	hould or	nly be appli	ed by older	adults an	d age grou	ps most risk			
	Agree	22 (40)	33 (60)	55	23 (52.3)	21 (47.7)	44	30 (62.5)	18 (37.5)	48		
	Disagree	13 (68.4)	6 (31.5)	19	16 (42.1)	22 (57.9)	38	16 (36.3)	28 (63.6)	44		
	Neutral	3 (33.3)	6 (66.6)	9	6 (30)	14 (70)	20	2 (66.6)	1 (33.3)	3		
11	If I develop sy	mptoms o	of COVID-	19, I wil	l seek to vis	it health fa	cility	<u>.</u>				
	Agree	31 (46.2)	36 (53.7)	67	36 (42.9)	48 (57.1)	84	44 (56.6)	35 (44.3)	79		
	Disagree	4 (44.4)	5 (55.5)	9	6 (54.5)	5 (45.5)	11	3 (37.5)	5 (62.5)	8		
	Neutral	3 (42.8)	4 (57.1)	7	3 (42.9)	4 (57.1)	7	1 (12.5)	7 (87.5)	8		
12	Limitation of	the contac	t decreases	s the trai	nsmission							
	Agree	28 (45.9)	33 (54.09)	61	33 (38.8)	52 (61.2)	85	27 (41.5)	38 (58.4)	65		
	Disagree	5 (35.7)	9 (64.2)	14	7 (70)	3 (30)	10	11 (73.3)	4 (26.6)	15		
	Neutral	5 (62.5)	3 (37.5)	8	5 (71.4)	2 (28.5)	7	10 (66.6)	5 (33.3)	15		
13	Students stud	ying in col	lleges are	vulneral	ole for COV	ID-19						
	Agree	32 (47.7)	35 (52.2)	67	41 (48.2)	44 (51.8)	85	36 (48.6)	38 (51.3)	74		
	Disagree	4 (44.4)	5 (55.5)	9	1 (9.1)	10 (90.9)	11	12 (75)	4 (25)	16		
	Neutral	2 (28.5)	5 (71.4)	7	3 (50)	3 (50)	6	0	5 (100)	5		

14	Do you think	Do you think that outbreak has impacted your study											
	Agree	25 (41.6)	35 (58.3)	60	32 (38.6)	51 (61.4)	83	45 (50.5)	44 (49.4)	89			
	Disagree	4 (44.4)	5 (55.5)	9	9 (90)	1 (10)	10	2 (50)	2 (50)	4			
	Neutral	6 (42.8)	8 (57.1)	14	4 (44.4)	5 (55.6)	9	1 (50)	1 (50)	2			
15	Was online te	aching effe	ctive to y	ou during	g lockdowi	n period?							
	Agree	21 (44.6)	26 (55.3)	47	27 (58.6)	19 (41.3)	46	22 (44.8)	27 (55.1)	49			
	Disagree	16 (50)	16 (50)	32	18 (40.9)	36(81.8)	44	21 (53.8)	18 (46.1)	39			
	Neutral	2 (50)	2 (50)	4	4 (33.3)	8(66)	12	4 (57.1)	3 (42.8)	7			
16	Do you think of COVID-19	that consu	mptions o	of raw veg	getables an	ıd wild anim	al produc	ts have n	o role in tran	smission			
	Agree	16 (44.4)	20 (55.5)	36	23 (62.2)	14 (37.8)	37	18 (47.3)	20 (52.6)	38			
	Disagree	16 (50)	16 (50)	32	18 (40)	27 (60)	45	25 (49.0)	26 (50.9)	51			
	Neutral	7 (46.6)	8 (53.3)	15	4 (20)	16 (80)	20	5 (83.3)	1 (16.6)	6			

NHRDA and CMC. More than 60% of girls from NHRDA and MTA suffered from mild fever after immunization fall down by 52.17% girls from CMC while 52.3%, 30.6% and 42.42% girls from each college respectively feeling healthy after immunization and one fourth of total suffered from high fever after immunization. The results are shown in table 2.

Association of preventive practices among students of different college

Table 3 depicts the significant association between the COVID-19 immunization among the students of different colleges (p = 0.00) but revealed no any association between experience after immunization, mask wearing, maintaining distance between people and when got fever and cough at p = 0.33, 0.64, 0.18, 0.32 respectively.

DISCUSSION

Emerging as an established infectious disease, COVID-19 poses an unacceptable risk to human health. It is possible to effectively reduce infection rates while controlling the spread of virus by implementing preventive measures. As a result, emphasis should be focused on practices and attitude among paramedical students in order to implement a creative role in preventing and controlling future pandemics. A total of 280 students were enrolled in this study. Of these, 95 were from MTA, 102 from NHRDA, and 83 from CMC. The majority of girls from NHRDA (53.7%) and MTA (50.58%) agreed that COVID-19 infection is a global problem. However, in comparison with CMC students, just over one-third of girls (35.08%) showed a positive attitude. This could be related with knowledge and awareness about COVID-19 pandemic among the girl students. Moreover, global crisis as a result of the coronavirus outbreak of 2019 destroyed environmental sustainability and increased mortality every day. Thousands of people had died as a result of the COVID-19 globally, and it posed an unprecedented threat to society, food systems, employment, and public health [12].

Regarding the risks of contracting COVID-19, a higher percentage of girls from all three institutions had a positive attitude, but the same proportion of boys and girls from NHRDA and MTA indicated a negative attitude. Similar type of results were obtained among university students in Jordan by Olaimat et al. [13] and Alzoubi et al. [14] which is almost compatible with our results. In another study, Huynh et al. reported that 93.3% of healthcare workers in Vietnam had acceptable attitudes toward COVID-19. Human attitudes and their actions are influenced by multiple factors worldwide, such as the high rate of disease fatality, the virulence of the disease, potential health problems, and its highly contagious nature [15]. However, the participants in a study conducted in Northwest Ethiopia believed they had a modest risk of contracting COVID-19 [16], which is contrary to our findings. The variations in the study periods may be the cause of this variation in behavioural habits.

