INNOVATIVE RESEARCH JOURNAL VOLUME: 3 ISSUE: 2, DECEMBER, 2024

DOI: https://doi.org/10.3126/irj.v3i2.79471

Components of a Research Proposal for the Field of Information and Communication Technology Education

Suresh Bahadur Diyal
suresh.diyal@sac.tu.edu.np
Sanothimi Campus, Sanothimi, Bhaktapur

Romkant Pandey
romkant.pandey@cded.tu.edu.np
Central Department of Education, TU

Abstract

This article explores the key components of a research proposal, with a specific focus on Information and Communication Technology (ICT) education. It provides a detailed analysis of essential elements such as the background of the study, problem statement, research objectives, research questions, hypothesis, literature review, justification, research methodology, limitations, and definitions of key terms. Using a qualitative research methodology, the study draws on data collected through library research and analyzed through descriptive, analytical, and critical methods. The article emphasizes the importance of a well-structured research proposal as a roadmap for successful research, particularly in the field of ICT education. Aimed at students, educators, researchers, and subject experts. This article serves as a practical guide for constructing effective research proposals, offering valuable insights into the research process and its application in ICT education.

Keywords: *research proposal, ICT education, research proposal writing, components of a research proposal, How to Write a Research Proposal, research proposal for ICT education.*

Background of the Study

Research is a systematic, scientific, and structured process of studying, interpreting, and analyzing a specific subject area to uncover new facts, insights, or solutions to existing problems. Frequently referred to as investigation, exploration, or inquiry, research involves an in-depth examination of a topic, aiming to contribute to the body

of knowledge in a meaningful way. It is a rigorous process that requires careful planning, execution, and documentation to ensure that the findings are valid, reliable, and applicable. Before embarking on any research attempt, it is essential to present a well-thought-out framework to the concerned institution or funding body. This framework is known as a research proposal or research plan. A research proposal serves as a foundational document that outlines the rationale, objectives, methodology, and significance of the study, providing a clear roadmap for the research process (Dahal & Khatiwada, 2065, p. 408).

A research proposal is a detailed plan prepared by the researcher that explains why the research is necessary and how it will be conducted. It is developed before the actual research begins and describes the various activities that will be undertaken to complete the study. The proposal acts as a blueprint for the research, much like an architect's plan before constructing a building. It includes critical details such as the research subject, objectives, data collection methods, sources of data, theoretical frameworks of the literature review, methods of analysis, and time. By providing a structured approach, the research proposal ensures that the study is conducted systematically and efficiently. However, it is important to note that a research proposal is not a rigid document; it can be modified or adjusted as the research progresses and new insights emerge.

The primary purpose of a research proposal is to convince the concerned institution or funding body that the proposed research is worthwhile, feasible, and capable of contributing to the existing body of knowledge. It also serves as a guide for the researcher, helping them stay focused and organized throughout the research process. A well-crafted research proposal not only outlines the research plan but also demonstrates the researcher's understanding of the subject, their ability to critically analyze existing literature, and their competence in designing and executing a research study. In this way, the research proposal acts as a bridge between the initial idea and the final research outcome.

Despite its importance, there is a noticeable gap in the literature regarding the detailed components and structure of a research proposal, particularly in the context of Information and Communication Technology (ICT) education. ICT education is a rapidly evolving field that encompasses a wide range of topics, including the integration of technology in teaching and learning, digital literacy, e-learning platforms, cybersecurity, and the use of ICT in curriculum development, among

others. Given the dynamic nature of this field, researchers must have a clear understanding of how to construct effective research proposals that address the unique challenges and opportunities in ICT education. This study aims to fill this gap by providing a comprehensive review of the key components of a research proposal, tailored specifically for the field of ICT education.

The components of a research proposal typically include the background of the study, problem statement, research objectives, research questions, hypothesis (if applicable), literature review, justification of the study, research methodology, limitations, and definitions of key terms. Each of these components plays a critical role in shaping the research process and ensuring that the study is well-grounded, relevant, and impactful.

Research Methodology

This study employs qualitative research methodology, utilizing descriptive and analytical methods to explore the key components of a research proposal, particularly in the context of ICT education. Data were collected through extensive library research, drawing on both English and Nepali theoretical materials, including books, journal articles, and research papers, to ensure a comprehensive and inclusive perspective. The descriptive approach systematically presents the components of a research proposal, while the analytical method critically evaluates their significance and application. This dual approach ensures a detailed and meaningful analysis, making the study a valuable resource for students, educators, and researchers.

