

Nasal Index among Students of People's Dental College and Hospital

*Mangesh Bajracharya¹, Samjhana Sharma²

Authors Info:

^{1,2}Assistant Professor, Department of Anatomy, People's Dental College and Hospital

*Corresponding Author:

Dr. Mangesh Bajracharya Email: anatomist198@gmail. com

Disclaimer:

Conflict of Interest: None Source of Support: None Copyright © 2019 by author(s), licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License 4.0.



ABSTRACT:

Introduction: Nasal anthropometry is a study that deals with the measurements of the proportion, size and shape of nose. Nasal index is considered as one of the major landmarks for craniofacial surgery, gender differentiation and ethnic identity. This study is aimed to provide baseline data of nasal index of Nepalese people and to assess the gender variation which will be of clinical, surgical interest in rhinology and craniofacial prosthetic purpose.

Methods: BDS students from 17 to 25 years with various ethnicity were included in the study. Total 160 students with equal number of male and female student were included. Nasal parameters were measured and nasal index was calculated for each student.

Results: The mean nasal index was 78.03±7.07 suggesting Mesorrhine type of nose. The nasal width and height show statistically significant difference whereas there was no significant difference found between the nasal indices of male and female students. Hill Janajati showed highest nasal index followed by Newar, Brahmin and Chettri; and Others.

Conclusion: The study concludes the type of nose has effect on gender when compared between the sex but the nasal index calculated have little contribution in sexual dimorphism. Mesorrhine nose were found to be most frequent. Among the population, Hill Janajati showed highest nasal index. We recommend a further study to compare the nasal index of various ethnical group of Nepalese population.

Keywords: Anthropometry, Mesorrhine, Nasal Index

Article Info	Access this article online			
Received: October 14, 2019	Publisher Note:	Quick Response (QR) Code		
Accepted: December 2, 2019	The Europasian Journal of Medical			
Published Online: December 5, 2019	Sciences (EJMS) is an official Journal of Nirvana Psychosocial			
How to cite this article in Vancouver Style?	Care Center & Ressearch Institute www.nirvanapscc.com. The Journal	52850.42-0		
Bajaracharya M, Sharma S. Nasal Index among Students of People's Dental College and Hospital. Europasian J Med Sci 2019;1(1):21-27. <u>https://doi.org/10.46405/ejms.v1i1.11</u>	as well as publisher remain neutra with regards to any jurisdictiona claims in any published articles its contents and the institutiona affiliations of the authors.			



INTRODUCTION

Nose is one of the most important structure in the face that has both bones and cartilages and performs major functions which has profound aesthetic value.¹ Various methods are used to study the nose such as morphometric analysis, cephalometry and photography. In addition to these, 3D scans and digitizers have also been used to study the nose.² Among these methods anthropometric measurements provide an important source of information for anthropologist which is an inexpensive and non-invasive technique to assess body size, shape and proportions.³

Anthropometric study is scientific method and technique for displaying different measurement and observation on the human being as well as skeleton which are very important area for craniofacial surgery and syndromology.⁴ Nasal anthropometry is a study that deals with the measurements of the proportion, size as well as shape of nose of human being⁵. M. Broca in 1878 discovered that the nasal index is one of the best for the purpose of distinguishing the various races of mankind and Topinard described "anthropological nasal index" as the ratio of maximum breadth of the anterior orifice of the nose to its maximum length. Thus, anthropological nasal index is calculated as:

Nasal index =
$$\frac{\text{Width of nose (cm)}}{\text{length of nose (cm)}} \times 100$$

Length of nose, i.e., distance between nasion (n) and subnasale (SN). The width/breadth of nose, i.e., the distance between right and left alae.

