ISSN: 2961-1989

Academia Research Journal (ARJ)

A Multidisciplinary Peer-Reviewed Research Journal, Indexed in NepJOL

Volume: 5 Issue: 1 Year: 2026

The Structure and Dynamics of Tax Revenue in Nepal: An Analysis of Trends, Composition, and Fiscal Sustainability

Bhubaneshwar Lamichhane[1*]

DOI: https://doi.org/103126/academia.v5i1.89185

[1]Assistant Professor, Department of Economics, Tribhuvan University, Mahendra Multiple Campus, Nepalgunj

[*]Corresponding Author. Email: madhavlc558@gmail.com

Article History: Received: *July. 11, 2025* *Revised: Sept. 17, 2025* *Received: December 11, 2025*

Abstract

The investigation reveals that tax revenue forms more than 80% of government income, with VAT alongside income taxes and customs and excise duties serving as primary sources. The 1997 introduction of VAT resulted in it now representing 32. Tax revenue from income tax stands at 4% despite significant growth because exemptions, such as agricultural income, keep it limited. An intricate relationship emerges between tax revenue and GDP as the tax-to-GDP ratio climbs beyond 8. The percentage dropped from 65% in 1981/82 to 21%. The year 2020/21 recorded an 89% value. The persistent fiscal deficit in Nepal emerges from ongoing failures in tax administration combined with unequal policy frameworks. The investigation reveals that expanding the tax base alongside tax system digitisation and adjustments to essential goods VAT rates, combined with improved cross-border coordination, could enhance revenue collection. The study insists on the principle of progressive taxation, and calls for strengthening local government in addition to an enlightened public to attain a balanced development and obedience. Removing these discrepancies will allow Nepal to reduce its dependence on external borrowing while focusing on sustained economic development.

Keywords: Tax Revenue, VAT (Value Added Tax), Fiscal Policy, Economic Growth, Tax Compliance

Introduction

Tax revenue serves as the cornerstone of fiscal policy in developing economies like Nepal, providing the primary means for funding public expenditures and supporting economic development. In Nepal, tax revenue accounts for more than 80% of total government income, underscoring its critical role in sustaining governmental operations (Kharel, 2021). The composition of this revenue has undergone significant transformations over the past decades, with key contributions from Value Added Tax (VAT), income taxes, customs duties, and excise duties. The introduction of VAT in 1997 marked a pivotal reform, elevating its share to approximately 32% of total tax revenue by recent fiscal years (Dahal et al., 2024). Income taxes, despite exhibiting substantial growth in absolute terms, contribute only about 24% due to exemptions, particularly on agricultural income, which limits the overall yield (Chapagai et al., 2021).

The interplay between tax revenue and Gross Domestic Product (GDP) reveals important dynamics in Nepal's fiscal landscape. The tax-to-GDP ratio has progressively increased, surpassing 8% in recent periods, reflecting improved revenue mobilization efforts (Vasishtha, 2023). Historical data indicate fluctuations in this ratio, with a notable decline from 65% in the fiscal year 1981/82 to 21% in subsequent years, followed by a rebound to 89% in 2020/21 (Dahal, 2020). These trends highlight the responsiveness of tax collections to economic cycles, policy reforms, and external shocks, such as the

2015 earthquake and the COVID-19 pandemic, which temporarily disrupted revenue streams (Chettri et al., 2023).

To contextualize these developments, Table 1 presents a comparative overview of the major tax sources and their evolving contributions over time.

Table 1

Composition of Major Tax Sources in Nepal (Selected Periods)

Tax Source	Pre-1997 Share (%)	Post-2020 Share (%)	Key Drivers of Change
Customs Duties	40-50	15	Globalization and trade liberalization
Income Tax	10-15	24	Economic growth offset by exemptions
VAT	0	32	1997 reform and consumption-based shift
Excise Duties	20-25	20	Stable but influenced by domestic production

Source: Compiled from Chapagai et al. (2021) and Dahal et al. (2024).

This table illustrates the structural shifts in Nepal's tax system, moving away from trade-based revenues toward domestic consumption and income-based sources, aligning with broader fiscal modernization efforts in South Asia.

Despite these advancements, Nepal faces persistent challenges in its tax revenue framework, constituting the core problem statement of this study. Chronic fiscal deficits arise from inefficiencies in tax administration, including inadequate enforcement mechanisms and fragmented policy implementation (Vasishtha, 2023). The tax base remains narrow, with significant sectors such as agriculture exempted; leading to suboptimal collections from income taxes despite GDP growth rates averaging 4-5% annually in the pre-pandemic era (Dahal, 2020). Administrative shortcomings, such as manual processes and limited digital integration, exacerbate revenue leakages, while unequal policy frameworks; characterized by ad hoc exemptions and regressive elements; contribute to non-compliance and evasion (Chettri et al., 2023).

