Nepal Journal of Epidemiology

eISSN 2091-0800



Editorial Open Access

Progress of the Unique Fellowship in Health Research Evidence Synthesis in Nepal

Anju Vaidya¹, Padam Simkhada¹, Ram Chandra Silwal², Priya Paudyal³, Meghnath Dhimal⁴, Bibha Simkhada¹, Edwin van Teijlingen⁵

Correspondence: Prof. Edwin van Teijlingen, Faculty of Health & Medical Sciences, Bournemouth University,

Bournemouth, UK

Email: evteijlingen@bournemouth.ac.uk

Received 1 Dec 2025/Revised 28 Dec 2025/Accepted 28 Dec 2025

Citation: Vaidya A, Simkhada P, Silwal RC, Paudyal P, Dhimal M, Simkhada B, van Teijlingen E. Progress of the Unique Fellowship in Health Research Evidence Synthesis in Nepal. Nepal J Epidemiol. 2025;15(4):1397-

1398.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.3126/nje.v15i4.88535

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

Copyright © 2025 CEA& INEA. Published online by NepJOL. www.nepjol.info/index.php/NJE

Nepalese researchers, academics, policymakers and practitioners are undertaking a unique Fellowship in evidence synthesis and evidence-based policy making as we highlighted in the June 2025 editorial in Nepal Journal of Epidemiology [1]. This Fellowship is part of a larger project called 'Evidence-Informed Health Policy Making in Nepal (EHPN)', funded by The British Council. Evidence-informed policy making developed out of the earlier idea of 'evidence-based policy The central idea behind evidence-based policy making was that it should be largely (or even solely) guided by evidence. Evidence-informed policy making adds that policies should not just be evidence-based they should also be feasible, appropriate for their context and aligned with stakeholders' values and therefore requiring input meaningful input from stakeholders.

Our interdisciplinary team advertised the Fellowship through professional channels and social media to recruit highly motivated participants in Nepal. Those who applied went through a rigorous selection process. There were close to one hundred applicants, and we believe that there would have been additional applicants, had it not been for the social media ban and the fragile political context in Nepal during the recruitment phase. The selection criteria included: (a) academic achievement (Master's degree and above) with some experience of academic writing/publication; and (b) approval from their

respective organisations. During the selection process, we ensured that we recruit diverse range of participants in terms of gender, career stage and organisational background, including government and private universities and institutions.

The capacity-strengthening programme for our fellows will use an interactive approach and include a combination of in-person sessions with several practical examples, complemented with online sessions both before and after the in-person training. The curriculum comprises 16 sessions, starting with on introduction to evidence-informed policy making (EIPM) to developing an effective policy brief (see Table 1). The curriculum includes the traditional elements of systematic reviewing [2-3], whilst adding new elements such as constructing and producing a policy brief [4].

As part of the EHPN, the team offers mentorship to each of the Fellows. Mentors are experts in different topic areas, systematic reviewing, evidence synthesis, and/or research methods. All mentors are volunteers from Nepal, the UK and various other countries, including the USA and Qatar. Through such mentorship, the participants undertaking the fellowship will not only gain critical knowledge in evidence synthesis but also around academic writing and dissemination while also benefiting from networking opportunities (e.g., co-authorships opportunities with other fellow and mentors) that expand their professional horizons and future collaborations. It is also

expected that the participants will publish their work by the end of the fellowship programme, thereby increasing its visibility within the wider scientific community and enhancing their career progression.

Table 1: Fellowship Programme Overview of 16 Sessions

Session	Delivery	Activities
1	Online	Introduction to Fellowship Programme and EIPM
2	Online	Topic selection & Formulation of Review Questions
3	Face-to- face	Defining Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria & Develop Searched
4	Face-to- face	Practice Session: Database Search
5	Face-to- face	Protocol Development
6	Face-to- face	Study Selection
7	Face-to- face	Data Extraction
8	Face-to- face	Quality Assessment
9	Face-to- face	Approaches to Qualitative Synthesis
10	Face-to- face	Approaches to Quantitative Synthesis
11	Face-to- face	Practical Session: Data Synthesis
12	Online	Writing for Publication
13	Face-to- face	Understanding Policymaking & Identifying Opportunities for Influence
14	Face-to- face	Turning Evidence into Action
15	Online	Writing Effective Policy Briefs
16	Online	From Submission to Publication: Navigating Reviews & Proofs

Each of the Fellows was signed two tutors for their own work. In addition, each Fellow also became part of a small learning cohort with another Fellow, this was not only to ensure that each Fellow had a person to act as second reviewer or second data extractor, but also to motivate each other. With both Fellows working in each other's project would also qualify them as potential co-authors on each other publications. This mentorship element is longer term and continues after the formal Fellowship training as finished.

In summary, through the promotion of shared expertise and strengthening local capacity in evidence synthesis, this unique Fellowship project will ensure a robust mechanism for long-term sustainability of an evidence-synthesis centre in Nepal, beyond the project duration.

Acknowledgement

Thank you to all our partners in the Fellowship programme. We also like to thank The British Academy for funding this initiative.

Competing interests

There is no conflict of interest for any author of this manuscript.

Authors' affiliations:

¹School of Human & Health Sciences, University of Huddersfield, Huddersfield, UK

²Green Tara Nepal, Kathmandu, Nepal

³Institute for Global Health & Wellbeing School of Medicine, Keele University, Keele, UK

⁴Nepal Health Research Council, Kathmandu, Nepal.

⁵Faculty of Health & Medical Sciences, Bournemouth University, Bournemouth, UK

References

- Simkhada P, Vaidya A, Regmi P, et al. Strengthening Evidence Synthesis for Health Policymaking in Nepal: A New Fellowship Initiative. Nepal J Epidemiol. 2025;15(2):1379-1380.
 - https://doi.org/10.3126/nje.v15i2.88516
- van Teijlingen ER, Simkhada B, Ireland J, Simkhada P, Bruce J. Evidence-based health care in Nepal: The importance of systematic reviews. Nepal J Epidemiol. 2012;1(4):114-118.
 - https://doi.org/10.3126/nje.v1i4.5498
- Centre for Reviews and Dissemination. Systematic Reviews. NHS CRD; 2009. ISBN13: 9781900640473. Available from: URL: https://www.york.ac.uk/crd/guidance/
- 4. van Teijlingen E, Balen J, Regmi P, Rushton S, Simkhada P, Aryal N. Bridging Research and Policy: Practical Tips for Writing Policy Briefs. J Health Prom. 2025;13(1):89-96. https://doi.org/10.3126/jhp.v13i1.87170