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#### Abstract

Let $\mathcal{G}(\alpha, \beta), \mathcal{A}(\alpha, \beta)$ and $\mathcal{H}(\alpha, \beta)$, respectively, be the geometric mean, arithmetic mean and harmonic mean of $\alpha$ and $\beta$. In this paper, we prove that $\mathcal{G}\left(\psi^{\prime}(z), \psi^{\prime}(1 / z)\right) \geq \pi^{2} / 6, \mathcal{A}\left(\psi^{\prime}(z), \psi^{\prime}(1 / z)\right) \geq \pi^{2} / 6$ and $\mathcal{H}\left(\psi^{\prime}(z), \psi^{\prime}(1 / z)\right) \leq \pi^{2} / 6$. This extends the previous results of Alzer and Jameson regarding the digamma function $\psi$. The mathematical tools used to prove the results include convexity, concavity and monotonicity properties of certain functions as well as the convolution theorem for Laplace transforms.
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## 1 Introduction

The classical gamma function which is an extension of the factorial function is frequently defined as

$$
\Gamma(z)=\int_{0}^{\infty} r^{z-1} e^{-r} d r
$$

for $z>0$. Closely connected to the gamma function is the the digamma (or psi) function which is defined as

$$
\begin{align*}
\psi(z)=\frac{d}{d z} \ln \Gamma(z) & =-\gamma+\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-r}-e^{-z r}}{1-e^{-r}} d r  \tag{1}\\
& =\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{e^{-r}}{r}-\frac{e^{-z r}}{1-e^{-r}}\right) d r  \tag{2}\\
& =-\gamma-\frac{1}{z}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{z}{n(n+z)} \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\gamma$ is the Euler-Mascheroni constant. Derivatives of the digamma function which are called polygamma functions are defined as

$$
\begin{align*}
\psi^{(c)}(z) & =(-1)^{c+1} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{r^{c} e^{-z r}}{1-e^{-r}} d r  \tag{4}\\
& =(-1)^{c+1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{c!}{(n+z)^{c+1}} \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

for $z>0$ and $c \in \mathbb{N}$. The particular case $\psi^{\prime}(z)$ is what is referred to as the trigamma function. Also, it is well known in the literature that the integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{c!}{z^{c+1}}=\int_{0}^{\infty} r^{c} e^{-z r} d r \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for $z>0$ and $c \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$.
In 1974, Gautschi [11] presented an elegant inequality involving the gamma function. Precisely, he proved that, for $z>0$, the harmonic mean of $\Gamma(z)$ and $\Gamma(1 / z)$ is at least 1 . That is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2 \Gamma(z) \Gamma(1 / z)}{\Gamma(z)+\Gamma(1 / z)} \geq 1 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $z>0$ and with equality when $z=1$. As a direct consequence of (7), the inequalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma(z)+\Gamma(1 / z) \geq 2 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma(z) \Gamma(1 / z) \geq 1 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

are obtained for $z>0$. Attributing to the importance of this inequality, some refinements and extensions have been investigated [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 12, 13,
In 2017, Alzer and Jameson [8] established a striking companion of (7) which involves the digamma function $\psi(z)$. They established that the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2 \psi(z) \psi(1 / z)}{\psi(z)+\psi(1 / z)} \geq-\gamma \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for $z>0$ and with equality when $z=1$. Thereafter, Alzer [7] refined 10) by proving that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2 \psi(z) \psi(1 / z)}{\psi(z)+\psi(1 / z)} \geq-\gamma \frac{2 z}{z^{2}+1} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for $z>0$ and with equality when $z=1$.
In 2018, Yin et al. [25] extended inequality 10 t to the $k$-analogue of the digamma function by establishing that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2 \psi_{k}(z) \psi_{k}(1 / z)}{\psi_{k}(z)+\psi_{k}(1 / z)} \geq \frac{\ln ^{2} k+\gamma^{2}-2(\gamma+1) \ln k}{k[\ln k+\psi(1 / k)]} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $z>0$ and $\frac{1}{\sqrt[3]{3}} \leq k \leq 1$.
In 2020, Yildirim [24] improved on the inequality (12) by establishing that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2 \psi_{k}(z) \psi_{k}(1 / z)}{\psi_{k}(z)+\psi_{k}(1 / z)} \geq \psi_{k}(1) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $z>0$ and $k>0$. When $k=1$, inequalities 12 and 13 both return to inequality 10 .
In 2021, Bouali [10] extended inequalities (7) and 10) to the $q$-analogues of the gamma and digamma functions by proving that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2 \Gamma_{q}(z) \Gamma_{q}(1 / z)}{\Gamma_{q}(z)+\Gamma_{q}(1 / z)} \geq 1 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $z>0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2 \psi_{q}(z) \psi_{q}(1 / z)}{\psi_{q}(z)+\psi_{q}(1 / z)} \geq \psi_{q}(1) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $z>0$ and $q \in\left(0, p_{0}\right)$, where $p_{0} \simeq 3.239945$.
For similar results involving other special functions, one may refer to the works [14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, In the present investigation, our objective is to extend the results of Alzer and Jameson [8] to the trigamma function $\psi^{\prime}$ among other things. Specifically, we prove that
(a) for $z>0$, the geometric mean of $\psi^{\prime}(z)$ and $\psi^{\prime}(1 / z)$ can never be less than $\pi^{2} / 6$.
(b) for $z>0$, the arithmetic mean of $\psi^{\prime}(z)$ and $\psi^{\prime}(1 / z)$ can never be less than $\pi^{2} / 6$.
(c) for $z>0$, the harmonic mean of $\psi^{\prime}(z)$ and $\psi^{\prime}(1 / z)$ can never be greater than $\pi^{2} / 6$.

