ISSN No: 2705-442X

Nepal Academy's Journal
JOURNAL OF NEPALESE STUDIES
Vol. 17, No. 1: 68-78, Dec., 2025
DOI : https://doi.org/10.3126/jns.v17i1.88161

Unpacking Power and Identity: The Theoretical Foundations and Methodological Commitments of Gender Critique

Naresh Amatya[1]

<*naresh.amatya.np@gmail.com*>

Received date: 26 March 2025, Revision date: 20 June 2025, Accepted date: 15 Nov. 2025

ABSTRACT

This article critically examines the theoretical foundations and methodological commitments of gender critique as an interdisciplinary framework for analyzing gendered power relations. Drawing on feminist, queer, postcolonial and poststructuralist theories, it explores how gender is performatively constructed and institutionally enforced through sociocultural mechanisms. Emphasizing anti-essentialism, intersectionality and decolonial epistemologies, the study outlines the core theoretical concepts underlying gender critique and demonstrates their relevance in analyzing contemporary gender issues. It also engages with challenges from biological determinism, materialist feminism and western-centric frameworks, arguing for a reflexive and context-sensitive approach to knowledge production. Methodologically, the article underscores the significance of qualitative, reflexive, participatory and discourse-based research practices that center marginalized voices and challenge epistemic hierarchies. The article also discusses the relevance of feminist standpoint theory, critical discourse analysis and decolonial methods in contemporary gender research. By interweaving theory and praxis, gender critique emerges as a dynamic and politically engaged field that addresses urgent global issues such as trans rights, algorithmic bias and environmental injustice. Ultimately, it argues that gender critique must remain adaptable, inclusive and politically engaged, fostering new vocabularies of resistance and envisioning equitable futures across social, cultural and technological domains.

Keywords: decolonial theory, epistemic justice, feminist theory, gender critique, intersectionality.

INTRODUCTION

Gender critique is a transformative and interdisciplinary framework that examines how gender is constructed and regulated within cultural, social and institutional contexts.

1 Mr. Amatya is an M.Phil-Ph.D candidate at the Central Department of Nepalbhasha, Tribhuvan University.

Rooted in late twentieth-century feminist and queer movements, it challenges biologically deterministic and binary models of identity. Rather than viewing gender as a fixed or natural category, gender critique interprets it as a product of social processes, power relations, and cultural scripts. This article traces the theoretical evolution of gender critique, showing how feminist theory, queer theory, intersectionality and poststructuralism have collectively shaped its foundations (Butler, 1990; Crenshaw, 1989).

Second-wave feminist thinkers such as Simone de Beauvoir, in *The Second Sex*, emphasized that "one is not born, but rather becomes, a woman" (Beauvoir, 1953), highlighting the social production of gender roles. Similarly, Betty Friedan and bell hooks examined how patriarchal expectations and systemic inequalities confined women's roles (hooks, 2000). Queer theorists including Judith Butler and Eve Sedgwick extended these insights by showing how gender identities are performatively constituted through language, ritual and repetition. Butler's notion of performativity, in *Gender Trouble*, redefined gender as something one "does" rather than something one "is" (Butler, 1990).

Contemporary gender critique integrates intersectional and postcolonial perspectives, emphasizing the multiplicity of identities and the interaction of systems of oppression. Kimberlé Crenshaw's concept of intersectionality revealed how race, gender, class, and sexuality converge to create distinct experiences of marginalization (Crenshaw, 1989). Postcolonial feminist scholars such as Chandra Mohanty and María Lugones challenged Western-centric frameworks and advocated for epistemic plurality (Mohanty, 2003; Lugones, 2007).

In recent years, the global expansion of gender discourse has also intersected with debates on migration, labor and cultural identity. Transnational feminist scholars argue that globalization reshapes not only economies but also intimate lives, influencing how gender, care and mobility are experienced. This intersectional lens allows gender critique to address the shifting boundaries between the local and the global, private and public, and material and emotional domains.