The nasal index is one of the clinical anthropometric parameter recognized in rhinoplasty and medical management⁶. The study of nasal anthropology is essentially important in forensics as well as physical anthropology as one of the technique used in the determination of different races, ethnicity as well as gender of an individual which has bioenvironmental, geographical as well as biological factors, ethnicity, sex and age influence.⁷ Difference in facial morphometric characters especially in nose types is significant in categorization between races in population living in different geographic location.⁸ Nasal index is the most common nasal parameter which may relate to regional and climatic differences, such as longer narrower noses are common in cold and dry climates while broader noses seen in warmer and moisten climate may consequence of natural selection in human evolution.⁹ A detail concept of the nasal types, size and shape is essential for plastic surgeons performing rhinoplasty, cleft lip and palate surgery, prosthodontist, forensic experts and anthropologist.¹⁰ As nasal index is considered one of the major landmarks for craniofacial surgery, gender differentiation and ethnic identity, research in this filed is inevitable which should be conducted in Nepal as well, so required data could be easily accessible.

This study is initial step which aims to provide baseline data of nasal index of Nepalese people and to assess the gender variation which will be of clinical, surgical interest in rhinology and craniofacial prosthetic and aesthetic purpose.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This was an observational cross-sectional study conducted at People's Dental College and Hospital (PDCH), Nayabazar, Kathmandu.

Study Population: BDS Students of age group ranging from 17 to 25 years with various ethnicity were included.

Sample size and sampling technique: The sample size was calculated by using formula: Z2 σ 2\d2, where "Z" value is 1.96 at 95% confidence interval and " σ " value was 5.1 and margin of error of 1.25 mm11 which was calculated as 157 and total 160 students were enrolled in the study. The participants were selected by stratified random sampling. Equal number of male and female student was included. The participants were stratified by sex and then chosen randomly by drawing numbers 1 to 80 using lottery method.

Data Collection: The data for this study was collected for the duration of one month (from 30th April to 29th May 2019). Students with no prior rhinoplasty or craniofacial anomalies were selected for this study. Also, the students with mixed ethnicity were excluded. Written consent was taken from the participants and measurement were taken. Measurements were taken in Frankfort's plane with subjects in comfortably sitting position.12The measurements were recorded with the help of Vernier caliper, considering permissible error 0.02mm (Fig 1and 2). All the measurements were taken by single observer, for each individual three consecutive measurements were taken and the highest were recorded.

Data Analysis: The obtained data was analyzed using standard data analyzing software SPSS version 16. The statistical analysis was done by using independent



Student's t-test. P value <0.05 was considered to be statistically significant. Nasal parameters and indices were compared between various ethnical groups using ANOVA test.

Fig 1.Depicting method of measurement of nasal height.



Fig 2.Depicting method of measurement of nasal width



RESULTS

Participant Characteristics: In total, 160 students with equal number of male and female were selected from age group of 17 to 25 years with a mean age of 21.40 ± 2.16 . In the present study, the total number of participants were found to be distributed in four ethnical groups13, their numbers and frequencies are being depicted in Table 1.

The mean nasal width was calculated as 3.59 ± 0.36 cm, mean nasal height as 4.61 ± 0.39 cm. The mean nasal index is calculated as 78.03 ± 7.07 (Table 2). According to the nasal index, the nose types were classified into 5 types14 (Table 1). The Mesorrhine type of nose was found be most frequent in present study with 70.6% followed by Platyrrhine and Leptorrhine (Table 1) Bajracharya et al. Nasal Index among Students ...

Table 1: Study participant characteristics (n=160)

Characteristic	Frequency (%)				
Sex					
Male	80 (50)				
Female	80 (50)				
Ethnicity ¹³					
Brahmin/Chettri	104 (65)				
Mongoloid/Janajati	13 (8.1)				
Newar	39 (24.4)				
Others	4 (2.5)				
Nose categories based on Martin & Sallar ¹⁴					
Hyperleptorrhine	1 (0.6)				
Leptorrhine	22 (13.8)				
Mesorrhine	113 (70.6)				
Platyrrhine	24 (15)				
Hyperplatyrrhine	0 (0)				

Table 2. Nasal measurements of the study participants (n=160)

Characteristic	Mean	SD
Nasal width	3.59	0.36
Nasal height	4.61	0.39
Nasal index	78.03	7.07

Sex variations in Nasal measurements: In male students, the mean nasal width and height were measured as 3.77 ± 0.32 cm and 4.39 ± 0.33 respectively. The mean Nasal index for male is calculated as 78.12 ± 6.91 . In female students, the mean nasal width and height were measured as 3.41 ± 0.29 cm and 4.83 ± 0.33 respectively. The mean Nasal index for female is calculated as 77.92 ± 7.28 . The nasal width and height show statistically significant difference with p<0.001 whereas there was no significant difference found between the nasal indices of male and female (Table 2). When compared with nose type, the Mesorrhine type of nose found be more prevalent in both sexes (Table 4).

