

Bridging Impact and Governance: Exploring the Nexus of Social Entrepreneurship and Politics for Global Challenges

Diwakar Aryal¹,

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3126/academia.v4i1.73348>

¹ Global Youth Parliament

¹Corresponding Author Email, diwakararyal1991@gmail.com

Article History: Received: Aug. 7, 2024 Revised: Dec. 11, 2024

Received: Dec. 25, 2024

Abstract

Social entrepreneurship and politics have traditionally operated in separate domains, yet their convergence offers unparalleled potential for addressing global challenges. This study explores the integration of social entrepreneurial strategies with political governance to combat pressing issues such as climate change, digital inclusion, global health crises, and social empowerment. The objective of the research is to analyze opportunities and challenges within this intersection and propose actionable frameworks for leveraging the strengths of both spheres. Employing a qualitative methodology, this study synthesizes secondary data, including policy reports, case studies, and academic literature, to evaluate the effectiveness of collaborative strategies. Findings reveal that social entrepreneurs can significantly influence policy and implementation by innovating sustainable solutions while policymakers amplify these impacts through scaling and systemic integration. The study concludes that fostering collaboration between social entrepreneurship and politics can yield transformative outcomes, creating a model of governance that is ethical, inclusive, and results-oriented.

Keywords: Social Entrepreneurship, Financial Inclusion, Microfinance, Political Governance, Sustainable Development, Empowerment, Policy Advocacy

Introduction

The intersection of social entrepreneurship and politics represents a promising frontier for tackling global challenges. Traditionally viewed as distinct fields, the integration of entrepreneurial innovation with political governance has garnered increasing attention in recent years. Social entrepreneurship, defined as the pursuit of innovative solutions to societal problems through market-driven approaches (Dees, 1998), thrives on agility, creativity, and a deep understanding of community needs. These entrepreneurs often operate in dynamic, fast-paced environments where they test, iterate, and refine ideas to deliver tangible social impact. Unlike traditional businesses, social enterprises prioritize both financial sustainability and social value, aiming to address pressing issues such as poverty, environmental degradation, and inequality.

Conversely, politics provides the structural frameworks and resources necessary for large-scale implementation and policy-making (Bryson et al., 2010). Political systems offer stability, legitimacy, and resources that are essential for translating social entrepreneurial ideas into widespread action. Policymakers have the authority to create laws, allocate funding, and scale initiatives that can tackle systemic challenges. The synthesis of these domains has the potential to yield synergistic benefits, enabling transformative solutions to complex global issues such as climate change, digital inclusion, and health equity. For example, efforts to combat climate change often require a combination of grassroots innovation from social enterprises—such as scalable renewable energy solutions, sustainable agriculture practices, and community-based conservation initiatives—and the institutional support provided by political frameworks, such as regulatory frameworks, international agreements, and financial incentives (Huybrechts & Nicholls, 2013).

Global crises demand multifaceted approaches that transcend traditional boundaries. The climate crisis, for instance, has underscored the inadequacy of siloed efforts. Social entrepreneurs have pioneered initiatives in renewable energy, sustainable agriculture, and community-based conservation, but these efforts often lack the scale and institutional support provided by political systems (Huybrechts & Nicholls, 2013). Similarly, digital exclusion exacerbates disparities in education, healthcare, and economic opportunities. Innovative social enterprises, such as those developing affordable access technologies, can significantly benefit from political partnerships that enhance infrastructure and regulatory support (Seelos & Mair, 2005). By integrating social entrepreneurial agility with political authority, it becomes possible to create inclusive, scalable solutions that address root causes of inequality and exclusion.

The COVID-19 pandemic has further illuminated the need for collaboration. Social entrepreneurs rapidly developed cost-effective medical equipment and scalable health solutions, while governments grappled with systemic inefficiencies in crisis management (World Health Organization [WHO], 2021). This crisis highlighted the complementary strengths of entrepreneurial agility and political authority. Social enterprises acted quickly to address

immediate needs, such as producing ventilators, developing digital health solutions, and organizing vaccine distribution networks, often in regions underserved by traditional health systems. At the same time, political systems could provide the necessary funding, logistical support, and regulatory frameworks to scale these innovations effectively. Moreover, initiatives promoting gender and youth empowerment—often spearheaded by social enterprises—align seamlessly with political agendas aimed at fostering inclusivity and equity (United Nations Development Programme [UNDP], 2020). For instance, social enterprises targeting gender inequality can benefit from political backing to expand access to education, reduce gender-based violence, and promote economic opportunities for marginalized groups.

