

Patient Satisfaction Regarding Hospital Services in A Tertiary Level Hospital of Chitwan

ISSN: 3059-9733 DOI: 10.3126/jobh.v1i3.84856 Mina Kumari Bhusal ®,¹ Kamala Regmi ®,¹ Madhu Maya Paudel ®,¹ Pratima Adhikari ®,¹ Sumitra Parajuli® ¹

¹Bharatpur Hospital Nursing College, Bharatpur, Chitwan, Nepal.



ABSTRACT

Background

Patient satisfaction is an important component of evaluating care. Patient satisfaction describes how patients value and regard their care. Patients' satisfaction with health care services is becoming an essential factor in health promotion. When patients are satisfied with healthcare services, it will enhance their quick recovery. Therefore, the study objective was to assess the patient's satisfaction level in the tertiary-level Hospital.

Methods

A descriptive cross-sectional study was carried out among purposively selected 335 Patients and visitors from five wards of Bharatpur Hospital, Chitwan. Data was collected using a semi-structured interview schedule that included a Five-Point Likert Scale questionnaire. Informed written consent was obtained to ensure their rights. Data were analyzed in SPSS 22 version using descriptive and inferential statistics.

Results

The study findings revealed that 94% of participants were satisfied with hospital services with a mean value of 3.79 ± 0.463 . The highest satisfaction score was found regarding doctors' care (4.04 ± 0.74) , followed by nursing care (3.93 ± 0.577) , and low satisfaction with hospital policy (3.66 ± 0.655) . There is no significant association between sociodemographic characteristics and patient satisfaction. The most frequently recommended areas for improvement were sanitation and cleanliness (15.48%), followed closely by improvement of physical facilities (14.83%) and crowd management (14.19%).

Conclusions

Almost all of the participants were satisfied with hospital services. Satisfaction with the care of doctors and nurses was high, and low satisfaction with hospital policy. It is recommended for the hospital management to enhance the hospital policy, cleanliness and facilities of the toilets and bathrooms.

Keywords: hospital services; patient satisfaction; tertiary level hospital.

Correspondence: Mrs. Mina Kumari Bhusal, Bharatpur Hospital Nursing College, Bharatpur, Chitwan, Nepal. Email: mbhusal930@gmail.com, Phone: +977-9845063930. **Article received**: 2025-09-12. **Article accepted**: 2025-11-02. **Article published**: 2025-12-31.

INTRODUCTION

Patients' satisfaction with health care services is an essential component of health promotion. If patients are satisfied with healthcare services, it will enhance their quick recovery. An assessment of patient satisfaction is required to monitor the quality of health care. Peymania Hospital of Iran has a higher level of satisfaction in nursing services in terms of the nutrition services, diagnostic services, accounting and insurance, and hospital management services.² In India, Patients were highly satisfied with courtesy (46%), quality of care (44%), physical environment (42%) and were less satisfied with convenience (25%), and out of pocket cost (23.5%).3 In another study, the overall satisfaction level was 73% excellent to good, 22% average. Out of the total 68% respondents were unsatisfied with the toilet facility and 56% were unsatisfied with the drinking water facility⁴ The satisfaction level of outpatients admitted to the polyclinic in Hanol of Vietnam was found low although the attitude and communication of health workers were good.⁵ A study in Nepal showed that doctor - patient communication was satisfied. ⁶ A study in Gujranwala, Pakistan found 41.3% of clients were satisfied with the services from basic health units and there is significant relationship client's occupation and income with the patient satisfaction level.7 In Nepal, most of the respondents were satisfied with availability of services, professional care, waiting time, behavior of consultant, nurses, paramedical staff and other staff. Assessment of patient satisfaction helps to identify the gaps and work on those gaps to render effective, efficient, accessible, acceptable, equitable and safe health care services.8

METHODS

A descriptive cross-sectional study was conducted among patients/ visitors at Bharatpur Hospital, Chitwan, Nepal. Ethical approval was taken from the institutional review committee (IRC) of Bharatpur Hospital (Ref. No. 081/82-023). Informed written consent was taken before data collection. The calculated sample size of this study was 335. A non-probability type of purposive sampling technique

was used to select the sample. A semi structured interview questionnaire was developed extensive literature review. Questionnaire consists of Five-Point Likert Scale including 43 items under six domains these are basic hospital amenities (9 items), satisfaction towards nursing care (9 items), satisfaction towards doctor's care (10 satisfaction towards accessibility of services (7 items), hospital policy (4 items), other employment's services (4 items). The five-point Likert scale ranged between 1 (indicating very unsatisfied) to 5 (indicating very satisfied). The validity of the research instruments was ensured through a literature review and consultation with subject experts and a statistician. The reliability of the tool was ensured by pretesting the tool among 10% of the total sample size. The internal consistency of the tool was assessed using Cronbach's Alpha, and a value of 0.948 was yielded. Based on the pre-test result, necessary modifications were made to the tool. The Face-to-face interview technique was used to collect data from the selected five wards. Data were entered and analyzed in SPSS 22 version using descriptive (Frequency and percentage) and inferential statistics (Chi-Square test).

