

Testing Weak Form of Market Efficiency in Nepal

Jeetendra Dangol, Ph.D*

Abstract

The paper investigates the weak form of market efficiency for overall and sectorial indices. The Nepalese stock returns are found not being normally distributed during the study period. The autocorrelation of the stock returns was reduced by correcting the data with the application of the methodology suggested by Miller et al. (1994). The Nepalese stock market has suffered from the problem of thin-trading. Overall, the Nepalese market is not weak-form efficient on the basis of the analysis performed by employing observed returns series; but it is found a weak-form efficient in case of the analysis while using corrected data after adjusting infrequent trading. Hence, the study is supported to the random-walk and weak form of market efficiency.

Key words: Market efficiency, Random-walk, Serial correlation

*Associate professor and Deputy Director at School of Management, Tribhuvan University, Nepal, Email: jdangol@gmail.com

1. Introduction

According to Fama (1970, 1991), the Efficient Market Hypothesis (EMH) suggests that gaining from predicting price movements is very difficult and unlikely. The main factor behind the price changes is the arrival of new information. A market is said to be “efficient” if price adjusts quickly, and, on average, without bias, to new information. As a result, the current prices of securities reflect all available information at any given point in time.

One of the major premises of efficient market theory is that the market quickly impounds any publicly available information, including macroeconomic information that might be used to predict stock prices. The term efficiency is used to describe a market in which relevant information is impounded into the price of financial assets. In general terms, the theory of efficient markets is concerned with stock prices at any point in time *fully reflect* available information (Fama, 1970).

The Fama (1970) classifies the market efficiency into *Weak form efficiency*, *Semi-strong form efficiency* and *Strong form efficiency*. After twenty years of market efficiency literature published in 1970, Fama (1991) proposed to change the categories of market efficiency, namely: (1) Using *tests for return predictability* instead of weak-form tests, (2) Using *event studies* instead of semi-strong-form tests and (3) Using *test for private information* instead of strong-form tests.

2. Literature Review

Fama and French (1988), Lo and MacKinlay (1988), and Jegadeesh (1990) showed the predictability of future returns and concluded that the market was inefficient in weak form. However, Jarrett and Kyper (2005) provided the evidence that the stock markets of United States show characteristics of a random-walk and, thus, were efficient in the weak form. Similarly, Narayan and Prasad (2007) evaluated market efficiency of the seventeen European countries and reported the results were consistent with the efficient market hypothesis.

The findings of market efficiency tests— mostly weak form efficiency tests— on emerging markets were rather varied. Alam, Hasan and Kadapakham (1999); and Cheung and Coutts (2001) studies found evidences in favour of weak form efficiency. On the contrary, studies of

Lee, Chen, & Rui (2001); Smith, Jefferies and Ryoo (2002); and Mobarek and Keasey (2002) found evidences of predictability of stock prices.

Alam et al. (1999) and Mobarek and Keasey (2002) have tested the market efficiency of the Bangladesh with the conflicting results. Applying a variance ratio test, Alam et al. (1999) have discovered that the monthly stock price index series followed a random-walk. This implies the existence of weak-form efficiency. However, by applying runs and autocorrelation tests, Mobarek and Keasey (2002) concluded that the daily price index series did not follow a random-walk. In the context of India, Ahmad, Ashraf and Ahmed (2006) examined the weak form efficient market hypothesis using the daily data in India. The study reported that the stock exchanges have rejected random-walk hypothesis. Similarly, Gupta and Basu (2007) as well as Siddiqui and Gupta (2009) also rejected the weak form of market efficiency in India. In light of the above studies, a need for testing the level of market efficiency in the Nepalese stock market has been felt.

In the context of Nepal, using autocorrelation and runs tests, Pradhan and Upadhyay (2006), Bhatta (2008), Bhatta (2010) and Dangol (2010a) found that the Nepalese stock market did not follow random-walk hypothesis and was inefficient in weak form for daily, weekly and monthly market returns series. Similarly, Dangol (2010b) examined random-walk behaviour on daily market returns of the Nepal Stock Exchange (NEPSE) using Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) and Phillips-Perron (PP) unit root tests for the period between July 14, 2000 and January 14, 2010. The study found that the Nepalese stock market did not show characteristics of random-walk and thus, it was not efficient in the weak form. It implicates that market participants have opportunities to predict future price and earn abnormal returns from the Nepalese stock market. The finding of the Nepalese stock market being inefficient in the weak form has also been reported in the study of Dangol (2012). Shrestha (2001) also highlighted the Nepalese stock market as inefficient.

Dangol (2011) examined the random-walk behaviour and weak form of market efficiency in the Nepalese and Indian stock markets employing variance ratio and run tests as methodologies for the period between July 1, 2003 and June 30, 2010. The study found that the variance-ratio tests

were rejected the random-walk hypothesis for both countries' stock markets. There was no evidence for weak form efficiency in the return series of the Nepalese stock market. However, the study showed the Indian stock markets efficient in the weak-form. It implies that market participants have opportunities to predict future prices and earn abnormal returns in the Nepalese stock market, while the development of trading strategies might not be able to earn excess returns in the Indian stock markets. Furthermore, mean-reverting process was found in both the Nepalese and Indian stock markets, suggesting overinflated stock prices, abnormally high volatility and frequent market correction from a bubble effect.