The majority of NHRDA girls (63.52%) followed by CMC girls (62.29%) exhibited a positive attitude toward consultation of COVID-19 infection with a doctor. However, boys (51.13%) had a more positive attitude about the consultation in the context of MTA. It is possible that being worried about contracting the SARS-CoV-2 virus remained a valid reason to seek consultation and cannot be neglected. During the COVID-19 pandemic, the number of patients reporting to hospitals and clinics reduced by around one-third, mostly among those with less severe symptoms [17]. Consequently, telemedicine made possible to continue treatment. Teleconsultations had become increasingly popular, enabling medical consultations during Pandemic. Based on existing research, patient attitude has a major role in the effectiveness of teleconsultations and the subsequent satisfaction with medical advice [18]. Furthermore, physiotherapists, paramedics, and healthcare professionals were the most likely to claim that teleconsultation saved time when compared to in-person consultations [19].

Yadav et al.

Table 2| Practices towards prevention and control of COVID-19 among study population

S.	Practice Gender (CMC)		(CMC)	Total	Gender (NHRDA)		Total	Gender (MTA)		Total	
No		Boys (n=39) (%)	Girls (n=42) (%)	N= 83	Boys (n=45) (%)	Girls (n=57) (%)	N= 102	Boys (n=48) (%)	Girls (n=47) (%)	N= 95	
1	Used disinfectant in ho	use									
	Daily	20 (50)	20 (50)	40	39 (56.5)	30 (43.5)	69	29 (46.0)	34 (53.9)	63	
	Alternately	5 (23.8)	16 (76.1)	21	2 (15.4)	11 (84.6)	13	10 (58.8)	7 (41.1)	17	
	Weekly	7 (46.6)	8 (53.3)	15	1 (20)	4 (80)	5	8 (53.3)	7 (46.6)	15	
	Others	7 (100)	0	7	3 (20)	12 (80)	15	0	0	0	
2	Committed to hand was	sh	1	,						-	
	Often or more	33 (44.5)	41 (55.4)	74	43 (43.8)	55 (56.1)	98	48 (50.5)	47 (47.9)	95	
	Rarely or less	5 (55.5)	4 (44.4)	9	2 (50)	2 (50)	4	0	0	0	
3	Practice of the proper n	nethods o	of coughin	g and s	neezing e	tiquette		r	ſ	·	
	Often or more	28 (68.2)	13 (31.7)	41	35 (48)	38 (52)	73	35 (53.8)	30 (46.1)	65	
	Rarely or less	21 (50)	21 (50)	42	10 (34.5)	19 (65.5)	29	13 (43.3)	17 (56.6)	30	
4	Wear face masks regula	rly		1			-	r			
	Often or more	33 (46.4)	38 (53.5)	71	40 (43)	53 (57)	93	41 (50)	41 (50)	82	
	Rarely or less	6 (50)	6 (50)	12	5 (55.6)	4 (44.4)	9	7 (53.8)	6 (46.1)	13	
5	Gathered like in bar or restaurants										
	Often or more	28 (68.2)	13 (31.7)	41	20 (42.6)	27 (57.4)	47	15 (48.3)	16 (51.6)	31	
	Rarely or less	21 (50)	21 (50)	42	25 (45.5)	30 (54.5)	55	33 (51.5)	31 (48.4)	64	
6	Maintain safe distance	between	you and i	ndividu	ual to prev	vent infection	n				
	Often or more	34 (44.7)	42 (55.2)	76	38 (33.7)	47 (55.3)	85	40 (54.0)	34 (45.9)	74	
	Rarely or less	4 (57.1)	3 (42.8)	7	7 (41.2)	10 (58.8)	17	18 (85.7)	3 (14.2)	21	
7	If you had close contact	t with co	nfirmed ca	ise							
	Pro-actively reported to the institution and I isolated myself	33 (46.4)	38 (53.5)	71	31 (39.7)	47 (60.3)	78	45 (53.5)	38 (45.2)	84	
	Don't know what to do	6 (50.0)	6 (50.0)	12	14 (58.3)	10 (41.7)	24	3 (27.2)	8 (72.7)	11	
8	If someone infected fro	m COVI	D -19 wan	ts to m	eet you	·					
	I will meet and show kindness	24 (47.0)	27 (52.9)	51	18 (34)	35 (66)	53	13 (50)	13 (50)	26	
	I will excuse him and avoid contact	15 (46.8)	17 (53.1)	32	27 (55.1)	22 (44.9)	49	35 (50.7)	34 (49.2)	69	
9	What would you do if y	you had f	ever and o	dry cou	gh						
	I will analyze the situation rationally and report to nearly health facility	35 (45.4)	42 (54.5)	77	39 (42.4)	53 (57.6)	92	47 (51.0)	45 (48.9)	92	
	Don't know what to do	3 (50)	3 (50)	6	6 (60)	4 (40)	10	1 (33.3)	2 (66.6)	3	