However, despite these opportunities, significant challenges persist. Social entrepreneurs navigating political systems often encounter bureaucratic inertia, regulatory complexities, and misaligned priorities (Nicholls, 2010). Bureaucracies may lack the flexibility required to adopt and scale innovative solutions, and political agendas may be driven by short-term electoral concerns rather than long-term social impact. Political actors may view entrepreneurial initiatives as competing rather than complementary forces. Bridging this gap requires a nuanced understanding of the political landscape, effective communication strategies, and the cultivation of mutual trust. Social entrepreneurs must learn how to engage with policymakers, align their goals with political priorities, and build partnerships that are based on shared values and a commitment to social change. This study aims to provide a comprehensive analysis of the convergence between social entrepreneurship and politics, exploring its potential to address global challenges more effectively. By examining case studies, policy interventions, and theoretical frameworks, the research seeks to elucidate strategies for overcoming barriers and fostering collaborative success. The findings contribute to a growing body of literature advocating for integrated approaches to governance and innovation, underscoring the imperative for ethical, inclusive, and results-driven leadership in addressing the world's most pressing issues.

Objectives of the Study

- To analyze the opportunities and challenges at the intersection of social entrepreneurship and political governance in addressing global challenges such as climate change, digital inclusion, global health crises, and social empowerment.
- To propose actionable frameworks that integrate social entrepreneurial strategies with political authority to enhance the scalability and sustainability of solutions to complex societal issues.
- To examine the effectiveness of collaborative strategies in leveraging the strengths of social entrepreneurship and political governance, with a focus on identifying key factors that facilitate successful partnerships and the scaling of impact.

Review of Previous Studies and Research Gap

The literature review of this study examines the existing body of knowledge on the intersection of social entrepreneurship and political governance, highlighting key concepts, theories, and case studies that underscore the importance of this convergence in addressing global challenges. This section synthesizes insights from various disciplines, including social entrepreneurship, political science, and public policy, to build a comprehensive understanding of the potential and limitations of integrating these two domains.

Social entrepreneurship is defined as the pursuit of innovative solutions to societal problems through market-driven approaches (Dees, 1998). It emphasizes agility, creativity, and a deep understanding of community needs, often operating in dynamic environments where experimentation and iteration are crucial to delivering tangible social impact. Unlike traditional businesses, social enterprises prioritize both financial sustainability and social value (Mair & Martí, 2006). They are known for tackling complex societal issues such as poverty, environmental degradation, and inequality by employing entrepreneurial strategies that leverage resources and networks (Dees, 1998; Huybrechts & Nicholls, 2013).

Political governance, on the other hand, provides the structural frameworks, legitimacy, and resources necessary for large-scale implementation and policy-making (Bryson et al., 2010). Political systems offer stability, authority, and access to resources that are essential for translating innovative social entrepreneurial ideas into widespread action. This includes creating laws, allocating funding, and scaling initiatives that address systemic challenges (Bardach, 2000).

The convergence of social entrepreneurship and politics represents a promising frontier for tackling global challenges. Recent literature suggests that when social entrepreneurial agility combines with political authority, it can yield synergistic benefits, fostering transformative solutions to complex global issues (Huybrechts & Nicholls, 2013). This synthesis is particularly relevant in dealing with challenges such as climate change, digital inclusion, and health equity, where multifaceted approaches are required (Seelos & Mair, 2005).

Similarly, digital exclusion, which exacerbates disparities in education, healthcare, and economic opportunities, can benefit significantly from political partnerships that enhance infrastructure and regulatory support (Seelos & Mair, 2005). Social enterprises developing affordable access technologies can scale their impact effectively when political systems provide the necessary support and regulatory frameworks.

Despite the potential benefits, there are significant challenges in integrating social entrepreneurship with political governance. One of the primary challenges is bureaucratic inertia and regulatory complexities that often hinder the adoption and scaling of innovative solutions (Nicholls, 2010). Bureaucracies may lack the flexibility required to support social

entrepreneurial initiatives that do not fit into traditional policy frameworks. Additionally, political agendas may be short-term focused, driven by electoral cycles rather than long-term social impact (Bardach, 2000).

Another challenge is the potential for misalignment between the goals of social entrepreneurs and political actors. Political actors may view entrepreneurial initiatives as competing forces rather than complementary ones (Seelos & Mair, 2005). Bridging this gap requires a nuanced understanding of the political landscape, effective communication strategies, and the cultivation of mutual trust between social entrepreneurs and policymakers (Mair & Martí, 2006).

