Thermodynamic, Structural and Surface Properties of Liquid Al-Sr Alloy at Different Temperatures

P. Oli^{1,2,3}, D. K. Sah^{1,2,3}, U. Mehta², R. K. Gohivar², S. K. Yadav²* ¹Central Department of Physics, Tribhuvan University, Kirtipur, Nepal.

²Department of Physics, Mahendra Morang Adarsh Multiple Campus,

Tribhuvan University, Biratnagar, Nepal.

³Department of Physics, Mechi Multiple Campus, Tribhuvan University, Bhadrapur, Nepal.

*Corresponding Author: sashit.yadav@mmamc.tu.edu.np

Abstract

Thermodynamic functions like excess Gibbs free energy of mixing (ΔG_M^{xs}) and activity (*a*) of liquid Al-Sr alloy were studied in the temperature range 1323-1623 K in the frame work of Redlich-Kister (R-K) polynomial. The compositional and temperature dependence of structural functions like concentration fluctuation in long wavelength limit ($S_{CC}(0)$), Warren-Cowley short range order parameter (α_1) and ratio of mutual to intrinsic diffusion coefficients (D_M/D_{id}) were computed and analysed using the same approach. The surface tension (σ) and surface segregation (x_i^s) tendencies of the atoms in the liquid mixture were computed and studied using Butler's model. Present investigations revealed that association tendency among the atoms of metallic mixture gradually decreased with increase in temperature.

Keywords: self-association, ordering nature, ideal mixing, surface concentration, bulk phase. **Introduction**

The knowledge of mixing properties of liquid alloys plays an important role in the fabrication of new materials with reliable characteristics. The thermodynamic and structural properties of initial melt of the alloys provide information about the types of preferable associations among the constituent atoms, called 'pseudomolecules' or 'clusters' or 'complexes' (Yadav, Jha, and Adhikari 2015). Further, they help to obtain information related to the existence of different stable complexes, their respective stoichiometric compositions or mole fractions and melting temperatures resulting from the solidification of melts. Similarly, the knowledge of surface properties is crucial to understand the physical processes like wettability, crystal growth, nucleation, gas absorption, etc. (Yadav, Jha, and Adhikari 2016). Hence, thermodynamic (Dhungana, Yadav, and Adhikari 2020; Flory 1942; Gohivar et al. 2021; Jordan 1970; Sah, Adhikari, and Yadav 2023; Wang, Jin, and Du 2003; Yadav 2023a, 2023b; Yadav et al. 2016), structural (Bhatia and Hargrove 1974; Gohivar et al. 2021; Ruppersberg and Reiter 1982; Yadav 2023b, 2023a; Yadav et al. 2016) and surface (Butler 1932; Kaptay 2015; Yadav 2023b; Yadav et al. 2016) properties of binary liquid alloys have been studied by several researchers employing different approaches.

Literature Review

In this regard, a few of mixing properties of liquid Al-Sr alloy were estimated and analyzed at different or higher temperatures on the basis of different theoretical models. Consequently, the thermodynamic properties of the system have been studied by many researchers (Alcock and Itkin 1989; Chartrand and Pelton 1994; Liang et al. 2014; Srikanth and Jacob 1991; Wang et al. 2003; Yi et al. 2020; Zhong et al. 2004) and recently, Yi et al. (Yi et al. 2020) have presented a brief review of literature related to the system. They calculated the phase diagrams and thermodynamic properties of the Al-Si-Sr ternary system and constituent Al-Si, Si-Sr and Al-Sr

subsystems. For the purpose, they optimised the linear temperature-dependent (T-dependent) parameters of excess Gibbs free energy of mixing (ΔG_M^{XS}) for Si-Sr, and those of Al-Sr and Al-Si were taken from the literature. The optimised self-consistent linear T-dependent parameters of ΔG_{M}^{ss} for Al-Sr system presented by Zhong et al. (2004) (Zhong et al. 2004) were considered in the present study. The thermodynamic properties, such as ΔG_M^{xs} and activities $(a_{Al} \text{ and } a_{Sr})$, and structural properties, such as $S_{CC}(0)$, short range order parameter (α_1) and ratio of diffusion coefficients (D_M/D_{id}) were calculated using Redlich-Kister (R-K) polynomial (Gohivar et al. 2021; Redlich and Kister 1948; Yadav 2023b). Butler's model (Butler 1932; Kaptay 2015; Yadav 2023b) was used to calculate the surface characteristics of the alloy, such as surface tension and tendency of surface segregation of atoms. The above mentioned properties of the system were investigated at temperatures 1323 K, 1423 K, 1523 K and 1623 K.