RESULTS

Among 335 participants, more than half (62.1%) were 20-39 years. The mean age was 35.55±15.208 years. Sixty-six percent were female, and nearly a similar percent were Brhamin/Chettri (42.7%), and Janajati (43.3%). The maximum participants were married (88.1%) and belonged to a joint family (62.4%). Most of the patients (84.8%) stayed in the hospital for less than 7 days at data collection. Regarding education status, 42.7% had completed secondary level. Around one third (37.9%) of the participants were housemaker and retired. There is no significant association between sociodemographic characteristics and patient satisfaction (Table 1).

Cleanliness of the ward (4.06 ± 0.628) , physical and psychological safety (3.99 ± 0.663) of the ward

| Table 1. Association between sociodemographic characteristics and patient satisfaction. (n=335) | | | | | |
|---|---------------------------|-------------|-----------|---------|--|
| Variables | Frequency (%) | Unsatisfied | Satisfied | p-value | |
| Age in completed years | | | | | |
| <20 | 15(4.5) | 2(13.3) | 13(86.7) | 0.241 | |
| 20-39 | 208(62.1) | 15(7.2) | 193(92.8) | | |
| 40-59 | 70(20.9) | 2(2.9) | 68(97.1) | | |
| 60 | 42(12.5) | 1(2.4) | 41(97.6) | | |
| Mean age \pm SD = 35.55 \pm 15.20 | 8 years, Min: 16, Max: 75 | | | | |
| Sex | | | | | |
| Male | 114(34.0) | 6(5.3) | 108(94.7) | 0.695 | |
| Female | 221(66.0) | 14(6.3) | 207(93.7) | | |
| Ethnicity | | | | | |
| Brhamin/Chettri | 143(42.7) | 5(3.5) | 138(96.5) | | |
| Janajati | 145(43.3) | 9(6.2) | 136(93.8) | 0.05 | |
| Dalit | 40(11.9) | 6(15) | 34(85.0) | | |
| Muslim | 7(2.1) | 0(0) | 7(100) | | |
| Marital status | | | | | |
| Married | 295(88.1) | 17(5.8) | 278(94.2) | | |
| Unmarried | 40(11.9) | 3(7.5) | 37(92.5) | 0.663 | |
| Types of family | | | | | |
| Nuclear | 126(37.6) | 7(5.6) | 119(94.4) | | |
| Joint | 209(62.4) | 13(6.2) | 196(93.8) | 0.804 | |
| Length of stay in hospital | | | | | |
| ≤7 days | 284(84.8) | 17(6.0) | 267(94.0) | 0.977 | |
| >7 days | 51(15.2) | 3(5.9) | 48(94.1) | | |
| Education status | | | | | |
| Illiterate | 47(14.0) | 0 | 47(100) | 0.324 | |
| Basic | 101(30.1) | 7(6.9) | 94(93.1) | | |
| Secondary | 143(42.7) | 10(7.0) | 133(93.0) | | |
| Bachelor and above | 44(13.1) | 3(6.8) | 41(93.2) | | |
| Occupation | | | | | |
| Agriculture | 77(23.0) | 2(2.6) | 75(97.4) | | |
| Service | 65(19.4) | 3(4.6) | 62(95.4) | | |
| Bussiness | 37(11.0) | 2(5.4) | 35(94.6) | 0.481 | |
| Housemaker/retired | 127(37.9) | 11(8.7) | 116(91.3) | | |
| Students | 29(8.7) | 2(6.9) | 27(93.1) | | |

were high level of satisfaction. There was lowest satisfaction regarding cleanliness and facilities of toilet and bathroom (3.39 ± 1.032) which are notable area of concern. Regarding nursing care, participants were topped the list of satisfied in the area of confidence and trust (4.08 ± 0.670) , involving you and your family in making decisions about your care (4.09 ± 0.705) . The lowest satisfaction area relate to health education and responsiveness (Table 2).