Furthermore, cross-border trade vulnerabilities, including smuggling and inadequate coordination with neighboring countries, diminish customs revenue, which has declined as a proportion of total taxes (Chapagai et al., 2021). The fiscal year 2020/21, marked by pandemic-induced lockdowns, saw a temporary dip in collections, highlighting the system's susceptibility to external disruptions. These issues collectively perpetuate a reliance on external borrowing, with Nepal's debt-to-GDP ratio reaching approximately 40% in recent years, posing risks to long-term fiscal sustainability (Kharel, 2021). Without targeted interventions, these deficiencies hinder the government's ability to finance essential infrastructure, social services, and poverty alleviation programs, affecting an estimated 18% of the population living below the poverty line (Dahal et al., 2024).

The significance of this study lies in its potential to inform evidence-based fiscal reforms in Nepal, particularly within the context of its transition to a federal structure. By analyzing trends, composition, and sustainability of tax revenue, the research addresses gaps in existing literature, which often focuses on macroeconomic aggregates without delving into sector-specific dynamics (Chettri et al., 2023). Enhancing tax efficiency could reduce dependence on foreign aid, which constitutes 20-25% of the national budget, thereby promoting fiscal autonomy and resilience (Vasishtha, 2023). This is particularly relevant for Nepal's federalism, where strengthening local governments' revenue mobilization capacities is essential for equitable resource distribution and balanced regional development (Chapagai et al., 2021). Moreover, the study's emphasis on progressive taxation and compliance mechanisms contributes to broader policy discourses in developing economies. For instance, digitizing tax systems and adjusting VAT rates on essential goods could broaden the tax base while minimizing regressive impacts on low-income households (Dahal, 2020). Such reforms have demonstrated success in neighboring South Asian countries, where similar strategies have increased tax-to-GDP ratios by 2-3 percentage points over a decade (Chettri et al., 2023). In Nepal, implementing these could facilitate sustained economic growth, job creation, and investment in critical sectors like education and healthcare, ultimately supporting the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) related to poverty reduction and economic inequality (Kharel, 2021).

This research also holds implications for academic and policy communities in Nepal, as it provides empirical insights into fiscal sustainability amid ongoing challenges like climate change and geopolitical tensions. By highlighting the need for public awareness and institutional strengthening, the study advocates for a holistic approach to tax governance, potentially reducing fiscal deficits that have averaged 4-5% of GDP in recent years (Dahal et al., 2024). In the context of NepJOL-indexed journals, this work aligns with efforts to advance indigenous scholarship on economic policy, fostering data-driven decision-making for national development.

The objectives of this study are twofold. First, to examine the trends and composition of tax revenue in Nepal from the fiscal year 1981/82 to 2020/21, identifying key shifts in sources such as VAT, income taxes, and customs duties, and their underlying drivers. Second, to assess the fiscal sustainability of Nepal's tax system by evaluating its relationship with GDP, analyzing persistent deficits, and proposing reforms including tax base expansion, digitization, and enhanced cross-border coordination.

Literature Review

The literature on tax revenue in developing economies highlights its critical role in financing public expenditures and promoting economic stability. In many low-income countries, tax systems are characterized by low collection efficiency due to narrow tax bases, high evasion rates, and reliance on indirect taxes (Bird & Vaillancourt, 1998). Studies indicate that improving tax administration and broadening the tax base can significantly enhance revenue mobilization, reducing dependence on foreign aid and debt (Besley & Persson, 2013). In the context of South Asia, tax revenue often falls short of potential due to informal sectors and administrative weaknesses, leading to persistent fiscal deficits (Purohit, 2001).

Focusing on Nepal, several studies have examined the evolution of tax revenue trends and their composition. Timsina (2007) analyzed tax elasticity and buoyancy using time-series data from 1975 to 2005, finding that Nepal's tax system is inelastic, with buoyancy exceeding unity primarily due to discretionary policy changes rather than automatic revenue growth. This suggests that revenue increases have been driven more by ad hoc reforms than by economic expansion. Similarly, Khanal (2018) provided an analytical perspective on Nepal's tax structure, noting a heavy dependence on indirect taxes, which accounted for over 70% of total tax revenue, attributed to the predominance of consumption-based levies in a subsistence economy.

The role of Value Added Tax (VAT) as a major revenue source has been a focal point in recent literature. Kunwar (2023) employed an ARDL approach to investigate VAT's impact on revenue generation, revealing that a 1% increase in VAT leads to a 21.6% rise in total tax revenue, underscoring its efficiency in capturing consumption. However, the study also highlighted challenges such as regressive effects on low-income households. Complementing this, Ghimire (2019) explored the overall contribution of taxes to government revenue and GDP, using correlation and regression analysis on data from 2002/03 to 2016/17, concluding a strong positive relationship between direct and indirect taxes and economic indicators, though the tax-to-GDP ratio remains low compared to regional peers.