Result and Discussion

The components of a research proposal, based on the title of the study, are analyzed and interpreted under the following subheadings:

Research Title

Selecting a research title is the foundational step in the research process, as it encapsulates the essence of the entire study. The title serves as the first point of engagement for readers and stakeholders, making it crucial that it is clear, specific, and concise (Kumar, 2009). A well-crafted title not only reflects the core focus of the research but also guides all subsequent processes, including problem identification, literature review, methodology, and analysis. Therefore, it should be problem-oriented, addressing a specific issue or gap in the field (Dahal & Khatiwada, 2065). When choosing a research title, researchers must consider their area of interest, as this ensures sustained motivation and engagement throughout the study (Sharma & Luitel,

2052). Additionally, they should evaluate the existing body of work in the chosen field to identify gaps or underexplored areas that their research can address. This balance between personal interest and academic relevance is key to selecting a meaningful and impactful title (Bandhu, 2052).

In some cases, the research title may be determined by the institution, particularly in unrestricted research where the scope is broad and predefined. However, in restricted research, the researcher has the autonomy to select a title that aligns with their intellectual curiosity and expertise (Novikov & Novikov, 2013). Regardless of the context, the chosen title should logically address the researcher's curiosity while contributing to the broader academic discourse. A well-defined title not only sets the direction for the research but also enhances its credibility and relevance, making it a critical element of the research process (American Psychological Association, 2009).

Sources for Identifying Research Topics/Problems

The following sources can be consulted when selecting a research topic or problem: (1) Previous research reports, (2) Publications from various publishing houses, (3) Public documents, (4) Works related to the subject, (5) Libraries with diverse materials, (6) Email, internet, and (7) Suggestions/advice from professors, etc.

When selecting a research title, the following aspects should be considered:

- The research title should fall within the researcher's study and prior knowledge.
- It should be short, meaningful, precise, and capable of receiving guidance.
- It should be problematic and defensible.
- It should encompass the research subject.
- It should align with the researcher's interest and the institution's benefit.
- It should be within the researcher's academic, intellectual, and financial capacity, as well as time, effort, resources, and qualifications.
- It should encompass the intellectual aspect of the problem.
- It should fall within the institution's academic discipline.
- It should have a clear meaning without ambiguity.

Each institution or department has its own research areas and nature. Not all research subjects are acceptable to all departments within institutions. Some potential research areas in ICT education include:

- ICT integration in education
- Cybersecurity and cyberbullying
- Information Security

- E-learning platforms and their effectiveness
- Digital literacy among students and teachers
- Use of ICT in curriculum development
- ICT in teacher training programs
- Online assessment methods
- ICT in special education
- Cybersecurity in educational institutions
- ICT policies in education
- Mobile learning technologies
- Virtual and augmented reality in education
- ICT in rural education
- ICT in higher education
- ICT in distance education
- ICT in STEM education
- ICT in educational leadership
- ICT in educational policy-making
- ICT in educational equity
- ICT in educational innovation
- ICT in educational assessment
- ICT in educational mixed methods research
- ICT in educational qualitative research
- ICT in educational quantitative research
- ICT in educational cohort studies
- ICT in educational conceptual reviews
- ICT in educational empirical reviews
- ICT in educational methodological reviews
- ICT in educational policy reviews
- ICT in educational practice reviews
- ICT in educational literature reviews

Background of the Study

The background of the study serves as the introductory context for the research, providing a foundation for understanding the subject or research area. It is typically included at the beginning of both the research proposal and the research paper, offering a brief yet comprehensive overview of the topic. The background should introduce the research title, establish the basis of the problem, and generate interest among stakeholders, such as readers, academic institutions, or funding bodies. It should also include content that references specific sources, ensuring the research is grounded in existing knowledge (Kumar, 2009).

A well-constructed background of the study should address several key elements. First, it should discuss the historical context of the subject, tracing its evolution and highlighting significant developments over time. Second, it should articulate the need for the study, explaining why the research is important and how it addresses a gap or

problem in the field. Third, it should reflect the researcher's interest in the subject, providing a personal or academic rationale for undertaking the study (Dahal & Khatiwada, 2065). While there is no fixed structure for the background, it should be concise yet complete, offering enough detail to contextualize the research without overwhelming the reader.

In a research proposal, the background primarily summarizes the problem, outlining its significance and relevance. In contrast, in a research paper, it should also hint at the findings, providing a glimpse of the outcomes or contributions of the study (American Psychological Association, 2009). Additionally, the background should present facts about the global, national, and regional context of the subject, gradually narrowing the focus to the specific problem being addressed. This approach ensures that the research is situated within a broader framework while maintaining a clear connection to the main issue at hand.