In conclusion, the studies on weak form of market efficiency reveal that the stock prices are randomly formulated in a majority of the developed stock markets. But few emerging markets have also shown characteristics of random-walk behaviour, whereas, the emerging markets including South-Asian region are inefficient in the weak form. The reasons for inefficiencies are largely due to autocorrelation structures in their returns series. The developed markets show autocorrelation on its returns series, probably because of systematic changes in expected stock returns or rational behaviour of the investors. On the other hand, the majority of the emerging equity markets provide positive autocorrelation that indicates unusual rapid economic growth.

As such, in the context of Nepal, there is the need for evaluating the level of market efficiency. Thus, the main objective of the study is to test weak form of market efficiency.

3. Research methods

3.1 Testable hypotheses

The following null hypotheses were formulated:

Hypothesis 1: Distribution patterns of stock prices

Null hypothesis, H_0 : "*Stock returns series in the NEPSE follow a normal distribution*".

Hypothesis 2: Serial correlation in stock returns

Null hypothesis, H_0 : "*There is no serial correlation between the stock returns*".

3.2 Data

The study employs daily and weekly returns of value-weighted portfolios of stocks listed with the Nepal stock exchange (NEPSE) for the period of ten years between Mid-July 2000 and Mid-July 2010.

The natural log of the relative price has been computed for the daily/weekly intervals to produce a time series of continuously compounded returns, such that:

$$R_t = \text{Ln} \left(\frac{P_t}{P_{t-1}} \right) \times 100 \dots\dots\dots(1)$$

where P_t and P_{t-1} represent the stock index price or individual security closing price at time t and $t-1$ and Ln refers to natural log. The reasons to take logarithm returns are justified by both theoretically and empirically. Theoretically, logarithmic returns are analytically more tractable when linking returns over longer intervals. Empirically, logarithmic returns are more likely to be normally distributed, which is a prior condition of standard statistical techniques (Strong, 1992).

3.3 Estimating the true index-correcting for infrequent trading

In investigating the pattern of sole equity market of Nepal, it is important to take its characteristics like thin-trading into consideration. To separate the effect of thin trading, the study has applied corrections to the observed index by using a methodology proposed by Miller, Muthuswamy and Whaley (1994). To correct for infrequent trading, this methodology basically suggests a moving average model (MA) to remove the impact of thin trading, as the MA reflects the number of non-trading days and calculates returns adjusted for the effect of non-trading days. However, given the difficulties in identifying the non-trading days, Miller et al. (1994) have shown that it is equivalent to estimate an auto-regressive or AR (1) model from which the non-trading adjustment can be obtained. Specifically, this model estimated the following specifications related to the returns, R at time t :

$$R_t = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 R_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t \dots\dots\dots(2)$$

$$R_t^{\text{adj}} = \frac{\varepsilon_t}{(1 - \alpha_2)} \dots\dots\dots(3)$$

where R_t^{adj} is the return at time t adjusted for thin-trading. Miller et al. (1994) find thin trading adjustment reduces the negative correlation among returns. The model above assumes that non-trading adjustment is constant over time.

3.4 Methodology to test hypothesis 1: Distribution patterns of the stock prices

To assess the distribution patterns of the stock returns, the study has employed normality tests. First it has determined whether the stock returns follow a normal distribution or not. If stock returns series follow a normal distribution, it belongs to the assumption of the random walk model; hence the market accepts the weak form of efficiency. The current study has performed normality tests using the skewness, kurtosis and Jarque-Bera statistic.

3.5 Methodology to test hypothesis 2: Serial correlation in stock returns series

Autocorrelation test is a reliable measure for testing of either dependence of random variables in a series. The ACF, I_k , is used to determine the independence of the stock price changes. This measures the amount of linear dependence between observations in a time series that are separated by lag k, and is computed as under:

$$I_k = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^{n-k} (R_{mt} - \overline{R_{mt}})(R_{m,t+k} - \overline{R_{m,t+k}})}{\sum_{t=1}^n (R_{mt} - \overline{R_{mt}})^2} \dots\dots\dots(4)$$

where I_k is the autocorrelation coefficient for a lag of k time units and n is the number of observations. If the price changes of the stocks are independently distributed, I_k will be zero for all time lags. The study has considered only the first lag.

4 Empirical test results

4.1 Test results of the hypothesis 1: Distribution patterns of the stock prices

To test the weak form of market efficiency, the study has first determined whether the stock returns follow a normal distribution or not. If stock returns series follow a normal distribution, it

belongs to the assumption of random-walk model; hence it is accepted as the weak form of market efficiency. The study tests normality using the skewness, kurtosis and Jarque-Bera statistic.

Descriptive statistics can be interpreted to test the informational efficiency of the stock market. Generally, values for zero skewness and kurtosis at three represent that the observed distribution is normally distributed. Table 1 and Table 2 show the descriptive statistics of daily and weekly returns of overall NEPSE index and other nine sectoral indices, i.e., commercial banking, development banking, finance, insurance, hydropower, hotel, trading, manufacturing and others. The distribution of daily observed (raw data) stock returns have slightly negative-skewed in the cases of overall index, commercial bank index, development bank index, finance sector index and manufacturing index but it is highly leptokurtic (peaked) in all indices. Similarly, in the case of weekly returns, overall index and commercial banking index are positively-skewed, which is negative-skewed in case of daily returns series, however. The 'other' sector indices of the weekly returns are similar to the results of daily returns and it is highly leptokurtic (peaked) in all indices.

