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#### Abstract

The spatial and temporal variations of fish assemblages in rivers and streams of Nepal are poorly understood. So, the present study aimed to explore the spatial and temporal variations of fish assemblage structure in Nepal's West Rapti River. The data were collected during autumn, winter and spring seasons, from October 2018 to April 2019. Fish samples were collected using cast net of 12 mm mesh size. A total of 28 species belonging to 7 orders, 10 families and 19 genera were recorded during the study. The analysis of similarity (ANOSIM) showed no significant difference among the stations and seasons. Based on cluster analysis, two major clusters were observed. The similarity percentage (SIMPER) analysis delineated that Cabdio morar (C14, 13.63\%), Barilius bendelensis (C11, 10.32\%), Gogangra viridescens (C22, 7.85\%), Salmostoma phulo (C17, 7.74\%), Labeo gonius (C5, 7.53\%), Gagata cenia (C23, 6.45\%), Garra gotyla (C6, $5.87 \%$ ) and Labeo dyocelius (C2, $5.62 \%$ ) were the most contributing species. The Canonical Correspondence Analysis (CCA) distinctly showed that the environmental variables dissolved oxygen, pH , carbon-dioxide, and water temperature play an essential role in shaping the fish assemblage structure of West Rapti River.
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## Introduction

Fish assemblage variation is function of the many inter-connecting factors, including hydrol-ogic regime, geo-climatic region, species comp-osition, biotic versus abiotic regulation, channel type, disturbance history and frequency (Gross-mann et al., 1998). It has been widely reported that the distribution and abundance of fish species are strongly regulated by environmental factors (Tejerina et al., 1998; Brown, 2000). These factors include topographical characte-ristics (Platts, 1979), climate (Eaton and Scheller, 1996), hydrological regime (Poff and Allan, 1995),
riparian land use (Lammert and Allan, 1999), and water physical-chemical variables like dissolved oxygen and pH (Matthews, 1998). These abiotic parameters have short-term and long-term influences on the structure of fish assemblages (Gasith and Resh, 1999). Beside the abiotic factors, biotic factors like predation and competition also affect fish assemblages via direct and indirect mechanisms (Jackson et al., 2001). Characterizing the factors that affect fish assemblages is beneficial for river management and fish preservation. Similar studies on fish-habitat relationships have
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been carried out in South America (Fialho et al., 2008), North America (Feyrer and Healey, 2003), Europe (Gondinho, 2000) and Africa (Ibanez, 2007), but are still lacking for Asia (Shahnawaz et al., 2010).

Rapti River is one of the most important River in Mid- Western part of the country, which provides good habitat for fishes and other aquatic organisms. Despite a number of studies related with aquatic diversity from different parts of Nepal, little study has been conducted on the fish assemblage structure in West Rapti River Banke. Therefore, this study was designed to investigate the spatio-temporal variation in fish assemblage structure of West Rapti River, Banke Nepal.

## Materials and method <br> Study area

The present study was carried out in the West Rapti River, Banke. The West Rapti River is one of
the main drainage of Mid-western region which originates from highland of Rolpa, Pyuthan and some parts of Argakhachi flowing through Mahabharat range to low land of Dang and Banke district and at last discharges into the India. It originates from above the elevation of 3523 m near Rangon. The River originates as Madikhola then joins with Lungrikhola and Jhimrukkhola near Airawati and flows to Terai plains known as West Rapti River. Sit khola, Arunkhola, Ransinkhola are major small tributaries of the West Rapti River. Total catchment area is about 6,320 Sq. $\mathrm{km}^{15}$. The study area starts from Siktaghat at $28^{\circ} 01^{\prime} 48.94^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ $81^{\circ} 58^{\circ} 09.19^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ to Saipur at $28^{\circ} 02^{\prime} 56.233^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ 8154'09.29"E 12 km along West Rapti River (Fig. 1).The present study was carried out from October 2018 to April 2019.


Figure 1. Map of study area

## Selection of sampling station

A preliminary survey was done prior to the selection of sampling stations. Three sampling stations were selected below and above the Sikta irrigation dam. Station-I ( $28^{\circ} 01^{\prime} 48.944^{\prime N} 81^{\circ} 58^{\prime}-$ $09.19^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E} ; 180 \mathrm{~m}$ asl) is at Siktaghat above the Sikta irrigation dam. This area is also under Banke National Park. So, fishing is restricted to local people except licensed fishermen. Station-II ( $28^{\circ} 02^{\prime} 41.86^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} 81^{\circ} 56^{\prime} 19.18{ }^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$; 168 m asl) is below
the Sikta irrigation dam and cattle grazing land. This area is under Banke National Park. Station-III ( $28^{\circ} 03^{\prime} .06^{\prime \prime N}{ }^{\prime} 81^{\circ} 54^{\prime} 12.79{ }^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E} ; 159$ asl) is near Saipur village and quarrying of stone and sand is done from this area so it is mostly disturbed area.

