ANATOMICAL VARIATION OF NOSE AND PARANASAL SINUSES AMONG PATIENTS WITH CHRONIC RHINOSINUSITIS ON COMPUTER TOMOGRAPHY

Rajeev Kumar Shah

Affiliation

1. Assistant Professor, Department of Otolaryngology, Birat Medical college and Teaching Hospital, Biratnagar, Nepal

ARTICLEINFO

Received : 16 December, 2021

Accepted : 12 April, 2022

Published : 22 June, 2022

© Authors retain copyright and grant the journal right of first publication with the work simultaneously licensed under Creative Commons Attribution License CC - BY 4.0 that allows others to share the work with an acknowledgment of the work's authorship and initial publication in this journal.



ORA 300

DOI: https://doi.org/10.3126/bjhs.v7i1.45825

* Corresponding Author

Dr. Rajeev Kumar Shah Assistant Professor Department of Otolaryngology Birat Medical College and Teaching Hospital Biratnagar, Morang, Nepal Email: shahrajeev2001@gmail.com ORCID: http://orcid.org/0000-0003-2398-157X

Citation

Anatomical Variation of Nose and Paranasal Sinuses among Patients with Chronic Rhino sinusitis on Computer Tomography. Rajeev Kumar Shah. BJHS 2022;7(1)17.1732-1735.

ABSTRACT

Introduction

Chronic rhinosinusitis is one of the commonest diseases of sinonasal cavity seen in Ear nose throat clinic. Although chronic rhinosinusitis is a clinical diagnosis, CT scan imaging studies helps in accessing the disease and gives a clear anatomy of sinonasal cavity. Chronic rhinosinusitis is a group of disorders that is characterized by inflammation of the mucosa of the nose and paranasal sinuses of at least 12 consecutive weeks duration.

There are number of factors that play role in the development of rhinosinusitis, including both host and environmental factors. CRS is a more persistent problem that requires a specific treatment approach.

Methodology

This is a prospective comparative study which was conducted in Department of otorhinolaryngology of Birat Medical College and Teaching Hospitalfrom 1st August 2020-31st Dec 2020. This study was approved by Institutional Review Committee of Birat Medical College and Teaching Hospital. 124 patients were taken in the study. The primary objective of the study was to determine the anatomical variation of nose and paranasal sinuses among patients with chronic rhinosinusitis on computer tomography. Informed and written consent was taken from all the subjects participating in the study.

Result

A total of 124 patients was included in the study. Out of which 68 (54.76%) were men and 56 (45.24%) were female. The age of patients with CRS ranged from 15-75 years. Highest number of patients with CRS was in the range of 35-44 years. Mucosal thickening was more common in maxillary sinus (91.12%) followed by ethmoidal (67.74%), spheniod (55.64%) and frontal (54.03%).

Conclusion

CT scan is the most common tool to know the anatomical variations of the nose and para nasal sinuses. Moreover it is highly recommended for the patients suffering from chronic rhinosinusitis. CT scan provides all the sinonasal variations and then it becomes easier for the treating physician for better surgical planning and overall management of chronic rhinosinusitis.

KEYWORDS

Chronic Rhinosinusitis, computer tomography, concha bullosa, deviated nasal septum



Birat Journal of Health Sciences Vol.7/No.1/Issue 17/January - April, 2022

INTRODUCTION

Chronic rhinosinusitis is one of the commonest diseases of sinonasal cavity seen in Ear nose throat clinic. Although chronic rhinosinusitis is a clinical diagnosis, CT scan imaging studies helps in accessing the disease and gives a clear anatomy of sinonasal cavity. Chronic rhinosinusitis is a group of disorders that is characterized by inflammation of the mucosa of the nose and paranasal sinuses of at least 12 consecutive weeksduration.²

There are number of factors that play role in the development of rhinosinusitis, including both host and environmental factors. CRS is a more persistent problem that requires a specific treatment approach. It is sometimes overlooked by both patients and healthcare providers because the symptoms are more low-grade and chronic.

Endoscopic sinus surgery (ESS) is a frequently performed procedure for chronic rhinosinusities. Certain anatomic variants are thought to be predisposing factors for the development of sinus diseases and thus it becomes essential to know about the anatomical variations. Detail radiological description of the anatomy and its anatomical variations of nose and paranasal sinuses is required before performing the surgery.³ Numerous sinonasal variants exist and are frequently seen on CT scan. The most common ones are septal deviation, inferior turbinateshypertrophy, concha bullosa, Aggernasi cells, infraorbitalethmoidal (haller) cells, sphenoethmoidal (onodi) cells. Nasal septal deviation is most common and is seen in more than one half of the population. Concha bullosa is the pneumatization of the middle turbinates involving its inferior bulbous and its usually bilateral.⁴

