

Knowledge regarding COVID 19 among Registered Nurses of Pokhara, Nepal

Akriti Kafle¹, Sirjana Pandit Pahari¹, Sudip Khanal², Kushalata Baral², Kabita Pathak³, Suraj Baral⁴, Anil Kafle⁵, Maginsh Dahal^{6*}

Author Info:

¹Department of Nursing, Charak Academy, Purbanchal University, Pokhara, Nepal

²Department of Public Health, Nobel College, Pokhara University, Sinamangal, Kathmandu, Nepal

³Department of Humanities, Pashupati Multiple Campus, Tribhuvan University, Chabahil, Kathmandu, Nepal

⁴Consultant Physician, Galaxy Hospital, Pokhara, Nepal

⁵Resident Doctor, Department of Pathology, B.P. Koirala Institute of Health Sciences, Dharan, Nepal

⁶Department of Public Health Asian College for Advance Studies, Purbanchal University, Satdobato, Lalitpur, Nepal

*Corresponding Author:

Maginsh Dahal

Email: maginsh@gmail.com

Contact: +977-9841498220

ABSTRACT

Background: Coronavirus disease is an emerging pandemic disease. The whole world is suffering from this disease these days. It is an acute respiratory disease in which transmission occurs essentially through respiratory droplets and this virus said to have 2-14 days of incubation.

Objective: To assess their knowledge regarding COVID19 among registered nurses of Pokhara valley.

Methods: A descriptive cross-sectional study was performed with the help of the pretested and self-administered questionnaires to 750 registered nurses of Pokhara valley. Thus collected data was entered into SPSS version 20 and then further analyzed using R software. Frequency, percentages, the mean and standard deviation were calculated for the analysis. p-value was calculated where ever applicable to find the significance of data.

Results: The study disclosed that mass of the respondents had moderate proportion (44.8%) concerning understanding, followed by the respondents had a low level of knowledge (29.2%) and the rest of them (26.0%) had good knowledge about COVID 19.

Conclusion: Overall knowledge among nurses was found to be satisfactory as the disease is entirely new. Further research and updates on the diseases will help to profound knowledge among nurses.

Keywords: Coronavirus disease; COVID 19; Knowledge; Nurses; Nepal; Pokhara.

Quick Response (QR) Code

Scan Me for Full Text



View PDF

Article Info

Received: 1 May 2020;

Accepted: 13 July 2020;

Published Online: 19 July 2020

Kafle A, Pandit Pahari S, Khanal S, Baral K, Pathak K, Baral S, Kafle A, Dahal M. Knowledge regarding COVID-19 among Registered Nurses of Pokhara, Nepal. *Europasian J Med Sci.*2020;2(Covid-19 Special Issue): 6-12. <https://doi.org/10.46405/ejms.v2i2.88>

Disclaimer

Conflict of Interest: None Declared;

Source of Support: Nil

Copyright: © 2020 by author(s). This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution International License 4.0](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>) which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Publisher's Note

The Europasian Journal of Medical Sciences (EJMS) (www.europasianjournals.org) is an official Journal of Nirvana Psychosocial Care Center & Resesarch Institute (www.nirvanapscc.com). The Journal as well as publisher remain neutral with regards to any jurisdictional claims in any published articles, its contents and the institutional affiliations of the authors.

INTRODUCTION

Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID 19) is an arising and expeditiously emerging condition. It has become an exceedingly contagious infirmity lead by a novel virus related to a coronavirus family. It is said that the virus was seen in Wuhan, china in December¹. Thereafter, it has worldwide expansion. WHO announced it as health emergency of global concern on January 30, 2020. It was declared pandemic on 11th march 2020². Nepal identified its first case on January 23, 2020¹. Till 25th May 2020, globally 5,42,8017 people are infected among them 344,419 has died and 2,259,731 recovered. To date Nepal has detected 613 cases among them 3 has died³. It is acute respiratory disease in which transmission occurs essentially through respiratory droplets and this virus said to have 2-14 days of incubation. Their clinical course ranges from mild symptoms with fever and upper respiratory symptoms to developing into severe with pneumonia. It can extend up to critical life threatening complications such as ARDS, septic shock and organ dysfunction⁴. The infection control and preventive measures generally involve, hand hygiene, avoiding exposure to public places, quarantines, isolation and wearing suitable PPE. Diagnosis is confirmed with RT-PCR of SARS Cov -2 RNA preferably from the isolated patients' nasopharyngeal swab⁴.