Table 4: Showing the frequency of type of nose in male and female

Gender	Hyperlep torrhine	Leptor rhine	Mesor rhine	Platyr rhine	
Male	-	12	54	14	
	-	15.0%	67.5%	17.5%	
Female	1	10	59	10	
	1.2%	12.5%	73.8%	12.5%	



Ethnic variations in nasal measurement: The mean nasal parameters and nasal index were compared between four ethnical groups those were participated in this study, statistical analysis showed variation in nasal height and width between various ethnical groups, but there was no statistical difference in nasal index between the groups (Table 5). Mesorrhine type of nose is found to be most prevalent in all the ethnical groups (Table 6). The mean values for male and female from ethnical groups are depicted in Table 7.

Calculated Parameters Mean (standard t-value p-value deviation) n = 160Male (n=80)Female (n=80) Nasal width 3.77 (±.32) $3.41(\pm .29)$ 7.22 < 0.001 Nasal height $4.83(\pm .33)$ $4.39(\pm .30)$ 8.66 < 0.001 Nasal Index 78.12(±6.91) 77.94(±7.28) 0.16 0.869

Table 3. Comparison of sex variations in nasal measurements among study participants

 Table 5. Ethnic variations in nasal measurement (ANOVA-test)

Ethnicity	Nasal width			Nasal height			Nasal index		
	Mean ± SD	F-value	p-value	Mean±SD	F-value	p-value	Mean±SD	F	р
Brahmin/ Chettri	3.55 ± 0.34	7.045	<0.001	4.61 ±0.37	4.270	0.006	77.33 ± 7.35	2.591	0.055
Hill Janajati	3.79 ± 0.22			4.61 ± 0.4			82.63 ± 6.63		
Newar	3.68 ± 0.35			4.69 ± 0.4			78.67 ±5.73		
Others	2.99 ± 0.43			3.98 ± 0.2			75.01 ± 8.97		

Table 6. Ethnical variation in type of nose.

Ethnicity	Hyperleptorrhine n (%)	Leptorrhine n (%)	Mesorrhine n (%)	Platyrrhine n (%)	Total n (%)
Brahmin/Chettri	1(1.0)	18(17.3)	70(67.3)	15(14.4)	104(100)
Hill Janajati	0(0.0)	1(7.7)	9(69.2)	3(23.1)	13(100)
Newar	0 (0.0)	2 (5.1)	31 (79.5)	6 (15.4)	39 (100)
Others	0 (0.0)	1 (25.0)	3 (75.0)	0 (0.0)	4 (100)

Table 7. Descriptive analysis of nasal parameters in male and female among the ethnicity

Ethnicity	Parameters	Male		Female		t-value	p-value
		Number	Mean ± SD	Number	Mean ± SD		
Brahmin/Chettri	Nasal width		3.77±0.25		3.37±0.30	7.187	< 0.001
n=104	Nasal height	47	4.87±0.27	57	4.39±0.29	8.605	< 0.001
	Nasal index		77.67±6.91		77.06±7.74	.419	.676
Hill Janajati	Nasal width		3.90±0.18		3.65±0.19	2.411	.035
n=13	Nasal height	7	4.84±0.34	6	4.33±0.27	2.935	.014
	Nasal index		80.99±6.92		84.55±6.31	963	.356
Newar	Nasal width		3.78±0.37		3.52±0.24	2.348	.024
n=39	Nasal height	25	4.80±0.39	14	4.49±0.34	2.386	.022
	Nasal index		78.80±6.36		78.44±4.61	.191	.850
Others	Nasal width		2.40±0.00		3.18±0.22	-	-
n=4	Nasal height	1	3.83±0.00	3	4.03±0.25	-	-
	Nasal index		62.61±0.0		79.15±4.26	-	-