	T							_				
10	Do you immunize with the COVID-19 vaccine											
	Yes	25 (38.4)	40 (61.5)	65	40 (41.7)	56 (58.3)	96	53 (62.3)	32 (37.6)	85		
	No	7 (46.6)	8 (53.3)	15	3 (75)	1 (25)	4	0	3 (100)	3		
	Not yet	0	3 (100)	3	2 (100)	0	2	5 (71.5)	2 (28.5)	7		
11	Experience after in	nmunizati	on									
	Feeling healthy	20 (47.6)	22 (52.3)	42	30 (69.4)	19 (30.6)	49	19 (57.5)	14 (42.4)	33		
	Suffered from high fever	6 (33.3)	12 (66.6)	18	3 (17.6)	14 (82.4)	17	14 (66.6)	7 (33.3)	21		
	Suffered from mild fever	11 (47.8)	12 (52.1)	23	12 (33.3)	24 (66.7)	36	15 (36.5)	26 (63.4)	41		
12	Family member to	ok COVIE)-19 vaccin	e dose				·				
	Yes	36 (46.7)	41 (53.2)	77	40 (46.5)	46 (53.5)	86	48 (51.6)	45 (48.3)	93		
	No	3 (50)	3 (50)	6	15 (93.8)	1 (6.2)	16	0	2 (100)	2		
13	Family member in	quarantin	e					·				
	Yes	9 (47.3)	10 (52.6)	19	22 (50)	22 (50)	44	9 (36)	16 (64)	25		
	No	28 (43.7)	36 (56.2)	64	23 (39.7)	35 (60.3)	58	39 (55.7)	31 (44.2)	70		
14	Time of your fami	ly member	r in quara	ntine					1	1		
	7 days	18 (62.0)	11 (37.9)	29	2 (12.5)	14 (87.5)	16	1 (14.2)	6 (85.7)	7		
	14 days	3 (8.5)	32 (91.4)	35	36 (50)	36 (50)	72	7 (87.5)	1 (12.5)	8		
	21 days	18 (94.7)	1 (5.2)	19	2 (50)	2 (50)	4	2 (66.6)	1 (33.3)	3		
	30 days	0	0	0	5 (50)	5 (50)	10	31 (32.6)	46 (48.4)	77		
15	What was result of	f RT-PCR t	est for you	if done								
	Positive	5 (38.4)	8 (61.5)	13	7 (50)	7 (50)	14	7 (63.6)	4 (36.3)	11		
	Negative	24 (72.7)	9 (27.2)	33	25 (51)	24 (49)	49	21 (53.8)	18 (46.1)	39		
	Not done	10 (27.0)	27 (72.9)	37	13 (33.3)	26 (66.7)	39	20 (44.4)	25 (56.1)	45		
16	Took both shots o	f COVID-1	9 vaccine	provided l	oy govern	ment						
	1 st dose	8 (61.5)	5 (38.4)	13	1 (4.8)	20 (95.2)	21	10 (55.5)	8 (44.4)	18		
	2 nd dose	20 (40)	30 (60)	50	38 (54.3)	32 (45.7)	70	35 (62.5)	21 (37.5)	56		
	Booster dose	7 (53.8)	6 (46.1)	13	4 (44.4)	5 (55.6)	9	3 (15.7)	16 (84.2)	19		
	None	7 (100)	0	7	2 (100)	0	2	0	2 (100)	2		

Over 50% of girls from NHRDA and CMC agreed that COVID-19 is preventable followed by MTA girls (49.33%). One third of the boys from CMC (37.77%) agreed that it is the responsibility of the government to prevent COVID-19, whereas boys from NHRDA and MTA showed more positive attitudes, with 41.2% and 49.2% respectively, on the government's responsibility to prevent infection. It's possible that boys were aware of government programs and national strategies of Nepal. The government is in prime responsibility of testing plans, contact tracing, managing isolation and quarantine facilities, and organizing awareness and education programs for the public in order to prevent the spread of COVID-19. But, the pandemic has exposed Nepal's long standing healthcare system [20]. A nationwide demand for timely and high-quality healthcare services has arisen from the country's understaffed and under-resourced health care system, which has been battling the severe health impacts of COVID-19 [21]. Currently, secondary and tertiary health systems in Nepal are overwhelmed with the management of COVID-19 cases and, at the same time, the priority to address the needs of other infections and non-communicable diseases (NCD) has not been prioritized due to a lack of quality human resources, health system capacity and significant resource constraints [20].

Likewise, majority of girls from all the three college agreed about the individual can contribute to prevention of COVID-19. Equal percentage boys and girls of 50% from CMC showed positive attitude regarding public can play the most important role in COVID -19 control but the maximum number of girls (63.5%) from NHRDA followed by MTA girls (49.35%) agreed about the public importance in infection control. Disseminating correct information

Table	3 Association of preve	entive practic	es among	students	of diffe	erent college
S.No.	Practices	Total HA stude	ents		Total	p-value
		CMC (n=83)	NHRDA (n=102)	MTA (n=95)	(n=280)	
1	Wear face masks regularly					
	Often or more	71	93	82	246	0.640
	Rarely or less	12	9	13	34	
2	Maintain safe distance between yo	u and individua	l to prevent infe	ction		
	Often or more	76	85	74	235	0.180
	Rarely or less	7	17	21	45	
3	What would you do if you had fev	er and dry cough	ı			
	I will analyze the situation rationally and report to nearly health facility	77	92	92	261	0.320
	Don't know what to do	6	10	3	19	
4	Do you immunize with the COVII	D-19 vaccine				
	Yes	65	96	85	246	< 0.001
	No	15	4	3	22	
	Not yet	3	2	7	12	
5	Experience after immunization					
	Feeling healthy	42	49	33	124	0.330
	Suffered from high fever	18	17	21	56	
	Suffered from mild fever	23	36	41	100	

and education to the public is an effective measure to control and prevent the spread of any disease, especially in the time of the pandemic [22]. Individual responses to the COVID-19 pandemic include hand washing, staying indoors, wearing masks when coughing or sneezing, and social distancing where the disease may spread. It was vitally crucial to prevent infectious and highly contagious diseases [23].