We present our results in Section 2. In order to establish our results, we require the following preliminary definitions and lemmas.
Definition 1.1 ([21]). A function $H: \mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is referred to as GG-convex if

$$
\begin{equation*}
H\left(x^{1-k} y^{k}\right) \leq H(x)^{1-k} H(y)^{k} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{I}$ and $k \in[0,1]$. If the inequality in 16$]$ is reversed, then $H$ is said to be GG-concave.
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Definition 1.2 ([21]). A function $H: \mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is referred to as GA-convex if

$$
\begin{equation*}
H\left(x^{1-k} y^{k}\right) \leq(1-k) H(x)+k H(y) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{I}$ and $k \in[0,1]$. If the inequality in 17 is reversed, then $H$ is said to be GA-concave.
Lemma 1.3 ([21). A function $H: \mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is $G G$-convex (or $G G$-concave) if and only if $\frac{z H^{\prime}(z)}{H(z)}$ is increasing (or decreasing) on $\mathcal{I}$ respectively.

Lemma 1.4 ([26]). A function $H: \mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is $G A$-convex if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{\prime}(z)+z H^{\prime \prime}(z) \geq 0 \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $z \in \mathcal{I}$. The function $H$ is said to be GA-concave if and only if the inequality in (18) is reversed.
The following lemma is well known in the literature as the convolution theorem for Laplace transforms.
Lemma $1.5([23])$. Let $f(r)$ and $g(r)$ be any two functions with convolution $f * g=\int_{0}^{r} f(r-s) g(s) d s$. Then the Laplace transform of the convolution is given as

$$
\mathcal{L}\{f * g\}=\mathcal{L}\{f\} \mathcal{L}\{g\}
$$

In other words,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty}\left[\int_{0}^{r} f(r-s) g(s) d s\right] e^{-z r} d r=\int_{0}^{\infty} f(r) e^{-z r} d r \int_{0}^{\infty} g(r) e^{-z r} d r \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 1.6 ([22]). Let $-\infty \leq u<v \leq \infty$ and $p$ and $q$ be continuous functions that are differentiable on $(u, v)$, with $p(u+)=q(u+)=0$ or $p(v-)=q(v-)=0$. Suppose that $q(z)$ and $q^{\prime}(z)$ are nonzero for all $z \in(u, v)$. If $\frac{p^{\prime}(z)}{q^{\prime}(z)}$ is increasing (or decreasing) on ( $u, v$ ), then $\frac{p(x)}{q(x)}$ is also increasing (or decreasing) on $(u, v)$.

## 2 Results

Theorem 2.1. The function $\psi^{\prime}(z)$ is $G G$-convex on $(0, \infty)$. In other words,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi^{\prime}\left(x^{1-k} y^{k}\right) \leq\left[\psi^{\prime}(x)\right]^{1-k}\left[\psi^{\prime}(y)\right]^{k} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

is satisfied for $x>0, y>0$ and $k \in[0,1]$.
Proof. As a result of Lemma 1.3. it suffices to show that the function $z \frac{\psi^{\prime \prime}(z)}{\psi^{\prime}(z)}$ is increasing on $(0, \infty)$ and this follows from Lemma 2 of [7].
Corollary 2.2. The inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi^{\prime}(z) \psi^{\prime}(1 / z) \geq\left(\frac{\pi^{2}}{6}\right)^{2} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for $z \in(0, \infty)$ and with equality when $z=1$.
Proof. By letting $x=z, y=1 / z$ and $k=\frac{1}{2}$ in 20, we obtain