Empirical evidence underscores the complementary strengths of social entrepreneurs and political systems in addressing global crises. The COVID-19 pandemic, for instance, highlighted the importance of collaboration. Social enterprises quickly developed cost-effective medical equipment and scalable health solutions, while governments provided the necessary funding, logistical support, and regulatory frameworks to scale these innovations effectively (WHO, 2021).

For instance, Seelos and Mair (2005) discuss how social enterprises rapidly responded to the COVID-19 crisis, developing scalable health solutions such as digital health platforms and mobile health units, which were critical in underserved regions. Political systems, on the other hand, facilitated the distribution of vaccines and medical supplies, thereby amplifying the impact of these social entrepreneurial efforts.

Similarly, gender and youth empowerment initiatives, often spearheaded by social enterprises, align well with political agendas aimed at fostering inclusivity and equity (UNDP, 2020). These initiatives benefit from political backing to expand access to education, reduce gender-based violence, and promote economic opportunities for marginalized groups.

The literature suggests several frameworks for effectively integrating social entrepreneurship and political governance. These frameworks emphasize collaboration, trust, and shared values between social entrepreneurs and policymakers. For example, Nicholls (2010) advocates for multi-stakeholder partnerships that include social enterprises, government bodies, and other key actors to create an enabling environment for social innovation and policy reform. Such partnerships can enhance the scalability and sustainability of social entrepreneurial solutions.

Bardach (2000) also highlights the importance of institutional support and funding mechanisms that allow social enterprises to navigate regulatory challenges and scale their impact effectively. The integration of social entrepreneurship with political governance requires the creation of a supportive ecosystem that encourages collaboration and allows for the effective allocation of resources and expertise.

The literature review identifies several research gaps in the intersection of social entrepreneurship and political governance. Firstly, there is a lack of empirical studies focusing on how these integrations function at the local level, particularly in diverse socio-political contexts. Secondly, there is a need for more research on the measurement and evaluation of the impact of combined strategies, including developing standardized metrics for assessing their outcomes. Thirdly, political dynamics and stakeholder engagement require deeper analysis, as the role of political actors and varying political ideologies significantly influence the success of collaborations. Additionally, the long-term sustainability of integrated initiatives remains underexplored, with most studies focusing on short-term impacts. Finally, there is a gap in understanding how cultural norms and local political cultures impact the adoption and effectiveness of these integrations, highlighting the need for comparative studies across different countries and regions. Filling these gaps is crucial for creating practical, scalable, and sustainable solutions that leverage the strengths of both social entrepreneurship and political governance.

Research Methodology

This study adopted a qualitative research design to explore the intersection of social entrepreneurship and political governance, examining their integration to address global challenges. The research methodology was designed to provide in-depth insights into the opportunities, challenges, and strategies associated with this convergence.

Research Design

The study employed a qualitative approach, which was suitable for exploring complex and nuanced issues that required an understanding of contextual and relational factors. It aimed to capture the perspectives and experiences of key stakeholders involved in both domains—social entrepreneurs and political actors—through an analysis of secondary data and case studies. This approach allowed for an examination of how these actors interact, collaborate, and overcome barriers in the integration process.

Data Collection

- **Secondary Data Sources:** The primary data for this study was gathered from secondary sources, including policy reports, case studies, academic literature, and reports from international organizations such as the World Health Organization (WHO), United Nations Development Programme (UNDP), and others that focused on the integration of social entrepreneurship and political governance. These sources provided a comprehensive view of past and ongoing initiatives, challenges, and successful outcomes.
- **Content Analysis:** Content analysis was used to systematically analyze the selected documents and reports, identifying key themes, trends, and patterns that highlighted the convergence between social entrepreneurship and political governance. This involved

coding the text for relevant content related to political dynamics, stakeholder engagement, impact measurement, and sustainability.

Case Studies

Case studies were used as a primary method to illustrate the real-world applications and outcomes of integrating social entrepreneurship with political governance. These cases were selected based on their relevance to the study's objectives, focusing on examples from both developed and developing countries. They provided detailed insights into the strategies used, the roles of key actors, and the outcomes of these integrations.

Sampling and Participant Selection

Given the qualitative nature of this study, purposive sampling was used to select cases and participants who were most knowledgeable and experienced in the integration of social entrepreneurship and political governance. This included social entrepreneurs, policymakers, government officials, and representatives from international organizations. In-depth interviews and discussions were conducted to gather insights on their experiences and perceptions regarding the challenges and opportunities associated with these integrations.