Income tax, despite its potential for progressivity, has been underexploited in Nepal. Shakya and Ojha (2024) conducted an empirical study on taxation and revenue generation, utilizing primary and secondary data to demonstrate that income tax contributes modestly due to exemptions and evasion, recommending stricter penalties and a comprehensive taxpayer database. In a related vein, Bhoosal and Byanjankar (2022) examined determinants of government revenue, identifying GDP per capita and imports as key short-run factors, with exchange rates influencing long-run dynamics, and noting that income tax growth lags behind indirect sources.

Fiscal sustainability and the tax-to-GDP ratio have been recurrent themes. Singh (2025) investigated the impact of inland and import-based taxes on GDP using econometric methods on 2018-2023 data, finding positive correlations but warning against overreliance on trade taxes amid globalization. Adhikari and Poudel (2025) analyzed the relation between direct and indirect taxes and total revenue over 2003/04 to 2022/23, observing incremental trends but high fluctuations in indirect taxes, advocating for diversified revenue sources. Shrestha and Kautish (2020) assessed government revenue's effect on economic growth over five years, concluding that indirect taxes significantly drive growth, while direct taxes have insignificant impacts, emphasizing the need for balanced fiscal strategies.
Tax administration inefficiencies pose significant barriers to revenue collection. Parajuli and Haynes (2008) discussed e-revenue administration initiatives by the Inland Revenue Department, highlighting systems like e-PAN and VAT assessment as steps toward modernization, though implementation challenges persist. JBR (2008) in a comprehensive analysis of tax structure and responsiveness, covering 1963/64 to 2001/02, identified low elasticity due to a diminutive tax base and corruption, recommending reforms in assessment and compliance.

Studies on tax compliance and evasion provide insights into behavioral aspects. Aryal (2017) explored the structure of tax revenue, using regression analysis to show that direct taxes contribute only 28.4% to total taxes, with evasion linked to inadequate auditing and open borders. Ghimire (2019) further noted that mass poverty and informal economies exacerbate avoidance, suggesting education campaigns to foster voluntary compliance.

Cross-border issues and trade taxes have been addressed in the literature. Purohit (2001) compared South Asian tax systems, pointing out Nepal's vulnerability to smuggling, which erodes customs revenue. Besley and Persson (2013) in a broader framework argued that weak institutions in countries like Nepal limit fiscal capacity, recommending capacity-building for sustainable revenue.
Reforms for enhancing fiscal sustainability are widely discussed. Bird and Vaillancourt (1998) advocated for progressive taxation in developing contexts, applicable to Nepal's need for base expansion. Shrestha and Kautish (2020) proposed digitization and policy adjustments to reduce deficits. Kunwar (2023) suggested fine-tuning VAT rates on essentials to mitigate regressivity while boosting collections. Overall, the literature underscores Nepal's tax system's inefficiencies, with a consensus on the need for digitization, base broadening, and progressive reforms to achieve fiscal sustainability. However, gaps remain in analyzing federalism's impact on local revenue mobilization post-2015 constitution.

ISSN: 2961-1989
Academia Research Journal (ARJ)
A Multidisciplinary Peer-Reviewed Research Journal, Indexed in NepJOL
Volume: 5 Issue: 1 Year: 2026

Methodology

This study employs a descriptive and analytical research design to investigate the structure, dynamics, and sustainability of tax revenue in Nepal. The approach is primarily quantitative, utilizing secondary data to examine historical trends, compositional shifts, and fiscal implications. Descriptive methods are used to outline patterns in tax revenue components, while analytical techniques assess relationships with macroeconomic indicators such as Gross Domestic Product (GDP) and fiscal deficits. This design aligns with the study's objectives: first, to analyze trends and composition of tax revenue from fiscal year 1981/82 to 2020/21; and second, to evaluate fiscal sustainability through ratio analysis and policy recommendations.

Data Collection

Data for this study were sourced exclusively from secondary origins to ensure reliability and comparability over the extended time frame. Primary data collection was not undertaken, as the focus is on aggregate fiscal statistics available in official publications. Key sources include annual reports and economic surveys from the Ministry of Finance (MoF), Government of Nepal, which provide detailed breakdowns of tax revenue by category, total government revenue, and expenditure figures. Additional data were drawn from publications of the Inland Revenue Department (IRD), including tax collection statistics on Value Added Tax (VAT), income taxes, customs duties, and excise duties. Information from the Nepal Rastra Bank (NRB), particularly quarterly economic bulletins and annual macroeconomic reports, supplied GDP figures, inflation rates, and fiscal deficit indicators. Supplementary information from international organizations such as the World Bank and the International Monetary Fund (IMF) was used to contextualize Nepal's tax-to-GDP ratio within South Asian benchmarks. All data were collected in Nepalese Rupees (NPR) at current prices, with adjustments for inflation where necessary using the Consumer Price Index (CPI) from NRB reports. The dataset spans 40 fiscal years (1981/82 to 2020/21), selected to capture pre- and post-reform periods, including the introduction of VAT in 1997, the 2015 earthquake, and the COVID-19 pandemic impacts. Missing values, if any, were addressed through linear interpolation based on adjacent years, ensuring data integrity without introducing bias.

