Medical Students' Attitudes Toward Communication Skills Learning in Chitwan Medical College, Nepal

Suneel Piryani¹, Rano Mal Piryani², Gopendra Prasad Deo³

¹Departments of Community Medicine and Public Health, ²Internal Medicine and Medical Education,

³Anesthesiology & Critical Care and Clinical Director, Chitwan Medical College, Bharatpur,

Chitwan, Nepal

Abstract

Introduction: Medical students' attitude towards communication skills is crucial for curriculum planners, teachers and health professionals. Chitwan Medical College (CMC) is a private medical school admitting students mainly from the Nepal.

Objective: To assess the attitudes of medical students towards learning communication skills.

Methods: A cross-sectional study was conducted among third and fifth year medical (MBBS) undergraduates at Chitwan Medical College (CMC), Nepal in April 2018 using the 26-item Communication Skills Attitude Scale (CSAS) developed by Rees, Sheard, and Davies. Participants' age, sex, year of study, nationality, religion, relationship status, the occupation of father and mother, place of residence of a family, were noted. The CSAS scores were computed. Student's t-test and ANOVA test were used to compare the scores among subgroups of participants.

Results: The mean positive attitudes scale (PAS), negative attitudes scale (NAS) and overall CSAS scores were 51.77 ± 5.21 , 35.68 ± 4.43 , and 83.97 ± 5.77 respectively. PAS score was statistically significantly higher among the respondents whose mothers were not in the health-related profession. Whereas NAS score was statistically significantly lower among females, self-rated outstanding students, and good self-reported written communication skills.

Conclusion: Medical undergraduates had strong positive attitudes towards learning communication skills, but negative attitudes were also noted. Hence, faculty members need to change these attitudes through improving teaching and assessment strategies.

Keywords: Attitudes, Communication Skills, Medical Students, Nepal, Undergraduates.

Introduction

Communication is one of the essential skills of competency for medical students, residents, and practicing physicians.^{1,2} Good communication enables medical students to collect comprehensive, inclusive, relevant, significant and accurate information about a patient's problems. It helps to make an accurate diagnosis of a patient's problems. Communication skills are also crucial for community-based learning. Several studies had shown that effective

Address for correspondence Dr. Suneel Piryani Public Health Consultant, Karachi, Pakistan Email: suneel.piryani@gmail.com communication improves health outcomes, patient satisfaction and treatment compliance, also efficiency and improves job and satisfaction of medical professionals.^{3–7} Unfortunately, a study found that patients rated their own physician's communication skills to be unsatisfactory.⁸ Medical Educationists are very much concerned and interested in medical students' attitudes toward the communication skills.9,10 Attitudes have three main componentsaffective (the way an individual feels), cognitive (the way an individual thinks) and behavioural (the way an individual acts) towards a particular entity (object, person, etc.).11 Cognitive and affective attitudes drive behavioral attitude. It is evident that changing behaviour by training new ways of acting in professional situations and resolving dilemma may influence the more aspects of attitudes without fundamental directly.¹² them Doctor-patient targeting communication is a learnable skill.¹³ Studies had shown that training programs designed to learn communication skills have proven to be effective.¹⁴ Attitude to learn do influence learning. Hence, studying the attitudes of the medical students becomes essential before implementing the communication skill training program, because negative attitudes may hinder the success of a training program and ways are needed to be sought to enhance the effectiveness of such programs. There is limited research assessing medical students' attitudes towards communication skills learning in Nepal. Hence, this study was conducted with the objective to assess the attitudes of medical students toward learning communication skills at a private medical college.

Methodology

Participants

A cross-sectional study was conducted among the third and fifth year MBBS students at Chitwan Medical College (CMC), Nepal in April 2018. Out of 210, only 175 students of third and fifth year were present on data collection day and they were invited to participate in the study. A total of 175 students duly filled out the questionnaires; hence, the response rate was 83.33%.

Study area

Chitwan Medical College is a private medical institute, affiliated to Tribhuvan University offering a five and half year undergraduate MBBS program (2 years Basic Science, 2¹/₂ years Clinical Sciences, and 1year internship).