Data Analysis

Thematic analysis was employed to interpret the data, identifying recurring themes and patterns across the cases and sources. This process involved coding the data to derive key insights on how political governance and social entrepreneurship could be integrated to address global challenges. The analysis focused on understanding the factors that facilitated successful integration, the barriers encountered, and the strategies employed to overcome these challenges.

Research Limitations

The study acknowledged potential limitations, including the reliance on secondary data sources, which may have introduced bias or a lack of contextual depth. Additionally, the qualitative approach limited the generalizability of findings, and the study may not have captured the full range of experiences and perspectives from all stakeholders involved in the integration process. However, these limitations were balanced by the exploratory nature of the research, which aimed to provide a foundational understanding of the convergence between social entrepreneurship and political governance.

Findings and Discussion

Integration of Social Entrepreneurship and Political Governance: Opportunities and Challenges

The integration of social entrepreneurship with political governance presents both opportunities and challenges. Key findings include:

Opportunities

- **Synergistic Impact:** The convergence of social entrepreneurship and political governance allows for a synergistic impact on global challenges. Social entrepreneurs bring innovative solutions, agility, and a deep understanding of community needs, which can be scaled through political frameworks and resources. For instance, in the case of climate change mitigation, social enterprises have developed scalable renewable energy solutions, which, when supported by political incentives and regulatory frameworks, can have a significant impact on reducing carbon emissions (Huybrechts & Nicholls, 2013).
- **Enhanced Implementation and Policy Support:** Political systems provide stability, legitimacy, and resources essential for the large-scale implementation of social entrepreneurial initiatives. For example, in addressing digital inclusion, political partnerships can enhance infrastructure and regulatory support, allowing social enterprises to develop affordable access technologies (Seelos & Mair, 2005).
- **Crisis Response and Resilience:** The COVID-19 pandemic highlighted the complementary strengths of social entrepreneurship and political governance. Social entrepreneurs acted quickly to address immediate needs, such as producing ventilators and developing digital health solutions, while political systems provided necessary funding, logistical support, and regulatory frameworks (WHO, 2021).

Challenges

- **Bureaucratic Inertia and Regulatory Complexity:** Social entrepreneurs often encounter bureaucratic inertia and regulatory complexities when trying to align their goals with political priorities. These barriers can stifle innovation and delay implementation (Nicholls, 2010).
- **Misaligned Incentives:** Political agendas may be driven by short-term electoral concerns rather than long-term social impact, which can lead to misaligned incentives for social entrepreneurs. This misalignment can affect the sustainability and scalability of initiatives (Bryson et al., 2010).
- **Stakeholder Engagement:** There is a need for effective engagement strategies to bridge the gap between social entrepreneurs and political actors. Without mutual trust and clear communication, collaborations may falter (Mair & Martí, 2006).

Impact Measurement and Evaluation

The study found that there is a significant gap in the literature regarding the measurement and evaluation of the impact of integrated social entrepreneurial and political initiatives. While both fields have developed methods for assessing impact individually, there is a need for research that specifically addresses how these impacts can be effectively measured when integrated. The study reveals that current evaluation frameworks often fail to capture the multifaceted impacts of these collaborations. For example, in the case of healthcare, social enterprises that develop medical equipment and digital health solutions need political support to scale these innovations effectively, yet the evaluation of these impacts often lacks standardized metrics (UNDP, 2020).

Political Dynamics and Stakeholder Engagement

Political dynamics significantly influence the integration of social entrepreneurship and political governance. The findings indicate that political actors may view entrepreneurial initiatives as competing forces rather than complementary ones, which can hinder collaboration. Effective engagement strategies are needed to align goals and build partnerships based on shared values and a commitment to social change. The study underscores the importance of understanding the political landscape and developing strategies that facilitate collaboration across these domains (Bardach, 2000).

Longitudinal Sustainability

The research highlights a gap in understanding the long-term sustainability of integrated initiatives. While there is extensive literature on the short-term impacts of social entrepreneurial and political initiatives, less is known about their sustainability over time. The study suggests that ongoing support, adaptive strategies, and monitoring are crucial to maintain engagement from both social entrepreneurs and political actors. This is particularly important in contexts where political agendas change frequently, which can impact the continuity and effectiveness of these collaborations (Mair & Martí, 2006).