Descriptive statistics of daily and weekly returns with correct data have been presented in Table 3 and 4. These tables also provide evidences of highly leptokurtic distributions in all returns indices and positive-skewed (overall index, hydro, hotel, trading, others) and negative-skewed (development banking, finance, insurance, manufacturing) in overall study period. Therefore, the skewed and leptokurtic frequency distribution of daily and weekly market returns series indicate that the distributions are not normal. Jarque-Bera test also rejects the null hypothesis of normal distribution for all indices. It gives evidence that the frequency distribution is not normal for daily, weekly, daily corrected and weekly corrected returns to all indices during the study period. Thus, the null hypothesis of non-normal distribution of return series is accepted.

All returns series show the positive mean return except for finance, insurance, hotel and trading sectors of weekly corrected data in the total study period. Similarly, the recent period of study (July 17, 2005 – July 15, 2010) reports excess positive mean returns than previous period (July 17, 2000 – July 16, 2005). It is an indicator of economic growth and continuation development

of the Nepalese stock market. The market has positive mean returns with low variance; it indicates that the Nepalese stock market involves low risk. This may have happened due to market size, technology, information and attitude of investors towards the risk.

4.2 Test results of the hypothesis 2: Serial correlation in stock returns series

The serial correlation coefficient test is a widely employed procedure that tests the relationship between returns in the current period and those in the previous period. If there is no significant autocorrelation found, then the series are assumed to follow a random-walk. Table 5 and 6 report the statistics and p-values for the tests of serial independence, namely, the parametric serial correlation coefficient for daily and weekly return series. In the case of daily observed return series, alternative hypotheses of serial correlation for all indices except commercial banking, development banking, hotel and trading sectors are accepted at the .05 level or higher in the overall study periods. But, in cases of sub-periods, the all indices accepted the alternative hypothesis of serial correlation at the .05 level or higher.

Similarly, in the case of weekly return series, the alternative hypothesis of serial correlation for all indices except commercial banking, hydropower, hotel, trading and other sectors are accepted at the .05 level or higher in the total study periods. The similar results are reported in the sub-periods as well. The significance of the autocorrelation coefficient indicates that the market is not efficient in the weak form.

Table 7 and Table 8 depict the serial correlation coefficient and p-values for daily and weekly corrected return series. In daily return series, the alternative hypothesis of serial correlation for all indices except manufacturing sector is rejected at the .05 level or higher in the overall study periods. But in case all indices of weekly corrected return series, the alternative hypothesis of serial correlation is rejected at the .05 level. The similar results are reported in the sub-periods as well. It provides the evidence that corrected return series reduces the autocorrelation. The result is consistent with Miller et al. (1994) who found that thin trading adjustment reduces the negative correlation among returns. Thus, the Nepalese stock market has suffered from the problem of thin trading.

Table 1: Descriptive statistics of daily stock returns (Observed data)

The table represents descriptive statistics and the tests of normality for daily stock returns on the observed data (raw data) of Nepal Stock Exchange (NEPSE). Descriptive statistics include mean, median maximum, minimum and standard deviation. Normality tests statistics include Skewness, Kurtosis and Jarque-Bera tests. Estimates are given for overall market returns series as well as for nine other sectors returns series for full sample study period from July 17, 2000 to July 15, 2010 and the two sub-periods.

Indices	Observations	Mean	Median	Maximum	Minimum	Std. Dev.	Skewness	Kurtosis	Jarque-Bera	Probability
Panel A: 2000 July 17 – 2010 July 15										
Overall	2334	0.012	0.010	37.876	-38.153	1.635	-0.116	254.200	6136613.00	0.000
Commercial Banking	2334	0.006	0.008	22.513	-24.163	1.968	-0.109	33.466	90272.54	0.000
Development Banking	1981	0.025	0.000	54.397	-54.300	2.318	-1.324	322.605	8431972.00	0.000
Finance	2334	0.011	0.009	48.677	-48.267	2.134	-0.368	296.769	8392771.00	0.000
Insurance	2334	0.025	0.000	54.043	-53.746	1.812	0.161	673.695	43746200.00	0.000
Hydropower	696	0.008	-0.045	8.748	-6.795	1.670	0.676	6.698	449.61	0.000
Hotel	2334	0.006	0.000	29.535	-11.550	1.039	8.765	303.129	8789881.00	0.000
Trading	2334	0.035	0.000	40.841	-9.368	1.197	16.793	589.854	33602310.00	0.000
Manufacturing	2334	0.010	0.000	32.835	-32.835	1.799	-0.305	217.413	4470913.00	0.000
Other	2334	0.024	0.000	104.314	-67.200	3.631	11.033	405.576	15808422.000	0.000
Panel B: 2000 July 17 – 2005 July 16										
Overall	1188	-0.019	0.005	37.876	-38.153	1.898	-0.122	273.727	3628005.00	0.000
Commercial Banking	1188	-0.021	0.007	22.513	-24.163	2.145	-0.067	43.436	80937.54	0.000
Development Banking	835	-0.025	0.000	54.397	-54.300	2.868	-0.604	315.014	3387124.00	0.000
Finance	1188	-0.025	-0.005	48.677	-48.267	2.232	0.188	378.400	6975806.00	0.000
Insurance	1188	0.004	0.000	54.043	-53.746	2.386	0.137	440.178	9460677.00	0.000
Hydropower	N.A.									
Hotel	1188	-0.056	0.000	9.957	-11.550	1.052	-1.657	40.197	69032.60	0.000
Trading	1188	0.000	0.000	8.486	-9.368	0.803	-1.510	52.733	122884.20	0.000
Manufacturing	1188	-0.018	0.000	31.116	-31.116	1.973	-0.396	160.101	1221730.00	0.000
Other	1188	0.010	0.000	104.314	-20.630	3.741	19.146	524.010	13509433.00	0.000
Panel C: 2005 July 17 – 2010 July 15										
Overall	1146	0.045	0.018	5.470	-7.228	1.307	0.007	5.879	395.77	0.000
Commercial Banking	1146	0.035	0.012	7.720	-10.818	1.766	-0.158	7.122	815.91	0.000
Development Banking	1146	0.061	0.000	13.011	-27.530	1.817	-2.939	55.403	132776.40	0.000
Finance	1146	0.048	0.043	34.058	-33.546	2.028	-1.121	166.585	1278026.00	0.000
Insurance	1146	0.047	0.000	7.841	-7.040	0.887	0.498	18.611	11683.82	0.000
Hydropower	696	0.008	-0.045	8.748	-6.795	1.670	0.676	6.698	449.61	0.000
Hotel	1146	0.071	0.000	29.535	-6.946	1.021	20.685	608.649	17596936.00	0.000
Trading	1146	0.072	0.000	40.841	-8.627	1.499	17.607	482.316	11029475.00	0.000
Manufacturing	1146	0.038	0.000	32.835	-32.835	1.600	-0.088	325.106	4954177.00	0.000
Other	1146	0.039	0.000	67.200	-67.200	3.514	0.854	244.623	2787855.00	0.000