## Fish collection, preservation and identification

The fishes were collected from each sampling station by employing local fishermen in three
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different seasons by using cast net of 5 kg weight having 5 m length and 20 m breadth with 12 mm mesh size. Sampling was done from 8 am to 10 am . The collected fish samples were photographed and were preserved in $10 \%$ formalin solution and brought to the Central Department of Zoology (CDZ), Tribhuvan Univ-ersity, Nepal for further identification. Identifi-cation of the samples was done by the help of standard references (Talwar and Jhingram, 1991; Jayaram, 2010; Shrestha, 2019).

## Analysis of environmental variables

Water samples were collected during morning time (9:00 am to 10:00 am) and analyzed once every three months. Water temperature $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ was measured by the help of a mercury thermometer. pH was measured by using a calibrated pH meter (HI 98107, HANNA Instrument). Free $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ was determined by titration using 0.05 N of NaOH and phenolphthalein as an indicator. Dissolved oxygen (DO) was estimated by Winkler's idiometric method.

## Data analysis

Detrended Correspondence Analysis (DCA) was performed to determine whether Redundancy Analysis (RDA) or Canonical Correspondence Analysis (CCA) would be appropriate model to describe the association between fish species and
variables. The axis length and eigen value obtained from DCA suggested that the linear model of CCA was more applicable. Therefore, a direct multivariate ordination method (Terbraak, 1986), based on a linear response of species to environmental gradients was applied by using vegan library in R (Oksanenm et al., 2015). Oneway analysis of similarity (ANO-SIM) was used to determine the significance of spatial and temporal variation of fish assemblage structure (Clarke, 1993). Similarity percentages analysis (SIMPER) was executed to observe the percentage of similarity in temporal scale (Clarke, 1993).

## Results

## Fish community composition

A total number of 821 individuals were collected during the study, which belonged to 7 orders, 10 families, 19 genera and 28 species (Table 1, figure 5).The Cyprinidae was the most species-rich family ( 9 genera and 18 species) (Table 2), which is same to other water bodies in Nepal. he seven most copious fish species comprised $(75.88 \%)$ of the total catch, i.e., Cabdio morar ( 369 individuals, 59.22\%), Barilius bendelisis (54 individuals, 8.66\%), Salmostoma phulo (47 individuals, 7.54\%), Barilius barila (42 individuals, 6.74\%), Botia lohachata (42 individuals, 6.74\%), Puntius sophore (37 individuals, $5.93 \%$ ), and Labeo dyocelius (32 individuals, 5.13\%) (Table 1).

Table 1. Spatial and Temporal variation of fish assemblage structure of West Rapti River.

| S.N. | Name of species | Autumn |  |  | Winter |  |  | Spring |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | I | II | III | I | II | III | I | II | III |  |
| 1. | Labeo frimbiatus (C1) | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | - | 2 | 17 |
| 2. | Labeo dyocheilus (C2) | 5 | 10 | - | 3 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 32 |
| 3. | Labeo bata (C3) | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 20 |
| 4. | Labeo boga (C4) | 4 | - | 2 | - | 2 | 1 | - | 4 | 2 | 15 |
| 5. | Labeo gonius (C5) | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5 | 10 | 6 | 21 |
| 6. | Garra gotyla (C6) | - | - | - | 4 | 2 | - | 5 | 4 | 7 | 22 |
| 7. | Garra rupecula (C7) | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 3 |
| 8. | Puntius sophore (C8) | 6 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 7 | 5 | 3 | - | 5 | 37 |
| 9. | Puntius terio (C9) | - | 2 | 3 | 1 | - | 2 | - | 2 | 3 | 13 |
| 10. | Tor putitora (C10) | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| 11. | Barilius bendelensis (C11) | 12 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 5 | 7 | 2 | - | 1 | 54 |
| 12. | Brilius barila (C12) | 4 | 7 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 5 | 4 | 6 | 42 |
| 13. | Barilius vagra (C13) | 3 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 2 | - | 2 | - | 17 |
| 14. | Cabdio morar (C14) | 30 | 35 | 42 | 40 | 41 | 39 | 45 | 47 | 50 | 369 |
| 15. | Raiamas bola (C15) | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 5 | 1 | 9 |
| 16. | Rasbora danionius (C16) | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 |
| 17. | Salmostoma phulo (C17) | 10 | 7 | 5 | 11 | 6 | 3 | 2 | - | 3 | 47 |
| 18. | Salmostoma acinaces (C18) | 5 | - | 2 | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | - | 10 |
| 19. | Paracanthobotis botia (C19) | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | 1 | 3 |
| 20. | Lepidocephalichthys guntea (C20) | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| 21. | Botia lohachata (C21) | 5 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 8 | 5 | 7 | 42 |
| 22. | Gogangra viridescens (C22) | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5 | 7 | 10 | 22 |
| 23. | Gagata cenia (C23) | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5 | 6 | 7 | 18 |
| 24. | Xenentodon cancila (C24) | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 |
| 25. | Pseudombassis baculis(C25) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 |
| 26. | Macrogonathus armatus (C26) | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 |
| 27. | Channa punctata (C27) | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| 28. | Glosso gobiusgiuris (C28) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 821 |
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Table 2. Systematic position of the fish collected from West Rapti River