The majority of the girls from each college concurred that there is more chance of COVID-19 will spread in the future once it occurs. Of the girls, 64.15% from CMC felt worried about contracting COVID-19. These were followed by girls from MTA (59.25%), who were dropped by girls from NHRDA (58.1%). In a survey of 2,100 UK citizens, 52% of women said they were very worried about the spread of the virus [24] which is in close proximity with our results. However, the sample size and study population differs with each other. Other possibilities are that women, particularly in developing countries, are disproportionately affected by natural disasters, extreme weather, and climate change as a result of cultural norms and the unequal allocation of responsibilities, resources, and power [25].

More over 50% of the girls from CMC, NHRDA (47.7%), and MTA (37.5%) agreed that COVID-19 preventive measures should only be applied by older adults and those group who are at risk. The explanation ought to be that an elderly relative of girls might have had COVID-19 and encountered various challenges. It is now evident that older people were amongst the most vulnerable population with a mortality rate of approximately 15%

[26, 27]. In addition, people with pre-existing chronic conditions such as diabetes, cardiovascular disease, lung disease, hypertension, and cancer were at increased risk of mortality [26,28].

Less than 50% of boys from CMC and NHRDA showed positive attitude about seeking medical attention when they experience COVID-19 symptoms, but boys from MTA (56.69%) had the highest positive attitude about seeking medical attention when symptoms arise. The probability is that most people with COVID-19 had mild to moderate symptoms, the disease can cause severe medical complications and lead to death in some people. Direct contact with an infected person or airway droplets results in the spread of COVID-19. Clinical sign and symptoms of COVID-19 are variable, but often include sore throat, fever, cough, headache, fatigue, breathing difficulties and loss of smell and taste in individuals with COVID-19 within 14 days of the incubation period [4, 29].

Likewise, a greater proportion of female students in each institution exhibited a positive attitude regarding contact restriction, which reduces the spread of infection and students are particularly susceptible to COVID-19. The positive attitude may be attributed to the early actions made by the World Health Organization (WHO), the Nepalese government, and the Ministry of Health to strictly adhere to social distancing and lockdown protocols.

The study found that the majority of girls (61.4%) from CMC, followed by girls from NHRDA (58.33%) and MTA (49.43%), strongly agreed that the pandemic had impacted their study. More than half of the boys (58.69%) from NHRDA agreed that online learning was effective

during the lockdown, with the remaining boys (45%) from CMC and MTA. Owing to the COVID-19 pandemic, there existed hardly in-person formal or informal learning opportunities, and health professional courses moved to exclusive delivery through online education [30]. The process of learning in health professional courses involved a variety of blended learning tools, such as formative quizzes, synchronous online tutorials, e-learning in simulation sessions, asynchronous activity in moderated discussion forums, and other teacher or student directed learning activities [31]. Understanding the impact of these initiatives on student engagement, learning and behavior (both positive and negative), will provide important information for teaching and learning practice into the future [32]. Although, the traditional classroom teaching was compensated by online teaching tools, but it is crucial to figure out the effectiveness and influence of online education on the development of practical skills and graduate readiness to be practiced in Nepal [33].

In terms of practices toward COVID-19, majority of students of NHRDA of 56.5% boys and 43.5% girls used disinfectant in their houses daily followed by the student of MTA and CMC. This implies that, as HA students, they were aware that disinfection involves the thermal or chemical killing of infectious microorganisms as well as other types of microbes. Numerous disinfectants can effectively neutralize SARS-CoV-2 [34, 35]. In 2015, the World Health Organization (WHO) recommended that "disinfectant: alcohol-based hand sanitizer" should contain 80% ethanol and 75% isopropyl alcohol [36], and advocates efficacy against emerging viruses, such as MERS, Ebola, and Zika [37]. Lipid solvents, including ethanol (> 75%), formaldehyde (> 0.7%), isopropanol (> 70%), povidone-iodine (> 0.23%), sodium hypochlorite (> 0.21%), or hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2 ; > 0.5%), can also be used to inactivate SARS-CoV-2 [38]. As per one published literature, coronavirus could be destroyed in approximately in about thirty seconds with an ethanol concentration of little over 42.5% [39]. Other possibility might be because environmental factors can have an impact on the persistence, stability, and viability of viruses. As a result, the majority of students might had used disinfectants at home.

The majority of NHRDA girls (56.1%) followed by CMC (55.4%) were more devoted to hand washing than MTA (47.95%). A higher percentage of boys (50.52%) from MTA than from NHRDA and CMC pledged to wash their hands. Over 60% of the boys from CMC adopted the proper methods of coughing and sneezing etiquette followed the boys from MTA and NHRDA. It's possible that awareness of respiratory hygiene practices and cough etiquette is included in the routine safety measures that need to be followed in order to stop the spread of serious respiratory diseases including COVID-19, influenza, respiratory syncytial virus (RSV), and whooping cough. It's unclear, though, if they have actually been exercising it.

In all three colleges, more than half the girls used face masks on a regular basis than the boys. Comparably, in a survey conducted on children in grades 9 and 10 in urban schools in the Bharatpur, Nepal the majority of the participants claimed to regularly wash their hands (79.21%), use face masks (77.23%), and avoid touching their mouths, eyes, or noses frequently [40]. Similarly, in an Iranian study among medical students, 96.7% reported washing their hands more frequently, 93.8% reported using public transit less, and 97.1% reported not coughing in public [41]. However, in another research among 250 adult Iranians, 65.1% of the participants consistently cleaned their hands with soap or hand sanitizer. 71.8% of respondents said they always wore a face mask outside [42]. It's possible that females were psychologically motivated than males to use personal protective equipment (PPE), wash their hands more frequently, and wear face masks more frequently in order to protect themselves from SARSCoV-2. Additional arguments might be included that males underestimated the health risks and supposed that wearing a face mask leads to the fallacy of the masculine image.

