Nepal Journal of Science and Technology 12 (2011) 252-259

## On Vector Valued Paranormed Sequence Space *I*<sub>w</sub>(X,M, τ, p,L) Defined by Orlicz Function

## Narayan Prasad Pahari

Trichandra Campus, Tribhuvan University,Kathmandu email : nppahari @ yahoo.com

## Abstract

The aim of this paper is to introduce and study new classes  $l_{\infty}(X, M, \overline{\lambda}, \overline{p})$  and  $l_{\infty}(X, M, \overline{\lambda}, \overline{p}, L)$  of vector valued sequences by using Orlicz function M. We examine conditions pertaining the containment relation of the class  $l_{\infty}(X, M, \overline{\lambda}, \overline{p})$  and explore the linear topological structure of vector valued sequence space  $l_{\infty}(X, M, \overline{\lambda}, \overline{p}, L)$ .

2010AMS Subject Classification: Primary 46A45, Secondary - 46B15

Keywords: Orlicz Function, Paranormed Space, Normal Space, Sequence Space.

## Introduction:

Before proceeding with the main results we recall some terminology and notations. An Orlicz function is a function  $M : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  which is continuous, non decreasing and convex with M(0) = 0, M(u) > 0 for u > 0 and  $M(u) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $u \rightarrow \infty$ . An Orlicz function satisfies the inequality  $M(\alpha u) \leq \alpha M(u)$  for all  $\alpha$ satisfying  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . An Orlicz function M is said to satisfy  $\Delta_2$ -condition for all values of  $u \geq 0$ , if there exists a constant K > 0 such that  $M(2u) \leq KM(u)$ . The  $\Delta_2$ -condition is equivalent to the satisfaction of inequality  $M(ru) \leq K r M(u)$  for all values of u and for r > 1, (see, Krasnosel'skii, M.A. *et al.* (1961)).

Lindentrauss and Tzafriri (1971) used the notion of Orlicz function to construct the Orlicz sequence space

$$l_{M} = \left\{ \overline{\eta} = (\eta_{k}) \in \omega : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M\left(\frac{|\eta_{k}|}{\rho}\right) < \infty \text{ for some } \rho > 0 \right\}$$

of scalars , which forms a Banach space with Lux emburg norm defined by

$$\| \overline{\eta} \|_{M} = \inf \left\{ \rho > 0; \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M\left(\frac{|\eta_{k}|}{\rho}\right) \le 1 \right\}.$$

Subsequently Parashar and Choudhary (1994), Ghosh and Srivastava (1999), Rao and Subramanian (2004), Tripathy *et al* (2005), Karakaya(2005), Savas and Patterson(2005), Khan (2008), Basariv and Altundag (2009) and many others have studied the algebraic and topological properties of sequence spaces defined by Orlicz functions.

Let X be a normed space over C, the field of complex numbers. Let  $\omega(X)$  denote the linear space of all sequences  $\overline{x} = (x_k)$  with  $x_k \in X$ ,  $k \ge 1$  with usual coordinate wise operations i.e.,  $\overline{x} + \overline{y} = (x_k + y_k)$  and  $\alpha \overline{x} = (\alpha x_k)$ , for each  $\overline{x}$ ,  $\overline{y} \in \omega(X)$  and  $\alpha \in C$ . We shall denote  $\omega(C)$  by  $\omega$ . Thus if  $\overline{\lambda} = (\lambda_k) \in \omega$  and  $\overline{x} \in \omega(X)$  then we shall write  $\overline{\lambda} \overline{x} = (\lambda_k x_k)$ .

The notion of paranormed spaces is closely related to linear metric spaces. (Wilansky (1978). A linear topological space X over R is said to be a paranormed space if there is a sub additive function  $G: X \to R$ (called paranorm on X) satisfying G(0) = 0, G(-x) = G(x) for all  $x \in X$  and if  $(\alpha_n)$  is a sequence of scalars with  $\alpha_n \to \alpha$  as  $n \to \infty$  and  $(x_n)$  is a sequence of vectors with  $G(x_n - x) \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ , then  $G(\alpha_n x_n - \alpha x) \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$  (continuity of scalar multiplication). A paranorm G for which G(x) = 0 implies x = 0 is called **total** 

Note that the continuity of scalar multiplication is equivalent to

- (i) if G (x<sub>n</sub>) → 0 and α<sub>n</sub> → α as n → ∞, then G (α<sub>n</sub>x<sub>n</sub>) → 0 as n → ∞ and
- (ii) if α<sub>n</sub>→ 0 as n→∞ and x be any element in X, then G(α<sub>n</sub> x) → 0, (Wilansky 1978).

A sequence space S is said to be normal if

 $\overline{x} = (x_k) \in S$  and  $\overline{\alpha} = (\alpha_k)$  a sequence of scalars with

 $|\alpha_k| \le 1$ , for all  $k \ge 1$ , then  $\overline{\alpha} \overline{x} = (\alpha_k x_k) \in S$ .

Following inequality has been used throughout this paper :

 $|a+b|^n \le |a|^n + |b|^n$ , where  $a, b \in \mathbb{C}, 0 \le n \le 1$ .

# The Classes $l_{\omega}(X,M, \overline{\lambda}, \overline{p}, L)$ and $l_{\omega}(X,M, \overline{\lambda}, \overline{p})$ of Vector Sequences

Let  $\overline{p} = (p_k)$  and  $\overline{q} = (q_k)$  be any sequences of strictly

positive real numbers and  $\overline{\lambda} = (\lambda_k)$  and  $\overline{\mu} = (\mu_k)$  be sequences of non zero complex numbers. Assume that  $0 < l \le \inf_k p_k \le \sup_k p_k = L < \infty$ .

We now introduce the following classes of Banach space X- valued sequences

$$l_{\infty}(X, \mathcal{M}, \overline{\lambda}, \overline{p}) = \{\overline{x} = (x_k) : x_k \in X \text{ and } \mathcal{M}\left(\frac{||\lambda_k x_k||^{p_k}}{\rho}\right)$$
  
<  $\infty$ .for some  $\rho > 0$ }

and 
$$l_{\infty}(X, \mathcal{M}, \overline{\lambda}, \overline{\rho}, L) = \{\overline{x} = (x_k) : x_k \in X \text{ and} M\left(\frac{||\lambda_k x_k||^{p_k} / L}{\rho}\right) < \infty, \text{ for some } \rho > 0\}.$$

Clearly  $l_{\infty}(X, M, \overline{\lambda}, \overline{p}, L)$  is a subset of  $l_{\infty}(X, M, \overline{\lambda}, \overline{p})$ .