Table 2: Descriptive statistics of weekly stock returns (Observed data)

The table represents descriptive statistics and the tests of normality for weekly stock returns on the observed data (raw data) of Nepal Stock Exchange (NEPSE). Descriptive statistics include mean, median maximum, minimum and standard deviation. Normality tests statistics include Skewness, Kurtosis and Jarque-Bera tests. Estimates are given for overall market returns series as well as for nine other sectors returns series for full sample study period from July 17, 2000 to July 15, 2010 and the two sub-periods.

Indices	Observations	Mean	Median	Maximum	Minimum	Std. Dev.	Skewness	Kurtosis	Jarque-Bera	Probability
Panel A: 2000 July 17 – 2010 July 15										
Overall	512	0.056	-0.039	11.861	-9.910	3.018	0.207	4.695	64.925	0.000
Commercial Banking	512	0.030	0.063	21.158	-16.325	4.234	0.084	5.803	168.246	0.000
Development Banking	434	0.112	0.000	23.628	-31.414	4.061	-0.358	18.097	4130.971	0.000
Finance	512	0.051	-0.020	10.408	-18.147	2.304	-0.400	16.048	3645.878	0.000
Insurance	512	0.114	0.000	9.959	-9.839	2.139	0.509	9.492	921.299	0.000
Hydropower	153	0.034	-0.431	18.639	-12.619	4.432	0.925	5.889	75.011	0.000
Hotel	512	0.028	0.000	35.825	-13.767	2.491	5.207	89.344	161360.200	0.000
Trading	512	0.161	0.000	42.378	-15.772	2.773	6.711	110.841	251943.100	0.000
Manufacturing	512	0.045	0.000	27.863	-24.853	2.297	0.439	77.431	118204.100	0.000
Other	512	0.109	0.000	105.627	-21.095	7.167	8.321	111.430	256727.100	0.000
Panel B: 2000 July 17 – 2005 July 16										
Overall	258	-0.086	-0.103	11.861	-9.706	2.712	0.412	6.442	134.676	0.000
Commercial Banking	258	-0.098	-0.065	21.158	-16.325	4.219	0.290	7.439	215.426	0.000
Development Banking	180	-0.118	0.000	5.529	-23.453	2.295	-5.772	60.900	26142.630	0.000
Finance	258	-0.113	-0.159	9.837	-8.456	1.520	0.265	14.191	1349.350	0.000
Insurance	258	0.018	-0.037	9.837	-8.456	1.816	0.397	10.835	666.654	0.000
Hydropower	N.A.									
Hotel	258	-0.258	0.000	9.999	-13.767	2.374	-1.020	12.112	937.352	0.000
Trading	258	-0.002	0.000	8.486	-9.790	1.790	-0.880	14.079	1352.703	0.000
Manufacturing	258	-0.081	0.000	27.863	-24.853	3.000	0.446	52.712	26575.100	0.000
Other	258	0.045	0.000	105.627	-20.630	8.427	8.698	103.994	112901.600	0.000
Panel C: 2005 July 17 – 2010 July 15										
Overall	254	0.201	0.252	10.116	-9.910	3.299	0.046	3.678	4.957	0.084
Commercial Banking	254	0.160	0.255	12.926	-15.631	4.254	-0.122	4.256	17.315	0.000
Development Banking	254	0.275	0.000	23.628	-31.414	4.944	-0.010	12.051	866.941	0.000
Finance	254	0.218	0.180	10.408	-18.147	2.884	-0.577	12.229	915.521	0.000
Insurance	254	0.212	0.019	9.959	-9.839	2.423	0.484	8.124	287.782	0.000
Hydropower	153	0.034	-0.431	18.639	-12.619	4.432	0.925	5.889	75.011	0.000
Hotel	254	0.319	0.000	35.825	-7.260	2.576	10.270	143.918	214626.700	0.000
Trading	254	0.326	0.000	42.378	-15.772	3.497	6.785	86.464	75675.390	0.000
Manufacturing	254	0.172	0.000	6.551	-5.660	1.217	0.773	13.127	1110.632	0.000
Other	254	0.174	0.000	62.638	-21.095	5.622	5.144	63.446	39788.100	0.000

Table 3: Descriptive statistics of daily stock returns (Corrected data)

The table represents descriptive statistics and the tests of normality for daily stock returns on the corrected data of Nepal Stock Exchange (NEPSE). Descriptive statistics include mean, median maximum, minimum and standard deviation. Normality tests statistics include Skewness, Kurtosis and Jarque-Bera tests. Estimates are given for overall market returns series as well as for nine other sectors returns series for full sample study period from July 17, 2000 to July 15, 2010 and the two sub-periods.