$$
\sqrt{\psi^{\prime}(z) \psi^{\prime}(1 / z)} \geq \psi^{\prime}(1)=\frac{\pi^{2}}{6}
$$

which gives the desired result.
Lemma 2.3. For $r>0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\frac{r e^{-r}}{1-e^{-r}}<1 \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By direct computation, we obtain

$$
\mathcal{B}(r)=\frac{r e^{-r}}{1-e^{-r}}=\frac{p_{1}(r)}{q_{1}(r)}
$$

where $p_{1}(r)=r e^{-r}, q_{1}(r)=1-e^{-r}$ and $p_{1}(0+)=q_{1}(0+)=0$. Then

$$
\frac{p_{1}^{\prime}(r)}{q_{1}^{\prime}(r)}=1-r
$$

and then

$$
\left(\frac{p_{1}^{\prime}(r)}{q_{1}^{\prime}(r)}\right)^{\prime}=-1<0 .
$$

Thus, $\frac{p_{1}^{\prime}(r)}{q_{1}^{\prime}(r)}$ is decreasing and as a result of Lemma 1.6. the function $\mathcal{B}(r)$ is also decreasing. Hence

$$
0=\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{B}(r)<\mathcal{B}(r)<\lim _{r \rightarrow 0+} \mathcal{B}(r)=1
$$

which completes the proof.
Theorem 2.4. The function $\psi^{\prime}(z)$ is $G A$-convex on $(0, \infty)$. In other words,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi^{\prime}\left(x^{1-k} y^{k}\right) \leq(1-k) \psi^{\prime}(x)+k \psi^{\prime}(y) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

is satisfied for $x>0, y>0$ and $k \in[0,1]$.
Proof. As a result of Lemma 1.4 , it suffices to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(z)=\psi^{\prime \prime}(z)+z \psi^{\prime \prime \prime}(z) \geq 0 \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $z \in(0, \infty)$. By applying (4), (6) and Lemma 1.5, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\phi(z)}{z} & =\frac{1}{z} \psi^{\prime \prime}(z)+\psi^{\prime \prime \prime}(z) \\
& =-\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-z r} d r \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{r^{2} e^{-z r}}{1-e^{-r}} d r+\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{r^{3} e^{-z r}}{1-e^{-r}} d r \\
& =-\int_{0}^{\infty}\left[\int_{0}^{r} \frac{s^{2}}{1-e^{-s}} d s\right] e^{-z r} d r+\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{r^{3} e^{-z r}}{1-e^{-r}} d r \\
& =\int_{0}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}(r) e^{-z r} d r
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\mathcal{A}(r)=\frac{r^{3}}{1-e^{-r}}-\int_{0}^{r} \frac{s^{2}}{1-e^{-s}} d s
$$

Then by direct computations and as a result of (22), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}^{\prime}(r) & =\frac{3 r^{2}}{1-e^{-r}}-\frac{r^{3} e^{-r}}{\left(1-e^{-r}\right)^{2}}-\frac{r^{2}}{1-e^{-r}} \\
& =\frac{r^{2}}{1-e^{-r}}\left[2-\frac{r e^{-r}}{1-e^{-r}}\right] \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $\mathcal{A}(r)$ is increasing and this implies that

$$
\mathcal{A}(r) \geq \lim _{r \rightarrow 0+} \mathcal{A}(r)=0
$$

Therefore, $\phi(z) \geq 0$ which completes the proof.

Remark 2.5. Inequality 24 implies that the function $z \psi^{\prime \prime}(z)$ is increasing.
Corollary 2.6. The inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi^{\prime}(z)+\psi^{\prime}(1 / z) \geq \frac{\pi^{2}}{3} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for $z \in(0, \infty)$ and with equality when $z=1$.
Proof. By letting $x=z, y=1 / z$ and $k=\frac{1}{2}$ in 23), we obtain

$$
\frac{\psi^{\prime}(z)}{2}+\frac{\psi^{\prime}(1 / z)}{2} \geq \psi^{\prime}(1)=\frac{\pi^{2}}{6}
$$

which gives the desired result.
Lemma 2.7 (9). For $z>0$, the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi^{\prime}(z) \psi^{\prime \prime \prime}(z)-2\left[\psi^{\prime \prime}(z)\right]^{2} \leq 0 \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