Further when  $p_k = 1$  for all k, then  $l_{\infty}(X, M, \overline{\lambda}, \overline{p})$  will be denoted by  $l_{\infty}(X, M, \overline{\lambda})$  and when  $\lambda_k = 1$  for all k, then  $l_{\infty}(X, M, \overline{\lambda}, \overline{p})$  will be denoted by  $l_{\infty}(X, M, \overline{p})$ . If  $p_k = \lambda_k = 1$  for all k, then the class  $l_{\infty}(X, M, \overline{\lambda}, \overline{p})$  will be denoted by  $l_{\infty}(X, M)$ .

## The Class $l_{\infty}(X, M, \overline{\lambda}, \overline{p})$

In this section, we investigate some inclusion relations

between the classes  $l_{\omega}(X, M, \overline{\lambda}, \overline{p})$  arising in terms of

different 
$$\overline{p}$$
 and  $\lambda$ . Throughout, we shall denote

$$t_k = \left| \frac{\lambda_k}{\mu_k} \right|^{r_k}, r_k = \frac{1}{p_k^2}, s_k = \frac{1}{q_k}, k \ge 1.$$

## Lemma 3.1: $l_{\omega}(X,M, \overline{\lambda}, \overline{p}) \subset l_{\omega}(X,M, \overline{\mu}, \overline{p})$ if and only if $\lim \inf_k t_k > 0$ .

#### Proof:

SU

For the sufficiency, assume that  $\lim \inf_k t_k > 0$ . Then there exists m > 0 such that  $m |\mu_k|^{p_k} < |\lambda_k|^{p_k}$  for all sufficiently large values of k.

Let 
$$\overline{x} = (x_k) \in l_{\infty}(X, M, \overline{\lambda}, \overline{p})$$
, then for some  $\rho > 0$ ,  

$$\sup_{k} M\left(\frac{||\lambda_k x_k||^{p_k}}{\rho}\right) < \infty.$$

Now we choose  $\rho_1 > 0$  such that  $\rho \le m\rho_1$ . Since *M* is non decreasing, we have

$$\begin{split} \sup_{k} M\left(\frac{||\mathcal{A}_{k} x_{k}||^{p_{k}}}{\rho_{1}}\right) &= \sup_{k} M\left(\frac{|\mathcal{A}_{k}|^{p_{k}} ||x_{k}||^{p_{k}}}{\rho_{1}}\right) \\ &\leq \sup_{k} M\left(\frac{|\lambda_{k}|^{q_{k}} ||x_{k}||^{p_{k}}}{m\rho_{1}}\right) \\ &\leq \sup_{k} M\left(\frac{||\lambda_{k} x_{k}||^{p_{k}}}{\rho}\right) < \infty \end{split}$$

showing that  $\overline{x} \in l_{\infty}(X, M, \overline{\mu}, \overline{p})$  and hence

$$l_{\infty}(X,M,\overline{\lambda},\overline{p}) \subset l_{\infty}(X,M,\overline{\mu},\overline{p})$$
  
For the necessity, assume that

 $l_{\infty}(X,M, \overline{\lambda}, \overline{p}) \subset l_{\infty}(X,M, \overline{\mu}, \overline{p})$  holds but  $lim inf_k t_k = 0$ . So that we can find a sequence (k(n)) of integers such that  $k(n+1) > k(n) \ge 1$ ,  $n \ge 1$ , satisfying

 $n |\lambda_{k(n)}|^{P_{k(n)}} < |\mu_{k(n)}|^{P_{k(n)}}$ , for all  $n \ge 1$ .

Corresponding to  $u \in X$  with || u || = 1, we define a sequence  $\overline{x} = (x_k)$  by

$$x_k = \lambda_{k(n)} \stackrel{\text{or}}{\longrightarrow} u, \text{ for } k = k(n), n \ge 1$$
  
= 0. otherwise.

Let  $\rho > 0$ . Then for k = k(n),  $n \ge 1$ , using convexity of M we have

$$\sup_{k} M\left(\frac{||\lambda_{k} x_{k}||^{p_{k}}}{\rho}\right) = \sup_{n} M\left(\frac{||\mathbf{u}||^{p_{k(n)}}}{\rho}\right)$$
$$= M\left(\frac{I}{\rho}\right) < \infty,$$
and 
$$\sup_{k} M\left(\frac{||\lambda_{k} x_{k}||^{p_{k}}}{\rho}\right) = 0, \text{ otherwise},$$

showing that  $\overline{x} \in l_{\infty}(X, M, \overline{\lambda}, \overline{p})$ . But on the other hand, for any p > 0 and  $k = k(n), n \ge 1$ , we have

$$\sup_{\tilde{k}} M\left(\frac{||\mu_{k} x_{k}||^{p_{\tilde{k}}}}{\rho}\right) = \sup_{n} M\left(\frac{||\frac{\mu_{k(n)}}{\lambda_{k(n)}} u ||^{p_{k(n)}}}{\rho}\right)$$
$$= \sup_{n} M\left(\left|\frac{\mu_{k(n)}}{\lambda_{k(n)}}\right|^{p_{k(n)}}\frac{1}{\rho}\right)$$
$$\geq \sup_{n} M\left(\frac{n}{\rho}\right) = \infty ,$$

showing that  $\overline{x} \notin l_{\infty}(X, M, \overline{\mu}, \overline{p})$ , a contradiction. This completes the proof.

## Lemma 3.2: $l_{\infty}(X,M,\overline{\mu},\overline{p}) \subset l_{\infty}(X,M,\overline{\lambda},\overline{p})$ if and only if $\lim sup_k t_k < \infty$ .

#### Proof:

For the sufficiency, assume that  $\lim \sup_k t_k < \infty$ . Then we can find a positive number T such that

 $T |\mu_k|^{p_k} > |\lambda_k|^{p_k}$  for all sufficiently large values of k. Then analogous to the Lemma 3.1, the result follows For the necessity, suppose that

 $l_{\infty}(X, M, \overline{\mu}, \overline{p}) \subset l_{\infty}(X, M, \overline{\lambda}, \overline{p})$  holds but  $\limsup_k t_k = \infty$ . Then there exists a sequence  $(\lambda(n))$  of positive integers satisfying  $k (n + 1) > k(n) \ge 1$ ,  $n \ge 1$ , for which

$$\left|\frac{\lambda_{k(n)}}{\mu_{k(n)}}\right|^{P_{k(n)}} > n$$
, for all  $n \ge 1$ .

Now, corresponding to  $u \in X$  with ||u|| = 1, define

a sequence 
$$\overline{x} = (x_k)$$
 by  
 $x_k = \mu_{k(n)}^{-1} u$ , for  $k = k(n), n \ge 1$   
 $= 0$ , otherwise.