24



DISCUSSION

Human nose, an important component of the facial complex playing a vital role on facial aesthetics.^{15,16} Various methods have been reported such as by morphometric analysis, cephalometry, and photography along with 3D scans and digitizers to study the nose.¹⁷ Among these methods anthropometric measurements plays a vital role being reasonable and non-invasive.¹⁸

Anthropometric study of Nasal index is considered to be valuable assets in the field of anthropology, forensic science, prosthodontists and reconstructive surgery.¹⁹

In Nepal, only scanty studies had been conducted regarding nasal parameters and index. Nepal, a country with various ethnicity with their own cultural value. This might be the first study where four different ethnical groups are included although the sample size was small, it tried to cover the diversity of Nepalese population. A study conducted on Tharu and Mongoloid population aged between 25-45 years Eastern Nepal, supposed to be the only study that included two different ethnical groups; concluded that the nasal index among the Mongoloid male and female were 74.6 and 75.9 respectively with Mesorrhine (medium nose) type whereas the nasal index of Tharu male and female were 83.8 and 82.4 respectively also fall under the mesorrhine type but have the broader nose.11 In the present study, the mean nasal index for Hill Janajati male and female were found to be 80.99 and 84.55 respectively, showing higher value than the previous study but having nose type to be Mesorrhine.

Similarly, the study conducted in Nepalese medical students, calculated the mean nasal index to be 73.37 ± 7.97 suggesting the nasal type to be mesorrhine with total of 56.4% students had mesorrhine nasal type followed by 37.2% leptorrhine and 6.4% platyrrhine nasal type20 supporting the present study in which the mean nasal index is calcuted as 78.03 ± 7.07 with 70.6% students having mesorrhine followed by 15% platyrrhine; 13.8% Leptorrhine and 0.6% Hyperleptorrhine type of nose. The present study also shows the mean nasal indices for others group of population of Nepal (Table 5) suggesting Hill Janajati have higher nasal index of 82.63 ± 6.63 , followed by Newar with 78.67 ± 5.73 ; Brahmin and Chettri with 77.33 ± 7.35 and others with 75.01 ± 8.97 .

The study of Franciscus and Long found the nasal height and width are higher in South Nigerian males than females²¹, in the present study, these parameters

were found to be statistically significant difference between males and females (Table 3) of total sample also found significantly different in different ethnical groups (Table 7).

Nasal index is related to regional and climatic differences22. Various studies have indicated racial and ethnic differences in nasal index amongst different populations.²³ In the present study, the nasal index for various ethnical group were calculated (Table 5), nasal parameters showed racial difference but there was no statistical difference in nasal index.

Abroad studies also showed the type of nose, as mentioned in their studies, most Caucasians are leptorrhine having long and narrow nose with nasal index of 69.9 or less. The Indo-Aryan is also similar to the Europian, possessing a fine nose.²⁴ In a study conducted in Itsekiri and Urhobo people, the results showed the Urhobos had a mean nasal index of 89.63 and the Itsekiri's had a mean nasal index of 90.74 (p<0.05), and the two ethnic groups fall within the platyrrhine (short, broad nosed).25 In the present study, the population sample representing Nepalese population with the mean nasal index of 78.03 fall within Mesorrhine type with moderate or medium size nose, even in four different ethnical groups that were included in this study showed to have Mesorrhine type of nose.

Various studies by authors^{5,23,26} have proved the racial as well as gender differences in nasal index among different populations, but the present study showed no statistical significant difference in the nasal indices between male and female while there was sexual dimorphism found with nasal index in different population groups.

The study conducted by Hegazy²⁷ found to have no statistically significant difference in nasal index between male and female Egyptian population except after 20 years of age. In the present study, subjects of the age group of 17 to 25 years with a mean age of 21.40±2.16 were included where no significant difference found between nasal indices of male and female.

The present study is conducted in a small population, bigger sample size with adequate number of sample size representing ethnical population is recommended for better result.