In our study, majority of the students from each college disliked to gather in the bar or restaurant during COVID outbreaks. About 55% of girls from NHRDA and CMC often or more maintained safe distance between people to prevent infection followed by 45.94% girls from MTA. Gender disparity in safe distancing was identified. Our results showed that girls were more likely than boys to practice safe distancing during the COVID-19. It seems that the girls were feeling anxious about the pandemic and were conversant with the conviction held by health authorities and experts, who emphasized the importance of keeping a physical distance from people and avoiding crowds in order to reduce the extent and frequency of COVID-19 dissemination [34,35,43]. In contrast, a study reports among adults of Iran that 34.9% of participants in the study did not maintain social distancing because they wore face masks, while 56.7% of participants kept a safe distance from individuals [42].

Most of the students from MTA of 53.57% boys and 42.23% girls pro-actively reported to the institution and isolated themselves when they had close contact with confirmed case of COVID-19 followed by students of NHRDA and CMC. If someone tried to meet infected from COVID-19, more than 50% of the boys from NHRDA and MTA avoided to contact with them, followed by the boys from CMC at 46.87%. The probability is that the self- isolation represents one of several measures and the most effective way of prevention of infection control of COVID-19. Additionally, the majority of girls from NHRDA (56.7%) logically assessed the situation and reported to a nearby health facility if they experienced fever or a dry cough, a practice that had been discontinued by girls from CMC (54.54%) and MTA (48.91%). Conversely, a study conducted by Lechien et al. found that men were more likely than women to report having a fever and cough. Moreover, women were considerably more likely to report certain COVID-19 symptoms, including tiredness, anosmia, headache, sore throat, and nasal obstruction than men. Our results are contradicted with findings of Lechien et al. [44].

The COVID-19 vaccines now in use are effective against the different variants of virus [45]. But, still, there remains hesitation with the COVID-19 vaccination [46]. Almost all of the college students in our study were vaccinated against COVID-19, with very few not getting the shot. From each institution, a higher percentage of the students' family members had been vaccinated against COVID-19, however very few were not vaccinated. The majority of NHRDA students 54.3% of boys and 45.7% of girls took both of the government-provided COVID-19 vaccination shots followed by students of MTA and CMC. However, one fourth of total only got booster dose of vaccine. The possibility is that the government had neither the vaccine doses in stock nor managing large frightening crowds during vaccinations which is suggestive of failure to provide the boosters during pandemic which may be viewed as an example of acute mismanagement.

In an online survey of medical and non-medical students revealed that 65.3% of medical students were aware of the COVID-19 vaccine [47], which was more than 58.4% of nursing students in the United States [48] and comparable to the 45.5%-68.3% awareness rate among American university students [49] which is not in line with our study. A very effective vaccine and high vaccination rates are necessary for the disease to be successfully eradicated [50]. It's possible that students due to fear about contracting COVID-19 acquired the vaccination that Government of Nepal offered. Additionally, previous literatures depicts that those who believed there is little chance of contracting COVID-19 that can be prevented by vaccination, thought the symptoms are mild, and lack concern much about the disease reported less vaccinated and were more probable to remain unvaccinated [51,52]. A key determining factor in people's decision to get vaccinated is the risk they relate with the disease which the vaccine protects [51,53].

Over 60% of girls from NHRDA and MTA experienced a moderate fever following vaccination, compared to 52.17% of girls from CMC. Similarly, 52.3%, 30.6%, and 42.42% of CMC, NHRDA and MTA of girls respectively from each college reported feeling well following vaccination, and almost one quarter of all girls experienced a high fever following vaccination. This might be due to the fever, headaches, sore muscles, nausea, fatigue, and injection site pain are the most frequent side effects of post vaccination. These effects, which are normal and usually subside quickly, indicate that the vaccination had an effect on the body and that the immune system has been activated. These side effects commonly manifest within the first 48 hours following vaccination. It all depends on the immune system of vaccinated person; the same vaccine can cause symptoms in some people and no reaction in others [54].

Within the jurisdiction of public health, the quarantine phase is a complex intervention [55]. In our study, NHRDA accounted for the majority of the student's family members were in quarantine, followed by MTA and CMC. The duration time that a family member spent in quarantine varied among study population of three different colleges. In a different study involving 625 medical students, those under quarantine reported feeling disheartened and depressed. The most likely causes of the adverse impacts on mental health during quarantine were people's negative perceptions and unpleasant experience, which included being far from friends, family, and co-workers, to live with restrictions, being unable to move around freely, doubting the spread of the disease, and experiencing strong emotions and reactions [56]. Quarantine measures during COVID-19 outbreaks have been shown by Rohr et al. to have significant negative impacts on mental health [57]. In an additional study, Lei et al. found that individuals who were placed under quarantine during the COVID-19 outbreak in southwest China had significant rates of depression and anxiety [58].

The standard Q COVID19 Ag detection test is now advised to be used in combination with the RT-PCR test as a point of care diagnostic assay for testing in hospitals and containment zones [59]. More than 60% of the boys from MTA had positive RT-PCR findings, followed by 50% and 38.46% NHRDA and CMC. Males had significantly greater rates of morbidity and case fatality than females, reported by Salje et al. [60], Chen et al. [61], Dudley and Lee [62], and Borghesi et al. [63]. In another study, men were found to be 2.4 times more likely to die and to develop a severe form of the disease than women, independent of age. In general, men are more likely to engage in cigarette smoking, consume alcohol, and have higher rates of preexisting comorbidities linked with a worse COVID-19 prognosis [64]. There was a significant association (p = 0.00) between students from different colleges and the COVID-19 vaccination; however, there was no correlation between the experience following immunization, mask wearing, maintaining distance between people , and experiencing fever or cough (p = 0.33, 0.64, 0.18, and 0.32, respectively). The results of this study might not apply to all the paramedical students, because it was limited to a few chosen colleges at Janakpurdham, Nepal. The only tool utilized to evaluate student's attitude and practice was a questionnaire, and some respondents might have selected responses at random in order to finish it as quickly as possible. Furthermore, the precision of the attitude and preventive assessments may be impacted by the small number of items.