Let  $\rho > 0$ . Then for k = k(n),  $n \ge 1$  and using convexity of M, we have

$$\sup_{k} M\left(\frac{||\mu_{k} x_{k}||^{p_{k}}}{\rho}\right) = \sup_{n} M\left(\frac{||\mathbf{u}||^{p_{k}(n)}}{\rho}\right)$$
$$= M\left(\frac{1}{\rho}\right) < \infty,$$
and 
$$\sup_{k} M\left(\frac{||\mu_{k} x_{k}||^{p_{k}}}{\rho}\right) = 0, \text{ otherwise,}$$

and  $\frac{1}{k}M\left(\frac{\rho}{\rho}\right) = 0$ , otherwise

which shows that  $\overline{x} \in l_{\infty}(X, M, \overline{\mu}, \overline{p})$ . But on the other hand for any  $\rho > 0$  and k = k(n),  $n \ge 1$ , we have

$$\sup_{k} M\left(\frac{||\lambda_{k} x_{k}||^{p_{k}}}{\rho}\right) = \sup_{n} M\left(\frac{||\frac{\lambda_{k(n)}}{\mu_{k(n)}} \mathbf{u}||^{p_{k}(p)}}{\rho}\right)$$
$$= \sup_{n} M\left(\left|\frac{\lambda_{k(n)}}{\mu_{k(n)}}\right|^{n_{k(n)}}\frac{1}{\rho}\right)$$
$$\geq \sup_{n} M\left(\frac{\mathbf{n}}{\rho}\right) = \infty ,$$

showing that  $\overline{x} \notin l_{\infty}(X, \mathcal{M}, \overline{\lambda}, \overline{p})$ , a contradiction. This completes the proof. On combining the Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2, we get

Theorem 3.3:  $l_{\infty}(X,M, \overline{\lambda}, \overline{p}) = l_{\infty}(X,M, \overline{\mu}, \overline{p})$ if and only if  $0 < \lim \inf_k t_k < \limsup_k t_k < \infty$ . Corollary 3.4:

- (i) l<sub>∞</sub>(X,M, λ, p) ⊂ l<sub>∞</sub>(X,M, p) if and only if lim inf<sub>k</sub> |λ<sub>k</sub>|<sup>p</sup> > 0;
- (ii) l<sub>∞</sub> (X,M, p̄) ⊂ l<sub>∞</sub> (X,M, λ̄, p̄) if and only if lim sup<sub>k</sub> |λ<sub>k</sub>|<sup>p</sup><sub>k</sub> < ∞;</li>
- (iii)  $l_{\infty}(X,M, \overline{\lambda}, \overline{p}) = l_{\infty}(X,M, \overline{p})$  if and only if  $0 < \lim n n f_k |\lambda_k|^{p_k} \leq \lim n n h_k |\lambda_k|^{p_k} < \infty$

## Proof:

By taking  $\mu_k = 1$  for all k , in Lemmas 3.1 ,3.2 and Theorem 3.3 , the assertions (i),(ii) and (iii) follow.

Lemma 3.5:  $l_{\infty}(X,M, \overline{\lambda}, \overline{p}) \subset l_{\infty}(X,M, \overline{\lambda}, \overline{q})$ 

if and only if *lim* 
$$sup_k \frac{q_k}{p_k} < \infty$$
.

Proof:

For the sufficiency, assume that  $\lim \sup_k \frac{q_k}{p_k} < \infty$  Then there exists T > 0 such that  $q_k < T p_k$  for all sufficiently large values of k. Let  $\overline{x} = (x_k) \in l_{\infty}(X, M, \overline{\lambda}, \overline{p})$ .

Then for some  $\rho > 0$ ,  $\sup_{k} M\left(\frac{||\lambda_{k} x_{k}||^{p}}{\rho}\right) < \infty$ .

Hence we can find a real number N > 1 satisfying

 $M\left(\frac{||\lambda_k x_k||^{\rho_k}}{\rho}\right) < M\left(\frac{N}{\rho}\right), \text{ for all sufficiently large values of }k.$  Since M is non decreasing, therefore

 $||\lambda_{\perp} x_{\perp}||^{p_{k}} \leq \mathbf{N}. \text{ This implies that } ||\lambda_{\perp} x_{\perp}||^{q_{k}} \leq \mathbf{N}^{T}.$ 

Hence, 
$$\frac{sup}{k} M\left(\frac{||A_kA_k||^{-\kappa}}{\rho}\right) \leq M\left(\frac{1}{\rho}\right) < \infty$$
,

for all sufficiently large values of k and hence

 $\overline{x} \in I_{\infty}(X, M, \overline{\lambda}, \overline{q})$ . Hence  $I_{\infty}(X, M, \overline{\lambda}, \overline{p}) \subset I_{\infty}(X, M, \overline{\lambda}, \overline{q})$ . For the necessity, suppose that the inclusion holds but  $\lim \sup_{k} \frac{q_k}{p_k} = \infty$ . Then there exists a sequence (k(n))of positive integers such that  $k(n+1) > k(n) \ge 1$ ,  $n \ge 1$ , for which  $q_{k(n)} > np_{k(n)}$  for all  $n \ge 1$ .

Corresponding to  $u \in X$  with ||u|| = 1, we define a sequence  $\overline{x} = (x_k)$  by  $x_k = \lambda_{k/9}^{-1} 2^{n_k(n)} u$ , for  $k = k(n), n \ge 1 = 0$ , otherwise. So that for each  $n \ge 1$ , k = k(n) and some  $\rho > 0$ , we have

$$\begin{split} \sup_{k} & M\left(\frac{||\lambda_{k} x_{k}||^{p_{k}}}{\rho}\right) = \sup_{n} & M\left(\frac{||2^{1/p_{k}(h)} u ||^{p_{k}(h)}}{\rho}\right) \\ & = \sup_{n} & M\left(\frac{2||u||^{p_{k}(h)}}{\rho}\right) \\ & = & M\left(\frac{2}{\rho}\right) < \infty, \\ \text{and} & \sup_{k} & M\left(\frac{||\lambda_{k} x_{k}||^{p_{k}}}{\rho}\right) = 0, \text{otherwise}, \end{split}$$

showing that  $\overline{x} \in l_{\infty}(X, M, \overline{\lambda}, \overline{p})$ . But for each k = km,  $n \ge 1$ , we have

$$\sup_{n} M\left(\frac{||\lambda_k x_k||^{q_k}}{\rho}\right) = \sup_{n} M\left(\frac{||2^{1/p_k(t)} u||^{q_k(t)}}{\rho}\right)$$
  
Since,  $q_{k(t)} / p_{k(t)} > n$  i.e.  $2^{q_k(t)/p_k(t)} > 2^n$ .