Indices	Observations	Mean	Median	Maximum	Minimum	Std. Dev.	Skewness	Kurtosis	Jarque-Bera	Probability
Panel A: 2000 July 17 – 2010 July 15										
Overall	2333	0.001	-0.012	35.194	-32.583	1.510	1.164	222.854	4699173.000	0.000
Commercial Banking	2333	0.002	0.006	23.084	-24.464	1.992	-0.092	34.138	94253.460	0.000
Development Banking	1980	0.000	-0.025	37.477	-45.908	1.925	-4.475	255.565	5269211.000	0.000
Finance	2333	0.000	-0.004	24.275	-34.474	1.393	-3.166	246.249	5755722.000	0.000
Insurance	2333	0.007	-0.035	42.573	-70.048	2.293	-5.926	488.087	22887689.000	0.000
Hydropower	695	0.015	-0.041	10.668	-8.879	2.262	0.408	5.724	234.214	0.000
Hotel	2333	0.000	-0.006	29.681	-11.651	1.044	8.758	303.127	8785965.000	0.000
Trading	2333	0.000	-0.035	42.154	-9.716	1.236	16.785	590.041	33609249.000	0.000
Manufacturing	2333	0.000	-0.010	23.732	-25.064	1.305	-0.944	183.312	3160820.000	0.000
Other	2333	0.000	-0.024	96.188	-61.938	3.333	10.584	397.588	15178894.000	0.000
Panel B: 2000 July 17 – 2005 July 16										
Overall	1187	0.000	0.014	30.206	-22.066	1.443	4.654	212.139	2167550.000	0.000
Commercial Banking	1187	0.001	0.017	15.958	-20.768	1.819	-0.375	35.724	52991.260	0.000
Development Banking	834	0.000	0.025	22.243	-38.243	1.833	-8.873	269.677	2482253.000	0.000
Finance	1187	0.000	0.009	17.546	-32.790	1.334	-9.129	351.168	6011860.000	0.000
Insurance	1187	0.000	-0.004	20.055	-36.839	1.451	-10.250	398.114	7741978.000	0.000
Hydropower	N.A.									
Hotel	1187	0.000	0.056	9.185	-10.264	0.979	-1.538	38.170	61645.650	0.000
Trading	1187	0.000	0.000	7.721	-8.522	0.727	-1.259	50.241	110690.000	0.000
Manufacturing	1187	0.000	0.018	25.383	-20.243	1.567	1.315	140.166	930873.100	0.000
Other	1187	0.000	-0.010	110.556	-21.891	3.963	19.167	525.466	13573361.000	0.000
Panel C: 2005 July 17 – 2010 July 15										
Overall	1146	0.004	0.003	8.464	-11.574	1.846	-0.094	7.481	960.261	0.000
Commercial Banking	1146	0.005	-0.002	12.247	-15.551	2.357	-0.203	8.704	1561.220	0.000
Development Banking	1146	0.000	-0.061	17.471	-36.113	2.331	-3.115	60.118	157634.900	0.000
Finance	1146	0.000	-0.005	25.861	-17.409	1.464	2.523	132.551	802629.700	0.000
Insurance	1146	0.001	-0.047	9.817	-8.251	1.103	0.474	18.974	12227.530	0.000
Hydropower	695	0.015	-0.041	10.668	-8.879	2.262	0.408	5.724	234.214	0.000
Hotel	1146	0.000	-0.071	32.097	-7.636	1.109	20.908	617.305	18102938.000	0.000
Trading	1146	0.000	-0.072	43.820	-9.039	1.608	17.706	485.556	11178942.000	0.000
Manufacturing	1146	0.000	-0.038	12.617	-22.783	0.993	-8.516	286.781	3859248.000	0.000
Other	1146	0.000	-0.038	40.029	-53.672	2.713	-2.779	189.400	1660546.000	0.000

Table 4: Descriptive statistics of weekly stock returns (Corrected data)

The table represents descriptive statistics and the tests of normality for weekly stock returns on the corrected data of Nepal Stock Exchange (NEPSE). Descriptive statistics include mean, median maximum, minimum and standard deviation. Normality tests statistics include Skewness, Kurtosis and Jarque-Bera tests. Estimates are given for overall market returns series as well as for nine other sectors returns series for full sample study period from July 17, 2000 to July 15, 2010 and the two sub-periods.