Assessment instrument and scoring

The Communication Skills Attitude Scale (CSAS) developed by Rees, Sheard, and Davies published in 2002 was used to assess the medical students' attitudes towards learning communication skills training.¹⁵ The CSAS is a 26 item scale and consists of two subscale positive attitudes (PAS) and negative attitudes (NAS) each with 13-items. All 26 items have response options along a five-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (strongly disagree) to 5 (strongly agree). The PAS score was computed by summing the scores of items 4, 5, 7, 9, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18, 21, 23, 25 and the reversed score of item 22. The NAS score was computed by summing the scores of items 2, 3, 6, 8, 11, 13, 15, 17, 19, 20, 24, 26 and the reversed score of item 1. Both scales range from 13 to 65 with higher scores indicating stronger positive or negative attitudes.

Data collection and analysis

Data were collected using self-administered and structured questionnaire. Data analysis was done using SPSS V.21. Descriptive statistics were used to identify the demographic and educationrelated characteristics of the participants. The association of the PAS and NAS scores with the demographic and educational-related characteristics was determined. One sample Kolmogorov- Smirnov test was used to test the normality of the distribution. Both the PAS and normally distributed. NAS scores were Student's t-test and ANOVA test were used to compare the scores among subgroups of participants. The p-value of less than 0.10 was considered statistically significant.

Ethical consideration

Ethical approval was provided by Institutional Review Committee (IRC) of Chitwan Medical College. Informed written consent was obtained from the participants. Confidentiality of the information provided by participants was maintained.

Results

One-hundred and seventy-five medical students participated in the study. Table 1 presents the demographic and education-related characteristics of the participants. Majority of participants were male, between 20 to 24 years of age, hailed from cities, mother's occupation was homemaker, father's occupation was other than health (teacher, engineer, etc.), desired to be specialist of medical and allied, and had selfperceived good or average verbal and written communication skills.

The reliability coefficient for each subscale of CSAS was computed using Cronbach's alpha. The coefficient for PAS was 0.770 while that for NAS was 0.516.

The mean PAS, NAS and overall CSAS scores were 51.77 \pm 5.21, 35.68 \pm 4.43, and 83.97 \pm

5.77 respectively. Table 2 shows the mean PAS and NAS scores among different subgroups of respondents. The PAS score was higher among respondents in the age group less than 20 years, female students, hailed from cities, respondents whose fathers were in professions other than health-related, respondents who were sponsored by government, in the fifth year of study, selfrated outstanding student, and in respondents with excellent self-reported verbal and written communication skills. However, NAS score was lower among respondents who were below 20 years, hailed from cities, respondents whose fathers were in health-related profession, mothers were in professions other than healthrelated, respondents who were sponsored by private, in the fifth year of study, and in respondents with good self-reported verbal communication skills. The PAS score was significantly higher among the respondents whose mothers were not in health-related professions. Whereas, the NAS score was statistically significantly lower among females, self-rated outstanding students, and good selfreported written communication skills.

Characteristic	Number (percentage)
Age	
Below 20 years	13 (7.4)
20-24 years	160 (91.4)
25 years and above	2 (1.1)
Mean age	
Sex	
Male	102 (58.3)
Female	73 (41.7)
Religions	
Hinduism	166 (94.9)
Buddhism	6 (3.4)
Islam	3 (1.7)

 Table 1: Demographic and education-related characteristics of the participants

Place of family residence	
City	94 (53.7)
Small Town	56 (32.0)
Village	25 (14.3)
Father's Occupation	
Health Related	15 (8.6)
Others	160 (91.4)
Mother's Occupation	
Health Related	10 (5.7)
Homemaker	124 (70.9)
Others	41 (23.4)
Type of Student	
Govt. Sponsored	24 (17.3)
Private Sponsored	151 (82.7)
Year of study	
3 rd year	85 (48.6)
5 th year	90 (51.4)
Self-rating as a student	
Outstanding	4 (2.3)
Good	58 (33.1)
Average	109 (62.3
Poor	4 (2.3)
Self-reported verbal communication skills	
Excellent	4 (2.3)
Good	68 (38.9)
Average	98 (56.0)
Poor	5 (2.9)
Self-reported written communication skills	
Excellent	4 (2.3)
Good	86 (49.1)
Average	81 (46.3)
Poor	4 (2.3)
Preferred subject for Post-graduation	
Medical specialties	75 (42.9)
Surgical specialties	60 (34.3)
Basic Sciences	6 (3.4)
Not decided	34 (19.4)
Communication skills course in clinical years	
Yes	154 (88.0)
No	21 (12.0)