Health service providers are more vulnerable to the exposure as they are directly involved in the pandemic response⁵. It is estimated that 90,000 health care workers are infected which includes doctors, nurses etc. More than 260 nurses had died. In Nepalese context 6 nurses are infected till date.³ As Nepal is bordered by two highly populating countries there is high chances to have crisis situation. It is placed on 111th position out of 195 nations on the basis of Global Health Security Index(GHSI) 2019 and 150th place related to detection and reporting category. This placement clearly explains that it is a long distance away and not well prepared with the response strategy⁶. Preparation for the response is vital step to cope up effectively then dealing with actual health emergencies in the case of developing countries like Nepal⁷. Health care workers (HCWs) are the one who comes in direct contact with infected individuals so HCWs are more vulnerable for the exposure². Readiness for combating with infectious diseases such as COVID 19 begins with good understanding, positive thinking and safe and better practices. Demented realization with

dismissive frame of mind related to pandemic may results the unwanted situation which will scale the problems. So in the several instances, chaosity and confusion in the HCWs have retarded managing attempts for delivering essential interventions which will ultimately results vigorous transmission and place the patients at dangers².

There was quick spread of SARS in the China in the year 2002 to 2004 due to the misinterpretation, anxiety in the people as they followed limited the suggestive precautionary methods⁸. Shortage in the instruction and guidance to the common people as well as to the Health Service Provider regarding infectious disease may results global health emergency and urgency⁹. As the rate of infection is spreading vigorously among the nurses who are exposing to the COVID-19 patients to ease the outbreak management there is crucial demand of comprehensive knowledge and awareness regarding COVID 19 among the nurses. The main objective of the study is to assess the knowledge regarding COVID 19 among nurses.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A cross-sectional, descriptive study was performed by using self administered questionnaire which was pretested and self-administered among available 750 registered nurses of Pokhara valley. All those nurses who agreed to give written consent were involved in the study.

A self-administered questionnaire consisted two parts, demographic information and knowledge related questionnaire. A set of 26 questions were asked to nurses to assess their knowledge regarding COVID 19. Questionnaires were collected by the nurses from their respective working hospitals and filled questionnaires were collected from reception of the hospitals on following day.

The data was entered in the SPSS version 20 and then further analyzed using R software. Frequency, percentages, mean and standard deviation was calculated for the analysis. P-value was calculated where ever applicable to find the significance of data. The knowledge related questionnaire had 26 questions. Every question had one correct and other incorrect answers (options). Score 1 was allocated to the correct response and 0 to the incorrect response. In case of multiple responses and subjective types, majority of the responses were considered correct or incorrect ones. The total knowledge scores were ranges from 0 to 26. The quartiles values were calculated from the

total knowledge score, if respondent got $\leq Q_1$ (=19) considered as poor knowledge, if they got score between greater than Q_1 to Q_3 (=23) categorized as moderate level of knowledge and if the respondents got $\geq Q_3$ (=23) were reviewed as the adequate knowledge about the COVID 19.

Permission to conduct study was taken from research committee of Charak Academy. The informed consent was taken in the written forms from all the participants. All participants were free to drop the study whenever they wanted. All the information collected was coded so that the confidentiality of respondents would be maintained.

RESULTS

Out of 759 total participants, more of the half (60.3%) respondents were equal or below 26 years. The median (\pm MAD) age of the respondent was 26(\pm 2.97) years. Among 750 respondents, majority of respondents (43.5%) had completed diploma level of education. Likewise, all of the respondents (100%) had heard about COVID 19. Among the 750 respondents heard about COVID 19 from different sources, but most common source was internet (99.1%). Considering the source of information radio and internet were strongly associated to the knowledge of the respondent (table 1).