As global socio-political contexts evolve, gender critique remains crucial for addressing issues such as algorithmic bias, trans rights, reproductive justice and environmental displacement (Musser, 2017). Bridging academic analysis with activist practice, it functions both as a scholarly discipline and as a tool for social transformation. This article examines the theoretical foundations, methodological strategies and ongoing debates within gender critique, while reaffirming its importance in the contemporary world. The framework extends beyond traditional disciplinary boundaries, finding relevance in media studies, artificial intelligence ethics, climate justice and biomedical discourse. Its adaptability demonstrates its capacity to engage with shifting global landscapes and technologies that shape gendered experiences.

In South Asia, persistent gender-based violence, caste-based exclusion and labor exploitation demand localized interpretations of gender critique that remain culturally grounded while connected to global feminist struggles. Gender critique also invites scrutiny

of the geopolitical production of gender norms, where international organizations such as the United Nations and World Bank often impose Western-centric gender models under the guise of development or modernization. This highlights the importance of examining gender not only at the micro level of individual identity but also at the macro level of global governance and ideological export.

Across many contexts in the Global South, gender critique interrogates the intersections of nationalism, militarization and heteropatriarchy. Political rhetoric invoking gendered tropes such as the "mother nation" or "sacrificing sons" naturalizes violence and legitimizes authoritarian control, showing how gender continues to serve as a regulatory logic in nationalist discourse.

Furthermore, gender critique challenges the compartmentalization of identity into discrete categories. It insists that gender is relationally constituted alongside race, ethnicity, class, caste, nationality and ability, requiring holistic analysis beyond disciplinary silos. This relational approach gains urgency in times of crisis; such as pandemics or natural disasters, where existing inequalities intensify and transform.

As authoritarian populism rises globally, gender critique becomes indispensable in resisting ideologies that weaponize traditional gender roles to enforce conformity and suppress dissent. In many regimes, repression of gender-nonconforming individuals coincides with broader attacks on academic freedom, press autonomy and civil liberties.

Finally, gender critique provides a vocabulary of resistance to counter the moral panic surrounding non-normative identities. The rise of anti-LGBTQ+ legislation and transphobic rhetoric, often justified through religious or nationalist pretexts, underscores the ongoing need for inclusive legal and policy frameworks. By linking theory and praxis, gender critique not only interrogates power but also reimagines social justice across global and local contexts.

METHODOLOGY

Methodology within gender critique is inseparable from its theoretical commitments. Rooted in anti-essentialism, intersectionality and decolonial thought, it prioritizes research approaches that are reflexive, qualitative, participatory and justice-oriented (Reinharz, 1992; Pilcher & Whelehan, 2004). These methods challenge dominant epistemologies by centering marginalized voices and questioning who produces knowledge and for whose benefit.

Qualitative approaches such as ethnography, narrative analysis and in-depth interviews capture the complexity of gendered experience. They provide nuanced understandings of identity, power and lived realities that quantitative metrics alone cannot convey (Renzetti & Curran, 2003). For example, qualitative interviews in transgender healthcare research reveal how institutional mechanisms reinforce cisnormativity and how legal recognition often fails to translate into embodied equality.

Critical discourse analysis (CDA) is another core method in gender critique. It interrogates how language in policy documents, media, and institutions normalizes certain

gendered assumptions while marginalizing others (Wodak, 1997). This form of analysis uncovers the subtle reproduction of structural bias through everyday discourse.

Participatory action research (PAR) aligns closely with the justice-oriented ethos of gender critique. By involving communities as co-researchers rather than subjects, PAR redistributes epistemic authority and supports collective transformation (Rege, 2003). Ethical reflexivity is central to this process; researchers must remain aware of their positionality, ensure the benefits of research flow to participants and avoid reproducing hierarchies of power.