By effectively integrating historical context, the need for the study, and the researcher's interest, the background of the study sets the stage for the research, guiding readers through the rationale and significance of the work. It serves as a critical component of the research process, bridging the gap between the broader academic discourse and the specific focus of the study.

Problem Statement

The problem statement is a critical component of any research proposal, as it identifies the specific issue or gap that the study aims to address. A research problem refers to one or more weaknesses, difficulties, or unresolved questions within a particular area of study. It represents a subject that is not fully explained, lacks factual conclusions, or involves undefined variables, creating a gap between the existing knowledge and potential solutions (Sharma & Luitel, 2052). Essentially, the research problem is the core focus of the study, and it must be logically resolvable through systematic investigation.

According to Sharma and Luitel (2052), the problem statement includes the main problems or questions within the selected research title, along with their potential solutions or answers. In a research proposal, the problem statement is presented in both declarative and interrogative forms, clearly outlining the research subject and the questions it seeks to address. Typically, the problem statement includes one main research question and several sub-questions, which guide the direction of the study. The entire research process is centered on logically and factually addressing these

questions, making the problem statement a foundational element of the research design.

The problem statement plays a pivotal role in shaping the research objectives, as it defines the scope and purpose of the study. Therefore, researchers must carefully craft the problem statement to ensure clarity and precision. The questions presented in the problem statement should hint at possible solutions, highlighting what information is lacking, which areas require further exploration, and what specific issues are being investigated (Kumar, 2009). By doing so, the problem statement not only identifies the research gap but also establishes the significance of the study.

There are no strict guidelines regarding the length or number of problems to be included in the problem statement. Researchers can present as many problems as necessary, depending on the complexity and scope of the study. However, it is essential to ensure that the problems are well-defined, relevant, and aligned with the research objectives. A well-constructed problem statement not only provides a clear focus for the research but also enhances its credibility and relevance, making it a vital component of the research proposal.

Examples of Problem Statements:

i. What are the challenges faced by teachers in integrating ICT into the classroom?
ii. How effective are e-learning platforms in improving student engagement in ICT education?
iii. What are the barriers to digital literacy among students in rural areas?
iv. How does the use of ICT impact student performance in STEM subjects?
v. What are the key factors influencing the adoption of ICT in higher education?

Objectives of the Study

The objectives of the study are clear, purposeful statements designed to provide intellectual solutions to the problems identified in the research subject. They serve as the guiding framework for the research, outlining what the study aims to achieve. In a research proposal, objectives are typically presented in two forms: ***general*** and ***specific.*** The general objective provides a broad overview of the research, encompassing the entire scope of the study. It reflects the overall purpose and direction of the research. On the other hand, specific objectives are more detailed and focused, addressing individual problems or aspects of the research. Each specific

objective should target only one problem, ensuring clarity and precision in the research process (Kumar, 2009).

Objectives must be: *specific, measurable, reliable, and unambiguous* to ensure they effectively guide the research and can be evaluated upon completion. The general objective is centered on the research title, while the specific objectives are directly tied to the problem statement, ensuring alignment between the research questions and the intended outcomes (Sharma & Luitel, 2052). By clearly defining the objectives, researchers can maintain focus, structure their work effectively, and demonstrate the practical and intellectual contributions of their study.

Examples of Objectives

General Objective: To evaluate the effectiveness of ICT integration in secondary education.

Specific Objectives:

i. To identify the challenges faced by teachers in integrating ICT into the classroom.
ii. To assess the impact of ICT on student engagement and performance.
iii. To explore the role of government policies in promoting ICT in education.

Research Questions

Research questions are interrogative statements that investigate the specifics of the research subject, providing a detailed focus for the study. While some consider research questions as part of the problem statement, they serve a distinct purpose by breaking down the broader problem into manageable, inquiry-based components. There is some debate about whether research questions should follow the problem statement or the objectives, but it is generally recommended to place them after the objectives, as they are closely tied to the specific goals of the study (Kumar, 2009). Quantitatively, it is advisable to have at least three research questions per objective to ensure comprehensive coverage of the research problem (Sharma & Luitel, 2052). Research questions should be "concise, clear, and interrogative", directly addressing the gaps or issues identified in the problem statement. They guide the research process, helping to structure the study and focus data collection and analysis. Well-formulated research questions not only clarify the direction of the research but also ensure that the study remains aligned with its objectives.

Examples of Research Questions:

i. What are the main challenges faced by teachers in integrating ICT into the classroom?
ii. How do e-learning platforms impact student engagement in ICT education?
iii. What are the primary barriers to digital literacy among students in rural areas?
iv. How does the use of ICT affect student performance in STEM subjects?
v. What factors influence the adoption of ICT in higher education?