Table 2 showed respondent opinion about COVID 19, more than half of respondents (65.5%) gave correct response about COVID19 on the question "which emerge suddenly and spread rapidly" similarly respondents provided correct response (96.3%) regarding spread globally. Likewise, nearly hundred percent of respondents had given correct response about organization that is WHO declared

COVID 19 as pandemic. Likewise, opinion about the types of corona virus has caused thousands of deaths around the world recently, more than (90%) of the respondent said correct answer. On the question about zoonotic disease (85.5%) responded correctly. Almost (70%) said that the main source of COVID 19 was animals (like bat), which was correct response. Exactly (97.7%) said that 1-14 day is the general ranges of incubation time. More than (60%) of the respondent said that COVID 19 was high risk to those persons had chronic medical illness history and older adults. Furthermore, almost (80%) of the respondents said that the major transmission among human to human occurs through respiratory droplets. Likewise, (64.8%) of the respondent told that the corona virus got its name due to their crown like projections and (93.3%) said that the ordinary symptoms of COVID 19 high temperature, difficulty in breathing and cough. Almost (100%) told that the specimen/sample collected for the diagnosis of COVID 19 were Blood, nasal and throat swabs. Almost (90%) of the respondent told that Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was the confirmatory test for COVID 19.

Exactly (82.3%) of the respondent COVID 19 is primarily prevented through hand hygiene and minimising contacts and (85.1%) of the respondent told that WHO recommended that people should wash their hands for at-least 20 seconds with water and soap. To slow the spread of COVID 19 almost (77%) told that there should be social distancing which includes all of minimizing contact, quarantines and travel restrictions. Most of the respondents (80.8%) said respiratory etiquette means covering nose and mouth and bending the elbow or using tissues during cough and sneeze.

Table 1: Socio-demographic status and response on COVID 19

Characteristics		n(%)	χ^2 value	p-value
Age(years)	Median \pm MAD			
	26	452(60.3)	22.047	<0.000***
>26	298(39.7)			
Education Level	ANM	88(11.7)	1.444	0.4867
	Diploma	326(43.5)		
	Bachelor	308(41.1)		
	Masters	28(3.7)		
Heard about COVID 19	Yes	750(100)	NA	NA
Source of information#	Television	681(90.8)	2.705	0.2586
	Radio	430(57.3)	11.548	0.0031**
	Internet	743(99.1)	6.568	0.0375*
	Newspaper	304(40.5)	3.916	0.1411

#Multiple Response, NA: Not applicable

*, **, *** significant at 0.1%, 1%, and 5% respectively.

Table 2: Respondents opinion regarding COVID 19 (n=750)

Respondents opinion on COVID 19	Correct Response (%)	Incorrect Response (%)	Mean±SD
• COVID 19 emerges suddenly and spreads rapidly	491(65.5)	259(34.5)	0.65±0.48
• COVID 19 spreads globally	722(96.3)	28(3.7)	0.96±0.19
• Organization that declared COVID19 as global health emergency	735(98.0)	15(2.0)	0.98±0.14
• Coronavirus has caused thousands of deaths around the world recently	703(93.7)	47(6.3)	0.94±0.24
• Meaning of zoonotic disease	641(85.5)	109(14.5)	0.85±.35
• Main etiological source of COVID 19	531(70.8)	219(29.2)	0.71±0.45
• Incubation period of COVID 19	733(97.7)	17(2.3)	0.98±0.15
• High risk for severity of illness due to COVID 19	515(68.7)	235(31.3)	0.69±0.46
• Major routes of transmission from human to human	597(79.6)	153(20.4)	0.80±0.4
• Coronavirus got its name from	486(64.8)	264(35.2)	0.65±0.48
• Most common symptoms of COVID 19	700(93.3)	50(6.7)	0.93±0.25
• Specimen/sample collected for the diagnosis of COVID 19	749(99.9)	1(0.1)	1.00±0.04
• Confirmatory test for COVID 19	668(89.1)	82(10.9)	0.89±0.31
• Immediate complication caused by COVID 19	667(88.9)	83(11.1)	0.89±0.31
• COVID19 is primarily prevented through	617(82.3)	133(17.7)	0.82±0.38
• WHO recommended that people should wash the hands with soap and water for minimum...seconds.	638(85.1)	112(14.9)	0.85±0.36
• Social distancing measures for COVID 19 are	580(77.3)	170(22.7)	0.77±0.42
• Respiratory etiquette includes	606(80.8)	144(19.2)	0.81±0.39
• Quarantine is separation and restriction of the movement of well person exposed to COVID 19.	212(28.3)	538(71.7)	0.28±0.45
• Health workers caring for the patients with COVID19 should wear PPE	698(93.1)	52(6.9)	0.93±0.25
• In emergency infectious disease like COVID 19 it is of the utmost importance that health care workers and public health agencies follows	503(67.1)	247(32.9)	0.67±0.47
• Personal protective equipment's includes	732(97.6)	18(2.4)	0.98±0.15
• Main purpose of personal protective equipment	269(35.9)	481(64.1)	0.52±0.50
• It doesn't matter in what order you remove PPE as long as you remove it and perform hand hygiene before going to care for another patient.	488(65.1)	262(34.9)	0.65±0.48
• If your hand is visibly soiled hand hygiene using alcohol based hand rub is the preferred method	486(64.8)	264(35.2)	0.65±0.48
• Immediate disinfection of articles and bodily discharge during the course of disease is called.	514(68.5)	236(31.5)	0.69±0.46