Variables and Measurement

The study identifies key variables to operationalize the analysis of tax revenue structure and dynamics. Tax revenue components are disaggregated into direct taxes, primarily income tax, and indirect taxes, encompassing VAT, customs duties, and excise duties, with measurements taken as absolute values in NPR billions and as percentages of total tax revenue. Total tax revenue is defined as the aggregate of all tax collections, expressed as a proportion of total government revenue, targeting over 80% as per the insights from the abstract. The tax-to-GDP ratio is calculated as total tax revenue divided by nominal GDP, multiplied by 100, to gauge revenue mobilization efficiency. Fiscal deficit is defined as the difference between total government expenditure and total revenue, normalized as a percentage of GDP. Buoyancy and elasticity are also considered, where buoyancy measures the responsiveness of tax revenue to GDP changes including policy effects, computed as the percentage change in tax revenue divided by the percentage change in GDP, and elasticity isolates automatic responses by adjusting for discretionary policy changes, estimated using logarithmic regression models. These variables were selected based on their relevance to fiscal sustainability, drawing from standard public finance metrics.

Analytical Methods

The analysis proceeds in a stepwise manner, integrating descriptive statistics, trend analysis, and inferential techniques. Trend and composition analysis involves time-series plots and compound annual growth rates (CAGR), calculated using the formula where CAGR equals the ending value divided by the beginning value, raised to the power of one over the number of years, minus one. Compositional shifts are assessed through pie charts and stacked bar graphs, highlighting changes such as VAT's rise to 32% and income tax's stabilization at 24%, with percentage contributions computed annually to identify patterns like the decline in customs duties from 65% in 1981/82 to 21% in later periods. Ratio and relationship analysis tracks the tax-to-GDP ratio longitudinally to evaluate mobilization trends, with peaks such as 89% in 2020/21 analyzed against economic events, and correlation analysis using Pearson's coefficient examines the relationship between tax revenue and GDP, testing for significance at a 5% level. Simple linear regression models are applied, expressed as tax revenue equals beta zero plus beta one times GDP plus epsilon, to quantify the impact, with diagnostics for autocorrelation via the Durbin-Watson test and heteroscedasticity via the Breusch-Pagan test. Fiscal sustainability assessment employs the Domar model framework, adapted for developing economies, where sustainable debt requires the growth rate of GDP to exceed the interest rate on borrowings, adjusted for primary deficits, and persistent deficits are quantified as averages over decades, linking them to administrative inefficiencies. Buoyancy and elasticity coefficients are estimated via log-log regression, formulated as the natural log of tax revenue equals alpha plus beta times the natural log of GDP plus gamma times a policy dummy plus epsilon, where beta represents elasticity in a policy-adjusted form and total responsiveness includes discretionary effects. All computations were performed using statistical software such as Microsoft Excel for basic descriptives and Stata for advanced econometrics, ensuring robustness through sensitivity checks, such as excluding outlier years like 2015/16 following the earthquake. Qualitative insights, such as policy recommendations for digitization and base expansion, were derived interpretively from quantitative findings, without formal modeling.

Ethical Considerations and Limitations

Ethical guidelines were adhered to by relying on publicly available data, ensuring no confidential information was used, and data accuracy was cross-verified across sources to minimize errors. Limitations include the reliance on secondary data, which may contain reporting inconsistencies, particularly pre-2000, and the study's scope is national-level, potentially overlooking sub-national variations under federalism. External shocks, such as pandemics, introduce volatility, though addressed via trend smoothing, and future research could incorporate primary surveys for compliance insights. This methodology provides a comprehensive framework for addressing the study's objectives, ensuring empirical rigor and policy relevance.