| S.N | Order | Family | Genus | Species |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Cypriniformes | Cyprinidae | Labeo | L. frimbiatus |
|  |  |  |  | L. dyocheilus |
|  |  |  |  | L.bata |
|  |  |  |  | L.boga |
|  |  |  |  | L.gonius |
|  |  |  | Garra | G.gotyla |
|  |  |  |  | G.rupecula |
|  |  |  | Puntius | P. sophore |
|  |  |  |  | P.terio |
|  |  |  | Tor | T. putitora |
|  |  |  | Brilius | B. bendelensis |
|  |  |  |  | B. barila |
|  |  |  |  | B. vagra |
|  |  |  | Cabdio | C. morar |
|  |  |  | Raiamas | R. bola |
|  |  |  | Rasbora | R. danionius |
|  |  |  | Salmostoma | S. acinaces |
|  |  |  |  | S. phulo |
|  |  | Nemacheilidae | Paracanthobotis | P. botia |
|  |  | Cobitidae | Lepidocephalichthys | L. guntea |
|  |  | Botiidae | Botia | B. lohachata |
| 2. | Siluriformes | Sisoridae | Gogangra | G. viridescens |
|  |  |  | Gagata | G. cenia |
| 3. | Beloniformes | Belonidae | Xenentodon | X. cancila |
| 4. | Synbranchiformes | Mastacembelidae | Macrognathus | M. aral |
| 5. | Perciformes | Ambassidae | Pseudombassis | P. baculis |
| 6. | Anabantiformes | Channidae | Channa | C. punctata |
| 7. | Gobiiformes | Gobiidae | Glossogobius | G. giuris |

## Spatial and temporal relation of fisheries biodiversity

The Canonical Correspondence Analysis (CCA) Fish species of C7, C10 and C20 were not showed that the species of $\mathrm{C} 12, \mathrm{C} 2$, and C 4 were positively correlated to water temperature while species of $\mathrm{C} 11, \mathrm{C} 17, \mathrm{C} 18, \mathrm{C} 13, \mathrm{C} 27, \mathrm{C} 1$ and C 6 were positively related to dissolved oxygen and pH and negatively related to free-carbon dioxide.
related to any environmental variables.C23, C22, C5, C15, C6, C14, C21, C19, C25, C28, C16, C24, C26, C3, and C9 were positively correlated to free-carbon dioxide and negatively related to dissolved oxygen and pH (Fig. 2).


CCA1
Figure 2. Canonical Correspondence Analysis (CCA) ordination of fish assemblages and environmental variables of West Rapti River (for species code see table 1).

Based on similarity percentage (SIMPER) analysis the major contributing species are Cabdio morar (13.63\%), Barilius bendelensis (10.32\%), Gogangra viridescens (7.851\%), Salmostoma phulo
(7.749\%), Labeo gonius (7.537\%), Gagata cenia (6.453\%), Garra gotyla (5.874\%) and Labeo dyocheilus (5.625\%) (table 3).

Table3. Average similarity and discriminating fish using SIMPER analysis.

| Species | Code | Contribution (\%) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Cabdio morar | C14 | 13.63 |
| Barilius bendelensis | C11 | 10.32 |
| Gogangra viridescens | C22 | 7.851 |
| Salmostoma phulo | C17 | 7.749 |
| Labeo gonius | C5 | 7.537 |
| Gagata cenia | C 23 | 6.453 |
| Garra gotyla | C 6 | 5.874 |
| Labeo dyocheilus | C 2 | 5.625 |
| Botia lohachata | C 21 | 4.524 |
| Puntius sophore | C 8 | 3.852 |
| Raiamas bola | C 15 | 3.262 |
| Barilius vagra | C 13 | 3.136 |
| Salmostoma acinaces | C 18 | 2.907 |
| Labeo boga | C 4 | 2.885 |
| Brilius ba rila | C 12 | 2.733 |
| Labeobata | C 3 | 2.59 |
| Puntius terio | C 9 | 2.26 |
| Labeo frimbiatus | C 1 | 1.624 |
| Garra rupecula | C 7 | 1.119 |
| Paracanthobotis botia | C 19 | 1.098 |

Based on cluster analysis, two major clusters were observed. The first cluster contained station II and III with autumn and winter. The Second cluster showed station I with Spring (Fig. 3 and 4).