Nearly one third of the respondent (28.3%) said that separation and restriction of the movement of healthy persons who are exposed to communicable disease is known as quarantine and (93.1%) of the nurses said that health workers caring for the patients with COVID 19 should have all the body surface and clothes covered. In the emergency infectious disease like COVID 19, nearly (70%) of the respondent said that, it is of the utmost importance that health care workers and public health agencies follows the precautionary principle.

Nearly all the respondent (97.6%) of the respondent said that the personal protective equipment (PPE)

includes all like mask, glove, gown etc. Only one third (35.9%) of the respondents were given correct response towards the purpose of PPE and (68.5%) of the respondent said that concurrent disinfection was the immediate disinfection of articles and bodily discharge during the course of disease.

Table3: Knowledge level of COVID 19 among the nurses (n=750)

Level of Knowledge	n (%)
Low(\leq Q1)	219(29.2)
Moderate(>Q1 to <Q3)	336(44.8)
Good(\geq Q3)	195(26)

The table 3 revealed the knowledge level about

COVID 19 among nurses, majority of respondents had moderate level (44.8%) of knowledge, followed by the respondents had a low level of knowledge (29.2%) and rest of them (26.0%) had good knowledge about COVID 19.

DISCUSSION

At present, COVID-19 is a worldwide concern of consultation among public, health care workers and patients. COVID-19 transmission is scaling up; creating massive stress to the government, public including all the medical personnel and systems. Among all the health care workers nurses are key persons to be the front liners for managing the cases. So we conducted this study to identify the nurses understanding about COVID-19 at the pandemic level.

In this study, more than half (65.1%) respondents were equal or below 26 years and rest of them (40.3%) was over 26 years. The median (\pm MAD) age of the respondent was 26 (\pm 2.97) years. Among 750 respondents, majority of respondents (43.5%) were completed diploma level of education following respondent (41.5%) were completed bachelor level of education and only rest of them (3.7%) were completed master level of education. Contradict to this findings a study conducted in Iran among Iranian nurses (n=85) where 56.3% nurses had obtained high school diploma and 67% of them were associates or bachelor degree holder, and 20% of them master degrees holder¹⁰. The slight difference in the findings might be due to different sample size, settings.

Likewise, all of the respondents (100%) heard about COVID 19. The respondents heard about Covid19 from different sources, but most important source was internet (99.1%) following television (90.8%), and heard from radio (57.3%) and newspaper (40.5%). This finding is somewhat similar to the findings of the study conducted in Nepal where usage of social media was (82.1%), TV/Radio was (9.5%) which was much lower than above findings¹¹.

This study revealed the majority of nurses working in Pokhara were having moderate knowledge (44.8%). This report was slightly lower than the study done among Iranian nurses where respondents had satisfactory knowledge (56%) (Iranian) and slightly higher than findings in the study done in Chinese health worker by Minghe jhou (n=631) where the value reported was 37.85 to 2.63¹². Our findings were inconsistent to study done in Pakistan with health care workers (doctors, pharmacist, nurses)

where nurses had (91.4%). This variation might be due to unrelated settings, trainings and year of experience of the nurses¹³

In this study majority of the respondents (70.8%) said coronavirus has animal source. This answer is further assisted by the study done by zi-we Ye et al where it is stated that hcov infection is zoonosis¹⁴. Our findings are slightly higher than study done in Nepal with health care workers where (58.7%) respondents answered it correctly¹⁵. This slight difference in the value presented might be due to the study was done a bit earlier where the exact source was under investigation.