Materials and Method

Thermodynamic and structural functions

In the framework of R-K polynomial, the expression for ΔG_M^{xs} of the binary system can be given as (Dhungana et al. 2020; Gohivar et al. 2021; Redlich and Kister 1948; Yadav 2023b)

$$\Delta G_M^{xs} = x_i x_j \sum_{\varphi=0}^n L_{\varphi} \left(x_i - x_j \right)^{\varphi}$$

(1)

where x_i and x_j (=1 - x_j) are the mole fractions of components A (=Al) and B (=Sr) of the A-B type liquid alloy. L_{φ} are the linear T-dependent coefficients of R-K polynomial and can be expressed in the form as

$$L_{\varphi} = a_{\varphi} - b_{\varphi}T \tag{2}$$

Herein, a_{φ} and b_{φ} are enthalpy part (ΔH_M in J mol⁻¹) and entropy part (ΔS_M^{XS} in J mol⁻¹K⁻¹) of ΔG_M^{xs} . Eq. (2) is equivalent to the standard thermodynamic relation as $\Delta G_M^{xs} = \Delta H_M - T \Delta S_M^{xs}$. With the help of Eq. (2), Eq. (1) can be extended as (Dhungana et al. 2020; Gohivar et al. 2021)

$$\Delta G_M^{XS} = x_i x_j \sum_{\varphi=0}^n (a_\varphi - b_\varphi T) (x_i - x_j)^{\varphi}$$
(3)
The Gibbs free energy of mixing (ΔG_M) can be related to ΔG_M^{XS} as

$$\Delta G_M = \Delta G_M^{XS} + RT(x_i \ln x_i + x_i \ln x_i)$$
(4)

$$\Delta G_M = \Delta G_M^{AS} + RT(x_i \ln x_i + x_j \ln x_j)$$

where R (in Jmol⁻¹K⁻¹) real gas constant and T (in K) is absolute temperature. The partial excess Gibbs free energy (ΔG_i^{xs}) of each component in the metallic solution can be given as

$$\Delta G_i^{xs} = \Delta G_M^{xs} + \sum_{j=1}^2 \left(\delta_{ij} - x_j \right) \frac{\partial \Delta G_M^{xs}}{\partial x_j}$$
(5)

where δ_{ij} is Kronecker delta symbol and for i = j, $\delta_{ij} = 1$ and for $i \neq j$, $\delta_{ij} = 0$. Using Eq. (3) in Eq. (5), the relations for ΔG_i^{xs} (*i*=Al) and ΔG_i^{xs} (*j*=Sr) can be obtained as

$$\Delta G_i^{xs} = x_j^2 \sum_{\varphi=0}^n L_{\varphi} [(1+2\varphi)x_i - x_j] (x_i - x_j)^{\varphi-1}$$
(6)
and $\Delta G_j^{xs} = x_i^2 \sum_{\varphi=0}^n L_{\varphi} [x_i - x_j(1+2\varphi)] (x_i - x_j)^{\varphi-1}$ (7)

The activities $(a_i \text{ and } a_j)$ of the atoms in the liquid alloy then can be calculated by using the relations (Yadav 2023b, 2023a)

$$a_{i} = x_{i} \exp\left(\frac{\Delta G_{i}^{xs}}{RT}\right)$$
(9)
and $a_{j} = x_{j} \exp\left(\frac{\Delta G_{j}^{xs}}{RT}\right)$ (10)

Knowledge of structural functions aids comprehension of the local arrangement of atoms in a liquid mixture. Among them, the concentration fluctuation in long wave length limit $(S_{CC}(0))$ can be expressed in terms of ΔG_M by standard relations (Bhatia and Hargrove 1974; Yadav 2023a; Yadav et al. 2016)

$$S_{CC}(0) = RT \left(\frac{\partial^2 \Delta G_M}{\partial x_1^2}\right)_{T,P}^{-1}$$
(11)