Characteristic Mean Scores P-value Mean Scores P-value Age Below 20 years 51.85 0.942 35.54 0.840 20-24 years 51.78 35.67 35.67 0.942 25 years and above 50.50 37.50 9 Sex Male 51.64 0.686 36.25 0.041* Female 51.95 34.88 0.984 0.984 Buddhism 51.75 0.918 35.67 0.984 Buddhism 51.75 0.918 35.67 0.984 Buddhism 51.70 36.00 35.67 0.933 Small Town 51.50 35.75 0.933 Small Town 51.50 35.81 0.867 Others 51.95 35.81 0.867 Others 51.22 35.92 0.533 Health Related 51.00 0.098** 35.30 0.533 Homemaker 51.32 35.92 35.92 0141 Others
Age 0.942 35.54 0.840 $20-24$ years 51.78 35.67 25 25 years and above 50.50 37.50 Sex 0.686 36.25 0.041* Female 51.95 34.88 0.041* Religions 35.67 0.984 35.67 0.984 Buddhism 51.67 36.00 35.67 0.984 Buddhism 51.67 36.00 35.67 0.984 Buddhism 51.67 36.00 35.67 0.933 Small Town 51.50 35.75 0.933 Small Town 51.50 35.81 0.867 Others 51.95 35.81 0.867 Mother's Occupation 1.95 35.81 0.533 Health Related 51.90 0.98** 35.30 0.533 Homemaker 51.22 35.92 0.141 9 Private Sponsored 52.54 0.433 36.92 0.141 Private Sponsored <
Below 20 years 51.85 0.942 35.54 0.840 20-24 years 51.78 35.67 37.50 Sex
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $
25 years and above 50.50 37.50 Sex
Sex Filte Filte Filte Male 51.64 0.686 36.25 0.041* Female 51.95 34.88 44.88 Religions 1 31.95 34.88 94.88 Buddhism 51.75 0.918 35.67 0.984 Buddhism 51.67 36.00 35.67 91.83 Small Town 51.95 35.81 0.933 35.77 Village 51.84 35.92 35.81 0.867 Others 51.95 35.81 0.867 0.984 Mother's Occupation 1 1.84 35.92 0.533 Health Related 51.95 35.81 0.533 0.533 Homemaker 51.32 35.92 0.533 0.533 Others 53.29 35.05 74.43 36.92 0.141 Private Sponsored 52.54 0.433 36.92 0.141 Private Sponsored 51.81 35.58 55.85 <td< td=""></td<>
Male 51.64 0.686 36.25 0.041^* Female 51.95 34.88 0011^* Religions 31.95 34.88 0011^* Hinduism 51.75 0.918 35.67 0.984 Buddhism 51.67 36.00 36.00 $000000000000000000000000000000000000$
Female 51.95 34.88 0.001 Religions 51.75 0.918 35.67 0.984 Buddhism 51.67 36.00 35.67 Buddhism 51.00 0.898 35.67 Place of family residence
Religions 11.00 0.100 0.100 Hinduism 51.75 0.918 35.67 0.984 Buddhism 51.67 36.00 35.67 Place of family residence 53.00 35.67 0.933 Small Town 51.50 35.75 0.933 Small Town 51.50 35.75 0.933 Village 51.84 35.92 Father's Occupation 0.572 34.33 0.867 Others 51.95 35.81 0.533 Mother's Occupation 1.00 0.098** 35.92 0.533 Health Related 51.00 0.098** 35.30 0.533 Homemaker 51.32 35.92 0.57 35.05 Type of Student 1.00 0.098** 35.05 0.141 Private Sponsored 51.71 0.883 35.79 0.754 Fifth year 51.82 35.58 55 55 Self-rating as a student 0.012* 0.012* 0.012* Good 51.88 34.52 4.75 0.138 37.75
Hinduism 51.75 0.918 35.67 0.984 Buddhism 51.67 36.00 35.67 Place of family residence
Buddhism 51.67 36.00 35.07 Islam 53.00 35.67 Place of family residence
Islam 53.00 35.67 Place of family residence
Place of family residence City 51.90 0.898 35.57 0.933 Small Town 51.50 35.75 0.933 0.898 35.75 0.933 Village 51.84 35.92 35.75 0.933 0.867 Father's Occupation Health Related 49.80 0.572 34.33 0.867 Others 51.95 35.81 0.533 0.533 Homemaker 51.32 35.92 0.533 Homemaker 51.32 35.92 0.533 Others 53.29 35.05 7 Type of Student 6ovt. Sponsored 52.54 0.433 36.92 0.141 Private Sponsored 51.64 35.48 7 0.754 Fifth year 51.71 0.883 35.79 0.754 Fifth year 51.71 0.883 35.58 0.012* Good 51.88 34.52 0.012* Average 51.71 36.16 0.012* Good 51.88
City 51.90 0.898 35.57 0.933 Small Town 51.50 35.75 0.933 Village 51.84 35.92 Father's Occupation
Small Town 51.50 35.75 0.000 Village 51.84 35.92 Father's Occupation 49.80 0.572 34.33 0.867 Health Related 49.80 0.572 34.33 0.867 Others 51.95 35.81 0.533 0.533 Mother's Occupation