is satisfied.
Lemma 2.8. For $z>0$, the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(z)=\frac{z \psi^{\prime \prime}(z)}{\left[\psi^{\prime}(z)\right]^{2}} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

is decreasing.
Proof. By applying Lemma 2.7, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\psi^{\prime}(z)\right]^{3} F^{\prime}(z) } & =\psi^{\prime}(z) \psi^{\prime \prime}(z)+z \psi^{\prime}(z) \psi^{\prime \prime \prime}(z)-2 z\left[\psi^{\prime \prime}(z)\right]^{2} \\
& =\psi^{\prime}(z) \psi^{\prime \prime}(z)+z\left[\psi^{\prime}(z) \psi^{\prime \prime \prime}(z)-2\left[\psi^{\prime \prime}(z)\right]^{2}\right] \\
& <0
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $F^{\prime}(z)<0$ which completes the proof.
Theorem 2.9. For $z>0$, the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2 \psi^{\prime}(z) \psi^{\prime}(1 / z)}{\psi^{\prime}(z)+\psi^{\prime}(1 / z)} \leq \frac{\pi^{2}}{6} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds and equality is attained if $z=1$.
Proof. The case for $z=1$ is apparent. For this reason, we only prove the case for $z \in(0,1) \cup(1, \infty)$. Let

$$
\mathcal{K}(z)=\frac{2 \psi^{\prime}(z) \psi^{\prime}(1 / z)}{\psi^{\prime}(z)+\psi^{\prime}(1 / z)} \quad \text { and } \quad \beta(z)=\ln \mathcal{K}(z)
$$

for $z \in(0,1) \cup(1, \infty)$. Then direct calculations gives

$$
\beta^{\prime}(z)=\frac{\psi^{\prime \prime}(z)}{\psi^{\prime}(z)}-\frac{1}{z^{2}} \frac{\psi^{\prime \prime}(1 / z)}{\psi^{\prime}(1 / z)}-\frac{\psi^{\prime \prime}(z)-\frac{1}{z^{2}} \psi^{\prime \prime}(1 / z)}{\psi^{\prime}(z)+\psi^{\prime}(1 / z)}
$$

which implies that

$$
z\left[\psi^{\prime}(z)+\psi^{\prime}(1 / z)\right] \beta^{\prime}(z)=z \frac{\psi^{\prime \prime}(z)}{\psi^{\prime}(z)} \psi^{\prime}(1 / z)-\frac{1}{z} \frac{\psi^{\prime \prime}(1 / z)}{\psi^{\prime}(1 / z)} \psi^{\prime}(z)
$$

This further gives rise to

$$
\begin{aligned}
z\left[\frac{1}{\psi^{\prime}(z)}+\frac{1}{\psi^{\prime}(1 / z)}\right] \beta^{\prime}(z) & =z \frac{\psi^{\prime \prime}(z)}{\left[\psi^{\prime}(z)\right]^{2}}-\frac{1}{z} \frac{\psi^{\prime \prime}(1 / z)}{\left[\psi^{\prime}(1 / z)\right]^{2}} \\
& :=T(z)
\end{aligned}
$$

As a result of Lemma 2.8, we conclude that $T(z)>0$ if $z \in(0,1)$ and $T(z)<0$ if $z \in(1, \infty)$. Thus, $\beta(z)$ is increasing on $(0,1)$ and decreasing on $(1, \infty)$. Accordingly, $\mathcal{K}(z)$ is increasing on $(0,1)$ and decreasing on $(1, \infty)$. Therefore, on both intervals, we have

$$
\mathcal{K}(z)<\lim _{z \rightarrow 1} \mathcal{K}(z)=\psi^{\prime}(1)=\frac{\pi^{2}}{6}
$$

completing the proof.

## 3 Conclusion

By using convexity, concavity and monotonicity properties of certain functions as well as the convolution theorem for Laplace transforms, we have proved that
(a) for $z>0$, the geometric mean of $\psi^{\prime}(z)$ and $\psi^{\prime}(1 / z)$ can never be less than $\pi^{2} / 6$.
(b) for $z>0$, the arithmetic mean of $\psi^{\prime}(z)$ and $\psi^{\prime}(1 / z)$ can never be less than $\pi^{2} / 6$.
(c) for $z>0$, the harmonic mean of $\psi^{\prime}(z)$ and $\psi^{\prime}(1 / z)$ can never be greater than $\pi^{2} / 6$.

This extends the earlier results of Alzer and Jameson regarding the digamma function. In a future study, we will like to investigate whether it is possible to extend these results to the polygamma function.
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