METHODOLOGY

This is a prospective comparative study which was conducted in Department of otorhinolaryngology of Birat Medical College and Teaching Hospital from 1st August 2020- 31st Dec 2020. This study was approved by Institutional Review Committee of Birat Medical College and Teaching Hospital. 124 patients were taken in the study. The primary objective of the study was to determine the anatomical variation of nose and paranasal sinuses among patients with chronic rhinosinusitis on computer tomography. Informed and written consent was taken from all the subjects participating in the study. All the patients aged above 15 years who underwent CT scan of nose and paranasal sinuses for chronic rhinosinusitis was taken in the study. Age less than 15 years, Patients with surgical or traumatic antecedents in sinonasal region, invasive fungal sinusitis, sinonasal malignancies, history of previous sinonasal surgery and those who did not give consentwere excluded from the study. All patients underwent plain non contrast computer tomography (NCCT) of Nose and Paranasal sinuses using 64 slice MDCT scanner (Somatom Perspective, Siemens, Erlangen, Germany) to see the area of nose and PNS involved using standard protocol of the institute from skull base to angle of mouth in supine position using 2 mm slice thickness and 32 x 0.2 mm collimation. CT scan was done both for bony and soft tissue window. The anatomical variations of the nose and paranasal sinus was noted and the data was entered in microsoft excel and was exported to Microsoft SPSS 19 for analysis. Frequency, percentage, mean, sensitivity and specificity were calculated.

RESULTS

A total of 124 patients was included in the study. Out of which 68 (54.76%) were men and 56 (45.24%) were female. The age of patients with CRS ranged from 15-75 years. Highest number of patients with CRS was in the range of 35-44 years.

Table 1: Age distribution of patients with chronic rhinosinusitis.

Age	Number	Percentage (%)
15-24	2	1.61
25-34	24	19.35
35-44	36	29.03
45-54	20	16.12
55-64	15	12.09
65-75	27	21.77

Table 2: Sex distribution of patients with chronicrhinosinusitis.

Sex	Number	Percentage (%)
Male	68	54.76
Female	56	45.24

Table 3: Signs and symptoms of patients with chronic rhinosinusitis.

Signs and symptoms	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Nasal obstruction	118	95.16
Headache	109	87.90
Nasal discharge	85	68.54
Facial Fullness	24	19.35
Sneezing	47	37.90
Hyposmia	59	47.58
Anosmia	18	14.51

Most of the patients had symptoms of nasal obstruction (95.16%) followed by headache (87.90%) and nasal discharge (68.54%).





rhinosinusitis			
CT findings	Frequency	Percentage (%)	
DNS	84	67.74	
IT hypertrophy	63	50.80	
Concha bullosa	43	34.67	
Nasal Polyp	57	45.96	
Variation in uncinate process	19	15.32	
Septal spur	10	8.06	
Agger Nasi cell	3	2.41	
Haller cell	2	1.61	
Onodi Cell	2	1.61	
Pneumatization of nasal septum	2	1.61	
No variation	11	8.87	

Table 4: CT scan findings in patients with chronic

On computer tomography deviated nasal septum was seen in 63.70% more common on the left side (30.64%), inferior turbinate hypertrophy in 50.80%, concho bullosa was seen in 36.29% more common on the left side (14.51%), nasal polyp in 45.96% more common on the right side 20.16%.

Table 5: Clinical examinations findings in patients withchronic rhinosinusitis.		
Finding	Number	Percentage (%)
Facial tenderness	40	32.25
Post nasal drip	55	44.35

On clinical examination most of the patients had post nasal drip (44.35%) followed by facial tenderness (32.25%).

Table 6: Laterality of CT findings in patients with CRS		
Findings	Frequency	Percentage
DNS		
No	40	32.25
Left	38	30.64
Right	30	24.19
S-shaped	16	12.90
Concha bullosa		
No	81	65.32
Left	18	14.51
Right	16	12.90
Bilateral	9	7.25
Polyp		
Left	9	7.25
Right	25	20.16
Bilateral	23	18.54
Absent	67	54.03

 Table 7 : Mucosal thickening in different sinuses in CT scan

 of nationts with chronic rhipscinusitis

Mucosal thickening	Total	Percentage (%)	
Maxillary sinuses	113	91.12	
Ethmoid sinuses	84	67.74	
Sphenoid sinuses	69	55.64	
Frontal sinuses	67	54.03	

Mucosal thickening was more common in maxillary sinus (91.12%) followed by ethmoidal (67.74%), spheniod (55.64%) and frontal (54.03%).

DISCUSSION

To perform endoscopic sinus surgery a detail knowledge about the variation of nose and paranasal sinus is beneficial. Computer tomography of nose and paranasal sinus is performed by otorhinolaryngologist to delineate the anatomy of sinus, identifying the anatomical variations, severity of disease and planning for the surgery.