Since M is non decreasing, we have

$$\sup_{k} M\left(\frac{||\lambda_{k} x_{k}||^{q_{k}}}{\rho}\right) \geq \sup_{n} M\left(\frac{2^{n}||u||^{q_{h}(q)}}{\rho}\right)$$
$$= \sup_{n} M\left(\frac{2^{n}}{\rho}\right) = \infty.$$

This shows that  $\overline{x} \notin l_{\infty}$   $(X, M, \overline{\lambda}, \overline{q})$ , a contradiction. Hence the proof is complete.

Lemma 3.6: 
$$l_{\omega}(X,M, \overline{\lambda}, \overline{q}) \subset l_{\omega}(X,M, \overline{\lambda}, \overline{p})$$
  
if and only if  $\lim \inf_k \frac{q_k}{p_k} > 0$ .

Proof:

For the sufficiency, assume that  $lim inf_k \frac{q_k}{p_k} > 0$ . Then there exists a positive constant m such that  $q_k > m p_k$ , for all sufficiently large values of k.

Let  $\overline{x} = (x_k) \in l_{\infty}(X, M, \overline{\lambda}, \overline{q})$ . Then for some  $\rho > 0, \frac{\sup_k M(|\lambda_k x_k||^{q_k})}{p} < \infty$ .

This shows that there exists a real number N > 1satisfying

 $M\left(\frac{||\lambda_k x_k||^{q_k}}{\rho}\right) < M\left(\frac{N}{\rho}\right)$ , for all sufficiently large

values of k Since M is non decreasing, therefore  $||\lambda_k x_k||^{q_k} < N$  and so  $||\lambda_k x_k||^{p_k} < N^{1/m}$ , for sufficiently large values of k Hence using the convexity of M, we have

$$\sup_{k} M\left(\frac{||\lambda_{k} x_{k}||^{p_{k}}}{\rho}\right) \leq M\left(\frac{N^{1/m}}{\rho}\right) < \infty.$$

This implies that  $\overline{x} \in l_{\infty}(X,M,\overline{\lambda},\overline{p})$  and hence

$$l_{\infty}(X, M, \overline{\lambda}, \overline{q}) \subset l_{\infty}(X, M, \overline{\lambda}, \overline{p})$$
.  
For the necessity, assume that

 $l_{\infty}(X,M, \overline{\lambda}, \overline{q}) \subset l_{\infty}(X,M, \overline{\lambda}, \overline{p})$  but  $\lim \inf_{k} \frac{q_{k}}{p_{k}} = 0$ . Then there exists a sequence (k(n)) of positive integers such that  $k(n+1) > k(n) \ge 1$ , for which  $n q_{k(n)} < p_{k(n)}$  for each  $n \ge 1$ .

Corresponding to  $u \in X$  with ||u|| = 1, we define a sequence  $\overline{x} = (x_k)$  by  $x_k = \lambda_{k(n)}^{-1} 2^{\frac{1}{2k(n)}} u$ , for  $k = k(n), n \ge 1 = 0$ , otherwise.

So that for each  $n \ge 1$ , k = k(n) and some  $\rho > 0$ , we have

$$\sup_{k} M\left(\frac{||\lambda_{k} x_{k}||^{q_{k}}}{\rho}\right) = \sup_{n} M\left(\frac{||2^{1/q_{k}(q)} u||^{q_{k}(q)}}{\rho}\right)$$
$$= \sup_{n} M\left(\frac{2||u||^{q_{k}(q)}}{\rho}\right)$$
$$= M\left(\frac{2}{\rho}\right) < \infty,$$

and 
$$\frac{\sup}{k} M\left(\frac{||\lambda_k x_k||^{q_k}}{\rho}\right) = 0$$
, for  $k \neq k(n)$ ,  $n \ge 1$ ,

showing that  $\overline{x} \in l_{\infty}(X, M, \overline{\lambda}, \overline{q})$ . But for each k = k(n),  $n \ge 1$ , we have

$$\begin{split} \sup_{k} M\left(\frac{||\lambda_{k} x_{k}||^{p_{k}}}{\rho}\right) &= \sup_{n} M\left(\frac{||2^{1/q_{k}(p)} \mathbf{u}||^{p_{k}(p)}}{\rho}\right) \\ &= \sup_{n} M\left(\frac{2^{\operatorname{Pl}_{k}(n)/\operatorname{Pl}_{k}(n)}}{\rho} ||u||^{\operatorname{Pl}_{k}(n)}\right) \\ &\geq \sup_{n} M\left(\frac{2^{n}}{\rho}\right) &= \infty. \end{split}$$

This shows that  $\overline{x} \notin l_{\infty}(X,M, \overline{\lambda}, \overline{p})$ , a contradiction. This completes the proof.

On combining the Lemmas 3.5 and 3.6, one obtain

Theorem 3.7: 
$$l_{\varpi}(X,M,\overline{\lambda},\overline{p}) = l_{\varpi}(X,M,\overline{\lambda},\overline{q})$$

if and only if 
$$0 < lim$$
 inf $k \frac{q_k}{p_k} \le lim$  sup  $k \frac{q_k}{p_k} < \infty$ .

Corollary 3.8:

- (i) l<sub>∞</sub>(X,M,λ) ⊂ l<sub>∞</sub>(X,M,λ,p̄) if and only if limsup k pk <∞;</li>
- (ii)  $l_{\infty}(X,M,\overline{\lambda},\overline{p}) \subset l_{\infty}(X,M,\overline{\lambda})$  if and only if  $\lim \inf_k p_k > 0$ ;

(iii) 
$$l_{\infty}(X,M,\overline{\lambda},\overline{p}) = l_{\infty}(X,M,\overline{\lambda})$$
 if and only if  
 $0 < \liminf_k p_k \leq \limsup_k p_k < \infty$ .

#### Proof:

The proof follows by taking  $p_k = 1$  for all k and  $\overline{q}$  is replaced by  $\overline{p}$  in the Lemmas 3.5 and 3.6 and Theorem 3.7.

Theorem 3.9:  $l_{\omega}(X,M, \overline{\lambda}, \overline{p}) \subset l_{\omega}(X,M, \overline{\mu}, \overline{q})$  if and only if

(i) 
$$\lim \inf_k t_k > 0$$
 and (ii)  $\lim \sup_k \frac{q_k}{p_k} < \infty$ 

Proof:

Proof of the theorem follows immediately from the Lemmas 3.1 and 3.5.