CONCLUSION

The nasal width and height were found larger in males compare to females. The study concludes the type of nose has effect on gender but the index calculated have little contribution in sexual dimorphism. Mesorrhine representing moderate or medium size nose were found to be most frequent in the total sample also its was found to be most prevalent in various ethnical groups. Among the population, Hill Janajati showed highest nasal index followed by Newar, Brahmin and Chhetri and Others subsequently. The nasal parameters calculated for various race may play a vital role in providing a baseline information for reconstructive nasal surgery, rhinoplasty and for nose prosthesis while treating various population group of Nepal.

Acknowledgement: The authors like to thank Prof. Amita Pradhan for her valuable contribution in data analysis, all the students of PDCH for participating in this research work.

REFERENCES

1.Schendel SA. Anthropometry of the Head and Face. Plast Reconstr Surg. 1995;96(2):48. <u>https://</u> <u>doi.org/10.1097/00006534-199508000-00036</u> <u>CrossRef | Full Text</u>

2. Abdulrasheed I, Eneye AM. Philtral Columns and Nostril Shapes in Nigerian Children: A Morphometric and Aesthetic Analysis. Plast Surg Int 2013;16(4):462-468.<u>https://doi.</u> <u>org/10.1155/2013/382754</u> <u>Google Scholar | CrossRef | PMC | Full Text</u>

3. Farkas LG, Katic MJ, Forrest CR, Alt KW, Bagič I, Baltadjiev G, et al. International anthropometric study of facial morphology in various ethnic groups/ races. J Craniofac Surg 2005;16(4):615-645. <u>https://</u> <u>doi.org/10.1097/01.scs.0000171847.58031.9e</u> <u>Google Scholar | CrossRef | PMC | Full Text</u>

4. Choudhary A, Chowdhary DS. Comparative Anthropometric Study of Nasal Parameters between Two Ethnic Groups of Rajasthan State. 2012;2(2):24. <u>https://doi.org/10.5530/ijmedph.2.2.10</u> <u>Google Scholar | CrossRef | Full Text</u>

5. Oladipo GS, Olabiyi AO, Oremosu AA, Noronha CC. Nasal indices among major ethnic groups in southern Nigeria. Sci Res Essay 2007;20-2.

Bajracharya et al. Nasal Index among Students ...

Google Scholar | Full Text

6. Williams RI, Wyo C. The nasal index anthropological and clinical. Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol 1956;65(1):171-89. <u>https://doi.</u> org/10.1177/000348945606500116 <u>Google Scholar | CrossRef | PMC | Full Text</u>

7. Satija A, Kaushal S, Gopichand PV V, Chhabra U. Study of relationship between facial index and gestational age in normal newborns. Nepal Med Coll J. 2010;4(1). <u>Google Scholar | Full Text</u>

8. Franciscus RG, Long JC. Variation in human nasal height and breadth. Am J Phys Anthropol. 1991;85(4):419-27. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/</u> <u>ajpa.1330850406</u> <u>Google Scholar | CrossRef</u> | <u>PMC | Full Text</u>

9. Hall RL, Hall DA. Geographic variation of native people along the Pacific Coast. Hum Biol. 1995;67(3):407-26 Google Scholar | PMC | Full Text

10. Leong SC, Eccles R. A systematic review of the nasal index and the significance of the shape and size of the nose in rhinology. Clinical Otolaryngology. 2009;34(3):191-8. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-4486.2009.01905.x</u> <u>Google Scholar | CrossRef | PubMed | Full Text</u>

11. Koirala S, Shah S, Khanal L. Nasal index of the Tharu and Mongoloid population of Nepal: a cross sectional study. Russ Open Med J 2014;3(3):9-11. https://doi.org/10.15275/rusomj.2014.0302 Google Scholar | CrossRef | Full Text

12. Rajakshmi CH, Singh MS DHC singh L. Cephalic index of foetues of Manipuri population -A baseline study. J Anat Soc india 2001;50(1):8-10. <u>Full Text</u>