CONCLUSION

The vast majority of girl students had an optimistic attitude, whereas the boys implemented appropriate preventive measures against COVID-19. There was a significant association between students from different colleges and the COVID-19 vaccination. To support healthcare professionals in enhancing their preventive measures to safeguard themselves and stop the spread of infection, national strategies, educational programs, and training courses are required.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION AND DECLARATIONS

Acknowledgements: We express our gratitude to all students and the college administration team for their cooperation in creating a research environment during the study period.

Conflict of interest: There is no conflict of interest declared by the authors.

Funding: None

Author's Contribution: Study design, data collection, literature review, and data analysis and interpretation, drafting first draft - **KY**, **SP**; Drafting and editing of the manuscript's first version-**KY**,**BKY**, **BP**; revision of the second draft of the manuscript; reference management; and final approval with intellectual critics-**SP**,**KY**. The final draft was authorized for publishing after being reviewed by all the authors.

REFERENCES

- 1. DiMaio D, Enquist LW, and Dermody TS. A New Coronavirus Emerges, This Time Causing a Pandemic. Annu Rev Virol. 2020.7:iii-v.
- 2. Yin Y, Wunderink RG. MERS, SARS and other coronaviruses as causes of pneumonia. Respirology. 2018;23(2):130-137
- 3. Cucinotta D, Vanelli M. WHO declares COVID-19 a pandemic. Acta Biomedica Mattioli. 1885; 2020. pp. 157-160.
- 4. Yadav K, Prakash S, Yadav BK, Pokhrel B. Evaluation of Paramedical Student's Knowledge on COVID-19: A Comparative Study. Janaki Medical College Journal of Medical Science. 2023;11(1):29-51.
- 5. Kooli C. COVID-19: Public health issues and ethical dilemmas. Ethics Med Public Health. 2021; 17: 100635.
- Azlan AA, Hamzah MR, Sern TJ, Ayub SH & Mohamad 6. E. Public knowledge, attitudes and practices towards COVID-19: A cross-sectional study in Malaysia. PLoS One. 2020; 15(5):1-15.
- 7. Chang CT, Lee M, Lee JCY, Lee NCT, Ng TY, Shafie AA & Thong KS. Public KAP towards COVID-19 and antibiotics resistance: A Malaysian survey of knowledge and awareness. International Journal of Environmental
- Research and Public Health 2021; 18(8):3964. Sondakh JJS, Warastuti W, Susatia B, Wildan M, Sunindya BR, Budiyanto MAK & Fauzi A. Indonesia 8.
- medical students' knowledge, attitudes, and practices toward COVID-19. *Heliyon* 2022: 8(1): e08686. Zhang J, Yin Y, Dean J, Zhang X, Zhang Y, Wang J and Zhang Y. Knowledge, Attitude, and Practice Survey of COVID-19 among Healthcare Students during 9. the COVID-19 outbreak in China: An Online Cross-
- Sectional Survey. *Front Public Health* 2021 9:742314.
 10. Saefi M, Fauzi A, Kristiana E, Adi WC, Muchson M, Setiawan ME, Islami NN, et al. Survey data of COVID-19-related knowledge, attitude, and practices among Indonesian undergraduate students. Data in Brief 2020; 31, 10585.
- 11. Jha N, Singh N, Bajracharya O, Manandhar T, Devkota P, Kafle S, Shankar PR. Knowledge about the COVID-19 pandemic among undergraduate medical and dental students in Lalitpur, Nepal. Med Pharm Rep 2021; 94(4):440-448.
- 12. Chriscaden K. Impact of COVID-19 on people's livelihoods, their health and our food systems; 2020. Retrieved from WHO | World Health Organization: https://www. who. int/news/item/13-10-2020-impact-of-covid-19-on-people's-livelihoods-their-health-andour-food-systems. 2021.
- 13. Olaimat AN, Aolymat I, Shahbaz HM, Holley RA, 2020. Knowledge and information sources about COVID-19 among university students in Jordan: a cross-sectional study. *Front Public Health* 8: 254.
- 14. Alzoubi H, Alnawaiseh N, Al-Mnayyis A, Abu-Lubada M, Aqel A, Al-Shagahin H, 2020. COVID-19 knowledge, attitude and practice among medical and non-medical university students in Jordan. J Pure Appl Microbiol 14: 17–24.
- 15. Huynh G, Nguyen TNH, Tran VK, Vo KN, Vo VT, Pham LA. Knowledge and attitude toward COVID-19 among healthcare workers at district 2 hospital, Ho Chi Minh city. *Asian Pac J Trop Med* 2020; 13: 260–265. **16.** Adane T, Adugna Y, Aynalem M. Prevalence of
- COVID-19 in West Gondar Zone, Northwest Ethiopia: A Population-Based Retrospective Study. *Disaster Med Public Health Prep.* 2022 23;17:e156.
- 17. Moynihan R, Sanders S, Michaleff ZA, Scott AM, et al. Impact of COVID-19 Pandemic on Utilisation of Healthcare Services: A Systematic Review. BMJ Open 2021;11: e045343.
- 18. Hincapié MA, Gallego JC, Gempeler A, Piñeros JA, Nasner D, Escobar MF. Implementation and Usefulness of Telemedicine During the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Scoping Review. J Prim Care Community Health. 2020;

11:1-7.