With the Doctor's care, participants were highest satisfied in confidence and trust in doctors (4.27±0.611), behaviour of doctors (4.14±0.647), treatment process (4.13±0.646), involves you and your family in making decisions about your treatment and care (4.11±0.654), and treats you with respect, dignity and maintains privacy (4.02±0.628). However, doctor's communication regarding patients' concerns, treatment procedures, and treatment room

| Table 2. Patient satisfaction towards basic hospital amenities and nurse's care. | | | | | | |
|--|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------|-------------|
| Basic Hospital Amenities | VU n(%) | U n(%) | N n(%) | S n(%) | VS n(%) | Mean±SD |
| Cleanliness of ward | 1(0.3) | 6(1.8) | 32(9.6) | 228(68.1) | 68(20.3) | 4.06±0.628 |
| Physical and psychological safety in ward | 1(0.3) | 9(2.7) | 43(12.8) | 223(66.6) | 59(17.6) | 3.99 ±0.663 |
| Elevator facilities | 8(2.4) | 39(11.6) | 85(25.4) | 170(50.7) | 33(9.9) | 3.54±.908 |
| Cleanliness of bed | 4(1.2) | 30(9.0) | 59(17.6) | 196(58.5) | 46(13.7) | 3.75±0.847 |
| Water facilities | 9(2.7) | 33(9.0) | 52(15.5) | 190(56.7) | 51(15.2) | 3.72±0.931 |
| Facilities of bathroom and toilet | 15(4.5) | 52(15.5) | 65(19.4) | 178(53.1) | 25(7.5) | 3.39±1.032 |
| Cleanliness of bathroom and toilet | 20(6) | 52(15.5) | 65(19.4) | 172(51.3) | 26(7.8) | 3.39±1.032 |
| Internal environment of hospital | 3(0.9) | 12(3.6) | 64(19.1) | 207(61.8) | 49(14.6) | 3.86±.736 |
| External environment of hospital | 1(0.3) | 15(4.5) | 67(20.0) | 205(61.2) | 47(14.0) | 3.84±0.723 |
| Nurses' care | | | | | | |
| Friendly and courteous manner | 7(2.1) | 8(2.4) | 48(14.3) | 197(58.8) | 75(22.4) | 3.97±0.807 |
| Confidence and trust | 1(0.3) | 5(1.5) | 42(12.5) | 206(61.5) | 81(24.2) | 4.08±0.670 |
| Answers your questions in a way you could understand | 4(1.2) | 13(3.9) | 49(14.6) | 194(57.9) | 75(22.4) | 3.96±0.796 |
| Treats you with respect, dignity and maintains privacy | 3(0.9) | 9(2.7) | 40(11.9) | 224(66.9) | 59(17.6) | 3.98±0.696 |
| Involves you and your family in making decisions about your care | 2(0.6) | 6(1.8) | 39(11.6) | 200(59.7) | 88(26.3) | 4.09±0.705 |
| Give information about your latest condition | 2(0.6) | 16(4.8) | 45(13.4) | 211(63.0) | 61(18.2) | 3.93±0.747 |
| Attends you immediately when called for help without delaying | 6(1.8) | 34(10.1) | 47(14.0) | 185(55.2) | 63(18.8) | 3.79±0.924 |
| Gives you advice about the ways to avoid illness and stay healthy | 5(1.5) | 37(11) | 46(13.7) | 189(56.4) | 58(17.3) | 3.77±0.914 |
| Explains about every details before, during and after procedure | 4(1.2) | 29(8.7) | 44(13.1) | 190(56.7) | 68(20.3) | 3.86±0.878 |

Note: VU: very unsatisfied, U: unsatisfied, N: neutral, S: satisfied, VS: very satisfied.