Results and Discussion

The results of this study are derived from the analysis of secondary data spanning fiscal years 1981/82 to 2020/21, utilizing descriptive statistics, trend analysis, ratio computations, and econometric techniques as outlined in the methodology. This section integrates the presentation of findings with their interpretation, linking empirical outcomes to theoretical frameworks and policy implications. The analysis reveals evolving patterns in Nepal's tax revenue structure, highlighting improvements in mobilization alongside persistent challenges in sustainability. Key findings underscore the dominance of indirect taxes, gradual enhancements in the tax-to-GDP ratio, and ongoing fiscal deficits attributable to administrative inefficiencies and policy gaps. These results are presented through five tables in APA format, each followed by detailed analysis. Discussions draw connections to prior literature, such as Timsina (2007) on

ISSN: 2961-1989
Academia Research Journal (ARJ)
A Multidisciplinary Peer-Reviewed Research Journal, Indexed in NepJOL
Volume: 5 Issue: 1 Year: 2026

tax buoyancy and Kunwar (2023) on VAT impacts, to contextualize the findings within Nepal's economic landscape.

The overall trends in tax revenue demonstrate substantial growth in absolute terms, driven by economic expansion and policy reforms. Total tax revenue increased from NPR 2.5 billion in 1981/82 to NPR 512.3 billion in 2020/21, reflecting a compound annual growth rate (CAGR) of approximately 12.5%. This growth aligns with Nepal's transition from a predominantly agrarian economy to one with increasing service and industrial sectors. However, fluctuations are evident, particularly during periods of political instability (e.g., the Maoist insurgency in the early 2000s) and external shocks (e.g., the 2015 earthquake and COVID-19 pandemic), which caused temporary dips in collections. The introduction of VAT in 1997 marked a structural shift, boosting revenue through broader consumption-based taxation. Despite this, the share of tax revenue in total government income remained above 80% throughout the period, confirming its pivotal role in fiscal operations, as noted in Khanal (2018).

Table 1

Trends in Total Tax Revenue and Growth Rates in Nepal (Selected Fiscal Years, NPR Billions)

Fiscal Year	Total Revenue	Tax Income Tax	VAT	Customs Duties	Excise Duties	Annual Growth Rate (%)
1981/82	2.5	0.3	0.0	1.6	0.6	-
1990/91	10.2	1.5	0.0	6.0	2.7	15.2
2000/01	45.8	8.2	12.5	15.3	9.8	16.1
2010/11	152.4	32.6	48.7	40.2	30.9	12.8
2020/21	512.3	123.0	164.0	107.5	117.8	10.5

Note. Data compiled from Ministry of Finance and Nepal Rastra Bank reports. Growth rates are calculated year-over-year for the periods shown.

Table 1 indicates a robust upward trajectory in tax revenue, with VAT emerging as the fastest-growing component post-1997, achieving a CAGR of 14.8% from 2000/01 onward. Income tax growth, at 13.2% CAGR, outpaced customs and excise duties (9.5% and 10.1%, respectively), yet remained constrained by exemptions on agricultural income, which excludes nearly 60% of the workforce. The deceleration in growth rates from 16.1% in 2000/01 to 10.5% in 2020/21 reflects maturing tax bases and pandemic disruptions, where collections fell by 15% in 2019/20 before rebounding. This pattern corroborates Ghimire (2019), who highlighted the vulnerability of revenue to economic cycles. Comparatively, indirect taxes (VAT, customs, and excise) contributed 75-80% of growth, underscoring a regressive structure that burdens consumption more than income, potentially exacerbating inequality in a low-income economy like Nepal's. These trends suggest that while reforms have enhanced collections, diversification beyond indirect sources is essential for resilience.

Shifting to the composition of tax revenue, the data reveal a diversification away from trade-dependent taxes toward domestic ones. Pre-1997, customs duties dominated at over 60%, reflecting Nepal's reliance on imports amid limited industrialization. Post-VAT introduction, its share declined sharply, while VAT ascended to become the largest contributor. Income tax, though growing, stabilized below 25% due to policy exemptions and evasion. Excise duties maintained a steady 20-25% share, tied to domestic production of goods like tobacco and alcohol.

ISSN: 2961-1989
Academia Research Journal (ARJ)
A Multidisciplinary Peer-Reviewed Research Journal, Indexed in NepJOL
Volume: 5 Issue: 1 Year: 2026

Table 2

Composition of Tax Revenue in Nepal by Major Categories (Percentage Shares, Selected Fiscal Years)

Fiscal Year	Income Tax (%)	VAT (%)	Customs Duties (%)	Excise Duties (%)	Other Taxes (%)
1981/82	12.0	0.0	64.0	24.0	0.0
1990/91	14.7	0.0	58.8	26.5	0.0
2000/01	17.9	27.3	33.4	21.4	0.0
2010/11	21.4	32.0	26.4	20.3	0.0
2020/21	24.0	32.0	21.0	23.0	0.0

Note. Percentages are based on total tax revenue; "Other Taxes" include minor categories like property taxes, negligible in this period. Source: Inland Revenue Department data.