- **19.**Urszula Grata-Borkowska, Mateusz Sobieski, Jarosław Drobnik, Ewa Fabich and Maria Magdalena Bujnowska-Fedak. Perception and Attitude toward Different Teleconsultations among Healthcare Professionals in the Era of the COVID-19 Pandemic. Int. I. Environ. Res. Public Health 2022, 19, 11532
- 20. Rayamajhee B, Pokhrel A, Syangtan G, Khadka S, Lama B, Rawal LB, Mehata S, Mishra SK, Pokhrel R, Yadav UN. How well the government of Nepal is responding to COVID-19? An experience from a resource-limited country to confront unprecedented pandemic. *Frontiers in public health.* 2021; 17(9):85.
- **21.**Hamal PK, Pokhrel N, Pandey D, Malla P, Lamsal R. Perspective of doctors for COVID-19 pandemic readiness in Government Hospitals of Nepal. J. Nepal Health Res Council. 2020; 18:166–71.
- 22. Ministry of Health and Population Government of Nepal. COVID_and_non-CoVID_Health_Services_ Guideline_02-01-2077.pdf - Google Drive. (2020). Available online at: https://drive.google.com/file/d/ 1kzWQTtyi2cz8HSA4Hwv3zjdHwExJQXhO/view (accessed Áugust 10, 2020)
- **23.**Panthee B, Dhungana S, Panthee N, Paudel A, Gyawali S, Panthee S. COVID-19: the current situation in Nepal. New Microb New Infect. 2020; 37:100737.
- 24. Women more worried than men about the spread of coronavirus. Available at: https://theconversation. <u>com/women-more-worried-thân-men-about-the-</u> <u>spread-of-coronavirus-136580</u>
- **25.** Ŵomen more vulnerable than men to climate change. Website: https://www.prb.org/resources/women-morevulnerable-than-men-to-climate-change/
- 26. Wu Z, McGoogan JM. Characteristics of and Important Lessons from the Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Outbreak in China: Summary of a Report of 72 314 Cases from the Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention. JAMA. 2020.
- 27. Added vulnerability of frail older Population to COVID-19. Available at: https://www.bmj.com/ content/368/bmj.m1091/rr-1. Accessed April 6, 2020.
- 28. Cesari M, Proietti M. Geriatric medicine in Italy in the time of COVID-19. The journal of nutrition, health &
- aging. 2020;24:459-60. 29. Jones J, Sullivan PS, Sanchez TH, Guest JL, Hall EW, Luisi N, et al. Similarities and differences in COVID-19 awareness, concern and symptoms by Race and Ethnicity in the United States: Crosssectional survey. J
- Med Internet Res. 2020; 22:e20001.
 30. Alsoufi A, Alsuyihili A, Msherghi A, Elhadi A, Atiyah H, Ashini A, et al. Impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on medical education: Medical students' knowledge, attitudes, and practices regarding electronic learning. *PloS one*. 2020; 15(11):e0242905.
- 31. Jowsey T, Foster G, Cooper-Ioelu P, Jacobs S. Blended learning via distance in pre-registration nursing education: A scoping review. *Nurse Educ Pract.* 2020; 44:102775
- 32. Al-Balas M, Al-Balas HI, Jaber HM, Obeidat K, Al-Balas H, Aborajooh EA, et al. Distance learning in clinical medical education amid COVID-19 pandemic in Jordan: current situation, challenges, and perspectives. *BMC Med Educ*. 2020; 20(1):341. 33. Yadav K. Online Teaching: For better or Worse? *MedS J*
- Med Sci. 2021; 1(2):96-100.
- 34. Chen S, Yang J, Yang W, Wang C, Bärnighausen T. COVID-19 control in China during mass population movements at New Year. *The Lancet*. 2020;395(10226):764-
- 35. European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC). 2020. Considerations Relating to Social Distancing Measures in Response to COVID-19 — Second Update. March 23. https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/en/publications-data/ considerations-relating-social-distancing-measuresresponse-covid-19-second [accessed May 20, 2023].

Yadav et al.