By framing the research subject through these questions, researchers can systematically explore the problem, gather relevant data, and draw meaningful conclusions. Research questions thus serve as a critical tool for ensuring the study's coherence and relevance.

Hypothesis

A hypothesis is an assumption or prediction made by the researcher about the expected outcome of the study at the outset of the research process. It serves as a tentative explanation or proposed relationship between variables, which is then tested through empirical investigation. In "exploratory and non-experimental research, hypothesis formulation and testing are not always necessary, as these studies often focus on understanding phenomena rather than testing specific relationships. However, in "experimental research", hypothesis formulation and testing are essential, as they provide a structured framework for examining cause-and-effect relationships between variables (Best & Kahn, 1999).

Hypotheses can take various forms, including "correlational, directional, causal, null, and alternative". In experimental research, particularly in fields like linguistics, "null and alternative hypotheses" are commonly formulated and tested.

- Alternative Hypothesis (H_1): This hypothesis suggests that there is a significant relationship or difference between variables. It posits that one variable is greater, better, or different from the other. For example*: There is a significant difference in learning achievement between students taught using ICT-based methods and those not taught using such methods. The alternative hypothesis assumes that there is no similarity between variables and seeks to establish a relationship* (Dahal & Khatiwada, 2065, p. 145).
- Null Hypothesis (H_0): This hypothesis suggests that there is no significant relationship or difference between variables. It assumes that any observed

> difference is due to chance. For example: *There is no significant difference in learning achievement between students taught using ICT-based methods and those not taught using such methods. The null hypothesis serves as a default position, which the researcher aims to reject if the evidence supports the alternative hypothesis* (Dahal & Khatiwada, 2065, p. 145).

According to Best and Kahn (1999), in "quantitative research" that requires hypothesis testing, research questions should be included first, followed by the hypothesis. However, in many contexts, particularly in certain academic traditions, it is common to present the hypothesis immediately after the research objectives, without explicitly stating the research questions. This approach emphasizes the predictive nature of the hypothesis and its direct connection to the research objectives.

Example of Hypotheses in ICT Education Research:

- Null Hypothesis (H_0): There is no significant difference in student engagement between traditional teaching methods and ICT-based teaching methods.
- Alternative Hypothesis (H_1): ICT-based teaching methods significantly improve student engagement compared to traditional teaching methods.

By formulating and testing hypotheses, researchers can systematically evaluate relationships between variables, contributing to the advancement of knowledge in their field.

Significance of the Study

The justification or significance of the study explains why the research is important, appropriate, and necessary. Justification focuses on the basis and suitability of the study, while significance emphasizes its usefulness and impact. In research proposals, justification is more commonly used, but significance is increasingly included to highlight the study's broader contributions. When justifying the study, researchers should address how it contributes to the field, who benefits from the results, and its theoretical and practical utility. The justification should answer key questions:

- Why is the study important?
- For what is the study important?
- What benefits can be derived from the study?

In qualitative research, the justification often highlights theoretical and intellectual significance, while in quantitative research, it focuses on the impact of findings on relationships or differences between variables. Essentially, the justification clarifies

how the study refines, improves, or expands knowledge in the subject area, demonstrating its value to academia, practitioners, and policymakers.

This concise version captures the key points of justification and significance, emphasizing their role in research proposals and their relevance to both qualitative and quantitative studies.

Delimitation of the study

The term delimitation (परिसीमा) refers to the boundaries and scope set by the researcher to define the focus and extent of the study. Unlike limitations, which are often beyond the researcher's control, delimitations are consciously decided by the researcher to narrow down the study to a manageable and specific area. Delimitations help clarify what the study will and will not cover, ensuring that the research remains focused and feasible.

In this study, the following delimitations were applied:

- **Geographical Scope:** The study is confined to secondary schools in the Kathmandu Valley, Nepal, excluding other regions.
- **Population:** The research focuses on teachers and students involved in ICT-integrated education, excluding administrators and parents.
- **Time Frame:** Data collection is limited to a specific period (e.g., one academic year), ensuring the study remains timely and relevant.
- **Subject Area:** The study examines the integration of ICT in teaching and learning, excluding other uses of technology in schools.
- **Methodology:** The research adopts a mixed-methods approach, combining surveys and interviews, and does not include experimental designs.

By setting these delimitations, the study maintains a clear focus, ensuring that the research objectives are achievable within the available resources and time. Delimitations also help readers understand the specific context and boundaries of the study, enhancing its clarity and relevance.