Using Eqs. (3) and (4) in Eq. (11) and putting $\varphi = 0,1$ and 2, one can obtain (Gohivar et al. 2021)

$$S_{CC}(0) = \frac{RT}{\left[-2L_0 + (-12x_i + 6)L_1 + (-48x_i^2 + 48x_i - 10)L_2 + \frac{RT}{x_i x_j}\right]}$$
(12)

The ideal value of $S_{CC}(0)$ can be obtained using the relation $S_{CC}^{id}(0) = x_i x_j$ (13)

Another structural function, Warren-Cowley short range order parameter (α_1) for binary liquid alloy is calculated using the expression (Cowley 1950; Ruppersberg and Reiter 1982; Yadav 2023a; Yadav et al. 2016)

$$\alpha_1 = \frac{S-1}{S(Z-1)+1} \text{ with } S = \frac{S_{CC}(0)}{S_{CC}^{id}(0)}$$
(14)

where Z (=10) is coordination number.

Surface properties

In surface properties, surface tension (σ) of the system and surface concentrations (x_i^S) of its components were estimated on the basis of Butler model (Butler 1932; Kaptay 2008, 2015, 2016; Yadav et al. 2016). The expression of σ for the binary liquid alloy can be given as

$$\sigma = \sigma_i^0 + \frac{RT}{A_i} \left[\ln x_i^S - \ln x_i \right] + \frac{\Delta G_{s,i}^{xs} - \Delta G_i^{xs}}{A_i}$$
(15)

where σ_i^0 (i = 1, 2) is the surface tension, A_i is the molar surface area and x_i^S and x_i are the surface and bulk concentrations of atom i in the surface phase and bulk phase of the liquid alloy respectively. $\Delta G_{s,i}^{xs}$ is the surface partial excess Gibb's free energy of component i in the liquid mixture. The expression relating $\Delta G_{s,i}^{xs}$ and $\Delta G_{s,i}^{xs}$ is given as

$$\Delta G_{s,i}^{xs} = \beta \Delta G_i^{xs} \tag{16}$$

where β is constant and $\beta = 0.8181$ (Kaptay 2008, 2015; Yadav 2023b) is taken for calculations in present work. The molar surface area of the atom *i* is calculated by the relation

$$A_{i} = 1.00 \left(V_{i}^{0} \right)^{2/3} (N_{A})^{1/3}$$
(17)

where V_i^0 is the molar volume of pure atom *i* and N_A is the Avogadro's number. The molar volume (V_i^0) of pure atom *i* is calculated by taking the ratio of its mass (m_i) and density (ρ_i^0) at required temperature (T_K) . The temperature dependence expressions of σ_i^0 and ρ_i^0 can be given as (Brandes and Brook 2013)

$$\sigma_i^0 = \sigma_0 + (T_K - T_0) \frac{d\sigma}{dt} \text{ and } \rho_i^0 = \rho_0 + (T_K - T_0) \frac{d\rho}{dt}$$
(18)

where σ_0 and ρ_0 are the surface tension and density of the pure atom *i* at its melting temperature, T_0 is the melting temperature of the pure atom and $\frac{d\sigma}{dt}$ and $\frac{d\rho}{dt}$ are the temperature derivative terms of surface tension and density respectively.

Results and discussion

The knowledge of ΔG_M^{xs} provides insight into the strength of interaction between complex atoms in alloys. The values of ΔG_M^{xs} have been estimated throughout the whole concentration range at 1323-1623 K using Equations (1-3) and the parameters displayed in Table 1. The Tdependent plots of ΔG_M^{xs} for fixed composition ratios are displayed in Figure 1. The optimum negative value of $\Delta G_M^{xs} = -11.3735$ kJmol⁻¹ at $x_{Al} = 0.6$ and 1323 K, being its melting temperature. Thus, the system is found to be asymmetric in terms of ΔG_M^{xs} and weakly interacting in nature. The negative values of ΔG_M^{xs} gradually decrease with increase in temperature of the system above its melting temperature, Figure 1. As a result, the system's tendency to produce compounds gradually declines at high temperatures.

Table 1:

Self-consistent parameters for ΔG_M^{xs} (Zhong et al. 2004)

 $L_{\varphi} \text{ [Jmol}^{-1}\text{K}^{-1}\text{]}$ $L_{0} = -74839 + 23.686 * T$ $L_{1} = -31790 + 8.549 * T$ $L_{2} = +10683 - 4.130 * T$

Figure 1:

Computed values of ΔG_M^{xs} for Al-Sr liquid alloy at different temperatures.