Furthermore, digital ethnography and intersectional data analysis are emerging as vital extensions of feminist research. These methods enable critical engagement with online activism, representation, and algorithmic bias, revealing how new media both challenge and reproduce existing hierarchies. Incorporating such approaches ensures that gender critique remains responsive to the technological realities of the twenty-first century.

Decolonial and Indigenous methodologies further extend gender critique's commitment to epistemic justice. These approaches validate localized and non-Western systems of knowledge, challenging academic traditions that marginalize or erase them (Haraway, 1988). Reflexivity here is essential; not merely an academic exercise but a political stance that exposes the researcher's own assumptions, privileges and institutional context.

Despite ongoing challenges, including the dominance of positivist paradigms and institutional resistance to interdisciplinarity, gender critique maintains that methodology itself is a political act. It values collaboration over hierarchy, context over abstraction and justice over neutrality. Through this stance, it redefines what counts as valid knowledge and whose experiences matter.

Recent innovations in gender critique employ digital and visual ethnography to examine how gender is performed and contested online. Social media aesthetics, memes, hashtags, and avatars serve as key data points in understanding how digital spaces reconfigure gender politics. Auto-ethnography has also become a vital feminist tool, allowing researchers from marginalized backgrounds to situate personal narratives within larger structures of oppression and resistance.

Feminist standpoint theory remains a guiding principle. By centering the lived experiences of the oppressed, it challenges the illusion of value-free objectivity and asserts that knowledge is always situated. Feminist data visualization, mapping, and digital tools, when used ethically, amplify the voices of queer, trans, and nonbinary communities long excluded from mainstream data narratives.

Emerging feminist and decolonial scholars advocate for "slow research"; a deliberate resistance to the culture of academic speed and productivity. Slow research prioritizes deep engagement, sustained community relationships, and ethical listening, aligning with feminist ethics of care and responsibility.

Storytelling, oral history, and participatory visual methods such as photovoice and

community video have become powerful counter-hegemonic practices. They recover erased narratives, reveal hidden histories, and empower participants to represent their own realities. Similarly, feminist counter-mapping and Geographic Information Systems (GIS) expose how spatial inequalities, such as access to safety, mobility, or sanitation, are gendered and classed.

Institutional ethnography, pioneered by Dorothy Smith, also informs methodological practices within gender critique. Beginning from the standpoint of marginalized groups, it traces how bureaucratic texts and procedures shape everyday lives, revealing how institutional logics reproduce inequality in sectors like health care, education, and policing.

Trauma-informed and participatory frameworks are increasingly central, especially in work with survivors of violence, displacement, or state repression. These practices emphasize emotional safety, informed consent, and post-research care, ensuring that knowledge production is non-extractive and ethically grounded.

In sum, methodology in gender critique is an active site of innovation and resistance. By weaving together reflexivity, participation and decolonial ethics, it transforms research from an act of observation into one of solidarity and social change.

DISCUSSIONS

Theoretical Foundations

Gender critique emerges from a constellation of interrelated theoretical traditions that collectively challenge the naturalization of gender. Feminist theory established the foundation by contesting patriarchy and dismantling the belief that gender roles are biologically determined. Mary Wollstonecraft, Simone de Beauvoir, and bell hooks articulated the intellectual beginnings of this critique (Wollstonecraft, 1967; Beauvoir, 1953; hooks, 2000). Wollstonecraft's insistence on women's education and de Beauvoir's assertion that "one is not born, but becomes, a woman" exposed gender as a social construction, while hooks foregrounded the intersections of race, gender and class as inseparable dimensions of oppression.

Toril Moi (1985) advanced feminist literary theory by linking textual politics with gender identity, while Sapkota (2024) emphasized academic reflexivity in gender scholarship, reinforcing the need for theoretical precision alongside methodological awareness. Queer theory further transformed the field by rejecting binary and heteronormative understandings of sex and gender. Judith Butler's (1990) theory of performativity redefined gender as an enacted effect of repeated social acts, while Michel Foucault's (1978) concept of biopower revealed how institutions produce and regulate gendered subjects through discourse. Eve Sedgwick (1990) expanded the discussion by examining secrecy, disclosure, and the politics of queer identity.