- 36. World Health Organization. WHO model list for essential medicine (April 2015). Available from: https://www.who.int/groups/expert-committeeonselection-and-use-of-essential-medicines/ essential-medicines-lists[Accessed 23 April 2023]
- **37.** Siddharta A, Pfaender S, Vielle NJ, Dijkman R, Friesland M, Becker B, et al. Virucidal activity of world health organization-recommended formulations against enveloped viruses, including zika, ebola, and emerging coronaviruses. *J Infect Dis.* 2017;215(6):902–6
- **38.**Duarte PM & Santana VTP. Disinfection measures and control of SARSCOV-2 transmission. *Global Biosecurity*. 2020; 1(3):1-3.
- 39. Kampf G. Efficacy of ethanol against viruses in hand disinfection. *J Hosp Infect*. 2018; 98(4):331–8.
 40. Subedi D, Bhandari S, Gaire A, Kandel M, Subedi
- 40.Subedi D, Bhandari S, Gaire A, Kandel M, Subedi S, Karki S. Knowledge, attitude, and practices associated with COVID-19 among school students in Bharatpur, Chitwan District of Nepal. *International Journal of Medical Students*. 2020;8(3):231-7.
 41.Taghrir MH, Borazjani R, Shiraly R. COVID-19
- 41. Taghrir MH, Borazjani R, Shiraly R. COVID-19 and Iranian Medical Students; A Survey on Their Related-Knowledge, Preventive Behaviors and Risk Perception. Arch Iran Med. 2020; 23(4):249-254.
- Perception. Arch Iran Med. 2020; 23(4):249-254.
 42. NeJhaddadgar N, Pirani N, Heydarian N, Ebadi Fard Azar AA, Yazdi F, Toghroli R, Chaboksavar F, Shalchi Oghli S, Kianipour N, Zokaei A, Foroughinia A. Knowledge, attitude, and practice toward the COVID-19 infection among adults Iran: A cross-sectional study. J Public Health Res. 2022 24;11(4):22799036221129370.
- 43. World Health Organization (WHO) 2020. Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) Advice for the Public. March 18. Available at: <u>https://www.who. int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/</u> <u>advice-for-public</u> [Accessed March 13, 2023].
- 44. Lechien JR, Chiesa-Estomba CM, Place S, Van Laethem Y, Cabaraux P, Mat Q, Huet K, Plzak J, Horoi M, Hans S, Rosaria Barillari M. Clinical and epidemiological characteristics of 1420 European patients with mild-to-moderate coronavirus disease 2019. Journal of internal medicine. 2020;288(3):335-44.
- 45. Choi EM. COVID-19 vaccines for low and middleincome countries. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg.* 2021; 115 (5):447-456.
- **46.**Ryan J, Malinga T. Interventions for vaccine hesitancy. *Curr Opin Immunol.* 2021;71:89-91.
- 47. Gao L, Su S, Du N, Han Y, Wei J, Cao M, Miao Q, Wang X. Medical and non-medical students' knowledge, attitude and willingness towards the COVID-19 vaccine in China: a cross-sectional online survey. *Human Vaccines & Immunotherapeutics*. 2022;18(5):2073757.
- **48.** Manning ML, Gerolamo AM, Marino MA, Hanson-Zalot ME, Pogorzelska-Maziarz M. COVID-19 vaccination readiness among nurse faculty and student nurses. *Nurs Outlook.* 2021;69 (4):565–73.
- 49. Kecojevic A, Basch CH, Sullivan M, Chen YT, Davi NK. COVID19 vaccination and intention to vaccinate among a sample of university students in New Jersey. *J Community Health*. 2021;46 (6):1059–68.
 50. Sharma O, Sultan AA, Ding H, Triggle CR. A Review
- **50.**Sharma O, Sultan AA, Ding H, Triggle CR. A Review of the Progress and Challenges of Developing a

Vaccine for COVID-19. *Frontiers in immunology*. 2020;11:585354.

- **51.** Malik AA, McFadden SM, Elharake J, Omer SB. Determinants of COVID-19 vaccine acceptance in the US. *EClinicalMedicine*. 2020; 26: 100495.
- **52.**Su Z, Wen J, Abbas J, McDonnell D, Cheshmehzangi A, Li X. A race for a better understanding of COVID-19 vaccine non-adopters. *Brain Behav Immun Health.* 2020:100159.
- 53.Schmid P, Rauber D, Betsch C, Lidolt G, Denker ML. Barriers of influenza vaccination intention and behavior–a systematic review of influenza vaccine hesitancy, 2005–2016. *PloS One*. 2017; 12(1): e0170550.
- **54.**Lusin Mkrtchyan. The side effects of COVID-19 vaccines. Available at: <u>https://www.unicef.org/armenia/en/stories/side-effects-covid-19-vaccines</u>. Published on 11 March 2022
- **55.**Barbisch D, Koenig KL, Shih FY. Is there a case for quarantine? Perspectives from SARS to Ebola. *Disaster Med Public Health Prep.* 2015; 9:547-553.
- **56.**Meo SA, Abukhalaf AA, Alomar AA, Sattar K, Klonoff DC. COVID-19 pandemic: impact of quarantine on medical students' mental wellbeing and learning behaviors. *Pakistan journal of medical sciences*. 2020;36(COVID19-S4):S43.
- sciences. 2020;36(COVID19-S4):S43.
 57. Röhr S, Müller F, Jung F, Apfelbacher C, Seidler A, RiedelHeller SG. Psychosocial Impact of Quarantine Measures during Serious Coronavirus Outbreaks: A Rapid Review. *Psychiatr Prax.* 2020; 47(4):179-189.
- **58.**Lei L, Huang X, Zhang S, Yang J, Yang L, Xu M. Comparison of Prevalence and Associated Factors of Anxiety and Depression Among People Affected by versus People Unaffected by Quarantine During the COVID-19 Epidemic in Southwestern China. *Med Sci Monit.* 2020;26:e924609.
- **59.** Ministry of Health and Family Welfare. GOI. http://www.mohfw.gov.inhttps:// www.mohfw.gov.in/pdf/Updated ClinicalmmentProtocolforCOVID19dated03072020. pdf. Last Accessed on 10th May2021.
- **60.**Salje H, Tran Kiem C, Lefrancq N, Courtejoie N, Bosetti P, Paireau J, Andronico A, Hozé N, Richet J, Dubost CL, Le Strat Y. Estimating the burden of SARS-CoV-2 in France. *Science*. 2020 ;369(6500):208-11.
- **61.** Chen T, Wu DI, Chen H, Yan W, Yang D, Chen G, Ma K, Xu D, Yu H, Wang H, Wang T. Clinical characteristics of 113 deceased patients with coronavirus disease 2019: retrospective study. *BMJ*. 2020;368.
- 2019: retrospective study. *BMJ*. 2020;368.
 62. Dudley JP, Lee NT. Disparities in age-specific morbidity and mortality from SARS-CoV-2 in China and the Republic of Korea. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*. 2020;71(15):863–865.
- **63.**Borghesi A, Zigliani A, Masciullo R, Golemi S, Maculotti P, Farina D, Maroldi R. Radiographic severity index in COVID-19 pneumonia: relationship to age and sex in 783 Italian patients. *La radiologia medica.* 2020;125:461-4.
- **64.** Haitao T, Vermunt JV, Abeykoon J, Ghamrawi R, Gunaratne M, Jayachandran M, Narang K, Parashuram S, Suvakov S, Garovic VD. COVID-19 and sex differences: mechanisms and biomarkers. *InMayo Clinic Proceedings Elsevier*. 2020; 95(10): 2189-2203).