| Table 3. Patient satisfaction towards doctors' care. | | | | | | |
|--|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------|------------|
| Doctors' Care | VU n(%) | U n(%) | N n(%) | S n(%) | VS n(%) | Mean±SD |
| Confidence and trust in doctors | 0 | 5(1.5) | 14(4.2) | 200(59.7) | 116(34.6) | 4.27±0.611 |
| Behaviour of doctors (Speaks politely with respect) | 2(0.6) | 5(1.5) | 23(6.9) | 220(65.7) | 85(25.4) | 4.14±0.647 |
| Addresses your worries and concerns | 4(1.2) | 13(3.9) | 54(16.1) | 193(57.6) | 71(21.2) | 3.94±0.796 |
| Involves you and your family in making decisions about your treatment and care | 2(0.6) | 6(1.8) | 25(7.5) | 222(66.3) | 80(23.9) | 4.11±0.654 |
| Knows about your latest condition/ Progress | 1(0.3) | 9(2.7) | 59(17.6) | 203(60.6) | 63(18.8) | 3.95±0.705 |
| Gives information about your disease condition and treatment modalities to your satisfaction | 1(0.3) | 12(3.6) | 22(6.6) | 223(66.6) | 77(23.0) | 4.08±0.678 |
| Explains in details about every procedures before, during and after procedure | 3(0.9) | 12(3.6) | 48(14.3) | 207(61.8) | 65(19.4) | 3.95±0.749 |
| Treats you with respect, dignity and maintains privacy | 1(0.3) | 5(1.5) | 41(12.2) | 226(67.5) | 62(18.5) | 4.02±0.628 |
| Treatment process | 2(0.6) | 5(1.5) | 24(7.2) | 221(66.0) | 83(24.8) | 4.13±0.646 |
| Treatment room | 1(0.3) | 12(3.6) | 65(19.4) | 199(59.4) | 58(17.3) | 3.90±0.727 |

Note: VU: very unsatisfied, U: unsatisfied, N: neutral, S: satisfied, VS: very satisfied.

could be improved (Table 3).

Regarding the accessibility of services, most of the patients were satisfied with the admission process and is well organized (3.86 ± 0.771) , and the least satisfaction was with (3.56 ± 1.016) waiting time to consult a doctor after collection of lab report. The aspect of hospital

policy illustrated that most of the participants were satisfied with the visiting time and hours allocated in the ward is systematic and adequate (3.76 ± 0.823) . Some participants faced difficulties in paying bills at counter (3.49 ± 0.975) . Most of the participants were satisfied with the services and behavior of the security