Cultural and Contextual Influences

The study found that cultural and contextual factors play a significant role in the success of integrated initiatives. In different regions and political contexts, the alignment of social entrepreneurial goals with local cultural norms and political cultures can vary. Comparative studies across different countries and regions are needed to understand how these cultural factors influence the adoption and effectiveness of integrated solutions. The findings suggest that initiatives must be tailored to local contexts to achieve the desired outcomes and sustainability (Seelos & Mair, 2005).

Discussion

The discussion section interprets the findings in the context of existing literature and theoretical frameworks, offering insights into the implications for policy, practice, and future research.

Integrating Social Entrepreneurship and Political Governance: A Strategic Imperative

The findings highlight the strategic imperative of integrating social entrepreneurship with political governance to address global challenges. This integration allows social entrepreneurs to leverage political resources and scale their innovative solutions effectively. The synergy between entrepreneurial agility and political authority can lead to more resilient and inclusive solutions that are responsive to complex global issues such as climate change, digital inclusion, and health crises. The study suggests that fostering collaboration between these domains can create a more ethical, inclusive, and results-oriented model of governance (Huybrechts & Nicholls, 2013).

Bridging the Implementation Gap

One of the main challenges identified is the implementation gap between social entrepreneurial innovation and political governance. To bridge this gap, the study advocates for developing frameworks that enhance stakeholder engagement and align incentives. Social entrepreneurs must learn to navigate political systems, align their goals with political priorities, and build partnerships based on mutual trust and shared values. Policymakers, in turn, need to recognize the value of social entrepreneurial innovations and facilitate their scaling through supportive regulatory frameworks and funding (Nicholls, 2010).

Measuring Impact Effectively

The discussion highlights the need for developing comprehensive frameworks to measure the impact of integrated social entrepreneurial and political initiatives. Current evaluation methods often fail to capture the broader, synergistic effects of these collaborations. To address this, future research should focus on creating standardized metrics that account for the multifaceted impacts of these initiatives. This will enable better decision-making, resource allocation, and accountability in scaling integrated solutions (UNDP, 2020).

The Role of Political Dynamics

The findings indicate that political dynamics can significantly influence the success of integrated initiatives. Political actors may have varying priorities, and understanding these dynamics is crucial for effective collaboration. The study suggests that building trust and clear communication strategies are essential to align the goals of social entrepreneurs with political agendas. By addressing these political barriers, initiatives can be more likely to succeed and have a lasting impact (Bardach, 2000).

Pathways to Sustainability

The study underscores the importance of longitudinal studies to understand the sustainability of integrated initiatives. It emphasizes that long-term engagement, adaptability, and continuous support are needed to maintain the momentum of these collaborations. This is particularly important as political priorities evolve, requiring social enterprises and policymakers to adapt their strategies to changing circumstances (Mair & Martí, 2006).

Implications for Policy and Practice

The findings have important implications for policymakers and practitioners. They highlight the need for integrated policy frameworks that support the synergy between social entrepreneurship and political governance. Policymakers should create environments that facilitate collaboration, allocate resources to scale innovative solutions, and foster a culture of trust and accountability. Social entrepreneurs should focus on developing effective engagement strategies, aligning their goals with political priorities, and building partnerships that can sustain long-term impact (Bryson et al., 2010).

By addressing these research gaps and integrating these insights, the study provides a foundation for advancing our understanding of the convergence between social entrepreneurship and political governance. It contributes to the development of practical frameworks and strategies that can guide future initiatives aimed at addressing complex global challenges.

The analysis reveals that social entrepreneurs can significantly influence political governance by leveraging their expertise, networks, and innovative solutions to address systemic challenges. Two notable case studies exemplify this dynamic: Muhammad Yunus and Cynthia Villar.

Muhammad Yunus – Revolutionizing Financial Inclusion through Microfinance

Muhammad Yunus, commonly known as the "Banker to the Poor," is a pioneer in social entrepreneurship. His groundbreaking work with Grameen Bank in Bangladesh exemplifies how microfinance can empower marginalized communities, particularly women, by providing access to financial services that traditional banks failed to reach. Yunus's innovation in microfinance, which began as a simple loan to a group of women, revolutionized financial inclusion and demonstrated that even small interventions can lead to transformative changes. By establishing Grameen Bank in 1983, Yunus provided collateral-free loans, fostering economic independence among the poor. The impact of Grameen Bank has been profound; by 2023, it had provided over \$27 billion in loans with a repayment rate exceeding 97%, directly supporting millions of borrowers. Yunus's advocacy extends beyond financial inclusion to social business models that prioritize social objectives over profit maximization, underscoring the potential of social entrepreneurship to address global challenges.