In the following example, we show that  $l_{\infty}(X, M, \overline{\lambda}, \overline{p})$ 

may strictly be contained in  $l_{\infty}(X, M, \overline{\mu}, \overline{q})$  in spite of the conditions (i) and (ii) of Theorem 3.9 are satisfied.

#### Example 3.10

Let X be a Banach space and consider a sequence

 $\overline{x} = (x_k)$  in X. Consider  $u \in X$  such that ||u|| = 1 and define  $x_k = k^k u$ , if k = 1, 2, 3, ...

Further, let  $p_k = k^{-1}$ , if k is odd integer,  $p_k = k^{-2}$ , if k is even integer,  $q_k = k^{-2}$  for all values of k,  $\lambda_k = 3^k$ ,  $\mu_k = 2^k$  for all values of k. Then  $t_k = \left|\frac{\lambda_k}{\mu_k}\right|^{p_k} = \frac{3}{2}$  or  $\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{1/k}$  according as k is odd or even integer and hence lim inf\_k  $t_k = 1 > 0$ . Further,  $\frac{q_k}{p_k} = \frac{1}{k}$  if k is odd integer,  $\frac{q_k}{p_k} = 1$ , if k is even integer.

Therefore  $\lim \sup_k \frac{q_k}{p_k} = 1 < \infty$ . Hence the conditions (i) and (ii) of Theorem 3.9 are satisfied. Now, for some  $\rho > 0$ , we have

$$\sup_{k} M\left(\frac{||\mu_{k} x_{k}||^{q}_{k}}{\rho}\right) = \sup_{k} M\left(\frac{||2^{k} k^{k} u ||^{1k^{2}}}{\rho}\right)$$
$$= \sup_{k} M\left(\frac{(2k)^{1/k}}{\rho} ||u ||^{1k^{2}}\right)$$
$$\leq \sup_{k} M\left(\frac{(2k)^{1/k}}{\rho}\right) < \infty,$$

showing that  $\overline{x} \in l_{\infty}(X, M, \overline{\mu}, \overline{q})$ . But for k an odd integer,

$$\begin{split} \sup_{k} & M\left(\frac{||\lambda_{k}x_{k}||^{p_{k}}}{\rho}\right) = \sup_{k} & M\left(\frac{||\beta^{k}k^{k}u||^{2h}}{\rho}\right) \\ & = \sup_{k} & M\left(\frac{3k}{\rho}\right) = \infty. \end{split}$$

This implies that  $\overline{x} \notin l_{\infty}$   $(X,M, \overline{\lambda}, \overline{p})$ . Thus, the containment of  $l_{\infty}$   $(X,M, \overline{\lambda}, \overline{p})$  in  $l_{\infty}(X,M, \overline{\mu}, \overline{q})$  is strict inspite of the satisfaction of the conditions (i) and (ii) of the Theorem 3.9.

## Linear Topological Structure of $l_{av}(X,M, \overline{\lambda}, \overline{p}, L)$

In this section, we shall investigate some theorems that characterize the linear topological structure of the space  $l_{\infty}(X, \mathcal{M}, \overline{\lambda}, \overline{p}, L)$  as defined earlier by endowing it a suitable paranorm.

## Theorem 4.1: l<sub>∞</sub>(X,M, λ, p) forms a linear space over C if and only if sup<sub>k</sub>p<sub>k</sub> <∞.</p>

## Proof:

Let  $\overline{x}$ ,  $\overline{y} \in l_{\infty}(X, M, \overline{\lambda}, \overline{p})$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in C$ . Then there exist  $\rho_1 > 0$  and  $\rho_2 > 0$  such that

$$\sum_{k}^{sup} M\left(\frac{||\lambda_{k} x_{k}||^{p_{k}}}{\rho_{1}}\right) < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{k}^{sup} M\left(\frac{||\lambda_{k} y_{k}||^{p_{k}}}{\rho_{2}}\right) < \infty.$$

Let us choose  $\rho > 0$  satisfying  $2\rho_1 \max(1, |\alpha|) \le \rho$  and  $2\rho_2 \max(1, |\beta|) \le \rho$ .

For such  $\rho$ , using non decreasing and convex properties of M, we have

$$\begin{split} \sup_{k} & M\left(\frac{||\lambda_{k} (\alpha x_{k} + \beta y_{k})||^{p_{k}}}{\rho}\right) \\ &\leq \sup_{k} & M\left(\frac{||\alpha \lambda_{k} x_{k}||^{p_{k}} + ||\beta \lambda_{k} y_{k}||^{p_{k}}}{\rho}\right) \\ &= \sup_{k} & M\left(\frac{|\alpha|^{p_{k}} ||\lambda_{k} x_{k}||^{p_{k}}}{\rho} + \frac{|\beta|^{p_{k}} ||\lambda_{k} y_{k}||^{p_{k}}}{\rho}\right) \\ &\leq \sup_{k} & M\left(\frac{1}{2\rho_{l}} ||\lambda_{k} x_{k}||^{p_{k}} + \frac{1}{2\rho_{2}} ||\lambda_{k} y_{k}||^{p_{k}}\right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \sup_{k} & M\left(\frac{||\lambda_{k} x_{k}||^{p_{k}}}{\rho_{l}}\right) + \frac{1}{2} \sup_{k} & M\left(\frac{||\lambda_{k} y_{k}||^{p_{k}}}{\rho_{2}}\right) \end{split}$$

< ∞,

This implies that  $l_{\infty}(X, M, \overline{\lambda}, \overline{p})$  forms a linear space over C.

For the necessity, suppose that  $l_{\infty}(X, M, \overline{\lambda}, \overline{p})$  is a linear space over C but  $\lim \sup_{k} p_k = \infty$ . Then there exists a sequence (k(n)) of positive integers satisfying  $k (n + 1) > k(n) \ge 1$ ,  $n \ge 1$ , for which  $p_{k(n)} > n$ , for each  $n \ge 1$ .

Now, corresponding to  $u \in X$  with ||u|| = 1, we

define a sequence  $\overline{x} = (x_k)$  by

$$x_k = \lambda_{k(n)}^{-1} u$$
, for  $k = k(n), n \ge 1$   
= 0, otherwise.

Then as in Theorem 3.2, we can show that

 $\overline{x} \in l_{\infty}(X, M, \overline{\lambda}, \overline{p})$ . On the other hand for any  $\rho > 0$ and scalar  $\beta = 4$ , we get

$$M\left(\frac{|\lambda_k \beta x_k||^{p_k}}{\rho}\right) = M\left(\frac{|4 u||^{p_k e_p}}{\rho}\right)$$
  
$$\geq M\left(\frac{4^n}{\rho}\right)$$
  
$$\geq M\left(\frac{4}{\rho}\right), \text{ for each } k \geq 1.$$

This shows that  $\beta \overline{x} \notin l_{\infty}(X, M, \overline{\lambda}, \overline{p})$ , a contradiction. This completes the proof.