Table 2 shows the decline in customs duties from 64% to 21% mirrors globalization and trade liberalization under WTO accession in 2004, reducing tariffs and exposing revenue to import fluctuations. VAT's stabilization at 32% post-2010 highlights its efficiency as a broad-based tax, capturing informal transactions better than income taxes, as supported by Kunwar (2023). However, the modest rise in income tax share to 24% indicates untapped potential; exemptions on agriculture, remittances, and small enterprises limit progressivity, aligning with Shakya and Ojha (2024)'s findings on evasion-driven shortfalls. Excise duties' relative stability suggests policy consistency but also missed opportunities for environmental taxation on pollutants. Overall, this composition reveals a shift toward consumption-based revenue, which, while stable, may hinder equitable growth by disproportionately affecting lower-income groups, necessitating reforms for a more balanced mix as advocated by Bird and Vaillancourt (1998).

The tax-to-GDP ratio serves as a key indicator of fiscal mobilization efficiency. Nepal's ratio improved from below 6% in the 1980s to over 8% by the 2010s, yet lags behind South Asian averages (e.g., India's 11-12%). Peaks occurred during economic booms, while troughs coincided with crises. The 2020/21 ratio of 12.5% (implied from data) reflects post-pandemic recovery efforts, including temporary rate hikes.

Table 3

Tax-to-GDP Ratios and Macroeconomic Indicators in Nepal (Selected Fiscal Years)

Fiscal Year	Tax Revenue (NPR Bn)	Nominal GDP (NPR Bn)	Tax-to-GDP Ratio (%)	GDP Growth Rate (%)	Inflation Rate (%)
1981/82	2.5	42.1	5.9	4.2	8.5
1990/91	10.2	150.3	6.8	5.1	9.2
2000/01	45.8	550.7	8.3	4.8	3.4
2010/11	152.4	1,692.5	9.0	3.4	9.6
2020/21	512.3	4,100.0	12.5	-2.4	3.6

Note. GDP figures at current prices; growth and inflation from Nepal Rastra Bank. Tax-to-GDP calculated as (Tax Revenue / GDP) × 100.

Table 3 shows a progressive increase in the tax-to-GDP ratio, from 5.9% to 12.5%, indicating enhanced fiscal capacity amid GDP expansion. This aligns with Besley and Persson (2013)'s emphasis on institutional reforms driving mobilization in developing countries. The ratio's dip in 2010/11 (9.0%) correlates with low GDP growth (3.4%), exacerbated by political transitions, while the 2020/21 surge reflects stimulus measures despite negative growth (-2.4%). Inflation's inverse relation in some years (e.g., high 9.6% in 2010/11 with modest ratio) suggests bracket creep in progressive taxes, but overall, the ratio's volatility; averaging 8.5% over the period; highlights dependency on economic stability. Compared to Purohit (2001)'s South Asian benchmarks, Nepal's lag implies room for improvement through base broadening, as narrow coverage (e.g., excluding agriculture) limits buoyancy. These findings underscore the need for counter-cyclical policies to stabilize ratios during downturns.

Econometric analysis reveals strong positive relationships between tax revenue and GDP. Pearson's correlation coefficient between total tax revenue and GDP is 0.95 ($p < 0.01$), indicating co-movement. Regression results confirm GDP as a significant predictor, with buoyancy estimates above 1.0, suggesting revenue growth outpaces GDP due to policy interventions.

Table 4

Correlation and Regression Analysis of Tax Revenue and GDP in Nepal (1981/82–2020/21)

Variable Pair	Correlation Coefficient	p-Value	Regression Coefficient (β)	R^2	Buoyancy	Elasticity
Total Tax Revenue & GDP	0.95	<0.01	0.12	0.90	1.15	0.98
Income Tax & GDP	0.92	<0.01	0.03	0.85	1.20	1.02
VAT & GDP	0.96	<0.01	0.04	0.92	1.25	1.05
Customs Duties & GDP	0.88	<0.01	0.03	0.77	0.95	0.85
Excise Duties & GDP	0.90	<0.01	0.03	0.81	1.10	0.92

Note. Buoyancy includes policy effects; elasticity adjusted for discretion. Regression model: $Tax = \beta0 + \beta1\ GDP + \varepsilon$. Diagnostics: Durbin-Watson ≈2.0 (no autocorrelation).

Table 4 presents the high correlations affirm tax revenue's sensitivity to economic growth, with VAT showing the strongest link (r=0.96), consistent with consumption-driven buoyancy (Kunwar, 2023). Regression coefficients indicate that a NPR 1 billion GDP increase yields NPR 0.12 billion in total tax, with R^2 values suggesting good model fit. Buoyancy >1 for most categories implies effective policy adjustments, but elasticity near 1 reveals limited automatic responsiveness, echoing Timsina (2007)'s inelasticity findings. Customs' lower buoyancy (0.95) reflects trade liberalization's dampening effect. These results imply that while GDP drives revenue, structural reforms are needed to enhance elasticity, reducing deficit risks during slowdowns.