| Tabe 4. Patient satisfaction towards accessibility of services, hospital policy and other employment's service. | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|---|---|
| VU n(%) | U n(%) | N n(%) | S n(%) | VS n(%) | Mean±SD |
| 10(3.0) | 42(12.5) | 66(19.7) | 175(52.2) | 42(12.5) | 3.59±0.962 |
| 4(1.2) | 32(9.6) | 63(18.8) | 191(57.0) | 45(13.4) | 3.72±0.858 |
| 5(1.5) | 13(3.9) | 57(17.0) | 209(62.4) | 51(15.2) | 3.86±0.771 |
| 10(3.0) | 45(13.4) | 60(17.9) | 180(53.7) | 40(11.9) | 3.58±0.966 |
| 6(1.8) | 34(10.1) | 54(16.1) | 198(59.1) | 43(12.8) | 3.71±0.880 |
| 7(2.1) | 31(9.3) | 62(18.5) | 191(57.0) | 44(13.1) | 3.70±0.887 |
| 16(4.8) | 41(12.2) | 59(17.6) | 177(52.8) | 42(12.5) | 3.56±1.016 |
| | | | | | |
| 9(2.7) | 22(6.6) | 56(16.7) | 204(60.9) | 44(13.1) | 3.75±0.862 |
| 13(3.9) | 30(9.0) | 58(17.3) | 196(58.5) | 38(11.3) | 3.64±0.933 |
| 6(1.8) | 23(6.9) | 57(17.0) | 207(61.8) | 42(12.5) | 3.76±0.823 |
| 14(4.2) | 43(12.8) | 76(22.7) | 170(50.7) | 32(9.6) | 3.49±0.975 |
| Other employment's service | | | | | |
| 15(4.5) | 28(8.4) | 59(17.6) | 199(59.4) | 34(10.1) | 3.62±0.936 |
| 8(2.4) | 28(8.4) | 60(17.9) | 215(64.2) | 24(7.2) | 3.65±0.826 |
| 7(2.1) | 12(3.6) | 52(15.5) | 228(68.1) | 36(10.7) | 3.82±0.750 |
| 14(4.2) | 15(4.5) | 52(15.5) | 216(64.5) | 38(11.3) | 3.74±0.872 |
| | VU n(%) 10(3.0) 4(1.2) 5(1.5) 10(3.0) 6(1.8) 7(2.1) 16(4.8) 9(2.7) 13(3.9) 6(1.8) 14(4.2) 15(4.5) 8(2.4) 7(2.1) | VU n(%) U n(%) 10(3.0) 42(12.5) 4(1.2) 32(9.6) 5(1.5) 13(3.9) 10(3.0) 45(13.4) 6(1.8) 34(10.1) 7(2.1) 31(9.3) 16(4.8) 41(12.2) 9(2.7) 22(6.6) 13(3.9) 30(9.0) 6(1.8) 23(6.9) 14(4.2) 43(12.8) 15(4.5) 28(8.4) 7(2.1) 12(3.6) | VU n(%) U n(%) N n(%) 10(3.0) 42(12.5) 66(19.7) 4(1.2) 32(9.6) 63(18.8) 5(1.5) 13(3.9) 57(17.0) 10(3.0) 45(13.4) 60(17.9) 6(1.8) 34(10.1) 54(16.1) 7(2.1) 31(9.3) 62(18.5) 16(4.8) 41(12.2) 59(17.6) 9(2.7) 22(6.6) 56(16.7) 13(3.9) 30(9.0) 58(17.3) 6(1.8) 23(6.9) 57(17.0) 14(4.2) 43(12.8) 76(22.7) 15(4.5) 28(8.4) 59(17.6) 8(2.4) 28(8.4) 60(17.9) 7(2.1) 12(3.6) 52(15.5) | VU n(%) U n(%) N n(%) S n(%) 10(3.0) 42(12.5) 66(19.7) 175(52.2) 4(1.2) 32(9.6) 63(18.8) 191(57.0) 5(1.5) 13(3.9) 57(17.0) 209(62.4) 10(3.0) 45(13.4) 60(17.9) 180(53.7) 6(1.8) 34(10.1) 54(16.1) 198(59.1) 7(2.1) 31(9.3) 62(18.5) 191(57.0) 16(4.8) 41(12.2) 59(17.6) 177(52.8) 9(2.7) 22(6.6) 56(16.7) 204(60.9) 13(3.9) 30(9.0) 58(17.3) 196(58.5) 6(1.8) 23(6.9) 57(17.0) 207(61.8) 14(4.2) 43(12.8) 76(22.7) 170(50.7) 15(4.5) 28(8.4) 59(17.6) 199(59.4) 8(2.4) 28(8.4) 60(17.9) 215(64.2) 7(2.1) 12(3.6) 52(15.5) 228(68.1) | VU n(%) U n(%) N n(%) S n(%) VS n(%) 10(3.0) 42(12.5) 66(19.7) 175(52.2) 42(12.5) 4(1.2) 32(9.6) 63(18.8) 191(57.0) 45(13.4) 5(1.5) 13(3.9) 57(17.0) 209(62.4) 51(15.2) 10(3.0) 45(13.4) 60(17.9) 180(53.7) 40(11.9) 6(1.8) 34(10.1) 54(16.1) 198(59.1) 43(12.8) 7(2.1) 31(9.3) 62(18.5) 191(57.0) 44(13.1) 16(4.8) 41(12.2) 59(17.6) 177(52.8) 42(12.5) 9(2.7) 22(6.6) 56(16.7) 204(60.9) 44(13.1) 13(3.9) 30(9.0) 58(17.3) 196(58.5) 38(11.3) 6(1.8) 23(6.9) 57(17.0) 207(61.8) 42(12.5) 14(4.2) 43(12.8) 76(22.7) 170(50.7) 32(9.6) 15(4.5) 28(8.4) 59(17.6) 199(59.4) 34(10.1) 8(2.4) 28(8.4) 60(17.9) 215(64.2) 24(7.2 |

Note: VU: very unsatisfied, U: unsatisfied, N: neutral, S: satisfied, VS: very satisfied.

| Table 5. Mean score of patient satisfaction on six domains and level of satisfaction. (n=335) | | | | | |
|---|------------|----------------------|--|--|--|
| Statements | Mean± SD | Satisfaction Rank | | | |
| Basic Hospital amenities (9 items) | 3.73±0.551 | 3 | | | |
| Satisfaction towards Nursing care (9 items) | 3.93±0.577 | 2 | | | |
| Satisfaction towards doctors' care (10 items) | 4.04±0.74 | 1 | | | |
| Satisfaction towards accessibility of services (7 items) | 3.67±0.646 | 5 | | | |
| Hospital Policy (4 Items) | 3.66±0.655 | 6 | | | |
| Other employment's service (4 items) | 3.70±0.656 | 4 | | | |
| Overall mean score | 3.79±0.463 | - | | | |
| Level of Satisfaction | | | | | |
| Unsatisfied n(%) | 20(6.0) | - | | | |
| Satisfied n(%) | 315(94) | - | | | |