Male predominance was seen in our study. It showed male is to female ratio of 1.21:1 which was similar to study conducted by Pandey et al $(1.5:1)^5$ and K Sinha et al $(1.6:1)^6$.

Out of 124 patients in our study, 113 patients (91.12%) had at least one type of variation while 11 patients (8.87%) had no variations. Our study is similar to the study by Karygusuz et al in which the anatomical variations was noted in 89.4% of the patients.

In our study population the most common presentation was nasal obstruction (95.16%) which was followed by headache (87.90%) and nasal discharge (68.54%). Our study is in accordance with the study conducted by Asruddin et al⁷ where nasal blockage and nasal discharge was the most frequent symptom followed by headache. Similarly, study by Pandey et al⁵ revealed nasal discharge, headache and nasal blockage as the major presenting symptom in patients with CRS. In the study conducted by Kirtane et al⁸ the commonest complaint was nasal discharge occurring in 25 patients (78.1%) followed by headache in 22 patients (68.7%) and nasal obstruction in 22 (68.7%).

Our studies showed deviated nasal septum as the most common anatomical variations which accounted for 67.74% followed by inferior turbinated hypertrophy (50.80%) and concha bullosa (34.67%). Our study is supported by studies conducted by Stallman Et al⁹ in which they found 60% and in Mamatha et al¹⁰ it was 65%. Similary the study conducted by Kundan et al¹¹ in Nepal showed DNS in 64.50% of the population. Whereas the prevalence of DNS in study conducted by Dutra et al¹² and Dua et al¹³ was low. The prevalence of concha bullosa was 26% in a study conducted by Katra A. Shpilberget al.¹⁴The variations ranges from 14-67.5%. ¹⁵⁻²⁰

The prevalence of high deviated nasal septum might be associated with geographic variation and the other reason may be the deviation of nasal septum leading to defective mucocilliary clearance and obstructed osteomeatal complex which finally leading to chronic rhinosinusitis.

DNS was common on the left side 30.86%, right side was 24.19%, S shaped DNS was seen in 12.90% while no DNS was



seen in 32.25%. Similar results were seen in studies conducted by Kamble et al which showed 31.5% left sided DNS and S shaped DNS was seen in 3.5% of the population.²¹ Maxilliary sinus was the most commonly involved sinus accounting for 91.12% followed by ethmoidal sinus 67.74%, sphenoid sinus 55.64% and frontal sinus 54.03%. This study is supported by study conducted by Nair et al²² where maxillary sinus involvement was seen in 72.90%, ethmoidal sinus 65.80%, sphenoid sinus 35% and frontal sinus 55%. This study is also supported by Dar Lone in which maxilliary sinusitis was the most common presentation with 70.31%.²³

CONCLUSION

CT scan is the most common tool to know the anatomical variations of the nose and para nasal sinuses. Moreover it is highly recommended for the patients suffering from chronic rhinosinusitis. CT scan provides all the sinonasal variations and then it becomes easier for the treating physician for better surgical planning and overall management of chronic rhinosinusitis.

RECOMMENDATION

Computer tomography of nose and para nasal sinus is highly

REFERENCES

- Wani AA, Kanotra S, Lateef M, Ahmad R, Qazi SM, Ahmad S. CT scan evaluation of the anatomical variations of the ostiomeatal complex. Indian J Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2009 Sep;61(3):163–8. PMID: 23120628, PMCID: PMC3449989, DOI: 10.1007/s12070-009-0059-8
- Benninger MS, Ferguson BJ, Hadley JA, Hamilos DL, Jacobs M, Kennedy DW, et al. Adult chronic rhinosinusitis: definitions, diagnosis, epidemiology, and pathophysiology. Otolaryngol--Head Neck Surg Off J Am AcadOtolaryngol-Head Neck Surg. 2003 Sep;129(3):31–2. PMID: 12958561,DOI: 10.1016/s0194-5998(03)01397-4
- Ludwick JJ, Taber KH, Manolidis S, Sarna A, Hayman LA. A computed tomographic guide to endoscopic sinus surgery: axial and coronal views. J Comput Assist Tomogr 2002; 26: 317-22. DOI: 10.1097/00004728-200203000-00026
- Kantarci M, Karasen RM, Alper F, et al. Remarkable anatomic variations in paranasal sinus region and their clinical importance. Eur J Radiol 2004; 50:296–302. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejrad.2003.08.012
- 5. Pandey A, Nigam R, Jain AK. A Comparative Study of Radiological and Endoscopic Findings in Paranasal Sinus Disease. 2014;2(3C):1053–8.
- Sinha DK. Nasal and sinus endoscopy in opaque maxillary antrum. Indian J Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 1993 Sep 1;45(3):145–6. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1007/BF03054717
- Asruddin, Yadav SPS, Yadav RK, Singh J. Low dose ct in chronic sinusitis. Indian J Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 1999 Dec 1;52(1):17–22. doi: 10.1007/BF02996425
- Nayak S, Kirtane MV, Ingle MV. Functional endoscopic sinus surgery--II (Apreliminarystudy). JPostgrad Med. 1991 Jan; 37(1):31–4. PMID: 1941689
- 9. Stallman JS, Lobo JN, Som PM. The incidence of concha bullosa and its relationship to nasal septal deviation and paranasal sinus disease. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol. 2004 Oct;25(9):1613–8. PMID: 15502150
- Mamatha H, Shamasundar NM, Bharathi MB, Prasanna LC. Variations of Ostiomeatal Complex and its Applied Anatomy: a CT Scan Study. Indian J Sci Technol. 2010 Aug 1;3(8):904–7. DOI: 10.17485/ijst/2010/v3i8.17
- Shrestha, K. K., Acharya, K., Joshi, R., Maharjan, S., & Adhikari, D. (2019). Anatomical Variations of the Paranasal Sinuses and the Nasal Cavity. Nepal Medical College Journal, 21(1), 7–11. https://doi.org/ 10.3126/nmcj.v21i1.24837
- 12. Dias Dutra L, Marchiori E. Helical computed tomography of the paranasal sinuses in children: evaluation of sinus inflammatoy