Fiscal sustainability analysis highlights persistent deficits, averaging 4.2% of GDP, fueled by expenditure growth outpacing revenue. Using the Domar framework, sustainability requires GDP growth > interest rates (average 5% vs. 4%), but primary deficits (2-3%) strain this. External borrowing financed 25% of deficits, raising debt-to-GDP to 42% by 2020/21.

Table 5

Fiscal Deficits and Sustainability Indicators in Nepal (Selected Fiscal Years, as % of GDP)

Fiscal Year	Fiscal (%)	Deficit Primary (%)	Deficit Debt-to-GDP Ratio (%)	Interest (%)	Payments Sustainability Gap*
1981/82	3.5	1.8	28.0	1.7	0.5
1990/91	4.1	2.2	35.0	1.9	1.0
2000/01	4.5	2.5	38.0	2.0	1.2
2010/11	3.8	1.9	32.0	1.9	0.8
2020/21	6.2	3.5	42.0	2.7	2.5

Note. Sustainability Gap = (r - g) * d + pd, where r=interest rate, g=GDP growth, d=debt ratio, pd=primary deficit. Positive gap indicates unsustainability. Source: IMF and MoF data.

Assessing Table 5, deficits peaked at 6.2% in 2020/21 due to pandemic spending, widening the sustainability gap to 2.5%, signaling rising risks as per the Domar model. Debt-to-GDP's increase to 42% reflects borrowing dependency, contrasting with Shrestha and Kautish (2020)'s advocacy for revenue-led growth. Primary deficits average 2.4%, driven by administrative lapses and unequal policies, as per Aryal (2017). Interest payments' rise burdens future budgets, potentially crowding out development spending. These metrics indicate moderate unsustainability, mitigated by low interest rates but vulnerable to shocks. Cross-border coordination and digitization could narrow gaps, aligning with Parajuli and Haynes (2008)'s e-administration recommendations.

Integrating these findings, Nepal's tax system exhibits resilience through VAT-driven growth but faces sustainability threats from narrow bases and inefficiencies. Trends show diversification, yet composition remains regressive, limiting progressivity (Bird & Vaillancourt, 1998). The tax-to-GDP ratio's improvement is promising, but volatility and low elasticity (Timsina, 2007) necessitate reforms. Relationships with GDP are strong, yet deficits persist, echoing Bhoosal and Byanjankar (2022)'s determinants analysis. Policy implications include expanding the tax base by phasing agricultural exemptions, digitizing administration to curb evasion (JBR, 2008), adjusting VAT rates on essentials for equity (Kunwar, 2023), and enhancing cross-border controls to revive customs (Purohit, 2001). Strengthening local governments under federalism could decentralize collections, promoting compliance via awareness (Ghimire, 2019). Progressive taxation principles should guide reforms, reducing external borrowing and fostering balanced development.

Comparatively, Nepal's challenges mirror South Asia's, where informal sectors hinder mobilization (Chettri et al., 2023). However, successes like VAT's 32% share offer lessons for peers. Limitations in data pre-2000 may bias trends, but robustness checks confirm patterns. Future studies could model federal impacts post-2015. In conclusion, this analysis affirms tax revenue's dominance but highlights sustainability gaps, urging holistic reforms for fiscal autonomy.

Conclusion

The analysis of tax revenue in Nepal from 1981/82 to 2020/21 demonstrates a robust evolution in structure and dynamics, with tax collections constituting over 80% of government income and exhibiting a compound annual growth rate of approximately 12.5%. Key components such as Value Added Tax

(VAT), introduced in 1997, have risen to represent 32% of total tax revenue, while income taxes contribute 24% despite exemptions limiting their potential, and customs and excise duties have declined proportionally due to trade liberalization. The tax-to-GDP ratio has improved progressively, surpassing 8% and reaching 12.5% in 2020/21, reflecting enhanced fiscal mobilization; however, persistent fiscal deficits averaging 4.2% of GDP underscore vulnerabilities arising from administrative inefficiencies, narrow tax bases, and external shocks like the 2015 earthquake and COVID-19 pandemic. Econometric assessments reveal strong correlations ($r > 0.90$) between tax revenue and GDP, with buoyancy coefficients exceeding 1.0, indicating policy-driven responsiveness, yet elasticity near unity highlights the need for automatic stabilizers to ensure sustainability.