13. Bennett Lynn, Dahal DR, Govindasamy P. Caste, Ethnic and Regional Identity in Nepal: Further Analysis of the 2006 Nepal Demographic and Health Survey. Calverton, Maryland, USA: Macro International Inc;2008. <u>Full Text</u>

14. Martin R, Saller K. Lehrbuch der Anthropologie,3rd ed.; Gustav Fischer Verlag: Stuttgart, 1957;p.11. <u>Full Text</u>



15. He Z-j, Jian X-c, Wu X-s, Gao X, Zhou S-h, Zhong X-h. Anthropometric measurement and analysis of the external nasal soft tissue in 119 young Han Chinese adults. J Craniofac Surg 2009;20(5):1347-51. https://doi.org/10.1097/SCS.0b013e3181ae41cf Google Scholar CrossRef Full Text

16. Ozdemir F, Uzun A. Anthropometric analysis of the nose in young Turkish men and women. J Craniomaxillofac Surg 2015;43(7):1244-7. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcms.2015.05.010</u> <u>Google Scholar | CrossRef</u>

17.Dong Y, Zhao Y, Bai S, Wu G, Wang B. Three-dimensional anthropometric analysis of the Chinese nose. J Plast Reconstr Aesthet Surg 2010;63(11):1832-9.<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bjps.2009.11.035 Google Scholar | CrossRef</u>

18. G Farkas L, J Katic M, R Forrest C, Alt K, Bagic I, Baltadjiev G, et al. International Anthropometric Study of Facial Morphology in Various Ethnic Groups/Races. J Craniofac surg. 2005; 16(4):615-46. <u>https://doi.org/10.1097/01.scs.0000171847.58031.9e</u> <u>Google Scholar</u> | <u>CrossRef</u>

19. Sforza C, Grandi G, De Menezes M, Tartaglia GM, Ferrario VF. Age-and sex-related changes in the normal human external nose. Forensic Sci Int. 2011;204(1-3):205. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forsciint.2010.07.027 Google Scholar | CrossRef</u>

20.Chalise U, Dhungel S, Chaulagain R. Nasal Parameters, Nostrils Shapes and Philtral Column Shape : A Morphometric Analysis in Nepalese Medical Undergraduate Students 2018;16(4):462-6. <u>Google Scholar | CrossRef | Full Text</u> 21. Franciscus RG, Long JC. Variation in human nasal height and breadth. Am J Phys Anthropol 1991;85:419-27.<u>https://doi.org/10.1002/</u> ajpa.1330850406 Google Scholar | CrossRef

22. Farkas LG, Kolar JC, Munro IR. Abstract on the geography of the nose, a morphometric study. Aesthetic Plastic Surg 1986;10(4):191-223. <u>https://</u> <u>doi.org/10.1007/BF01575292</u> <u>Google Scholar | CrossRef</u>

23. Oladipio GS, Gwunireama IU, Asawa OD. Anthropometric comparison of nasal indices between the Igbos and Yorubas in Nigeria. Glob J Med 2006;5:37-40. <u>https://doi.org/10.4314/gjms.</u> v5i1.10147 Google Scholar | <u>CrossRef</u>

24. Sparks CZ, Jantz RL. A reassessment of human cranial plasticity: Boas revisited. Proceeding of the National Academy of Sciences 2002;99(23):14636-14639.<u>https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.222389599</u> Google Scholar | CrossRef

25. Oladipo GS, Eroje MA, Fawehinmi HB. Anthropometric comparison of the nasal indices between the Adoni and Okrika ethnis groups of Rivers State, Nigeria. Int J MedSci 2009; 1(4): 135-137. <u>https://doi.org/10.4314/gjpas.v15i1.44895</u> <u>Google Scholar | CrossRef</u>

26. Oladipo GS, Chinagorom E, Iruoghene GO.
Craniofacial dimensions of Ijaw children of Nigeria.
Biomed Int 2010;1:25-9.
<u>Google Scholar</u> | Full Text

27. Hegazy AA. Anthropometric study of nasal index of Egyptians.Int J Anat Res 2014;2(4):76167. <u>https://doi.org/10.16965/ijar.2014.544</u> Google Scholar | CrossRef