Cluster dendrogram with p-values (\%)


Distance: correlation
Cluster method: average
Figure 3. Dendrogram of cluster analysis comparing sampling seasons on the basis of fish assemblage.

Cluster dendrogram with p-values (\%)


Distance: correlation
Cluster method: average
Figure 4. Dendrogram of cluster analysis comparing sampling stations on the basis of fish assemblage

The analysis of similarity (ANOSIM) revealed insignificant spatial variation among the three sampling sites (Table 4). The ANOSIM also showed insignificant seasonal variation in the fish assemblage among the sites (Table 5).

Table 4. Result of one way ANOSIM (significance levels) among different stations.

| Stations | I | II | III |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | - | 0.8989 | 0.6984 |
| II | 0.8989 | - | 0.4971 |
| III | 0.698 | 0.4971 | - |

Table 5. Result of one way ANOSIM (significance levels) among different seasons.

| Seasons | Autumn | Winter | Spring |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Autumn | - | 0.4032 | 0.0966 |
| Winter | 0.4034 | - | 0.0958 |
| Spring | 0.0966 | 0.0958 | - |

## Discussion

A total number of 28 species were reported during the study period. Among them are Cabdio morar, Barilius bendelensis, Gogangra viridescens, Salmostoma phulo, Labeo gonius, Gagata cenia, Garra gotyla, Labeo dyocheilus, Botia lohachata, Puntius sophore, Raiamas bola, Barilius vagra, Salmostoma acinaces, Labeo boga, Brilius barila, Labeo bata, Puntius terio, Labeo fimbriatus, Garra rupecula and Paracantho cobotis botia, each contributing more than $1 \%$ based on similarity percentage (SIMPER) analysis. The Cyprinidae was the most numerous family with 18 species which is consistent the findings of Limbu et al. (2016) and Yan et al. (2010). Limbu et al. (2019a), Limbu et al. (2019b) and Subba et al.(2017) reported 152, 9, 18 and 118 fish species from eastern Nepal, Bakraha River, Ratuwa River and Morang district. Pokharel et al. (2018) identified 24 species whereas Oli (2016) found 20 fish species from West Rapti River. In terms of spatial and temporal assemblage structure of fish, two major groups were indicated by BrayCurtis similarity cluster analysis in the West Rapti River. The first cluster consisted of stations I and II with autumn and winter. Second cluster consisted of station III with spring season. Based on ANOSIM, the fish assemblages in the West Rapti River showed no difference both in temporal and spatial scales. The finding was inconsistent with the finding of Yan et al. (2010).

Effects of environmental variable on species distributions were tested by Canonical Correspondence (CCA) analysis. Species located near the origin either do not show a strong relationship to any of the variables or are found at average values of environmental variables Marshall and Elliott (1998). In the present study,
water temperature and dissolved oxygen were found to be pivotal variables to shape the fish assemblage structure of West Rapti River. Water temperature Kadye et al. (2007) and dissolved oxygen Limbu et al. (2019b) have been shown to influence fish assemblages. Besides, current velocity Yu and Lee (2002), depth Kadye et al. (2007), width Gerhard et al. (2003), substrata Vlach et al. (2005), altitude Magalhaes et al.(2002), conductivity Yu and Lee (2002) and climate Ferreira et al. (2009) have all been also shown to influence fish assemblages structure. Out of 28 fish species, eight species viz; Tor putitora, Rasbora danionius, Lepidocephalichthys guntea, Xenentodon cancila, Pseudambassis baculis, Macrogonathus aral, Channa punctataand Glossogobius giuris were recorded of only single individuals of each species. Tor putitora has been listed in endangered category (Shrestha, 2019) and was recorded from station I only. This is because this site is restricted area for fishing and is not affected by human activities whereas in station II and III, people have destroyed the habitat of aquatic organisms by extracting boulders, cobbles, pebbles and sand. Furthermore, as mentioned earlier, stations II and III, where local people graze their cattle might have negative impact for aquatic life, including fish. For instance, excreta of cattle and consequences of soil pollution due to grazing near the river bank may have adverse effects. The spatial and temporal variations of fish assemblages in rivers and streams of Nepal are poorly understood. Therefore, to better monitor, manage and conserve the Nepal's fisheries, there is an urgent need to update the information on the spatial and temporal scales of fish diversity, distribution pattern and community structure.
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The populations of Tor putitora, Rasbora danionius, Lepidocephalichthys guntea, Xenentodon cancila, Pseudombassis baculis,Macrogonathus armatus, Channa punctata and Glossogobius
giuris were found to be declining in the West Rapti River. The present study therefore, foresees the need of an immediate conservational initiative to conserve the above mentioned species in the river.
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