## Corollay. 4.2: $l_{\infty}(X, M, \overline{\lambda}, \overline{p}, L)$ forms a linear space over C.

Proof:

Since by definition of  $l_{\infty}(X, M, \overline{\lambda}, \overline{p}, L)$ , L is finite and therefore by proceeding on the lines of proof of Theorem 4.1 the results follows.

In what follows for  $\overline{x} \in l_{\infty}(X, M, \overline{\lambda}, \overline{p}, L)$ , we shall denote

$$\psi(\overline{x}) = \{\rho > 0: \sup_{k} M\left(\frac{||\lambda_k x_k||^{P_k/L}}{\rho}\right) \le 1\}.$$

Theorem 4.3:  $l_{\alpha}(X,M, \overline{\lambda}, \overline{p}, L)$  forms a total paranormed space with respect to

$$G(\overline{x}) = \inf \{ \rho > 0 : \sup_{k} M\left(\frac{\|\lambda_k x_k\|^{p_k/2}}{\rho}\right) \le 1 \}.$$

Proof:

Obviously, G(0) = 0 and  $G(-\overline{x}) = G(\overline{x})$ .

Further suppose that  $G(\overline{x}) = 0$ . Then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

there exists some  $\rho_{\epsilon}$  ( $0 < \rho_{\epsilon} < \epsilon$ ), such that  $\sup_{k} M\left(\frac{||\lambda_{k} x_{k}||^{p_{k}}}{\rho_{\epsilon}}\right) \le 1$ . This shows that

$$\sup_{k} M\left(\frac{||\lambda_{k} x_{k}||^{p_{k} \delta}}{\varepsilon}\right) \leq 1, \text{ for every } \varepsilon > 0.$$

This is possible only when  $\|\lambda_k x_k\|^{p_k/2} = 0$  for each

$$k \ge 1$$
. Hence  $x = 0$ .

Now for  $\overline{x}, \overline{y} \in l_{\infty}(X, M, \overline{\lambda}, \overline{p}, L)$ , consider  $\rho_1 \in \psi(\overline{x})$ 

and  $\rho_2 \in \psi(\overline{y})$ . Then clearly by the convexity of M w e have

$$\begin{split} & M \Biggl( \frac{||\lambda_k (x_k + y_k) ||^{p_k/L}}{\rho_1 + \rho_2} \Biggr) \\ & \leq M \Biggl[ \frac{||\lambda_k x_k||^{p_k/L}}{\rho_1} \times \frac{\rho_1}{\rho_1 + \rho_2} + \frac{||\lambda_k y_k||^{p_k/L}}{\rho_2} \times \frac{\rho_2}{\rho_1 + \rho_2} \Biggr] \\ & \leq \frac{\rho_1}{\rho_1 + \rho_2} \sup_k M \Biggl( \frac{||\lambda_k x_k||^{\sigma_k/L}}{\rho_1} \Biggr) + \frac{\rho_2}{\rho_1 + \rho_2} - \sup_k M \Biggl( \frac{||\lambda_k y_k||^{\sigma_k/L}}{\rho_2} \Biggr) \\ & \leq \frac{\rho_1}{\rho_1 + \rho_2} \cdot 1 + \frac{\rho_2}{\rho_1 + \rho_2} \cdot 1 = 1. \end{split}$$

This shows that  $\rho_1 + \rho_2 \in \psi(\overline{x} + \overline{y})$ .

Thus  $G(\overline{x} + \overline{y}) \le \rho_1 + \rho_2$  for each  $\rho_1 \in \psi(\overline{x})$  and  $\rho_2 \in \psi(\overline{y})$  implies that

 $G(\overline{x} + \overline{y}) \leq G(\overline{x}) + G(\overline{y}).$ Finally we show the continuity of scalar multiplication. Let  $\overline{x}^{(n)} = (x_k^{(n)})$  be a sequence in  $l_{\infty}(X, M, \overline{\lambda}, \overline{p}, L)$  such that  $G(\overline{x}^{(n)}) \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$  and  $(\alpha_n)$  a sequence of scalars such that  $\alpha_n \rightarrow \alpha$ . We prove that  $G(\alpha, \overline{r}^{(n)}) \rightarrow 0$ 

$$G\left(\alpha_{n} \overline{x}^{(n)}\right) = \inf\left\{\rho: \sup_{k} M\left(\frac{\|\lambda_{k} \alpha_{n} x_{k}^{(n)}\|^{p_{k}} I}{\rho}\right) \le 1\right\}$$
$$= \inf\left\{\rho: \sup_{k} M\left(\frac{|\alpha_{n}|^{p_{k}} I}{\rho}\right) \le 1\right\}$$
$$\le \inf\left\{\rho: \sup_{k} M\left(\frac{\|\alpha_{n}|^{p_{k}} I}{\rho}\right) \le 1\right\}$$

where  $H = \sup_{n \mid \alpha_n \mid}$ . Thus for t = max(1, H), then we get

$$G\left(\alpha_{n}\overline{x}^{(n)}\right) \leq \inf\left\{\rho: \sup_{k} M\left(\frac{t \left\|\lambda_{k}x_{k}^{(n)}\right\|^{p_{k}/2}}{\rho}\right) \leq 1\right\}$$

Let  $\frac{\rho}{r} = r$ , so that

$$G(\alpha_{n} \overline{x}^{(n)}) \leq \inf \left\{ rt : \sup_{k} M\left(\frac{||\lambda_{k} x_{k}^{(n)}||^{p_{k}/L}}{r}\right) \leq 1 \right\}$$
$$= t \times P(\overline{x}^{(n)})$$

implies that  $G(\alpha_n \overline{x}^{(n)}) \rightarrow 0$ , as  $G(\overline{x}^{(n)}) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Let  $\alpha_n \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$  and  $\overline{x}$  be any element in