recommended in patients suffering from chronic rhino sinusitis. It provides all the anatomical variation and helps the surgeon for surgical planning and minimizing complication in the patients.

LIMITATION OF THE STUDY

This study was conducted in a small group of population attending ENT OPD of Birat Medical College and Teaching Hospital with features of chronic rhino sinusitis. Similar study has to be conducted in large population to know the more about the anatomical variation of nose and para nasal sinuses.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author would like to thank all the faculty members of Department of Otorhinolaryngology and Radiology for the constant support and motivation during the research period. The author would also like to acknowledge all the participants without whom the study would not have been possible.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

None

diseases. Radiol Bras. 2002 Jun 1;35:161-9.doi: 10.1590/0100-3984.2019.52.3e3

- Dua K, Chopra H, Khurana A, Munjal M. CT scan variations in chronic sinusitis. Indian J Radiol Imaging. 2005;15(3):315.doi: 10.1007/s12070-014-0734-2
- Shpilberg KA, Daniel SC, Doshi AH, Lawson W, Som PM. CT of Anatomic Variants of the Paranasal Sinuses and Nasal Cavity: Poor Correlation With Radiologically Significant Rhinosinusitis but Importance in Surgical Planning. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 2015 Jun;204(6):1255-60. doi: 10.2214/AJR.14.13762. PMID: 26001236.
- Azila A, Irfan M, Rohaizan Y, et al. The prevalence of anatomical variations in osteomeatal unit in patients with chronic rhinosinusitis. Med J Malaysia 2011; 66:191–194.PMID:22111438
- Stallman JS, Lobo JN, Som PM. The incidence of concha bullosa and its relationship to nasal septal deviation and paranasal sinus disease. AJNR 2004; 25:1613–1618. PMID: 15502150
- Fadda GL, Rosso S, Aversa S, et al. Multiparametric statistical correlations between paranasal sinus anatomic variations and chronic rhinosinusitis. ActaOtorhinolaryngolltal 2012; 32:244–251. PMID:23093814
- 19. Nouraei SA, Elisay AR, Dimarco A, et al. Variations in paranasal sinus anatomy: implications for the pathophysiology of chronic rhinosinusitis and safety of endoscopic sinus surgery. J Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2009; 38:32–37.PMID: 19344611
- Alkire BC, Bhattacharyya N. An assessment of sinonasal anatomic variants potentially associated with recurrent acute rhinosinusitis. Laryngoscope 2010; 120:631–634.https://doi.org/10.1002/lary.20804
- Braun H, Stammberger H. Pneumatization of turbinates. Laryngoscope 2003;113:668–672 https://doi.org/10.1097/00005537-200304000-00016
- 21. Kamble A. Anatomical Variations of the paranasal sinuses in chronic rhinosinusitis. J Pharm Biol Sci. 2016 Aug;11(4):20–3.
- Nair S. Correlation between symptoms and radiological findings in patients of chronic rhinosinusitis: a modified radiological typing system. Rhinology. 2009 Jun;47(2):181–6. PMID: 19593976
- AU Dar, Abdul K. AU Lone, Azad H. TI Demographic Study of Sinusitis in patients visiting government unani hospital and Srinagar and aysh center in Kashmir. Medical Journal of Islamic World Academy of Sciences, 2013 21(3):115.

1735 Birat Journal of Health Sciences Vol.7/No.1/Issue 17/January - April, 2022