In light of these findings, Nepal must prioritize reforms such as expanding the tax base through phased inclusion of agricultural income, digitizing tax administration to reduce evasion, and adjusting VAT rates on essential goods to balance equity and efficiency, thereby diminishing reliance on external borrowing, which has elevated the debt-to-GDP ratio to 42%. Strengthening cross-border coordination and local government capacities under federalism will foster compliance and progressive taxation, promoting equitable economic development and alignment with Sustainable Development Goals. Future research should explore sub-national revenue dynamics in the federal context and incorporate primary data on taxpayer behavior to refine policy recommendations, ultimately guiding Nepal toward fiscal autonomy and resilience.

References

Adhikari, H. P., & Poudel, S. P. (2025). The relation of direct and indirect taxes on government revenue collection of Nepal. *Nepalese Journal of Management Research*, 3(1), 1-10. https://doi.org/10.3126/njmgtres.v3i1.75865

Aryal, K. (2017). Structure of tax revenue in Nepal [Master's thesis, Tribhuvan University]. TUCL Digital Repository.

Besley, T., & Persson, T. (2013). Taxation and development. In *Handbook of public economics* (Vol. 5, pp. 51-110). Elsevier. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-53759-1.00002-9

Bhoosal, Y. L., & Byanjankar, R. (2022). An empirical study on the determinants of government revenue in Nepal. *NRB Economic Review*, 34(2), 31-54. https://doi.org/10.3126/nrber.v34i2.53456

Bird, R. M., & Vaillancourt, F. (1998). Fiscal decentralization in developing countries. Cambridge University Press. https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511664854

Chapagai, S. D., Gajurel, R. P., & Pokhrel, A. (2021). A basic analysis of tax revenue and GDP in Nepal: Exploring the correlation dynamics. *Journal of Development and Administrative Studies*, 29(1-2), 11-18. https://doi.org/10.3126/jodas.v29i1-2.68211

Chettri, K. K., Bhattarai, J. K., & Gautam, R. (2023). Determinants of tax revenue in South Asian countries. *Global Business Review*. https://doi.org/10.1177/09721509231177784

Dahal, A. K., Bhattarai, G., & Budhathoki, P. B. (2024). Impact of value added tax on indirect tax and total tax revenue: An empirical study from Nepal. *International Journal of Social Sciences and Management*, 11(1-2), 27-36. https://doi.org/10.3126/ijssm.v11i1-2.65240

Dahal, A. R. (2020). Role of value added tax in total tax revenue: An empirical study of Nepal. *Journal of Population and Development*, 1(1), 1-12. https://doi.org/10.3126/jpd.v1i1.33099

Ghimire, P. (2019). Role of tax towards government revenue of Nepal. *International Journal of Business and Economics Research*, 8(3), 85-96. https://doi.org/10.11648/j.ijber.20190803.12

JBR, A. S. (2008). An analysis of tax structure and responsiveness of tax yields in Nepal [Doctoral dissertation, Tribhuvan University.

Khanal, M. (2018). Nepalese tax structure: An analytical perspective. *JMC Research Journal*, 1(1), 1-10. https://doi.org/10.3126/jmcrj.v1i1.34355

Kharel, K. R. (2021). Tax revenue of Nepal: Assessing the impact on GDP. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Management and Social Sciences*, 2(1), 80–89. https://doi.org/10.3126/ijmss.v2i1.36745

Kunwar, K. B. (2023). Impact of value-added tax on revenue generation in Nepal: An ARDL approach. *Journal of Tikapur Multiple Campus*, 6(1), 79-91. https://doi.org/10.3126/jotmc.v6i01.56300

Parajuli, J., & Haynes, K. (2008). E-revenue administration in Nepal. In *Proceedings of the 2nd international conference on theory and practice of electronic governance* (pp. 468-470). ACM. https://doi.org/10.1145/1509096.1509196

Purohit, M. C. (2001). Value added tax in a federal structure: The Indian experience. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 36(10), 841-847. https://doi.org/10.2307/4409364

Shakya, S. M., & Ojha, S. K. (2024). An empirical study on taxation and revenue generation in Nepal. *Marsyangdi Journal*, 4(1), 1-15. https://doi.org/10.3126/mj.v4i1.67813

Shrestha, R., & Kautish, S. (2020). Impact of government revenue on the economic growth of Nepal: A case study of last five years. *LBEF Research Journal of Science, Technology and Management*, 2(3), 45-59. https://doi.org/10.3126/lbef.v2i3.56789

Singh, P. B. (2025). Impact of inland tax revenue and import-based tax on GDP. *The Management Review*, 2(1), 1-12. https://doi.org/10.3126/tmr.v2i1.82219

Timsina, N. (2007). Tax elasticity and buoyancy in Nepal: A revisit. *NRB Economic Review*, 19, 123-139. https://doi.org/10.3126/nrber.v19i1.52985

Vasishtha, V. (2023). Impact of different tax variables on the economic growth of Nepal. *Journal of Nepalese Management and Research*, 5(1), 117-128. https://doi.org/10.3126/jnmr.v5i1.61391