Regarding the incubation, (97.9 %) respondents reported correctly which is similar to limited extent in the study done in Nepal where (79.3%) reported it¹¹. In our study (68%) participants said that chronic medical illness and older adults are at more risk of COVID 19. A research conducted in china with the patients identified people with co-morbid conditions such as hypertension, cardiovascular disorders, diabetes were at vulnerable for COVID 19¹⁶. Our finding is close to the study done in Chinese residents where (73.2%) Chinese residents responded accurately¹⁷. The value is slightly underneath than the value reported by Ronald olum and et al where (80 %) ⁵respondents reported sufficient knowledge on coronavirus disease.

Nearly fourth fifth (79.6%) respondents said respiratory droplets as major route of transmission whereas near to this findings study conducted among health care workers 89.2% of respondents reported similar answer¹.

Regarding most common symptoms (93.5%) of nurses said fever, cough and shortness of breath which is almost identical to the study conducted among public in Nepal where (92%) respondents reported similarity¹¹. Much less to this finding only (73.2%) respondents reported the answer¹⁵.

Vast majority (88.9%) of respondents said immediate complication which is modest increase than study done in Nepal where (77.9%) of respondents reported immediate complication¹⁵. The variation might be due to more information circulated about COVID 19 in recent days and more cases are reported.

The silent majority (82.3%) of respondents outlined the primary prevention, 88.8% of nurses delineated about meaning or respiratory etiquette which is consistent to the findings of the study conducted in Nepal where (85.6%) of participants proclaimed about respiratory hygiene and hand hygiene¹⁵.

Virtually (77.3%) tested stated about minimizing contacts, quarantines, and travel restrictions. A study conducted by Barabara et al said about the meaning of social distancing which included minimizing contacts, quarantines and travel restrictions. which is further more supported with the study¹⁸. Our observation was moderately lower than the results of the research conducted in Nepal in which (82.3%) reported the answer¹¹ and much lower to findings of the study done by Hussain et al where (97.9%) participants responded it¹. This variation might be transpired due to in different sample size.

In the context of scientific prevention and benchmark particularly health care workers like nurses should have knowledge on the removal of personal protective equipment sequentially .While personal protective equipment are removed, precautions should be taken to prevent infection and contamination. In this study only (65.1 %) had knowledge on sequential removal of PPE which states the lack of effective information and trainings.

Due to limited availability of resources due to lockdown in Nepal we could not involve all the health care workers of Gandaki province. Also regarding intensive literature search, there was very little study done of similar nature till date. We tried to cover maximum out of that.

CONCLUSION

Overall knowledge related to disease burden, etiology, signs and symptom, incubation period, prevention techniques were found satisfactory among the nurses of Gandaki Province, as the disease is entirely new. Further research and updates on the diseases will help to profound knowledge among nurses.

Abbreviations

ARDS: Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome; COVID-19: Corona Virus disease -19 ; COV-2 RNA: Corona Virus -2 Ribonucleic Acid; HCWs: Health Care Workers; MAD: Median Absolute Deviation; RT-PCR: Real Time Polymer-ease Chain Reaction; PPE: Personal Protective Equipment; SARS: Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome; SPSS: Statistical Package for Social Science; WHO: World Health Organization

Acknowledgment

We would like to acknowledge all the nurses who actively participated in our study and provided

their valuable responses during this period.

Author's contribution

Principal investigator: AK, conceived the study, methodology, formal analysis, software, writing-original draft; SPP: Data Collection and entry, writing review and editing; KB and SK: Investigation, Formal analysis, Writing original draft; SB and AK: Validation, Writing review & editing; KP Writing - review & editing. MD: Project administration, Resources, Supervision, and Methodology, Formal analysis, writing original draft, Conceptualization. All the authors read and approved the final manuscript.