Definition of Key Terms

In a research proposal, some terms are difficult, incomprehensible, or require explanation. Introducing or defining such terms makes it easier for the reader. Therefore, it is appropriate to introduce key terms related to the research field or objectives. For example:

- **ICT (Information and Communication Technology):** Refers to technologies that provide access to information through telecommunications, including the internet, wireless networks, cell phones, and other communication media.
- **E-learning:** A learning system based on formalized teaching but with the help of electronic resources, typically involving the use of computers or the Internet.
- **Digital Literacy:** The ability to effectively and critically navigate, evaluate, and create information using a range of digital technologies.
- **STEM (Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics):** An interdisciplinary approach to learning where rigorous academic concepts are coupled with real-world lessons as students apply science, technology, engineering, and mathematics in contexts that make connections between school, community, work, and the global enterprise.

Literature Review

The literature review, often referred to as a review of related literature, previous studies, or previous work, is a critical component of the research process. It involves a systematic examination and evaluation of existing scholarly work related to the research topic. The primary purpose of a literature review is to provide a comprehensive understanding of what has already been studied, identify gaps in the existing knowledge, and establish the originality and relevance of the current research (Kumar, 2009). By reviewing books, journal articles, research papers, opinions, and other scholarly publications, researchers can avoid duplication of efforts and build on the findings of previous studies. However, government policies, acts, regulations, and commission reports are generally not considered part of the literature review, as they fall outside the scope of academic research.

The literature review is conducted in four key stages during the research process:

1. **Selecting the Research Title**: It helps identify gaps and refine the research focus.
2. **Preparing the Research Proposal**: It provides a theoretical foundation and justifies the study.
3. **Conducting the Main Research**: It informs the methodology and analysis.
4. **Preparing the Reference List**: It ensures proper citation and acknowledgment of sources.

Thus, the literature review is a continuous process that evolves throughout the research. It is essential for establishing the researcher's claim that their study addresses an unexplored area or improves upon previous work. For most research, it is considered appropriate to review literature published within the last 10 years, except for historical research, which may require older sources (Sharma & Luitel, 2052).

The format of the literature review varies depending on the type of publication being reviewed. For books, the reviewer should clarify how the content aligns with the research and how it is referenced. For journal articles, the reviewer should discuss the author's perspective, the explanation of the subject, and the limitations of the study. When reviewing research papers or theses, the reviewer should summarize the title, objectives, methodology, main findings, and provide a critique. According to the APA method, the author's surname and publication date should be cited in parentheses when referencing any work (American Psychological Association, 2009).

Sources for the literature review can be obtained from various platforms, including research center libraries, university libraries, public libraries, e-libraries, and online resources such as research papers, theses, journal articles, working papers, newspapers, and even social media platforms like Facebook. However, researchers must critically evaluate the credibility and relevance of these sources to ensure the quality of the literature review.

Theoretical Literature Review

The theoretical literature review focuses on examining existing theories, models, and conceptual frameworks related to the research topic. It provides the foundational knowledge necessary to understand the subject and guides the development of the research framework. In the context of ICT education, theoretical literature may include theories of technology integration, learning theories (e.g., constructivism, behaviorism), and models of digital literacy. For example, TPACK (Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge) is a widely used theoretical framework that explores how teachers integrate technology into their teaching practices (Mishra & Koehler, 2006). By reviewing such theories, researchers can identify the theoretical underpinnings of their study and align their research questions and methodology with established concepts.

Example: A review of constructivist learning theory might highlight how ICT tools can facilitate active learning and student-centered approaches, providing a theoretical basis for studying the effectiveness of e-learning platforms.

Empirical Literature Review

The empirical literature review involves analyzing previous studies, experiments, and research findings related to the topic. It focuses on evidence-based research, highlighting what has been studied, the methodologies used, and the outcomes achieved.

In ICT education, empirical studies might explore the impact of ICT on student performance, the challenges of integrating technology in classrooms, or the effectiveness of online learning platforms. For instance, a study by Giri (2053) on ICT integration in secondary education identified barriers such as inadequate teacher training and infrastructure but did not explore the long-term impact of ICT on student outcomes. By reviewing such empirical studies, researchers can identify gaps, inconsistencies, or areas requiring further investigation.

Example: An empirical review might reveal that while several studies have examined the benefits of ICT in urban schools, there is limited research on its impact in rural areas, highlighting a gap for further exploration.

