Artificial Intelligence and Hospitals-Healing with Algorithms: Turning Potential into Patient Benefit-The Future of Hospital Medicine

ISSN: 3059-9733
DOI: 10.3126/jobh.v1i3.86367

Mani Prasad Gautam [1]

[1]Department of Cardiology, Bharatpur Hospital, Bagmati Province, Bharatpur, Chitwan, Nepal.

ABSTRACT

Artificial intelligence (AI) is rapidly transitioning from experimental tools to real-world clinical deployment. Hospitals now face the challenge of translating AI's promise into tangible patient benefit. This editorial discusses current evidence, risks, and best practices in the integration of AI into hospital workflows-highlighting lessons from sepsis prediction, acute kidney injury forecasting, and imaging diagnostics. It argues that successful implementation depends not only on technical performance but also on usability, transparency, and equity. For resource-constrained public hospitals such as Bharatpur Hospital, the path forward lies in thoughtful prioritization, strong clinical–data partnerships, and vigilant monitoring.

Keywords: artificial intelligence; clinical decision support; hospital workflow; sepsis; machine learning; algorithmic equity.

Correspondence: Dr. Mani Prasad Gautam, Department of Cardiology, Bharatpur Hospital, Bagmati Province, Bharatpur, Chitwan, Nepal. Email: manigautam@gmail.com, Phone: +977-9851076043. **Article received**: 2025-08-20. **Article accepted**: 2025-11-02. **Article published**: 2025-12-31.

INTRODUCTION

Hospitals are entering an era where algorithms help to heal. From triaging images and predicting deterioration to guiding bedside decisions, artificial intelligence (AI) has moved from pilot projects to real clinical deployment. The goal is not automation for its own sake but augmentation-reducing delays, catching what humans might miss, and freeing clinicians' time for the uniquely human parts of care: listening, reassuring, and deciding together.

In acute care, minutes matter. Early warning systems for sepsis exemplify how algorithmic support can operate at scale. In a multi-site evaluation across five hospitals, a machine-learning sepsis tool (TREWS) was linked to faster treatment and lower mortality when clinicians responded promptly-evidence that thoughtfully deployed AI can change outcomes, not just dashboards.[1,2] Yet these studies also show that benefits depend on adoption. When alerts are trusted, understood, and acted upon, patients gain; when ignored, even the best models remain inert. Building usability and explainability into workflows is therefore a clinical safety requirement, not a luxury.

Beyond critical care, algorithms can reveal patterns hidden in the noise of routine data. Deep learning applied to raw electronic health records has demonstrated strong discrimination for in-hospital mortality, 30-day readmission, and prolonged length of stay-often outperforming traditional scores and identifying risk hours earlier.[3] Similarly, a large longitudinal study within the US Veterans Affairs system showed that acute kidney injury could be predicted up to 48 hours in advance with meaningful precision, opening a window to adjust nephrotoxins, manage fluids, and prevent downstream harm.[4]

Some of the most visible gains come from computer vision at the point of care. In endoscopy, randomized trials have shown that AI-assisted colonoscopy significantly increases adenoma detection an outcome directly linked to cancer prevention.[5] These are not laboratory prototypes but pragmatic, clinician-blinded trials embedded in routine practice, achieving higher detection without prolonging procedures. Emergency departments are also testing AI support for chest radiographs a high-volume bottleneck where earlier, reliable reads can accelerate decisions. Early studies suggest that AI assistance improves both accuracy and speed, hinting at a safer and less congested hospital entry point.[6]

However, progress is neither automatic nor neutral. The most cited cautionary tale in clinical AI revealed how a widely used risk algorithm because it used healthcare cost as a proxy for health need systematically underestimated the needs of Black patients, halving their chances of referral to high-risk care programs despite similar disease burdens.[7] The lesson for hospitals is clear: demand transparent targets (e.g., "uncontrolled disease" rather than "future cost"), insist on subgroup performance reporting, and monitor algorithms as we do new drugs post-deployment, with real patients, for real harms.