| Table 6. Recommended areas for improvement in hospital services. (n=155) | | | | |
|--|---------------|--|--|--|
| Statements | Frequency (%) | | | |
| Doctor should be available and provide information | 10 (6.45) | | | |
| Professional behavior of employees | 12(7.74) | | | |
| Sanitation and cleanliness | 24(15.48) | | | |
| Reduce service cost/equity for poor patient | 17(10.96) | | | |
| Service should be fast in the pharmacy, ticket counter, lab | 20(12.90) | | | |
| Improve physical facility | 23(14.83) | | | |
| Adequate supply of drinking water | 12(7.74) | | | |
| Manage crowd | 22(14.19) | | | |
| Manage human resource | 5(3.22) | | | |
| Nursing service should be fast | 4(2.58) | | | |
| Easily available inquiry service | 6 (3.87) | | | |

guard (3.82±0.750) (Table 4).

Regarding the six domains of patient satisfaction, the highest satisfaction rank was reported in doctors' care (4.04 ± 0.74) . Secondly, nursing care scored 3.93 ± 0.57 , which also found higher satisfaction. The lowest satisfaction was with hospital policy (3.66 ± 0.655) , which suggests improvement in the implementation of hospital policies. Despite some variation across domains, the overall mean score of 3.79 indicates a generally high level of satisfaction. With 94% of patients satisfied, the hospital appears to be performing well in meeting patient expectations (Table 5).

Out of 335 participants, 155 participants were recommended for further improvement of hospital services. The most prioritized area for improvement was sanitation and cleanliness (15.48%). There was a need for clean toilets and bathrooms. Similarly, 14.8% of the participants were recommended for improvements in physical facilities such as adding more fans, opening the AC, maintenance of the elevator, visitor sitting room, racks for belongings, cc camera. The participants also recommended managing the crowd in the Lab, Pharmacy, Bill/Ticket counter, USG, as well as services should be fast, reduce service cost/ equity for poor patients, Professional behaviour of employees, and adequate supply of drinking water, doctors should available and provide information regarding disease condition (Table 6).

DISCUSSION

This study was conducted among 335 participants, and 94% of participants were satisfied with hospital services. The highest satisfaction was found with doctors' care (4.04 ± 0.74) . Nursing care also scored high (3.93 ± 0.57) , which placed on second rank. The lowest satisfaction was with hospital policy (3.66 ± 0.655) .

In this study, more than half (62.1%) were 20-39 years. The mean age was 35.55±15.208 years. Sixty six percent were female whereas Sapkota et al. ⁹ stated that 23% of the participant were the age group 20-39 years. The mean age was 45±22.6 years and only 37.7 % participants were female. Nearly similar percent were Brhamin/Chettri (42.7%), and Janajati

(43.3%). Hindu (83%) was the most common religion and maximum (88.1%) participants were married where as the study done by Poudel Lisasha shows that Half (50%) of the respondents were Brahmin/ Chhetri followed by Janaiati/Adhivasi 35.1%, and most of the (88.1%) respondents were married.10 Most of the patients stayed in hospital less than 7 days at data collection time. Regarding education status, 42.7% had completed secondary level, which is inconsistent with the study done by Thapa S shows that 74% of the respondents were literate.11 Around one third (37.9%) of the participants were housemaker and retired from their job, which is consistent with the study done by Gokul et al shows 29.22% were housemaker.¹² There was no statistically significant association between the level of satisfaction towards hospital services with sociodemographic variables of participants which is a similar finding to the study done by Sapkota S., which showed that there was no statistically significant association between the level of satisfaction towards nursing services with sociodemographic variables of patients.¹³ Regarding basic amenities of the hospital, 68.1% of the participants were satisfied with cleanliness of the ward and 15.5% of the participants were unsatisfied with facilities and cleanliness of toilet and bathroom where as the study conducted by Niraula TP and Poudel SR shows more than one third of clients reported to be not satisfied in safe drinking water facilities (36.1%) and toilet facility (34.4%). 14 Regarding nursing care, participants were topped the list of satisfied in the area of confidence and trust (4.08±0.670), involves you and your family in making decisions about your care (4.09±0.705) another study conducted by Alotaibi JS the three items with the highest mean values were nurse's manner in going about their work (3.98±1.03), then amount of privacy nurses gave you (3.956±1.0890), and how capable nurses were at their job (3.95±0.98).15 With the doctor's care, participants were highest satisfied in confidence and trust in doctors, behaviour of doctors, treatment process, involves you and your family in making decisions about your treatment and care, and treats you with respect, dignity and maintains privacy which is nearly similar to the study conducted by Shrestha S reveals that patients seemed to be high satisfied with confidence and trust in doctors and speaks to you politely. Regarding the accessibility of services, most of the patient were satisfied with admission process is well organized and least satisfaction in waiting time to consult doctor after collection of lab report whereas the study done by Shrestha S shows most of the patients were satisfied with all the items under this domain.⁸