Village 51.84 35.92 Father's Occupation
Father's Occupation Health Related 49.80 0.572 34.33 0.867 Others 51.95 35.81 35.81 0.867 Mother's Occupation 100 0.098** 35.30 0.533 Health Related 51.00 0.098** 35.30 0.533 Homemaker 51.32 35.92 0.000 Others 53.29 35.05 0.000 Type of Student 100 0.433 36.92 0.141 Govt. Sponsored 52.54 0.433 36.92 0.141 Private Sponsored 51.64 35.48 100 100 Year of study 100 10.883 35.79 0.754 Fifth year 51.82 35.88 35.58 100 Outstanding 56.25 0.110 34.50 0.012* Good 51.88 34.52 34.52 100 Average 51.71 36.16 100 100 Poor 47.25 40.75 10.123 100 Good 52.69 34.74 10.123 10
Health Related 49.80 0.572 34.33 0.867 Others 51.95 35.81 0.867 Mother's Occupation
Others 51.95 35.81 Mother's Occupation
Mother's Occupation 51.55 50.51 Health Related 51.00 0.098** 35.30 0.533 Homemaker 51.32 35.92 0thers 53.29 35.05 Type of Student 6ovt. Sponsored 52.54 0.433 36.92 0.141 Private Sponsored 51.64 35.48 35.48 35.48 Year of study 7 7 1167 0.883 35.79 0.754 Fifth year 51.82 35.58 35.58 0.012* 36.00 0.012* Good 51.88 34.52 35.79 0.754 110 34.50 0.012* Good 51.88 34.52 35.18 34.52 35.18 34.52 35.58 Self-reported verbal communication skills 54.75 0.110 34.50 0.012* Good 51.71 36.16 36.17 36.16 36.17 Poor 52.69 34.74 34.74 36.17 36.17 Poor 50.60 37.20 36.17 36.17 36.17 Poor 50.60
Health Related 51.00 0.098** 35.30 0.533 Homemaker 51.32 35.92 35.05 Others 53.29 35.05 35.92 Type of Student 51.64 35.48 36.92 0.141 Govt. Sponsored 51.64 35.48 35.79 0.754 Private Sponsored 51.71 0.883 35.79 0.754 Fifth year 51.82 35.58 35.58 58 Self-rating as a student 0 0.012* 0.012* Good 51.88 34.52 36.16 Poor 47.25 40.75 0.123 Good 51.86 36.16 36.16 Poor 47.25 40.75 0.123 Good 51.06 36.17 36.17 Poor 51.06 36.17 36.17 Poor 50.60 37.20 50.60 37.20
Hommaker 51.00 50.00 50.00 50.00 Homemaker 51.32 35.92 35.05 Others 53.29 35.05 50.05 Type of Student 51.64 35.92 0.141 Govt. Sponsored 51.64 35.48 90.0141 Private Sponsored 51.71 0.883 35.79 0.754 Fifth year 51.82 35.58 90.012* 90.012* Good 51.88 34.52 90.012* Good 51.71 36.16 90.012* Poor 47.25 40.75 90.123 Self-reported verbal communication skills 54.75 0.138 37.75 0.123 Good 52.69 34.74 36.16 90.012* Good 52.69 34.74 36.16 123 Good 52.69 34.74 123 123 Good 52.69 34.74 123 123 Good 50.60 37.20 123 124 Self-reported written communication skills 54.75 134.74 124.7
Others 51.52 55.72 Others 53.29 35.05 Type of Student
Type of Student 53.25 53.05 Govt. Sponsored 52.54 0.433 36.92 0.141 Private Sponsored 51.64 35.48 35.48 Year of study Third year 51.71 0.883 35.79 0.754 Fifth year 51.71 0.883 35.79 0.754 Fifth year 51.82 35.58 35.58 Self-rating as a student 0utstanding 56.25 0.110 34.50 0.012* Good 51.88 34.52 40.75 36.16 36.16 36.16 Poor 47.25 40.75 36.16 36.16 36.17 36.00 37.75 0.123 Good 52.69 34.74 36.17 36.17 36.17 36.17 36.17 36.17 36.17 36.17 36.17 36.17 37.20 37
Govt. Sponsored 52.54 0.433 36.92 0.141 Private Sponsored 51.64 35.48 9 141 Year of study 51.64 35.48 9 141 Third year 51.71 0.883 35.79 0.754 Fifth year 51.82 35.58 9 154 Self-rating as a student 0 34.50 0.012* Good 51.88 34.52 36.16 Poor 47.25 40.75 40.75 Self-reported verbal communication skills 52.69 34.74 Average 51.06 36.17 Poor 50.60 37.20 Self-reported written communication skills 54.75 0.114
Private Sponsored 52.54 6.433 50.72 6.141 Private Sponsored 51.64 35.48 35.48 Year of study Third year 51.71 0.883 35.79 0.754 Fifth year 51.82 35.58 35.58 35.58 35.58 Self-rating as a student 0utstanding 56.25 0.110 34.50 0.012* Good 51.88 34.52 35.58 36.16 36.16 36.16 Poor 47.25 40.75 40.75 36.16 36.16 36.16 Poor 47.25 40.75 36.16 36.17 36.16 36.17 36.16 Poor 52.69 34.74 36.17 36.17 36.17 36.17 36.17 36.17 36.17 36.17 36.17 36.17 36.16 36.17 36.17 36.16 36.17 36.17 36.16 36.17 36.17 36.16 36.17 36.17 36.16 36.17 36.16 36.17 36.16 36.17 36.16 36.17 36.16 36.17 36.16 36.17