Turning Promise into Practice

How should hospitals especially resource-constrained public institutions like Bharatpur Hospital translate this potential into patient benefit?

1. Start with high-impact, workflow-integrated use cases.

Early sepsis alerts linked to nurse-driven bundles, AKI prediction tied to nephrotoxin stewardship, and imaging triage where report turnaround affects disposition all have measurable outcomes time to antibiotics, dialysis-requiring AKI, and emergency department length of stay. Each is backed by clinical evidence and offers a credible mechanism of benefit.[1-6]

2. Build a clinical–data partnership.

Models trained elsewhere rarely perform optimally in new settings. Establish a small data governance group clinicians, data engineers, pharmacists, and quality leads to vet targets, oversee calibration, and schedule periodic revalidation. Use shadow deployments initially to assess false alarms and subgroup performance before activating clinician-facing alerts.[3]

3. Design for adoption.

The best predictor of benefit is not the AUROC but whether the right clinician acts at the right time. Integrate alert explanations directly into clinical charts, limit interruptive pop-ups, and appoint ward-level clinical champions. The TREWS experience shows that human factors and team culture drive

outcomes as much as the model itself.[2]

4. Monitor equity as a safety metric.

Require vendors and in-house teams to report model performance by sex, age group, and socioeconomic or caste-proxy strata. Replace biased proxies with clinical targets and establish an "algorithm formulary" so each model has a defined owner, indication, contraindications, and monitoring plan mirroring medication stewardship.[7]

5. Invest in capacity, not just code.

AI that improves care requires reliable data pipelines, clinician training, and basic MLOps (versioning, drift detection, rollback). For many hospitals, collaborating with academic institutions or regional networks to share validation and governance frameworks will be the fastest route to safe and sustainable scaling.[3]

CONCLUSIONS

Healing with algorithms is not about replacing clinicians. It is about giving care teams earlier sightlines, fewer blind spots, and more time with patients. If we combine rigorous evaluation with humble deployment and vigilant monitoring, hospitals can transform AI's promise into safer wards, faster diagnoses, and fairer care. The future is not machine versus medic; it is medicine with better instruments-and algorithms are rapidly becoming some of the sharpest we have.

Conflict of interest: None

Funding: None

REFERENCES

1. Adams R, Henry KE, Sridharan A, Soleimani H, Zhan A, Rawat N, et al. Prospective, multi-site study of patient outcomes after implementation of the TREWS machine learning-based early warning system for sepsis. Nat Med. 2022;28(7):1455-1460. [DOI]
2. Henry KE, Adams R, Parent C, Soleimani H, Shridharan A, Johnson L, et al. Factors driving provider adoption of the TREWS machine learning-based early warning system and its effects on sepsis treatment timing. Nat Med. 2022;28(7):1447-1454. [DOI]
3. Rajkomar A, Oren E, Chen K, Dai AM, Hajaj N, Hardt M, et al. Scalable and accurate deep learning with electronic health records. npj Digit Med. 2018;1:18. [DOI]
4. Tomašev N, Glorot X, Rae JW, Zielinski M, Askham H, Saraiva A, et al. A clinically applicable approach to continuous prediction of future acute kidney injury. Nature. 2019;572:116-119. [DOI]
5. Wang P, Liu X, Berzin TM, et al. Effect of a deep-learning computer-aided detection system on adenoma detection during colonoscopy: a randomised trial. Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2020;5(4):343-351.[DOI]
6. Huang J, Neill L, Wittbrodt M, Melnik D, Klug M, Thompson M, et al. Generative Artificial Intelligence for Chest Radiograph Interpretation in the Emergency Department. JAMA Netw Open. 2023;6(10):e2336100.[DOI]
7. Obermeyer Z, Powers B, Vogeli C, Mullainathan S. Dissecting racial bias in an algorithm used to manage the health of populations. Science. 2019;366(6464):447-53. [DOI]

Citation: Gautam MP. Artificial Intelligence and Hospitals-Healing with Algorithms: Turning Potential into Patient Benefit-The Future of Hospital Medicine. JoBH, Nepal. 2025; 1(3): 156-158.