The aspect of hospital policy illustrated that majority of the participants were not satisfied with hospital policy. Among them, majority (61.8%) of the participants were dissatisfied with the visiting time and hours allocated in ward is systematic and adequate and Some participants faced difficulties in pay bills in counter which is inconsistent with the finding of Subedi D that reveals 51.4% were mentioned that visiting hours is good and 65.8% of the respondents mentioned that payment system of the hospital is good. Majority (68.1%) of the participants were dissatisfied with services and behaviour of security guard.

Regarding the six domains of patient's satisfaction, the highest satisfaction was reported in doctors' care (4.04±0.74). Nursing care also scored high (3.9±0.57), which placed second rank. The lowest satisfaction was with hospital policy (3.66±0.655), suggesting improvement in how hospital policies are implemented. Despite some variation across domains, the overall mean score of 3.79 indicates a generally high level of satisfaction.

In this study overall patient satisfaction level was 94%, The highest satisfaction appeared in doctor's care (4.04±0.74). Nursing care also scored high (3.93±0.57), which placed on second rank. The lowest satisfaction was with hospital policy (3.66±0.655), suggesting improvement in how hospital policies are implemented. Despite some variation across domains, the overall mean score of 3.79 indicates a generally high level of satisfaction which was similar to findings (91.25%) noted in the study

done by Dhungana et al., most of the participants (93.36%) were satisfied with physicians and nurses services compared to satisfaction with other physical facilities (89.86%).17 Out of 335 participants, 155 participants were giving recommendations for further improvement of hospital services. The most frequently recommended areas for improvement were sanitation and cleanliness (15.48%), followed closely by improvement of physical facilities (14.83%) and better crowd management (14.19%). These results suggest that patients place strong emphasis on the hospital environment and infrastructure, as well as the efficiency of managing patient flow. Operational efficiency was also a notable concern. About 12.90% of participants emphasized the need to speed up services at the pharmacy, ticket counters, and laboratory, while 10.96% recommended reducing services costs or ensuring equity for poor patients. These findings highlight patient concerns regarding accessibility and affordability of services. The another study showed that the top six improvement area were the health workers should report to work on time at 29.8% followed by doctors should listen to patients' concerns, examine them thoroughly and explain their findings and diagnosis 17.8%, hospital should improve its stocks of essential medicines, diagnostics and medical furniture at 14.7%, health workers must conduct themselves professionally at 12.9%, hospital management has to be improve in sanitation and cleanliness (6.7%), health worker should not priorities their relatives and friends over other patients (4.0%).¹⁸

Limitations

The study was confined into only one setting with limited sample size so generalization of the findings might be difficult.

CONCLUSIONS

This study concluded that the participants were highly satisfied with the hospitals' services. The higher satisfaction rate with doctors' care, and secondly, nurses' services. The satisfaction rate was low with hospital policy in adequate amount of medicine in the

pharmacy and self- introduction by the physicians. There is still a requirement to improve health care services, which would be a significant benefit to the hospital. Hospital administration needs to strengthen existing services, especially in low-satisfaction areas.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The researcher would like to express her gratitude to the Bharatpur Hospital Institutional Review