Activity of binary liquid alloy is an important function that gives knowledge related to the stability of the complexes formed. The partial excess Gibbs free energies of elements Al (ΔG_{Al}^{xs}) and Sr (ΔG_{Sr}^{xs}) of the liquid mixture have been calculated using Equations (6) and (7), and parameters of Table 1. These values are then used in Equations (9) and (10) to estimate the activities of components Al (a_{Al}) and Sr (a_{Sr}) at different compositions and temperatures. The obtained values are displayed as a function of concentration in Figure 2.

The estimated values of a_{Al} demonstrate negative deviations from ideal values at all compositions at the melting temperature, 1323 K (Figure 2). But those of a_{Sr} deviate negatively from ideal values in the range $x_{Al} > 0.2$ whereas show positive deviation in the rest of the concentrations ($x_{Al} < 0.2$). These results indicate that the system shows a compound-forming tendency in the former concentration range and a demixing tendency in the later concentration range. The activities of both components rise steadily as their bulk concentrations increase. Furthermore, the values of a_{Al} are observed to gradually grow and approach ideal values as the system's temperature increases. The values of a_{Sr} gradually increase in the range $x_{Al} > 0.2$ and decrease in the range $x_{Al} < 0.2$ at elevated temperatures, Figure 2. The present investigations show that strength of interaction between the atoms of the complexes of the system gradually decrease when its temperature is increased beyond melting temperature. Moreover, the system showed ideal mixing behaviour at higher temperatures, as expected.





To gain deeper insight into the interacting nature of the system, the structural functions have been determined and studied. The values of $S_{CC}(0)$ have been determined using Equations (12) and (13) and the parameters in Table 1. The computed values of $S_{CC}(0)$ along with its ideal values ($S_{CC}^{id}(0)$) at different temperatures are displayed in Figure 3.

Figure 3:

Computed values of $S_{CC}(0)$ for Al-Si liquid alloy versus x_{Al} at different temperatures.



At a given temperature and concentration, if $S_{CC}(0) < S_{CC}^{id}(0)$, then hetero-atomic pairing or compound forming tendency or ordering nature in the liquid alloy is expected. Under the same conditions, if $S_{CC}(0) > S_{CC}^{id}(0)$, then homo-atomic pairing or self-association or segregating nature in the system is expected (Sah et al. 2023; Yadav 2023b; Yadav et al. 2016). The perusal of Figure 3 shows that the computed values of $S_{CC}(0) < S_{CC}^{id}(0)$ in the concentration range $x_{Al} > 0.2$ and $S_{CC}(0) > S_{CC}^{id}(0)$ for $x_{Al} < 0.2$ at all preferred temperatures. Thus, the system

shows ordering nature in the former concentration range and segregating nature later. These results are in accordance with those obtained from the investigation of activity above. Additionally, the system shows transformation of phase from segregating to ordering at $x_{Al} = 0.2$. The computed values $S_{CC}(0)$ gradually get closer to ideal values at higher temperatures.

The previous results can be enhanced by studying the Warren-Cowley short range order parameter (α_1). The vales of α_1 are calculated using Equation (13) with the aid of estimated values of $S_{CC}(0)$ and are plotted in Figure 4.

Figure 4:

Compositional and temperature dependence of α_1 *for Al-Sr liquid alloy.*



The values of α_1 can be less than zero, greater than zero and zero depending upon the nature of alloy. For constrained conditions (given temperature and composition), if $\alpha_1 < 0$, then it indicate hetero-atomic pairing tendency, if $\alpha_1 > 0$, then it indicates homo-atomic pairing tendency, and if $\alpha_1 = 0$, then it indicates ideal mixing tendency of the liquid alloy. From Figure 4, it is observed that the computed values of $\alpha_1 < 0$ in $x_{Al} > 0.2$ and $\alpha_1 > 0$ in $x_{Al} < 0.2$. Moreover, the positive and negative values of α_1 get closed to zero at higher temperatures indicating the similar results as predicted by the thermodynamic and other structural functions above.