Indices	Observations	Mean	Median	Maximum	Minimum	Std. Dev.	Skewness	Kurtosis	Jarque-Bera	Probability
Panel A: 2000 July 17 – 2010 July 15										
Overall	511	0.010	-0.070	13.870	-10.901	3.345	0.274	4.798	75.254	0.000
Commercial Banking	511	0.010	0.025	21.912	-17.012	4.339	0.097	5.857	174.630	0.000
Development Banking	433	0.024	-0.184	26.039	-40.643	5.322	-0.553	16.771	3443.458	0.000
Finance	511	-0.003	-0.033	13.911	-23.416	2.921	-0.741	17.374	4445.956	0.000
Insurance	511	-0.003	-0.089	14.642	-16.820	2.953	-0.305	11.347	1491.338	0.000
Hydropower	152	0.124	-0.277	20.612	-13.854	4.990	0.881	5.587	62.024	0.000
Hotel	511	-0.006	-0.036	36.305	-14.126	2.531	5.180	88.925	159485.400	0.000
Trading	511	-0.0002	-0.161	42.869	-16.124	2.819	6.701	110.566	250179.100	0.000
Manufacturing	511	0.005	-0.046	16.689	-19.654	1.742	-1.724	57.934	64505.460	0.000
Other	511	0.004	-0.105	107.385	-22.677	7.300	8.299	111.258	255400.200	0.000
Panel B: 2000 July 17 – 2005 July 16										
Overall	257	0.006	-0.074	13.288	-10.084	2.910	0.481	6.534	143.633	0.000
Commercial Banking	257	0.003	0.005	21.147	-16.126	4.212	0.288	7.395	210.353	0.000
Development Banking	179	0.038	0.115	5.861	-24.930	2.429	-5.986	63.828	28665.040	0.000
Finance	257	-0.002	-0.039	8.286	-5.555	1.270	0.684	11.636	818.611	0.000
Insurance	257	0.015	-0.042	10.484	-9.546	1.924	0.196	11.503	775.823	0.000
Hydropower	N.A.									
Hotel	257	0.003	0.249	8.267	-11.557	2.141	-0.987	11.368	791.504	0.000
Trading	257	0.0004	0.002	7.593	-8.980	1.639	-0.840	13.485	1207.453	0.000
Manufacturing	257	0.008	0.077	14.832	-18.596	2.124	-1.829	39.325	14272.670	0.000
Other	257	0.003	-0.042	118.940	-22.980	9.451	8.774	105.942	116773.700	0.000
Panel C: 2005 July 17 – 2010 July 15										
Overall	254	0.015	-0.001	11.747	-11.246	3.726	0.106	3.761	6.601	0.037
Commercial Banking	254	0.017	0.081	14.075	-16.467	4.466	-0.096	4.287	17.916	0.000
Development Banking	254	0.001	-0.720	26.244	-42.172	6.679	-0.130	10.813	646.746	0.000
Finance	254	-0.0004	0.080	16.405	-27.382	4.125	-0.854	14.067	1327.114	0.000
Insurance	254	-0.045	-0.116	17.635	-19.759	3.955	-0.248	8.809	359.785	0.000
Hydropower	152	0.124	-0.277	20.612	-13.854	4.990	0.881	5.587	62.024	0.000
Hotel	254	-0.019	-0.337	38.888	-8.376	2.829	10.190	142.744	211072.400	0.000
Trading	254	-0.001	-0.327	43.749	-16.595	3.636	6.793	86.533	75802.240	0.000
Manufacturing	254	-0.0001	-0.172	7.070	-6.411	1.339	0.823	13.341	1160.461	0.000
Other	254	0.004	-0.169	52.049	-18.017	4.592	5.648	67.809	45802.540	0.000

Table 5: Serial correlation in daily stock returns (Observed data)

The table reports test results of serial correlation coefficient, i.e., the relationship between returns in the current period and those in the previous period, for daily market returns series on the observed data of Nepal Stock Exchange (NEPSE). Correlation coefficient and p-value are given for overall market returns series as well as for nine other sectors returns series for full sample study period from July 17, 2000 to July 15, 2010 and the two sub-periods.

Indices	Overall Period (2000 July 17 – 2010 July 15)		First Half Period (2000 July 17 – 2005 July 16)		Second Half Period (2005 July 17 – 2010 July 15)	
	Coefficient	<i>p</i> -value	Coefficient	<i>p</i> -value	Coefficient	<i>p</i> -value
Overall	-0.079	0.000	-0.268	0.000	0.332	0.000
Commercial Banking	0.012	0.556	-0.164	0.000	0.281	0.000
Development Banking	-0.184	0.000	-0.421	0.000	0.244	0.000
Finance	-0.403	0.000	-0.474	0.000	-0.315	0.000
Insurance	-0.381	0.000	-0.460	0.000	0.215	0.000
Hydropower	0.295	0.000	N.A.	-	0.295	0.000
Hotel	0.005	0.805	-0.072	0.013	0.082	0.006
Trading	0.032	0.118	-0.099	0.001	0.070	0.017
Manufacturing	-0.311	0.000	-0.227	0.000	-0.444	0.000
Other	-0.085	0.000	0.057	0.048	-0.253	0.000

Table 6: Serial correlation in weekly stock returns (Observed data)

The table reports test results of serial correlation coefficient, i.e., the relationship between returns in the current period and those in the previous periods, for weekly market returns series on the observed data of Nepal Stock Exchange (NEPSE). Correlation coefficient and p-value are given for overall market returns series as well as for nine other sectors returns series for full sample study period from July 17, 2000 to July 15, 2010 and the two sub-periods.

Indices	Overall Period (2000 July 17 – 2010 July 15)		First Half Period (2000 July 17 – 2005 July 16)		Second Half Period (2005 July 17 – 2010 July 15)	
	Coefficient	<i>p</i> -value	Coefficient	<i>p</i> -value	Coefficient	<i>p</i> -value
Overall	0.102	0.021	0.068	0.269	0.121	0.053
Commercial Banking	0.023	0.595	-0.004	0.955	0.048	0.439
Development Banking	0.263	0.000	0.063	0.391	0.291	0.000
Finance	0.232	0.000	-0.180	0.004	0.343	0.000
Insurance	0.311	0.000	0.056	0.365	0.453	0.000
Hydropower	0.115	0.150	N.A.		0.115	0.150
Hotel	0.015	0.727	-0.105	0.091	0.093	0.134
Trading	0.015	0.728	-0.090	0.146	0.039	0.532
Manufacturing	-0.266	0.000	-0.329	0.000	0.095	0.127
Other	0.017	0.694	0.112	0.070	-0.199	0.001

Table 7: Serial correlation in daily stock returns (Corrected data)

The table reports test results of serial correlation coefficient, i.e., the relationship between returns in the current period and those in the previous periods, for daily market returns series on the corrected data of Nepal Stock Exchange (NEPSE). Correlation coefficient and p-value are given for overall market returns series as well as for nine other sectors returns series for full sample study period from July 17, 2000 to July 15, 2010 and the two sub-periods.