Year of study 51.74 55.76 Third year 51.71 0.883 35.79 0.754 Fifth year 51.82 35.58 35.58 Self-rating as a student 0utstanding 56.25 0.110 34.50 0.012* Good 51.88 34.52 35.64 0.012* Good 51.88 34.52 35.58 Self-regorted verbal communication skills 51.71 36.16 Poor 47.25 40.75 Self-reported verbal communication skills 54.75 0.138 37.75 0.123 Good 52.69 34.74 36.17 0.123 Good 52.69 34.74 36.17 0.123 Good 52.69 34.74 36.17 0.123 Good 50.60 37.20 37.20 37.20
Third year 51.71 0.883 35.79 0.754 Fifth year 51.82 35.58 35.58 Self-rating as a student 0utstanding 56.25 0.110 34.50 0.012* Good 51.88 34.52 35.75 0.012* Average 51.71 36.16 34.52 Poor 47.25 40.75 40.75 Self-reported verbal communication skills 52.69 34.74 Excellent 54.75 0.138 37.75 0.123 Good 52.69 34.74 36.17 36.17 Poor 50.60 37.20 37.20 37.20
Fifth year 51.71 0.885 55.75 0.754 Fifth year 51.82 35.58 0.754 Self-rating as a student 0utstanding 56.25 0.110 34.50 0.012* Good 51.88 34.52 34.52 36.16 34.52 Average 51.71 36.16 36.16 36.16 Poor 47.25 40.75 36.16 Self-reported verbal communication skills 54.75 0.138 37.75 0.123 Good 52.69 34.74 36.17 36.17 36.17 Average 51.06 36.17 36.17 36.17 Poor 50.60 37.20 37.20 37.20
Self-rating as a student 51.82 55.36 Outstanding 56.25 0.110 34.50 0.012* Good 51.88 34.52 34.52 Average 51.71 36.16 36.16 Poor 47.25 40.75 56.25 0.110 Self-reported verbal communication skills 54.75 0.138 37.75 0.123 Good 52.69 34.74 36.17 36.17 36.17 Poor 50.60 37.20 37.20 37.20
Outstanding 56.25 0.110 34.50 0.012* Good 51.88 34.52 Average 51.71 36.16 Poor 47.25 40.75 Self-reported verbal communication skills 54.75 0.138 37.75 0.123 Good 52.69 34.74 36.17 36.17 36.17 Poor 50.60 37.20 37.20 37.20 37.20
Good 50.25 0.110 54.30 0.012 Good 51.88 34.52 Average 51.71 36.16 Poor 47.25 40.75 Self-reported verbal communication skills 54.75 0.138 37.75 0.123 Good 52.69 34.74 36.17 0.123 Good 52.69 34.74 36.17 Average 51.06 36.17 37.20 Self-reported written communication skills 54.75 0.114 26.25 0.024*
Average 51.86 54.32 Average 51.71 36.16 Poor 47.25 40.75 Self-reported verbal communication skills 54.75 0.138 37.75 0.123 Good 52.69 34.74 36.17 9007 50.60 37.20 Self-reported written communication skills 54.75 0.114 26.25 0.024*
Average 51.71 30.10 Poor 47.25 40.75 Self-reported verbal communication skills 54.75 0.138 37.75 0.123 Good 52.69 34.74 36.17 36.17 Poor 50.60 36.17 36.17 Self-reported written communication skills 51.06 36.17 Poor 50.60 37.20
Self-reported verbal communication skills 40.73 Excellent 54.75 0.138 37.75 0.123 Good 52.69 34.74 Average 51.06 36.17 Poor 50.60 37.20
Self-reported verbar communication skills 54.75 0.138 37.75 0.123 Good 52.69 34.74 Average 51.06 36.17 Poor 50.60 37.20
Good 54.75 0.138 57.75 0.125 Good 52.69 34.74 Average 51.06 36.17 Poor 50.60 37.20
Average 51.06 36.17 Poor 50.60 37.20 Self-reported written communication skills 54.75 0.114 26.05
Poor 50.60 37.20 Self-reported written communication skills
Self-reported written communication skills
5/1/5 011/1 36/5 000/1*
Good 51.05 35.03
Average 51.60 36.05
Poor 46.25 41.50
root Dreferred subject for Dest graduation
Modical specialties 52.04 0.472 26.01 0.282
Surgical specialities 51.57 25.18
Desis Seisness 48.67 28.50
Dasic Sciences 40.0/ 30.30 Not decided 52.06 25.22
The definition of the second s
$V_{ac} = 52.01 \qquad 0.000 25.52 \qquad 0.104$
No 50.00 26.76
* <0.05 ** <0.10