 $l_{\infty}(X, M, \overline{\lambda}, \overline{p}, L)$ . We show that  $G(\alpha_n \overline{x}) \to 0$ . Now for  $0 < \epsilon < 1$ , we can find a positive integer N such that  $|\alpha_n| \le \varepsilon$  for all  $n \ge N$ . Since  $inf_k p_k = l > 0$ , therefore  $|\alpha_n|^{p_k/L} \le |\alpha_n|^{1/L} \le \varepsilon^{1/L}$  for all  $n \ge N$ . So that

$$M\left(\frac{\left|\left|\alpha_{n}\lambda_{k}x_{k}\right|\right|^{P_{0}/L}}{\rho}\right) \leq M\left(\frac{\left|\alpha_{n}\right|^{P_{0}/L}\left|\left|\lambda_{k}x_{k}\right|\right|^{P_{0}/L}}{\rho}\right)$$

$$\leq M\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{l/L} || \lambda_k x_k ||^{p_k/L}}{\rho}\right)$$
  
$$\overline{x} \in l_{\infty}(X, M, \overline{\lambda}, \overline{p}, L),$$

For

$$\Psi(\overline{x}) = \{\rho > 0: \sup_{k} M\left(\frac{|\lambda_{k} x_{k}|^{p_{k}L}}{\rho}\right) \le 1\}.$$

So that 
$$\psi (\varepsilon^{1/L} \overline{x}) = \{\rho > 0 : \frac{\sup p}{k} M\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{1/L} ||\lambda_k x_k||^{p_k/L}}{\rho}\right) \le 1\}$$
  
and if  $\sup_k M\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{1/L} ||\lambda_k x_k||^{p_k/L}}{\rho}\right) \le 1$ , then  
 $\sup_k M\left(\frac{||\alpha_n \lambda_k x_k||^{p_k/L}}{\rho}\right) \le 1$ .  
So, if  $\rho \in \psi (\varepsilon^{1/L} \overline{x})$ , then  $\rho \in \psi (\alpha_n \overline{x})$   
i.e.,  $\psi (\varepsilon^{1/L} \overline{x}) \subseteq \psi (\alpha_n \overline{x})$ .

## Taking infimum over such pls, we get

$$\begin{split} & \inf\{\rho:\rho\in\psi(\alpha_n\overline{x})\} \le \inf\{\rho:\rho\in A\left(\varepsilon^{1/L}\overline{x}\right)\}\\ &=\varepsilon^{1/L} \quad \inf\{\rho:\rho\in\psi\left(\overline{x}\right)\}\\ &\text{which shows that } G\left(\alpha_n\overline{x}\right) \le \varepsilon^{1/L}G\left(\overline{x}\right) \text{ for all}\\ &n\ge N, \text{ i.e., } G\left(\alpha_n\overline{x}\right) \to 0 \text{ as } n\to\infty. \end{split}$$

Hence  $l_{\infty}(X,M, \overline{\lambda}, \overline{p}, L)$  forms a total paranormed space. This completes the poof.

## Theorem 4.4: Total paranormed space

 $(l_{\infty}(X, M, \overline{\lambda}, \overline{p}, L), G)$  is complete. Proof:

Let  $(\overline{x}^{(l)})$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $l_{\infty}(X, M, \overline{\lambda}, \overline{p}, L)$ . Let r be a fixed positive real number such that

 $M(r) \ge 1$ . Then for each  $\frac{\varepsilon}{r} > 0$ , there exists an integer  $N \ge 1$  such that

$$G(\overline{x}^{(i)} - \overline{x}^{(i)}) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{r}$$
 for all  $i, j \geq N$ . ... (4.1)

## Using definition of paranorm, we see that

$$\sup_{k} M\left(\frac{||\lambda_{k} x_{k}^{\emptyset} - \lambda_{k} x_{k}^{\emptyset}||^{p_{k}/L}}{G(\overline{x}^{\emptyset} - \overline{x}^{\emptyset})}\right) \leq 1 \quad \dots (4.2)$$

for all 
$$i, j \ge N$$
.  
Thus,  $M\left(\frac{||\lambda_k(x_k^{(i)} - x_k^{(j)})||^{P_k/L}}{G(\overline{x}^{(i)} - \overline{x}^{(i)})}\right) \le 1 \le M(r)$ , for all  
 $i, j \ge N$  and  $k \ge 1$ .  
But  $M$  is non decreasing, therefore  
 $\frac{||\lambda_k(x_k^{(i)} - x_k^{(i)})||^{P_k/L}}{G(\overline{x}^{(i)} - \overline{x}^{(i)})} < r$   
Hence by using (4.1),  
we have  $\|\lambda_k(x_k^{(i)} - x_k^{(j)})\||^{P_k/L} < \varepsilon$ . ....(4.3)  
This shows that  $(x_k^{(i)})$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $X$  for all  
 $k \ge 1$ . But  $X$  is complete, therefore there exists  $x_k$   
(say) in  $X$  for each  $k \ge 1$  such that  $x_k^{(i)} \to x_k$  as  $i \to \infty$ .  
We show that  $\overline{x} = (x_k) \in l_\infty(X, M, \overline{\lambda}, \overline{p}, L)$ .  
Let us choose  $\rho > 0$  such that  
 $P(\overline{x}^{(i)} - \overline{x}^{(i)}) < \rho < \varepsilon$  for all  $i, j \ge N$ . ....(4.4)  
Since  $M$  is non decreasing, therefore by (4.2) we have  
 $\sup_k M\left(\frac{||\lambda_k(x_k^{(i)} - x_k^{(i)})||^{P_k/L}}{\rho}\right) \le \sup_k M\left(\frac{||\lambda_k(x_k^{(i)} - x_k^{(i)})||^{P_k/L}}{G(\overline{x}^{(i)} - \overline{x}^{(i)})}\right)$ 

 $\leq 1$  for all  $i, j \geq N$ . Since *M* is continuous, taking limit as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ , we see that

$$\sup_{k} M\left(\frac{\|\lambda_{k}(x_{k}^{(0)}-x_{k})\|^{p_{k}/2}}{\rho}\right) \leq 1 \text{ for all } i \geq N.$$

Taking infimum of such pls, we get

$$G(\overline{x}^{(i)} - \overline{x}) = \inf \{\rho: \sup_{k} M\left(\frac{||\lambda_{k}(x_{k}^{(i)} - x_{k})||^{p_{k}/2}}{\rho}\right) \le 1$$
  
for all  $i \ge N$   
 $\le \rho < \varepsilon$ .

 $\Rightarrow G(\overline{x}^{(i)} - \overline{x}) < \varepsilon, \text{ for all } i \ge N.$ 

This shows that  $\overline{x}^{(i)} \to \overline{x}$  as  $i \to \infty$  and dearly

$$\overline{x}^{(i)} - \overline{x} \in l_{\infty}(X, M, \overline{\lambda}, \overline{p}, L)$$
, for all  $i \ge N$ .