Kimberlé Crenshaw's (1989) concept of intersectionality deepened gender critique by revealing how gender operates in conjunction with race, class and sexuality. Patricia Hill Collins (1990) expanded this into a "matrix of domination," showing how systems of power

interlock to structure social inequality. Postcolonial theorists such as Chandra Mohanty (2003) and María Lugones (2007) criticized Western feminism's universalist assumptions and called for context-sensitive, decolonial approaches that center non-Western epistemologies.

Poststructuralist thought; particularly the works of Jacques Derrida and Donna Haraway, complicated fixed notions of identity and language. Haraway's (1988) concept of the "cyborg" blurred boundaries between human, machine and nature, proposing hybrid feminist identities and epistemologies. Affect theory, developed by Sara Ahmed and Lauren Berlant, expanded gender critique toward emotional economies, exploring how feelings circulate through social life and sustain attachments to power.

Further intersections with disability studies, ecological feminism and digital theory have broadened the field's scope. Eco-queer scholarship connects environmental degradation to gendered and sexualized hierarchies, challenging anthropocentric paradigms of development. Algorithmic governance research reveals how bias is embedded in digital technologies; Ruha Benjamin (2019) and Safiya Umoja Noble (2018) show how data systems reproduce racialized and gendered inequalities, positioning digital critique as central to contemporary gender analysis. In the South Asian context, Dalit feminist perspectives (Rege, 2003) expose the entanglement of caste and patriarchy, while Black feminist thinkers such as Audre Lorde and Angela Davis emphasize coalition politics, embodied resistance, and collective liberation. Together, these perspectives form a fluid and evolving theoretical foundation that adapts to social and technological transformations.

Within literary and cultural studies, gender critique interrogates how narrative form, aesthetics, and genre participate in constructing gender. Feminist literary criticism has moved from recovering marginalized authors to analyzing how texts themselves reproduce and resist gender norms. Intersectional readings of film, performance and popular culture reveal how tropes, such as the "sacrificing mother," "tragic queer," or "empowered woman," serve ideological functions, naturalizing specific gender scripts while excluding others.

Core Assumptions

At its center, gender critique rejects biological essentialism; the claim that gender differences are innate or biologically determined. It treats gender as socially and historically contingent, performed within power structures and continuously reproduced through culture. The works of de Beauvoir (1953) and Butler (1990) remain pivotal in demonstrating that gender is an effect of social practice rather than a natural fact.

Scholars like Slocum (1975) exposed male bias in anthropology, while Virginia Woolf's *A Room of One's Own* (1929) symbolized both material and intellectual exclusion from patriarchal structures. Cross-cultural evidence, from South Asian *hijra* communities to North American Two-Spirit traditions, confirms that binary gender categories are neither universal nor inevitable (Gilbert & Gubar, 1979; Pilcher & Whelehan, 2004).

Institutional power shapes and enforces gender norms through systems such as medicine, religion, education, and media. Foucault's (1978) concept of "productive power"

explains how norms are internalized through surveillance, discourse and governance rather than overt coercion. Language serves as one of the most powerful instruments of this regulation. Everyday words like *"mother," "patriot," or "breadwinner"* carry ideological weight, embedding gender expectations into communication and thought.

Gender critique also contests neoliberal individualism, which reframes structural inequality as personal failure or choice. Instead, it promotes collective transformation and solidarity as the foundation of justice. By positioning gender as relational, intersectional and dynamic, it emphasizes the inseparability of personal identity and structural change.

Disability justice perspectives further complicate assumptions about normalcy and productivity. Concepts such as "crip time" challenge dominant temporal frameworks and expose how infrastructures, labor systems and medical discourses marginalize disabled and gender-nonconforming bodies. Attending to accessibility and material accommodations is thus integral to a complete gender analysis.