Table 2: Mean positive attitude score (PAS) and negative attitude score (NAS) among subgroups of Participants

Discussion

In this study majority of the students were male, between 20 to 24 years of age, hailed from cities, mother's occupation was the homemaker, father's occupation was other than health (teacher, engineer, etc.), and desired to be specialist of medical and allied. The NAS and different PAS scores were significantly according to demographic а certain characteristic of the respondents.

In this study, the mean CSAS score was 83.97, which is lower than that found in a study conducted in Iran (2014).¹⁶ Likewise, the mean PAS score was 51.77, which is higher than that reported in a study conducted at a medical school in Caribbean island (2013), and dental college in India (2016) but comparable with studies conducted at medical college in Nepal (2006), India (2014), and Iran (2016).^{4,16–19} Similarly, the mean NAS score was 35.68 in this study, which higher than that seen in research carried out at medical college in Nepal (2006), Caribbean island (2013), India (2014), and dental college in India (2016), but comparable with Iran (2014).^{4,16–19}

In the current study, there was no significant difference of PAS and NAS scores between age groups, and this finding is consistent with results of studies from Nepal (2006), Caribbean island (2013), and Iran (2014).^{4,16,17} Similarly, PAS score was not statistically significantly different between males and females. This finding corresponds with the result of research conducted in Nepal (2006), Sri-Lanka (2012), Caribbean island (2013), India (2014), and Iran (2014)^{4,16,17,19,20} Whereas, the NAS score was significantly higher among males, which is not

comparable with findings of studies conducted in Nepal (2006), Caribbean island (2013), India (2014), and Iran (2014).^{4,16,17,19}

Studies conducted in Nepal (2006) and Caribbean island (2013) found that there is no influence of place of family residence on PAS and NAS scores, a similar finding was seen in this study.^{4,17} In this study, PAS score was statistically significantly higher among the respondents whose mothers were not in the health-related profession. Whereas a study conducted in Caribbean island (2013) found that PAS was statistically significantly higher among the respondents whose fathers were not in the health-related profession, but no such effect in NAS was seen in this study as well as in Caribbean island (2013) study.¹⁷ Moreover, study conducted in Nepal (2006) found no effect of parents occupation on PAS and NAS scores.⁴ There was no statistically significant difference in PAS and NAS among government sponsor and self-financed students, a similar finding was reported by an earlier study from Nepal.⁴