Table 2:

Input parameters for surface tension (Brandes and Brook 2013)

Atom	<i>T</i> ₀ [K]	$\frac{\rho_0}{[\text{kgm}^{-3}]}$	$d\rho/dT$ [kgm ⁻³ K ⁻¹]	σ_0 [Nm ⁻¹]	$d\sigma/dT$ [Nm ⁻¹ K ⁻¹]
Al	933	2385	-0.35	0.914	-0.00035
Sr	1043	2370	-0.26	0.303	-0.00010

The surface tensions (σ_{Al} and σ_{Sr}) and volumes (V_{Al} and V_{Sr}) of pure atoms Al and Sr of the system have been calculated with the aid of Equation (18) and input ingredients of Table 2. The volume of each element of the liquid alloy gradually rises as the temperature ascended. The surface tension of each pure component gradually decreases at elevated temperatures (Figure 5).

Figure 5:

Surface tensions (σ_{Al} and σ_{Sr}) and volumes (V_{Al} and V_{Sr}) of Al and Sr at different temperatures.



The surface tension (σ_{Al-Sr}) and surface concentrations $(x_{Al}^S \text{ and } x_{Sr}^S)$ of atoms in the metallic solution have been determined using Equations (15)-(18) and input ingredients from Table 2. The computed values x_{Al}^S and x_{Sr}^S are displayed in Figure 6 and those of σ_{Al-Sr} are plotted in Figure 7.

Figure 6:

Temperature and composition dependent values of x_{Al}^{S} and x_{Sr}^{S} in Al-Sr liquid alloy.



Figure 6 indicates that the value of x_{Sr}^S is found to be more than the bulk concentration of Sr, while x_{Al}^S is found to be smaller than the respective bulk concentration at elevated temperatures. These findings demonstrate that the atoms of Sr segregate in the surface phase whereas those of Al remain in the bulk phase of the liquid mixture because the surface tension of the former is found to be lower than that of the latter. Moreover, with the increase in temperature of the system both x_{Al}^S and x_{Sr}^S get closure to their respective ideal values (Figure 6). Figure 7 indicates that the surface tension of the liquid alloy reduces steadily as the temperature increased. This could be attributed to a drop in the system's cohesive energy, with the predicted results.



Figure 7: Temperature dependence of σ_{Al-Sr} of Al-Sr liquid alloy.

Conclusion and Recommendations

The greatest compound formation tendency of the Al-Sr liquid alloy was observed at its melting temperature of 1323 K. However, the respective tendency steadily lessened as the system's temperature increased. The Sr atoms separated in the surface phase, while the Al atoms remained in the bulk phase of the system. As the temperature increased, the system's surface tension progressively dropped. The thermodynamic, structural, and surface properties all indicated the similar findings. The present investigations can be further extended to calculate to explain the structural and mechanical stabilities, electronic properties and transport properties of the system using first principle calculations.

References

- Alcock, C. B., and V. P. Itkin. 1989. "The AI-Sr (Aluminum-Strontium) System." Bulletin of Alloy Phase Diagrams 10(6):624–30.
- Bhatia, A. B., and W. H. Hargrove. 1974. "Concentration Fluctuations and Thermodynamic Properties of Some Compound Forming Binary Molten Systems." *Physical Review B* 10(8):3186–96. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevB.10.3186.
- Brandes, E. A., and G. B. Brook. 2013. Smithells Metals Reference Book. Elsevier.
- Butler, J. A. V. 1932. "The Thermodynamics of the Surfaces of Solutions." Pp. 348–75 in *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London A*. Vol. 135.
- Chartrand, P., and A. D. Pelton. 1994. "Critical Evaluation and Optimization of the Thermodynamic Properties and Phase Diagrams of the Al-Mg, Al-Sr, Mg-Sr, and Al-Mg-Sr S y s t e m S." *Basic and Applied Research* 15(6):591–605.
- Cowley, J. M. 1950. "An Approximate Theory of Order in Alloys." *Physical Review* 77(5):669–75. doi: 10.1103/PhysRev.77.669.
- Dhungana, A., S. K. Yadav, and D. Adhikari. 2020. "Thermodynamic and Surface Properties of Al-Li-Mg Liquid Alloy." *Physica B: Condensed Matter* 598:412461. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physb.2020.412461.
- Flory, P. J. 1942. "Thermodynamics of High Polymer Solutions." *The Journal of Chemical Physics* 10(1):51–61.
- Gohivar, R. K., S. K. Yadav, R. P. Koirala, and D. Adhikari. 2021. "Assessment of Thermo-Structural Properties of Al-Fe and Fe-Si Alloys at High Temperatures." *Physics and Chemistry of Liquids* 59(5):679–89.