REFERENCES

- Hussain A, Garima T, Singh B, Ram R, Tripti R. Knowledge, attitudes, and practices towards COVID-19 among Nepalese Residents: A quick online cross-sectional survey. *Asian Journal of Medical Sciences*. <https://doi.org/10.3126/ajms.v11i3.28485>. [NepJol]
- Bhagavathula AS, Aldhaleei WA, Rahmani J, Mahabadi MA, Bandari DK. Knowledge and Perceptions of COVID-19 Among Health Care Workers: Cross-Sectional Study. *JMIR Public Health Surveill*. 2020 Apr 30;6(2):e19160. <https://doi.org/10.2196/19160>. [Full Text]
- MOHP. Corona virus disease(COVID 19). outbreak updates & resource materials—Health Emergency Operation Center (HEOC). [Link]
- WHO. Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). Situation Report-11 Geneva, Switzerland. 2020. [Link]
- Olum R, Chekwech G, Wekha G, Nassozi D, Bongomin F. Coronavirus Disease-2019: Knowledge, Attitude, and Practices of Health Care Workers at Makerere University Teaching Hospitals, Uganda. *Frontiers in Public Health*. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2020.00181>. [Full Text]
- Warmbrod et.al . GHS Index. Open Philanthropy Project. 2019. [Link]
- Lee VJ, Ho M, Kai CW, Aguilera X, Heymann D, Wilder-Smith A. Epidemic preparedness in urban settings: new challenges and opportunities. *The Lancet Infectious Diseases*. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099\(20\)30249-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(20)30249-8). [Lancet]
- Lin Y, Huang L, Nie S, Liu Z, Yu H, Yan W, et al. Knowledge, Attitudes and Practices (KAP) related to the Pandemic (H1N1) 2009 among Chinese General Population: a Telephone Survey. *BMC Infectious Diseases*. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2334-11-128>. [Springer]
- Alanzi ME, Albalawi MAH, Kabrah S, Aljehani YT,

- Okashah AM, Aljohani ZDE, et al. Knowledge, Attitudes, and Practices (KAPs) of Healthcare Workers towards MERS-CoV Infection at PHCs in Madinah, KSA during Hajj 1440, 2019. *American Journal of Microbiological Research*. 2019; <https://doi.org/10.3126/ajms.v11i3.28485>. [Full Text]
10. Nemati M, Ebrahimi B, Nemati F. Assessment of Iranian Nurses' Knowledge and Anxiety Toward COVID-19 During the Current Outbreak in Iran. *Archives of Clinical Infectious Diseases*. <https://doi.org/10.5812/archcid.102848>. [Research Gate]
11. Singh DR, Sunuwar DR, Karki K, Ghimire S, Shrestha N. Knowledge and Perception Towards Universal Safety Precautions During Early Phase of the COVID-19 Outbreak in Nepal. *Journal of community health*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10900-020-00839-3>. [Springer]
12. Zhang M, Zhou M, Tang F, Wang Y, Nie H, Zhang L, et al. Knowledge, attitude, and practice regarding COVID-19 among healthcare workers in Henan, China. *Journal of Hospital Infection*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhin.2020.04.012>. [Science Direct]
13. Saqlain M, Munir MM, Ur Rehman S, Gulzar A, Naz S, Ahmed Z, et al. Knowledge, attitude, practice and perceived barriers among healthcare professionals regarding COVID-19: A Cross-sectional survey from Pakistan. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.13.20063198>. [Science Direct]
14. Ye Z-W, Yuan S, Yuen K-S, Fung S-Y, Chan C-P, Jin D-Y. Zoonotic origins of human coronaviruses. *International Journal of Biological Sciences*. <https://doi.org/10.7150/ijbs.45472>. [PubMed]
15. Nepal R, Sapkota K, Adhikari K, Paudel P, Adhikari B, Paudyal N, et al. Knowledge, attitude and practice regarding COVID-19 among healthcare workers in Chitwan, Nepal. <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-26774/v1>. [Google Scholar]
16. Wang B, Li R, Lu Z, Huang Y. Does comorbidity increase the risk of patients with COVID-19: evidence from meta-analysis. *Aging*. <https://doi.org/10.18632/aging.103000>. [PubMed]
17. Zhong B-L, Luo W, Li H-M, Zhang Q-Q, Liu X-G, Li W-T, et al. Knowledge, attitudes, and practices towards COVID-19 among Chinese residents during the rapid rise period of the COVID-19 outbreak: a quick online cross-sectional survey. *International Journal of Biological Sciences*. <https://doi.org/10.7150/ijbs.45221>. [PubMed]
18. Nussbaumer-Streit B, Mayr V, Dobrescu A, Chapman A, Persad E, Klerings I, et al. Quarantine alone or in combination with other public health measures to control COVID-19: a rapid review. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD013574>. [Cochrane]