Also,  $\overline{x}^{(\lambda)}$  and  $\overline{x}^{(\lambda)} - \overline{x} \in l_{\infty}(X, M, \overline{\lambda}, \overline{p}, L)$ , therefore it follows that

 $\overline{x} = \overline{x}^{(N)} - (\overline{x}^{(N)} - \overline{x}) \in l_{\infty}(X, M, \overline{\lambda}, \overline{p}, L) .$  This completes the proof.

#### Theorem 4.5: The space $l_{\infty}(X,M, \overline{\lambda}, \overline{p}, L)$ is normal.

Proof:

Let 
$$\overline{x} = (x_k) \in l_{\infty}(X, \mathcal{M}, \overline{\lambda}, \overline{p}, L)$$
. So that  

$$\sup_{k} M\left(\frac{||\lambda_k x_k||^{p_k/L}}{\rho}\right) < \infty \text{ for some } \rho > 0.$$

Let  $(\alpha_k)$  be a sequence of scalars such that  $|\alpha_k| \le 1$ for all  $k \ge 1$ . Since *M* is non-decreasing, we have

$$\begin{split} \sup_{k} M\left(\frac{||\lambda_{k} \alpha_{k} x_{k}||^{p_{k}/L}}{\rho}\right) &= \sup_{k} M\left(\frac{|\alpha_{k}|^{p_{k}/L} ||\lambda_{k} x_{k}||^{p_{k}/L}}{\rho}\right) \\ &\leq \sup_{k} M\left(\frac{||\lambda_{k} x_{k}||^{p_{k}/L}}{\rho}\right) < \infty, \end{split}$$

and hence  $(\alpha_k x_k) \in l_{\infty}(X, M, \overline{\lambda}, \overline{p}, L)$ . So  $l_{\infty}(X, M, \overline{\lambda}, \overline{p}, L)$  is normal.

We now introduce a new sub class  $\overline{l_{\infty}}$   $(X, M, \overline{\lambda}, \overline{p}, L)$ of  $l_{\infty}(X, M, \overline{\lambda}, \overline{p}, L)$  as follows:

$$\begin{split} \overline{I_{\infty}} & (X, \mathcal{M}, \overline{\lambda}, \overline{p}, L) = \{ \overline{x} = (x_k) : x_k \in X, \mathcal{M} \left( \frac{||\lambda_k x_k||^{|\mathcal{P}_k / L}}{\rho} \right) \\ & < \infty \quad \text{for every } \rho > 0 \}. \end{split}$$

 $l_{ap}(X,M, \overline{\lambda}, \overline{p}, L) = \overline{l_{ap}}(X,M, \overline{\lambda}, \overline{p}, L).$ 

Theorem 4.6 If M satisfies  $\Delta_2$  condition then

Proof:

To prove the theorem, it suffices to show that

$$l_{\infty}(X, M, \overline{\lambda}, \overline{p}, L) \subseteq \overline{l_{\infty}}(X, M, \overline{\lambda}, \overline{p}, L).$$
  
Let  $\overline{x} \in l_{\infty}(X, M, \overline{\lambda}, \overline{p}, L)$ . Then for some  $\rho > 0$ ,

$$\sup_{k} M\left(\frac{||\lambda_k x_k||^{p_k/L}}{\rho}\right) < \infty.$$

Let us consider an arbitrary  $\rho_1 > 0$ . Case I: If  $\rho \le \rho_1$ , then obviously we have

$$\sup_{k} M\left(\frac{||\lambda_{k} x_{k}||^{p_{k}/2}}{\rho_{1}}\right) \leq \sup_{k} M\left(\frac{||\lambda_{k} x_{k}||^{p_{k}/2}}{\rho}\right) < \infty,$$

and hence we get  $\overline{x} \in \overline{l_{\infty}}$  (X,M,  $\overline{\lambda}$ ,  $\overline{p}$ , L).

**Case II:** If  $\rho > \rho_1$ , so that  $\frac{\rho}{\rho_1} > 1$  then by using  $\Delta_2$ , condition of M, we get

$$\begin{split} \sup_{k} M\left(\frac{||\lambda_{k} x_{k}||^{p_{k}/2}}{\rho_{1}}\right) &= \sup_{k} M\left(\frac{\frac{\rho}{\rho_{1}} ||\lambda_{k} x_{k}|^{p_{k}/2}}{\rho}\right) \\ &\leq K \frac{\rho}{\rho_{1}} \sup_{k} M\left(\frac{||\lambda_{k} x_{k}||^{p_{k}/2}}{\rho}\right) < \infty, \end{split}$$

where K is the number involved in  $\Delta_2$ . condition. Hence  $\overline{x} \in \overline{I}_{\infty}(X, M, \overline{\lambda}, \overline{p}, L)$ .

## Acknowledgements

The author wishes to express his deepest sense of gratitude and sincere thanks to his Ph D supervisor Prof. Dr. J.K. Sirivastava, for his valuable suggestion during the course of preparation of this paper.

## References

- Basariv, M. and S. Altundag, 2009. On generalized paranormed statistically convergent sequence spaces defined by Orlicz function, *Handawi. Pub. Cor. Journal of Inequality and Applications*
- Ghosh, D. and P.D. Srivastava, 1999. On some vector valued sequence spaces using Orlicz function, *Glasnik Matematicki* Vol. 34 (54): 253–261.
- Khan, V.A. 2008. On a new sequence space defined by Orlicz functions; *Common. Fac. Sci. Univ. Ank-series*, 57, (2): 25–33.
- Karakaya, V., 2005. Some new sequence spaces defined by a sequence of Orlicz functions. *Taiwanese Journal of Mathematics*, 9 (4): 617–627.
- Krasnosel'skiî, M.A. and Y.B. Rutickiî, 1961. Convex functions and Orlicz spaces, P. Noordhoff Ltd-Groningen-The Netherlands.
- Lindesntrausss, J. and Tzafriri, L., 1971. On Orlicz sequence spaces, Israel J. Math; (10): 379-390.
- Parashar, S.D. and B. Choudhary, 1994. Sequence spaces defined by Orlicz functions; *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* 25(4), 419–428.
- Rao, K.C., and N. Subramanian, 2004. The Orlicz space of entire sequences. *IJMMS Hindawi Publishing Corp.* 68, 3755–3764.
- Savas E. and F. Patterson, 2005. An Orlicz extension of some new sequence spaces; *Rend. Instit. Mat. Univ. Trieste* 37: 145–154.
- Tripathy, B.C. and B. Sharma, 2005. Some classes of difference paranormed sequence space defined by orlicz functions. *Thai J. of Mathematics*. 3, Number (2): 209–218.
- Wilansky, A., 1978. *Modern methods in Topological vector spaces*; Mc Graw\_Hill Book Co.Inc.New York.