- Jordan, A. S. 1970. "A Theory of Regular Associated Solutions Applied to the Liquidus Curves of the Zn-Te and Cd-Te Systems." *Metallurgical Transactions* 1(1):239–49. doi: 10.1007/BF02819267.
- Kaptay, G. 2008. "A Unified Model for the Cohesive Enthalpy, Critical Temperature, Surface Tension and Volume Thermal Expansion Coefficient of Liquid Metals of Bcc, Fcc and Hcp Crystals." *Materials Science and Engineering A* 495(1–2):19–26. doi: 10.1016/j.msea.2007.10.112.
- Kaptay, G. 2015. "Partial Surface Tension of Components of a Solution." *Langmuir* 31:5796-5804.
- Kaptay, G. 2016. "Modelling Equilibrium Grain Boundary Segregation, Grain Boundary Energy and Grain Boundary Segregation Transition by the Extended Butler Equation." *Journal of Materials Science* 51:1738–55.
- Liang, Song-mao, Michael Engstler, Veronika Groten, Jenifer Barrirero, Andreas Bührigpolaczek, and Rainer Schmid-fetzer. 2014. "Key Experiments and Thermodynamic Revision of the Binary Al-Sr System." *Journal of Alloys and Compounds*. doi: 10.1016/j.jallcom.2014.05.018.
- Redlich, O., and A. Kister. 1948. "Thermodynamics of Nonelectrolyte Solutions x-y-t Relations in a Binary System, Indust." *Engineeing Chemistry* 40:345.
- Ruppersberg, H., and H. Reiter. 1982. "Chemical Short-Range Order in Liquid LiPb Alloys." Journal of Physics F: Metal Physics 12(7):1311.
- Sah, D. K., D. Adhikari, and S. K. Yadav. 2023. "Temperature-Dependence of Mixing Properties of Cu-Ti Liquid Alloy." *Adhyayan Journal* 10(10):1–10.
- Srikanth, Srinivasan, and K. Thomas Jacob. 1991. "Thermodynamics of Aluminium -Strontium Alloys." Zeitschrift Für Metallkunde 82:675–83. doi: 10.1515/ijmr-1991-820902.
- Wang, Chong, Zhanpeng Jin, and Yong Du. 2003. "Thermodynamic Modeling of the Al–Sr System." Journal of Alloys and Compounds 358:288–93.
- Yadav, S. K. 2023a. "Assessment of Thermodynamic and Structural Properties of Al--Er Liquid Alloy at Different Temperatures." *The Journal of Knowledge and Innovation* 66–74.
- Yadav, S. K. 2023b. "Thermodynamic, Structural and Surface Properties of Rare Earth Metallic Alloys: Au-La Liquid System." *BIBECHANA* 20(3):316–25.
- Yadav, S. K., L. N. Jha, and D. Adhikari. 2015. "Thermodynamic and Structural Properties of Bi-Based Liquid Alloys." *Physica B: Physics of Condensed Matter* 475:40–47. doi: 10.1016/j.physb.2015.06.015.
- Yadav, S. K., L. N. Jha, and D. Adhikari. 2016. "Thermodynamic, Structural, Transport and Surface Properties of Pb- Tl Liquid Alloy." *BIBECHANA* 13:100–113. doi: 10.3126/bibechana.v13i0.13443.
- Yi, Wang, Jianbao Gao, Ying Tang, and Lijun Zhang. 2020. "Calphad Thermodynamic Descriptions of Ternary Al – Si – Sr System Supported by Key Experiments." *Calphad: Computer Coupling of Phase Diagrams and Thermochemistry* 68:101732.
- Zhong, Yu, C. Wolverton, Y. Austin Chang, and Zi-kui Liu. 2004. "A Combined CALPHAD / First-Principles Remodeling of the Thermodynamics of Al – Sr : Unsuspected Ground State Energies by " Rounding up the (Un) Usual Suspects ."" Acta Materialia 52:2739–54. doi: 10.1016/j.actamat.2004.02.022.