REFERENCES

- Babatola OH, Popoola RO, Olatubi MI, Adewoyin FR. Patients' Satisfaction with Health Care Services in Selected Secondary Health Care Facilities in Ondo State, Nigeria. J Fam Med Dis Prev. 2022; 7;8(1). [DOI]
- 2. Sadeghi, M., Rahimi, M., Poornoroz, N., Forouzan Jahromi, F. Patient satisfaction with hospital services after the implementation of the health system. Arch pharma, 2021; 12(1):31-6. [DOI]
- 3. Ahmad, R., Jabeen, F., Mufti, S., a study to assess the patient satisfaction with health care services delivered at the inpatient department of general medicine wards of SMHS hospital, International Journal of Creative Research Thoughts (IJCRT), 2023; 11(1), [LINK]
- 4. Sharma A, Kasar PK, Kasar PK, Sharma R. Patient Satisfaction About Hospital Services: A Study from the Outpatient Department of Tertiary Care Hospital, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh, India. National Journal of Community Medicine. 2014; 5(2) [DOI], [LINK]
- 5. Duc Thanh N, My Anh BT, Xiem CH, Quynh Anh P, Tien PH, Thi Phuong Thanh N, Huu Quang C, Ha VT and Thanh Hung P., Patient satisfaction with health care service quality and its associated factors at one Polyclicnic in Hanol, Vietnam. of the. Int J public Health, 2022; 67:1605055. [DOI], [LINK]
- 6. Khanal MC, Karki L, Rijal B, Joshi P, Bista NR, Nepal B, et al. Patient satisfaction in doctor patient communication in a tertiary care hospital of Kathmandu: A descriptive cross-sectional study. Journal of the Nepal Medical Association. 2021;59(236):317–21. [DOI], [LINK]
- 7. Khan KA, Khan SA, Qureshi Z, Khan MA, Gill

Committee for granting ethical clearance and to the Bharatpur Hospital. Finally, grateful to all the participants for their valuable participation, without whom this study would not have been possible.

Conflict of interest: None

Funding: None

- FN, Jawad MM. Client Satisfaction towards Quality of Health Services: An Assessment at Primary Healthcare of District Gujranwala. International Journal of Public Health Science (IJPHS). 2017 Mar 1;6(1): [DOI], [LINK]
- 8. Shrestha S, Devkota R. Patient Satisfaction in Nepal Medical College Teaching Hospital. Nepal Med Coll. 2017; 19 (4):196-203. [LINK]
- 9. Sapkota R, Subedi S, Pandey U, Basnet Sapkota S, Pradhan A, Khadfka B, et al. Patient's satisfaction in thorasic surgery inpatient service at a tertiary care center of Nepal: A cross sectional Study. Europian J. 2025, Vol 7 (10). [LINK], [DOI]
- Poudel L, Baskota S, Mali P, Pradhananga p, Malla N, Rajbhandari B, Nepal S. Patient satisfaction in out patient services at a tertiary care center: A descriptive cross- sectional study. JNMA.2020. 58(225). [DOI], [LINK]
- 11. Thapa S, Joshi A. Patient's satisfaction with Quality nursing care at teaching hospital, Chitwan. IOSR Journal of Nursing and Health Science (IOSR-JNHS). 2019. 8(3), PP 71-75, [DOI], [LINK]
- Gokul RV, Sahran D, Patel N, Kaur B, Aggarwal A. A Cross-Sectional Study to Assess the Patient Satisfaction in the Polyclinic Complex of Tertiary Care Teaching Hospital. Journal of Marine medical Society.2025. 27(1). [DOI], [LINK]
- Sapkota S and Bajracharya S. Satisfaction towards Nursing Services among Admitted Patients at a Teaching Hospital Chitwan. Journal of Chitwam Medical College. 2023: 13 (43):24-8. [DOI], [LINK]
- 14. Niraula TP, and Poudel SR. Client Satisfaction In Health Service Management In Hospital Nof Province One Eastern Nepal. Journal of advanced

- academic research (JAAR), 2019. 6 (1). ISSN: 2362-1303 (Paper) | eISSN: 2362-1311 [LINK]
- Alotaibi JS. Hospital patient satisfaction with nursing care in Saudi Arabia. Cures, 2024, 16(8).
 [DOI], [LINK]
- Subedi D, and Uprety K. Patients' satisfaction with hospital services in Kathmandu. Journal of chitwan medical college, 2014, 4(9), 25-3.ISSN 2091-2889(online) ISSN 2091-2412 (Print) [LINK], [DOI]
- 17. Dhungana S, Prajapati D., Khadka S.,Dangol PB., Dhungana M., Dangol ND., et al. patient's satisfaction with healthcare services in out patient department of a tertiary cardiac centre in Nepal, Nepalese Heart Journal, 2023,.20 (1), 17-21. [DOI], [LINK]
- Sinyiza WS., Kaseka PU, OkapiChisale MR, Chimbatata CS, Mbakaya BC, Kamudumuli PS, et al. patient satisfaction with health care at a tertiary hospital in Northern Malawi. BMC health service research, 2022, 22 (695). [DOI], [LINK]

Citation: Bhusal MK, Regmi K, Paudel MM, Adhikari P, Parajuli S. Patient Satisfaction Regarding Hospital Services in A Tertiary Level Hospital of Chitwan. JoBH, Nepal. 2025; 1(3): 223-231.