Implication of the Review of Study

The implications of the literature review involve synthesizing the findings from both theoretical and empirical literature to identify how they inform the current study. This section explains how the reviewed literature supports the research problem, justifies the need for the study, and guides the research design. For example, if previous studies have consistently identified a lack of teacher training as a barrier to ICT integration, the current study might focus on developing and evaluating training programs for educators. The implications also help establish the originality of the research by demonstrating how it addresses gaps or builds on existing knowledge.

Example: The review might imply that while ICT integration has been widely studied in developed countries, there is a need for more research in developing contexts, such as Nepal, where infrastructure and resources may differ significantly.

Conceptual Framework

The conceptual framework is a visual or narrative representation of the key concepts, variables, and relationships explored in the study. It is derived from the theoretical

and empirical literature and serves as a guide for the research design and analysis. In ICT education, a conceptual framework might illustrate the relationship between ICT tools, teacher training, student engagement, and learning outcomes. For example, a framework could show how increased access to ICT tools, combined with effective teacher training, leads to improved student engagement and performance. The conceptual framework helps researchers organize their thoughts, clarify relationships between variables, and provide a clear structure for the study.

Example: A conceptual framework for a study on ICT integration might include variables such as ICT infrastructure, teacher training, student engagement, and academic performance, with arrows indicating the hypothesized relationships between them.

When conducting a literature review, the following points should be considered:

1. Collect materials related to the study.
2. While studying, categorize collected materials such as reports, postgraduate research, doctoral research, works, educational reports, curricula, textbooks, etc., according to their nature.
3. After studying, arrange the materials chronologically.
4. Study the chronologically listed materials sequentially.
5. If more than one work is published in the same year, indicate them with (a), (b), (c), etc.
6. When reviewing previous work, the description of one work should be at least one to three paragraphs. The first paragraph should introduce the work, and the second paragraph should provide a critique or commentary. All these points can also be written in a single paragraph.
7. After describing the previous work, comment on the strengths and weaknesses of the related literature.
8. At the end of the literature review, mention how much the study supports the researcher's study and how it differs from the researcher's study.

This description organizes the literature review into clear subsections, providing a structured and comprehensive overview of the theoretical and empirical literature, its implications, and the conceptual framework. It includes examples and citations to enhance authenticity and relevance.

Research Methodology and Process

Research is broadly divided into two types: quantitative and qualitative. Quantitative research gives central importance to numerical data and conducts research based on it. In contrast, qualitative research does not prioritize numerical data but focuses on subject-related facts. Qualitative research is related to human phenomena, places, interactions, dialogues, observations, etc. For any type of research, it is essential to determine the research methodology and process. The overall framework adopted to reach objective conclusions, including sample selection, data collection, and data analysis, is called the research method or methodology. It includes the research design, research subject, population identification, sample selection, data and their sources, data collection process, data standardization, data analysis, and presentation. It also presents the data collection tools in detail. It clarifies the research approach. The research methodology and process should clarify the following aspects:

Here's the revised and concise version of the research methodology section, incorporating key aspects from the previous data:

Research is broadly categorized into quantitative and qualitative approaches. Quantitative research emphasizes numerical data and statistical analysis, while qualitative research focuses on understanding human phenomena, interactions, and contextual factors through observations, dialogues, and descriptive analysis. Regardless of the type, determining the research methodology is essential. The research methodology encompasses the overall framework for achieving objective conclusions, including sample selection, data collection, and analysis. It involves the following key aspects:

- **Research Design:** Outline the overall structure and strategy of the research process (e.g., experimental, descriptive, or exploratory).
- **Population Identification:** Define the population relevant to the research subject.
- **Sample Selection:** Specify the method for selecting the sample (e.g., random, stratified, or purposive sampling).
- **Data Collection Process:** Identify primary (e.g., surveys, interviews) and secondary (e.g., journals, reports) data sources.

- **Data Analysis and interpretation:** Explain the methods for analyzing data (e.g., percentages, standard deviation, Z-tests) and presenting findings (e.g., tables, diagrams, or verbal descriptions).
- **Validation of Tools:** Ensure the reliability and validity of data collection tools through expert reviews and pilot testing.
- **Pilot Testing:** Conduct pilot testing with a small group to refine data collection tools and procedures.
- **Ethical Considerations:** Address ethical issues such as informed consent, confidentiality, and voluntary participation to protect participants' rights.