In the current study, students in the fifth year had higher PAS scores and lower NAS scores compared to third-year students. A similar trend was also seen in a study conducted in a medical college in Caribbean island (2013) study where students in third and fourth semesters had high PAS scores and lower NAS scores compared to first and second semesters students.¹⁷ But contrasting trend was observed in Sri-Lankan (2012) and Iranian (2014) studies.^{16,20} A study carried out in the UK (2003) showed, PAS score decreased after conduction of communication skill course for first-year students while NAS scores did not show the statistically significant difference.²¹

In the present study, no significant effect of selfreported verbal communication skills on PAS and NAS scores. Moreover, no significant impact of self-reported written communication skills on PAS score, however, NAS was significantly lower among the good selfreported written communication skills. Likewise, no significant effect of self-rated as a student on PAS but NAS was statistically significantly lower among the self-rated outstanding student. Studies from Nepal (2006) Caribbean island (2013) and found no significant effect of self-reported communication skills on PAS and NAS, further studies from Nepal (2006) and India (2016) did not observe an effect of self-rated as a student on PAS and NAS scores.4,17,18

Limitation of study

This study was conducted in one medical college in Nepal, so it may not be generalized for all medical colleges of Nepal. Furthermore, the causal inference cannot be made due to the cross-sectional design.

Conclusion

concluded This study that medical undergraduates have strong positive attitudes towards learning communication skills. Hence, communication skills training programs should be designed and implemented SO that undergraduate learn and pay more attention to communication skills that will ultimately help in professional practice.

List of Abbreviations

- CMC Chitwan Medical College
- CSAS Communication Skills Attitude Scale
- NAS Negative Attitudes Scale

PAS Positive Attitudes Scale

Consent for publication: Not Applicable.

Availability of data and materials: The datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Competing interests: The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Funding: Self.

Authors' contributions: SP was involved in conceptualizing the study, reviewing the literature, designing protocol, developing questionnaire, data collection, analysis and interpretation of data, preparing the manuscript. RMP helped in conceptualizing the study, designing protocol, data collection, statistical analyses, interpretation of data and preparing the manuscript. GPD helped in data collection and manuscript writing. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

We would to like to thank all the respondents for participation in the study. We acknowledge that its abstract was submitted for the presentation at the 2019 World Federation for Medical Education World Conference, Seoul.

Authors Information

SP: Assistant Professor, Department of Community Medicine and Public Health, Chitwan Medical College, Bharatpur, Chitwan
RMP: Professor of Internal Medicine and Medical Education, Chitwan Medical College,
Bharatpur, Chitwan, Nepal
GPD: Professor of Anesthesiology, Department of Anesthesiology, Chitwan Medical College,

Bharatpur, Chitwan, Nepal.

References

- Makoul G. Communication Skills Education in Medical School and Beyond. JAMA [Internet]. American Medical Association; 2003 Jan 1 [cited 2018 Jun 26]; 289(1): 93. Available from: http://jama.jamanetwork.com/ article.aspx?doi=10.1001/jama.289.1.93
- Horowitz SD. Evaluation of clinical competencies. Am J Phys Med Rehabil [Internet]. 2000; 79(5): 478-80. Available from: http://content.wkhealth.com/linkback/ openurl?sid=WKPTLP:landingpage&an=0000 2060-200009000-00016
- Greene MG, Adelman RD, Friedmann E, Charon R. Older patient satisfaction with communication during an initial medical encounter. Soc Sci Med [Internet]. Pergamon; 1994 May 1; 38(9): 1279-88. Available from: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/ pii/0277953694901910
- Shankar R, Dubey A, Mishra P, Deshpande V, Chandrasekhar T, Shivananda P. Student attitudes towards communication skills training in a medical college in Western Nepal. Educ Heal Chang Learn Pract [Internet]. 2006 [cited 2018 Jun 25]; 19(1): 71-84. Available from: http://www.tandfonline.

com/doi/abs/10.1080/13576280500534693

5. Mauksch LB, Dugdale DC, Dodson S, Epstein R. Relationship, Communication, and Efficiency in the Medical Encounter <subtitle>Creating a Clinical Model From a Literature Review</subtitle> Arch Intern Med [Internet]. American Medical Association; 2008 Jul 14 [cited 2018 Jun 26]; 168(13): 1387. Available from: http://archinte.jama