Debates and Critiques

Gender critique faces both external opposition and internal debate. Evolutionary psychologists and biological determinists argue that it denies inherent sex differences (Pinker, 2002). Gender theorists counter that biology itself is socially interpreted, emphasizing that meaning, not matter, organizes identity (Butler, 1990).

Barker & Jane (2016) analyze how popular culture reinforces and challenges gender norms, providing insight into the dynamic role of media within feminist debates. Paludi & Gertrude (1990) focus on the restructuring of academic disciplines from a feminist perspective, advocating for the institutionalization of gender studies. Bérubé (1995) argues for accessible and publicly engaged cultural theory, strengthening the relevance of gender critique in civic life. Gamble (2000) critiques the shift from feminism to post-feminism, offering caution against depoliticization of gender discourse. Sapkota (2024) reinforces the need for structured academic engagement that supports the political goals of feminist research.

Materialist feminists warn that overreliance on discourse can obscure the economic and labor dimensions of gendered exploitation (Ebert, 1996). Nancy Fraser (2009) bridges these divides by connecting identity politics with political economy through the lens of social reproduction. Postcolonial feminists, including Mohanty (2003) and Lugones (2007), expose the colonial imposition of binary gender systems and critique the Western feminist tendency to universalize women's experience.

Internal tensions also persist within feminist movements. Debates on trans inclusion, sex work, and surrogacy reveal conflicting understandings of bodily autonomy and structural critique. While trans-exclusionary perspectives resist gender self-identification, contemporary gender critique generally supports trans-inclusive frameworks that expand feminist solidarity and reimagine the category of womanhood (Musser, 2017).

Critics sometimes claim that gender critique is overly theoretical and detached from activism. In response, scholars reaffirm praxis, linking theory with transformative social

engagement (Hennessy, 2017). Others warn against neoliberal co-optation: the appropriation of feminist rhetoric by corporations or states for marketing or policy legitimacy, often termed "pinkwashing." This dynamic demonstrates how emancipatory language can be stripped of political substance when detached from systemic critique.

Digital feminism and hashtag activism illustrate both potential and peril. While online platforms amplify marginalized voices and mobilize global solidarity, they also risk flattening complex struggles into performative or commodified visibility. Sustaining political transformation requires balancing digital presence with long-term community organizing and education.

Institutionalization of gender studies in academia produces mixed results. Though it provides recognition and resources, it can also bureaucratize feminist inquiry and distance scholarship from grassroots activism. Decolonial and Indigenous feminists call for an expansion of intersectionality to include land-based epistemologies, kinship structures and ecological relations; shifting focus from individual identity to relational interdependence.

Furthermore, some Indigenous feminist scholars have argued that intersectionality, while powerful, remains insufficient unless grounded in land-based epistemologies and kinship systems that precede colonial modernity. This has prompted calls for "inter-sectionality" to be expanded into "inter-being"; a relational approach to gender and ecology.

Intra-feminist tensions have also emerged around sex work, surrogacy and pornography. Some feminist scholars argue that these practices inherently reproduce patriarchal exploitation, while others advocate for sex workers' rights and bodily autonomy as part of a broader framework of labor justice. Gender critique must navigate these debates carefully, acknowledging both the risks of commodification and the agency of those involved.

There is also a growing critique of how neoliberal institutions co-opt feminist language to serve market agendas. Terms such as "empowerment" and "choice" are frequently used to mask exploitative labor conditions or to shift structural responsibilities onto individuals. Gender critique calls for a repoliticization of these terms to recover their transformative potential.

Finally, ongoing debates about terminology persist. Categories such as *"woman," "queer," or "nonbinary"* are simultaneously empowering and exclusionary. Gender critique must hold this tension, recognizing both the strategic necessity of identity categories and their potential to constrain multiplicity. The field's vitality depends on its capacity to remain reflexive, open-ended, and responsive to evolving realities.