Structure of a Research Proposal

In a research proposal, the structure of a research paper is considered a preliminary outline of the research paper to be prepared after the research work. It mentions how the research work will be organized as follows:

- **CHAPTER I: Introduction;** Background of the Study, Statement of the Problem, Objectives of Study, Research Questions, Significance of Study, Delimitation of Study, Definition of Terms Used.
- **CHAPTER II: Review of Related Literature;** Theoretical Literature Review, Empirical Literature Review, Implication of the Review of Study, and Conceptual Framework
- **CHAPTER III: Research Methodology**; Research Design, Population and Sampling, Source of Data Collection, Sampling Procedure, and Sample Size, Tools of Data Collection, and Validation of Tools

Data Collection Process, Method of Data Analysis and Interpretation, and Ethical Considerations

According to the dean's office of education TU, English and Nepali proposal writing guidelines are given below:

General Style	For English Script	For Nepali Script
Font	The recommended font is Times New Roman with 12-point size.	टाइप प्रीति वा युनिकोडमा गर्न सकिन्छ। यस अनुसार देवनागरीको प्रीतिमा १६ प्वाइन्टका आकारको फन्ट हुनु पर्ने छ।

Alignment	All text should have flush left justification so the text has a ragged, unjustified right edge.	पाठको बायाँतर्फका सबै पङ्क्तिका अग्रभाग बराबर हुनु पर्छ भने दायाँ तर्फका सबै पङ्क्तिका पुच्छभाग पङ्क्तिमेल (Justify) गरिएको हुनु हुँदैन।
Page Layout	The recommended page includes A4 size, with 1.5-inch left side and 1-inch space on the remaining sides.	ए४ को आकारको कागतमा बायाँतर्फ किनारा १.५ इन्च र बाँकी किनारा १ इन्च खाली रहेको हुनु पर्ने छ ।
Page Number	The page number should be kept on the top right of each page. The preliminary pages use lower case Roman numerals 3 (i, ii, iii ….) with the exception of the title page, which has no page number. Beginning from the introduction chapter, use Arabic numbers (1, 2, 3, and so on).	हरेक पृष्ठको पाठभन्दा माथि दायाँतर्फ पृष्ठ सङ्ख्या हुनु पर्ने छ ।मुख्य भागको पहिलो अध्यायको सुरुको पृष्ठदेखि पृष्ठ सङ्ख्या (१, २, ३ ….आदि) सुरु हुने छ । मुख्य भागभन्दा अघि रहेका आदि भागका पृष्ठहरू (भित्री पृष्ठको दोस्रो पृष्ठदेखि पहिलो अध्यायभन्दा माथि) मा भने क, ख, ग, घ… जस्ता अक्षर नम्बर दिइन्छ ।
Spacing	The proposal should be 1.5 spaced throughout, even in block quotes, footnotes, and references.	सम्पूर्ण शोधपत्रको माथिल्लो र तल्लो पङ्क्तिस्थान (माथिल्लो र तल्लो पङ्क्ति बिचको अन्तर) १.५ इन्च हुनु पर्छ ।
Indentation	The first line of all paragraphs should be indented by 0.5 inch. The only exception is the first paragraph of the abstract.	शोधसारको पहिलो अनुच्छेद बाहेक शोधपत्र भित्रका सम्पूर्ण अनुच्छेदको बायाँ अन्तराल ०.५ इन्च हुनु पर्छ ।
Widows, Orphans, and Dangling Headings	No single line of text should appear alone at the top or bottom of a page. Do not have text headers end a page followed by no text.	पङ्क्ति र पाठ शीर्षक टाइप सेटिङसँग सम्बन्धित छ । शोधपत्रको कुनै पनि पृष्ठको शिर र पुछारमा अनुच्छेदको एकल पङ्क्ति मात्र हुनु हुँदैन ।त्यस्तै तल पाठ नभएको पृष्ठमा (पृष्ठको पुछारमा) पाठ शीर्षक पनि हुनु हुँदैन ।
Spine	Include the following in the thesis's spine: Title of the thesis, thesis number, and name of the student.	शोधपत्रको मेरुदण्डमा क्रमशः शोध शीर्षक, शोधपत्रको क्रमसङ्ख्या र शोधार्थीको नाम हुनु पर्ने छ ।
Levels of Heading	The Faculty of Education uses APA heading sections to separate thesis sections. Headings are used to guide the reader through a proposal/thesis. The levels are organized by levels of subordination, and each section of the thesis should start with the highest level of heading. As	एपिएले दिएको सुझाव अनुसार शोधपत्रका हरेक अध्यायभित्र शीर्षक उपशीर्षक राखिएको हुनु पर्छ । शोधपत्रको अध्याय मुख्य शीर्षकबाट सुरु हुन्छ । यसभित्र मुख्य शीर्षक र सहायक शीर्षकहरू गरी जम्मा ५ ओटा शीर्षकहरू हुन्छन् । तिनीहरूको उपस्थापन ढाँचा निम्न किसिमको हुन्छ : • बिचमा राखी गाढा गर्ने ।