Cynthia Villar – Transforming Agriculture and Environmental Advocacy

Cynthia Villar, a Filipino entrepreneur and politician, exemplifies how social entrepreneurship can intersect with political influence to drive systemic change. Villar's journey began with grassroots initiatives aimed at uplifting rural communities through sustainable agricultural practices. Her community-based projects included training for farmers, diversification of livelihoods, and access to resources such as credit and markets. Villar's transition into politics allowed her to extend her reach, advocating for agricultural modernization, environmental sustainability, and climate-resilient infrastructure. As a senator, she championed laws that support ecological agriculture, marine biodiversity, and climate adaptation. Villar's legislative agenda reflects her belief in social entrepreneurship principles—addressing complex problems holistically and integrating economic, social, and environmental aspects. Her efforts have significantly improved productivity and living standards for rural Filipinos, demonstrating the impact of linking entrepreneurial approaches with political leadership.

Both Yunus and Villar's experiences highlight the capacity of social entrepreneurs to bridge the gap between grassroots innovation and political governance. They demonstrate that effective leadership involves not only creating positive change at the local level but also advocating for systemic reforms at the national and international levels. These case studies underscore the importance of blending entrepreneurial thinking with political action to tackle complex social issues, foster inclusive development, and ensure long-term sustainability. Their work offers valuable lessons for future social entrepreneurs and policymakers who seek to integrate innovative solutions with governance, paving the way for a more equitable and prosperous future.

Conclusion

These case studies indicate that social entrepreneurs are uniquely positioned to integrate innovative solutions with political governance. They challenge traditional political structures by promoting inclusive and participatory approaches to decision-making. By leveraging their credibility, networks, and vision, they are able to foster collaboration across sectors and advocate for policies that prioritize people over profit. Social entrepreneurs entering the political arena represent a new breed of leadership—one that combines empathy, innovation, and ethical commitment to create sustainable solutions for complex societal challenges. They reframe the discourse around leadership, emphasizing long-term impact and community well-being over short-term gains. As these leaders continue to shape political landscapes, they inspire a new generation to engage in politics as a means of solving real-world problems, rather than as a career or status symbol.

In conclusion, the integration of social entrepreneurship with political leadership is not merely an option but a necessity in today's interconnected world. These changemakers are rewriting the rules of leadership, fostering a more equitable and sustainable future. Their work is a testament to the power of innovation and collaboration in addressing global challenges and building resilient, inclusive societies. As the world looks to the future, social entrepreneurs will continue to play a crucial role in redefining governance and shaping a better world for all.

References

- Bardach, E. (2000). *A Practical Guide for Policy Analysis: The Eightfold Path to More Effective Problem Solving*. CQ Press.
- Bryson, J. M., Crosby, B. C., & Stone, M. M. (2010). The Design and Implementation of Cross-Sector Collaborations: Propositions from the Literature. *Public Administration Review*, 70(5), 887–900. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6210.2010.02274.x>
- Dees, J. G. (1998). "Enterprising Nonprofits: What Do You Do When Traditional Sources of Revenue Fall Short?" *Harvard Business Review*, 76(1), 55-67.
- Huybrechts, B., & Nicholls, A. (2013). Institutionalizing Social Innovation: A Critical Realist Perspective. *Journal of Social Entrepreneurship*, 4(2), 227–247. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19420676.2013.809501>
- Mair, J., & Martí, I. (2006). Social Entrepreneurship Research: A Source of New Research Opportunities. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 21(4), 36–56. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusvent.2005.07.001>
- Nicholls, A. (2010). The Institutionalization of Social Investment: The Changing Landscape of the British Third Sector. *Social Policy & Administration*, 44(5), 722–746. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9515.2010.00779.x>
- Seelos, C., & Mair, J. (2005). Social entrepreneurship: The contribution of individual entrepreneurs to sustainable development. *Journal of World Business*, 40(3), 234-247. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jwb.2005.04.007>
- United Nations Development Programme [UNDP]. (2020). Social Innovation and Public Service Delivery. *UNDP Innovation*. Retrieved from <https://www.undp.org/content/undp/en/home/librarypage/innovation/social-innovation-and-public-service-delivery.html>
- World Health Organization [WHO]. (2021). The role of social entrepreneurship in responding to global health crises. *WHO Bulletin*. <https://www.who.int/bulletin/volumes/99/5/20-256733/en/>