Indices	Overall Period (2000 July 17 – 2010 July 15)		First Half Period (2000 July 17 – 2005 July 16)		Second Half Period (2005 July 17 – 2010 July 15)	
	Coefficient	<i>p</i> -value	Coefficient	<i>p</i> -value	Coefficient	<i>p</i> -value
Overall	0.001	0.970	-0.018	0.540	0.031	0.292
Commercial Banking	-0.000	0.988	0.002	0.939	0.021	0.472
Development Banking	-0.001	0.977	-0.094	0.007	-0.010	0.742
Finance	-0.072	0.001	-0.135	0.000	-0.026	0.371
Insurance	0.006	0.787	-0.117	0.000	-0.024	0.409
Hydropower	-0.001	0.987	N.A.		-0.001	0.987
Hotel	-0.000	0.994	-0.003	0.912	-0.008	0.785
Trading	-0.001	0.962	0.005	0.876	-0.001	0.965
Manufacturing	-0.061	0.003	-0.046	0.113	-0.102	0.001
Other	0.002	0.918	-0.002	0.935	-0.012	0.673

Table 8: Serial correlation in weekly stock returns (Corrected data)

The table reports test results of serial correlation coefficient, i.e., the relationship between returns in the current period and those in the previous periods, for weekly market returns series on the corrected data of Nepal Stock Exchange (NEPSE). Correlation coefficient and p-value are given for overall market returns series as well as nine for other sectors returns series for full sample study period from July 17, 2000 to July 15, 2010 and the two sub-periods.

Indices	Overall Period (2000 July 17 – 2010 July 15)		First Half Period (2000 July 17 – 2005 July 16)		Second Half Period (2005 July 17 – 2010 July 15)	
	Coefficient	<i>p</i> -value	Coefficient	<i>p</i> -value	Coefficient	<i>p</i> -value
Overall	-0.011	0.810	-0.003	0.957	-0.017	0.785
Commercial Banking	-0.001	0.975	0.000	0.999	-0.005	0.935
Development Banking	0.001	0.978	0.009	0.903	0.003	0.959
Finance	-0.046	0.297	0.019	0.765	-0.058	0.354
Insurance	-0.016	0.715	-0.008	0.895	0.043	0.486
Hydropower	-0.003	0.974	N.A.		-0.003	0.974
Hotel	0.002	0.970	-0.028	0.656	0.002	0.978
Trading	-0.002	0.969	0.006	0.921	-0.004	0.944
Manufacturing	-0.022	0.615	-0.045	0.467	-0.002	0.980
Other	-0.001	0.990	-0.003	0.961	-0.005	0.939

5. Concluding remarks

It is concluded that the indices suffered from the problem of infrequent (or thin) trading. The serial correlations were found in the majority of the indices in both daily and weekly returns series. On the contrary, after adjusted infrequent trading, i.e., corrected data on daily and weekly, as suggested by Miller et al. (1994), no serial correlation for the all indices was found. It provided the evidence that corrected return series reduces the autocorrelation. The result was consistent with Miller et al. (1994), who stressed that thin trading adjustment reduces the negative correlation among returns. Thus, the data should be improved from the problem of thin trading to make further studies in the Nepalese stock market. After corrected data employing model of Miller et al. (1994), the Nepalese stock market was efficient in weak form and random walk.

REFERENCES

- Abeysekera, S. P. (2001). Efficient markets hypothesis and the emerging capital market in Sri Lanka: Evidence from the Colombo Stock Exchange – A note. *Journal of Business Finance & Accounting*, 28(1 and 2), 249-261.
- Agrawal, D., & Pathak, Y. (2007). Efficient market hypothesis: A study of S&P CNX Nifty. *Working Paper*. Retrieved from <http://www.ssrn.com>
- Ahmad, K. M., Ashraf, S., & Ahmed, S. (January 7, 2006). Testing weak form efficiency for Indian stock markets. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 49-56.
- Alam, I. M., Hasan, T., & Kadapakham, P. (1999). An Application of variance-ratio test to five Asian stock markets. *Review of Pacific Basin Financial Markets and Polices*, 2, 301-315.
- Belgaumi, M. S. (1995). Efficiency of the Indian stock market: An empirical study. *Vikalpa*, 20(2), 43-52.
- Bhatta, G. P. (2008). *Stock market efficiency in Nepal*. (Doctoral dissertation, Tribhuvan University, 2008)
- Bhatta, G. P. (2010). Does Nepalese stock market follow random walk? *SEBON Journal*, 4, 18-58.
- Chaudhuri, S. K. (1991). Short-run share price behaviour: New evidence on weak form of market efficiency. *Vikalpa*, 16(4), 17-21.