CONCLUSION

Gender critique stands as a dynamic and continually evolving framework for examining power, identity, and inequality. Drawing insight from feminism, queer theory, postcolonial studies, and post-structuralism, it exposes the social and institutional construction of gender and the mechanisms through which these constructions sustain hierarchy and exclusion. By interrogating both explicit and subtle forms of domination, gender critique advances an

ethics of knowledge production grounded in epistemic justice, inclusivity, and reflexivity.

Elaine Showalter (1977) demonstrated how women novelists created distinct literary traditions that reshaped the representation of gender in literature. Karen Vintges (2017) expanded this perspective globally by highlighting women's practices of freedom, while Lizbeth Goodman (2015) underscored the interdisciplinary nature of feminist and cultural theory. Together, these works illustrate the intellectual breadth and continuing relevance of gender critique in connecting literary, cultural and political discourse.

In an era marked by algorithmic surveillance, environmental displacement, and deepening inequality, gender critique remains indispensable (Musser, 2017; Crenshaw, 1989). Its adaptability enables it to respond to shifting technological, political, and cultural contexts while maintaining balance between theoretical rigor and activist commitment. The field's power lies in its capacity to question, reinterpret, and reconstruct the categories through which knowledge and identity are defined.

Future directions should emphasize cross-disciplinary collaboration with emerging domains such as data science, environmental studies, and disability justice. Integrating these approaches will deepen gender critique's engagement with contemporary global challenges. Equally vital is the democratization of knowledge: resisting academic elitism through open access, translation, and community engagement. Writing in inclusive language and grounding theory in lived experience reaffirm the political and ethical responsibility of scholarship.

In future iterations, gender critique must expand its dialogue with youth movements. Student-led feminist, queer, and climate justice initiatives are generating new vocabularies, solidarities, and political strategies that deserve academic engagement. Their perspectives ensure that gender critique remains responsive, forward-looking, and transformative in both theory and practice.

As knowledge systems become increasingly digitized and commodified, maintaining a critical, accessible, and liberatory gender critique becomes essential. The field must guard against institutional co-optation by prioritizing transparency, accountability, and equitable knowledge-sharing practices. Open scholarship and multilingual dissemination are crucial for keeping gender critique connected to the diverse publics it seeks to serve.

The task of gender critique is not merely intellectual but existential. It speaks to how we live, relate, and imagine alternatives. It calls for continuous transformation, not only of institutions but also of ourselves. Grounded in care, solidarity, and collective responsibility, this ethical orientation sustains the vitality of the field.

To sustain its transformative potential, gender critique must continue engaging with multiple publics: academic, activist, and artistic. Collaboration across these spheres generates new ways of thinking, writing, and organizing that transcend disciplinary limits. By fostering intellectual humility and creative dialogue, gender critique not only examines power but also nurtures spaces of imagination, empathy, and resistance essential for building just and inclusive futures.

As the global landscape evolves, gender critique must remain in dialogue with grassroots feminist movements, Indigenous epistemologies, and digital networks of resistance. Its strength lies in refusing essentialism, embracing complexity, and sustaining a reflexive commitment to justice and inclusivity. By doing so, gender critique continues to challenge normative structures and envision more equitable futures.

Ultimately, gender critique is not only a mode of scholarship but also a form of ethical engagement. It challenges the way we live, relate, and imagine futures beyond hierarchy and exclusion. Its transformative potential lies in continuous reflexivity, openness to contradiction, and commitment to justice. Through this vision, gender critique endures as both an intellectual movement and a praxis for creating more inclusive worlds.

REFERENCES

Beauvoir, S. de. (1953). *The Second Sex.* Alfred A. Knopf.

Bérubé, M. (1995). *Public access: Literary theory and American cultural politics*. Verso.

Butler, J. (1990). *Gender trouble: Feminism and the subversion of identity*. Routledge.