network.com/article.aspx?doi=10.1001/archint e.168.13.1387

- Abraham NS, Naik AD, Street RL. Shared Decision Making in GI Clinic to Improve Patient Adherence. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol [Internet]. Elsevier; 2012 Aug 1 [cited 2018 Jun 26]; 10(8): 825-7. Available from: http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S15 42356512006684
- Stewart MA. Effective physician-patient communication and health outcomes: a review. CMAJ [Internet]. 1995 May 1 [cited 2018 Jun 29]; 152(9): 1423-33. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/ 7728691
- McBride CA, Shugars DA, Robin DiMatteo M, Lepper HS, O EH, Damush TM, et al. The Physician's Role Views of the Public and the Profession on Seven Aspects of Patient Care. [cited 2018 Jun 26]; Available from: https://triggered.clockss.org/Serve Content?url=http://archfami.ama-assn.org/cgi/ reprint/3/11/948.pdf
- Meryn S. Improving doctor-patient communication. Not an option, but a necessity. BMJ [Internet]. BMJ Publishing Group; 1998 Jun 27 [cited 2018 Jun 26]; 316(7149): 1922. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/964192 6
- Jones R, Higgs R, de Angelis C, Prideaux D. Changing face of medical curricula. Lancet [Internet]. Elsevier; 2001 Mar 3 [cited 2018 Jun 26]; 357(9257): 699-703. Available from: https://www.sciencedirect. com/science/article/pii/S0140673600041349
- Petty RE, Wegener DT, Fabrigar LR. Attitudes and Attitude Change. Annu Rev Psychol [Internet]. 1997 [cited 2018 Jun 26]; 48: 609-47. Available from: http://www.psy.ohio-state.edu/petty/PDF Files/1997-ANNREV-

Petty,Wegener,Fabrigar.pdf

- Kurtz S, Silverman J, Draper J. Teaching and learning communication skills in medicine. Oxon: Radcliffe publishing; 2005.
- Morgan WL and GLE. The clinical approach to the patient. Philadelphia: WB Saunders; 1969.
- 14. Anbari Z, Godarzi D, Siros A, Mahdian F. Design, Implementation & amp; Evaluation of an Educational Program on Improving the Communication Skills with Patient based on WHO in Interns. Iran J Med Educ [Internet]. Iranian Journal of Medical Education; 2012 [cited 2018 Jun 26]; 12(5): 308-16. Available from: http://ijme.mui.ac.ir/article-1-1958-en.html
- 15. Rees C, Sheard C, Davies S. The development of a scale to measure medical students' attitudes towards communication skills learning: the Communication Skills Attitude (CSAS). Med Educ Scale [Internet]. Wiley/Blackwell (10.1111); 2002 Feb [cited 2018 Jun 25]; 36(2): 141-7. Available from: http://doi.wiley.com/10.1046/j.1365-2923. 2002.01072.x
- 16. Soltani T, Baghianimoghadam MH, Pirouzeh R, Sardari F. Medical Students' Viewpoint on Learning Communication Skills. Directory of Open Access Journals; [cited 2018 Jun 25]; Available from: http://www.ingenta connect.com/content/doaj/17353998/2016/000 00015/00000004/art00004
- Shankar P, Dubey A, Balasubramanium R, Dwivedi N. Student attitude towards communication skills learning in a Caribbean medical school. Australas Med J [Internet]. Australasian Medical Journal; 2013 [cited 2018 Jun 25]; 6(9): 466-75. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/pubmed/24133539

- Richa, Yashoda R, Puranik M. Dental students' attitude toward learning communication skills in Bengaluru city, India. J Indian Assoc Public Heal Dent [Internet]. Medknow Publications and Media Pvt. Ltd.; 2016 [cited 2018 Jun 25]; 14(3): 327. Available from: http://www.jiaphd.org/ text.asp?2016/14/3/327/187174
- Venkatesh SP, Soundariya K, Deepika V. A study on attitude of medical students towards learning of communication skills. J Evol Med Dent Sci J Evol Med Dent Sci [Internet]. 2014 [cited 2018 Jun 25]; 3(27). Available from: https://jemds.com/data_pdf/venkaesh sp.pdf
- Marambe KN, Marambe KN, Edussuriya DH, Dayaratne KMPL. Attitudes of Sri Lankan Medical Students toward Learning Communication Skills. Educ Heal [Internet].
 2012 [cited 2018 Jun 25]; 25(3). Available from: http://www.educationfor health.net/ temp/EducHealth253165-1775612 045556.pdf
- 21. Rees C, Sheard C. Evaluating first-year medical students' attitudes to learning communication skills before and after a communication skills course. Med Teach [Internet]. Taylor & Francis; 2003 Jan 3 [cited 2018 Jun 25]; 25(3): 302-7. Available from: http://www.tandfonline.com/ doi/full/10.1080/0142159031000100409