- Cheung, K., & Coutts, J. A. (2001). A note on weak form market efficiency in securities prices: Evidence from the Hong Kong Stock Exchange. *Applied Economics Letters*, 8, 407-410.
- Chiang, K., Frankfurter, G. M., Kosedag, A., & Wood, B. G. (2006). The perception of dividends by professional investors. *Managerial Finance*, 32(1), 60-81.
- Dangol, J. (2010a). Efficient market hypothesis and the emerging capital market in Nepal. In *Efficient Market Hypothesis: Few Empirical Evidences from Nepalese Stock Market*, Kathmandu: Quest Publication
- Dangol, J. (2010b). Testing random-walk behaviour in Nepalese stock market. *PYC Nepal Journal of Management*, 3(1), 26-34.
- Dangol, J. (2011). Test of random-walk and stock market efficiency in Nepal and India. *MIMT Journal of IT & Management Research*, 1(1), 73-85.
- Dangol, J. (2012). Stock market efficiency in Nepal. *Zenith International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research*, 2(5), 40-48.
- Fama, E. F. (1970). Efficient capital markets: A review of theory and empirical work. *The Journal of Finance*, 25(2), 383-417.
- Fama, E. F. (1991). Efficient capital markets: II. *The Journal of Finance*, 46(5), 1575-1617.
- Fama, E. F. (1998). Market efficiency, long-term returns, and behavioral finance. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 49(3), 283-306.
- Fama, E. F., & French, K. R. (1988). Permanent and temporary components of stock prices. *Journal of Political Economy*, 96(2), 246-273.
- Gupta, R., & Basu, P. K. (2007). Weak form efficiency in Indian stock markets. *International Business and Economics Research Journal*, 6(3), 57-64.
- Islam, A., & Khaled, M. (2005). Tests of weak-form efficiency of the Dhaka Stock Exchange. *Journal of Business Finance & Accounting*, 32(7 & 8), 1613-1624.
- Jarrett, J. E., & Kyper, E. (2005). Daily variation, capital market efficiency and predicting stock market returns. *Management Research News*, 28(8), 34-47.
- Jegadeesh, N. (1990). Evidence of predictable behavior of security returns. *The Journal of Finance*, 45(3), 881-898.
- Lee, C. F., Chen, G-M, & Rui, O. M. (2001). Stock returns and volatility on China's stock markets. *The Journal of Financial Research*, 24(4), 523-543.

- Lo, A. W., & MacKinlay, A. C. (1988). Stock market prices do not follow random walks: Evidence from a simple specification test. *The Review of Financial Studies*, 1(1), 41-66.
- Miller, M. H., Muthuswamy, J., & Whaley, R. E. (1994). Mean reversion of Standard & Poor's 500 index basis changes: Arbitrage-induced or statistical illusion? *The Journal of Finance*, 49(2), 479-513.
- Mishra, P. K. (2009). Indian capital market – Revisiting market efficiency. *Indian Journal of Capital Markets*, 2(5), 30-34. Retrieved from www.ssrn.com
- Mishra, P. K., Das, K. B., & Pradhan, B. B. (2009). Empirical evidence on Indian stock market efficiency in context of the global financial crisis. *Global Journal of Finance and Management*, 1(2), 149-157.
- Mishra, P. K., & Pradhan, B. B. (2009). Capital market efficiency and financial innovation – A perspective analysis. *The Research Network*, 4(1), 1-4. Retrieved from www.ssrn.com
- Mobarek, A., & Keasey, K. (2002). Weak-form market efficiency of an emerging market: Evidence from Dhaka stock market of Bangladesh. *Working Paper*. Retrieved from <http://www.ssrn.com>
- Narayan, P., & Prasad, A. (2007). Mean reversion in stock prices: New evidence from Panel unit root tests for seventeen European countries. *Economic Bulletin*, 3(34), 1-6.
- Pradhan, R. S., & Upadhyay, B. D. (2006). The efficient market hypothesis and the behaviour of share prices in Nepal. In *Research in Nepalese Finance*, Kathmandu: Buddha Academics. Retrieved from www.ssrn.com
- Rawashdeh, M., & Squalli, J. (2006). A sectoral efficiency analysis of the Amman Stock Exchange. *Applied Financial Economics Letters*, 2, 407-411.
- Shrestha, M. K. (2010). Stock market in Nepal: Cyclical pattern. *Nepalese Economic Review*, 2(3), 140-150.
- Siddiqui, S., & Gupta, P. K. (2009). Weak form of market efficiency- Evidences from selected NSE indices. *Working Paper*. New Delhi: Centre for Management Studies, Jamia Millia Islamia University. Retrieved from www.ssrn.com
- Smith, G., Jefferies, K., & Ryoo, H-J (2002). African stock markets: Multiple variance ratio tests of random walks. *Applied Financial Economics*, 12(7), 475-484.
- Strong, N. (1992). Modelling abnormal returns: A review article. *Journal Business Finance & Accounting*, 19(4), 533-553.
- Summers, L. H. (1986). Does the stock market rationally reflect fundamental values?, *Journal of Finance*, 41(3), 591-601

- Uddin, M. G. S., & Khoda, A. K. M. N. (2009). Testing random walk hypothesis for Dhaka Stock Exchange: An empirical examination. *International Research Journal of Finance and Economics*, 33, 64-76.
- Urrutia, J. L. (1995). Tests of random walk and market efficiency for Latin American emerging markets. *Journal of Financial Research*, 18(3), 299-309.
- Woolridge, J. R. (1983). Dividend changes and security prices. *The Journal of Finance*, 38(5), 1607-1615.
- Worthington, A. C., & Higgs, H. (2009). Efficiency in the Australian stock market, 1875-2006: A note on extreme long-run random walk behaviour. *Applied Economics Letters*, 16, 301-306.