Collins, P.H. (1990). *Black Feminist Thought: Knowledge, Consciousness and the Politics of Empowerment*. Hyman.

Crenshaw, K. (1989). Demarginalizing the intersection of race and sex: A Black feminist critique of antidiscrimination doctrine, feminist theory and antiracist politics. *University of Chicago Legal Forum*, 1989(1), Article 8.

Ebert, T.L. (1996). *Ludic Feminism and After: Postmodernism, desire and labor in late capitalism*. University of Michigan Press.

Foucault, M. (1978). *The history of sexuality: Volume 1 – An introduction.* Éditions Gallimard.

Fraser, N. (2009). *Scales of justice: Reimagining political space in a globalizing world.* Columbia University Press.

Gamble, S. (Ed). (2000). *The Routledge companion to feminism and post feminism*. Routledge.

Gilbert, S. M., & Gubar, S. (1979). *The madwoman in the attic: The woman writer and the nineteenth-century literary imagination*. Yale University Press.

Goodman, L. (Ed). (2015). *Literature and gender.* Routledge.

Haraway, D. (1988). Situated knowledges: The science question in feminism and the privilege of partial perspective. *Feminist Studies, 14*(3), 575–599. https://doi.org/10.2307/3178066

Hennessy, R. (2017). Feminism. In I. Szeman, S. Blacker, & J. Sully (Eds.), *A companion to critical and cultural theory* (pp. 223–241). Wiley-Blackwell. https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118472262.ch13

hooks, b. (2000). *Feminism is for Everybody: Passionate Politics*. South End Press. http://www.jstor.org/stable/4640051

Lugones, M. (2007). Heterosexualism and the colonial / modern gender system. *Hypatia, 22*(1), 186–209. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1527-2001.2007.tb01156.x

Mohanty, C. T. (2003). Under Western eyes: Feminist scholarship and colonial discourses. In *Feminism without borders: Decolonizing theory, practicing solidarity* (pp. 17–42). Duke University Press.

Moi, T. (1985). *Sexual/textual politics: Feminist literary theory*. Methuen.

Musser, A. J. (2017). Gender and queer theory. In I. Szeman, S. Blacker, & J. Sully (Eds.), *A companion to critical and cultural theory* (pp. 243–254). Wiley-Blackwell. https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118472262.ch14

Paludi, M. A., & Gertrude A. S. (1990). *Foundation for a feminist restructuring of the academic disciplines*. Harrington Park Press.

Pilcher, J., & Whelehan, I. (2004). *50 key concepts in gender studies*. SAGE Publications.

Pinker, S. (2002). *The blank slate: The modern denial of human nature*. Viking.

Rege, S. (Ed.). (2003). *Sociology of gender: Theoretical perspectives and feminist strategies*. SAGE Publications.

Reinharz, S. (1992). *Feminist methods in social research*. Oxford University Press.

Renzetti, C. M., & Curran, D. J. (2002). *Women, men and society* (5th ed.). Pearson.

Sapkota, M. (2024). *Academic writing and scientific publication: Research proposal, thesis and article*. New Hira Book Enterprises.

Sedgwick, E. K. (1990). *Epistemology of the closet*. University of California Press.

Showalter, E. (1977). *A literature of their own: British women novelists from Brontë to Lessing*. Princeton University Press.

Slocum, S. (1975). Women the gatherer: Male bias in anthropology. In R. R. Reiter (Ed.), *Toward an anthropology of women* (pp. 36–50). Monthly Review Press.

Vintges, K. (2017). *A new dawn for the second sex: Women's freedom practices in world perspective*. Amsterdam University Press. http://www.jstor.org/stable/j.ctt1s475v4

Wodak, R. (Ed.) (1997). *Gender and discourse*. SAGE Publications. https://doi.org/10.4135/9781446250204

Wollstonecraft, M. (1967). *A vindication of the rights of woman*. W. W. Norton.

Woolf, V. (1929). *A room of one's own*. Harcourt Brace Jovanovich.