	APA suggests, there are 5 levels of heading. The format of each level is illustrated below: 1 **Centered, Bold, Title Case Heading** The text begins as a new paragraph. 2 **Flush Left, Bold, Title Case Heading** The text begins as a new paragraph. 3 ***FlushLeft, Bold Italic, Title Case Heading*** The text begins as a new paragraph. 4 **Indented, Bold, TitleCase Heading, Ending With a Period.** The text begins on the same line and continues as a regular paragraph. 5 ***Indented, BoldItalic, Title Case Heading, Ending With a Period.*** The text begins on the same line and continues as a regular paragraph.	• दोस्रो शीर्षक बायाँतिर राखेर गाढा गर्ने । • तेस्रो शीर्षक बायाँतिरको ०.५ इन्च अन्तराल ड्र (Indent) मा गाढा गरेर सापेक्ष विराम दिई अनुच्छेद बनाउने । • चौथो शीर्षक बायाँतिरको ०.५ इन्च अन्तरालमा नै गाढा र तिर्यक् ड्र (Italic) गरेर सापेक्ष विराम दिई अनुच्छेद बनाउने । • पाँचौं शीर्षक बायाँतिरको ०.५ इन्च अन्तरालमा तिर्यक् गरेर सापेक्ष ड्र विराम दिई अनुच्छेद बनाउने ।

Conclusion

A research proposal is a comprehensive plan prepared by a researcher or research student to present to an institution, outlining the rationale, objectives, and methodology for conducting the research. It serves as a blueprint for the research process, providing a clear roadmap for the study. The proposal is developed before the actual research begins and acts as a foundation for the entire research endeavor. Key components of a research proposal include the background of the study, problem statement, objectives, research questions, hypothesis (if applicable), literature review, justification of the study, research methodology, limitations, and definitions of key terms. Each component is tailored to the specific research field and criteria, ensuring the proposal is relevant and well-structured.

In the field of ICT (Information and Communication Technology) education, research proposals can address a wide range of topics, such as ICT integration in education, e-learning platforms, digital literacy, ICT in STEM education, ICT in higher education, and ICT policies in education. These areas offer significant potential for exploration,

given the rapid advancements in technology and its growing impact on education. For instance, research on ICT integration can examine its effectiveness in enhancing teaching and learning, while studies on e-learning platforms can evaluate their role in improving student engagement and outcomes. Similarly, research on digital literacy can explore the challenges and opportunities in developing digital skills among students and teachers, especially in underserved areas.

The content and focus of a research proposal in ICT education depend on the specific research problem, objectives, and context. For example, a proposal on ICT in STEM education might focus on how technology enhances student performance in science and mathematics, while a proposal on ICT in higher education could investigate the factors influencing the adoption of technology in universities. By addressing these areas, research proposals in ICT education contribute to the development of innovative teaching practices, improved learning outcomes, and informed policy-making.

References

American Psychological Association. (2009). *Publication manual of the American Psychological Association* (6th ed.). Washington, DC: Author.

Bandhu, C. (2052). *Research and report writing*. Kathmandu: Ratna Pustak Bhandar.

Best, J. W., & Kahn, J. V. (1999). *Research in education* (7th ed.). Boston: Allyn and Bacon.

Creswell, J. W. (2014). *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches* (4th ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

Dahal, P., & Khatiwada, S. (2065). *Research methodology*. Kathmandu: M.K. Publishers.

Denzin, N. K., & Lincoln, Y. S. (Eds.). (2005). *The Sage handbook of qualitative research*. London: Sage Publications.

Gifford, R. P. (1999). Paradigms and research methods. *Research Method Division Forum, 2*, Boym.UbAbh.Bhmgrchm.

Giri, R. (2053). Analysis of ICT integration in secondary education. *Journal of Educational Technology, 12*(3), 45–60.

Kumar, R. (2009). *Research methodology: A step-by-step guide for beginners*. Australia: Pearson Education.

Martins, M. D. (2015). *Research and evaluation in education and psychology: Integrating diversity with quantitative, qualitative, and mixed methods*. London: Sage Publications.

Mishra, P., & Koehler, M. J. (2006). Technological pedagogical content knowledge: A framework for teacher knowledge. *Teachers College Record, 108*(6), 1017–1054.

Novikov, A. M., & Novikov, D. A. (2013). *Research methodology: From philosophy of science to research design*. New York: CRC Press.

Nunan, D. (1992). *Research methods in language learning*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Sharma, M., & Luitel, K. (2052). *Research methodology*. Kathmandu: Sajha Prakashan.