Seasonal variations in macrophytic biomass in a shallow pond at Biratnagar, Nepal

Bhabindra Niroula^{1*} and K.L.B. Singh²

¹Department of Botany, Post Graduate Campus, Tribhuvan University, Biratnagar, Nepal ²R.D. and D.J. College, T.M. Bhagalpur University, Monghyr, India *E-mail: niroulab@gmail.com

Abstract

Macrophytic biomass in a shallow pond ranged between 82.8 - 1134.6 g/m²; 16.0 - 75.7 g/m²; 170.6 - 1600.1 g/m² for emergent, submerged and free floating community, respectively. Maximum total community biomass (2725.2 g/m²) was recorded in August with major contribution of water hyacinth. During rainy season, total phosphate (PO₄-P) - 0.17 mg/l; nitrate (NO₃-N) - 0.7 mg/l; nitrite (NO₂-N) - 0.02 mg/l and ammonia (NH₃-N) - 5.2 mg/l; were maximum, whereas, pH (6.3), dissolved oxygen (6.3 mg/l) and conductivity (184 µs/cm); were minimum in water samples.

Key words: Macrophytes, community biomass, emergent, water quality.

Introduction

Macrophytic communities influence the structural and functional characteristics of aquatic ecosystems (Canfield *et al.*, 1984). Aquatic habitats select the assemblage of plants, best adapted to the existing conditions (Mitsch *et al.*, 1998). They dynamically guide the cycle of minerals and other organic compounds, thereby influencing overall biomass production of water bodies. Aquatic species occurring therein act as bio-energy sources and agents of pollution abatements (Brooks, 1989; Oliver & Hill, 1998). Water bodies receive directly or indirectly sewage, domestic wastes, industrial effluents and agricultural run-off from the surrounding areas. Accumulation of nutrients in aquatic ecosystems leads to massive growth of macrophytes. Their control is possible through biomass utilization (Majid, 1998-99). Macrophytic biomass can be used for production of biogas, paper, fiber boards, fertilizer and fish feed. Water bodies must be assessed in terms of species composition, biomass production and water quality.

Aquatic macrophytes have been quantitatively studied in Nepal by Shrestha (2000), Burlakoti and Karmacharya (2004), Niroula and Singh (2011, 2012), and Upadhyay *et al.* (2011). Reddy and Sutton (1984), Sharma (1995), Khan and Shah (2010) and Jaiswal (2011) studied biomass production and water quality improvement through macrophytes in India. Present study deals with macrophytic biomass and physico-chemical properties of water of a shallow pond at Biratnagar, Nepal.

Materials and Methods

The selected pond (1.5 ha) is surrounded by cultivated land. It has seasonal variation in water depth between 0.3-1.3 m. Biratnagar (lat N 26°20', long E 87°16'; and altitude 72 m msl) has alluvial soil and tropical monsoon climate with three distinct seasons *viz.*, winter (Nov-Feb.), summer (March-June) and rainy (July-October) in a year. The average annual rainfall is 1312 mm, average annual minimum and maximum temperatures 14.2 and 30.6°C, respectively.

Samplings of aquatic macrophytes were done by harvest method using a quadrat of 50 cm \times 50 cm size in adequate numbers at monthly intervals from January to December, 2009. Collected samples were washed, brought to the laboratory and after proper sorting and processing samples were oven dried to constant weight at 80°C. Methods of Zobel *et al.* (1987) and APHA (1985) were adopted for determining biomass and water quality, respectively.

Results and Discussion

In total 6 aquatic plants forming three communities; emergents, free floating and submerged were in the pond (Table 1). Physico-chemical properties of the pond water showed seasonal variation (Table 2). During rainy season, total phosphate (PO₄-P) - 0.17 mg/l; nitrate (NO₃-N) - 0.7 mg/l; nitrite (NO₂-N) – 0.02 mg/l and ammonia (NH₃-N) - 5.2 mg/l were maximum, whereas, water pH (6.3), dissolved oxygen (6.3 mg/l), and conductivity (184 μ s/cm) were minimum. Water pH (10.4) and dissolved oxygen (10.3 mg/l), recorded maximum during winter season. Higher concentrations of ammonia, nitrite, nitrate and total phosphate in the rainy season might be attributed to input from running water, surface run-off and mineralization of organic matter. Maximum electrical conductivity (603 μ s/cm) during summer season might be due to the accumulation of chlorides and sulphates of calcium, magnesium, sodium and potassium salts in decreasing water level of the pond (Upadhyay *et al.*, 2012).

Table 1. Macrophytic communities of the pond.						
Species/Community	Family	Common name	Local name			
Emergent						
Alternanthera philoxeroides Griseb	Amaranthaceae	Alligator weed	Jaljamboo			
Ipomoea carnea Jacq. subsp. fistulosa						
(Mart. ex Choicy) D.F. Austin	Convolvulaceae	-	Thetar			
Typha angustifolia L.	Typhaceae	Cat tail	Pater			
Free floating						
Eichhornia crassipes (Mart.) Solms.	Pontederiaceae	Water hyacinth	Jalkumbhi			
Summerged						
Chara schweinitzii A. Braun	Characeae	Muskgrass	Leu			
Potamogeton crispus L.	Potamogetonaceae	Curlyleaf pondweed	Panikhar			

Table 2. Seasonal variations in physico-chemical properties of the pond.

Parameter	Winter	Summer	Rainy
Water depth (cm)	34-48	32-44	90-135
Temperature (⁰ C)	22.7	26.4	27.3
Transparency (cm)	14	16	8
pH	7.9	6.5	6.3
Dissolved oxygen (mg/l)	10.4	7.8	6.3
Conductivity (µs/cm)	249	603	184
Ammonia ((NH ₃ -N) mg/l	3.5	3.3	5.2
Nitrate ((NO ₃ -N) mg/l	0.49	0.08	0.7
Nitrite ((NO ₂ -N) mg/l	0.002	0.002	0.02
Kjeldhal Nitrogen (mg/l)	2.94	3.4	4.33
Total Phosphate (PO ₄ -P) mg/l	0.05	0.09	0.17

Monthly variations in percent contribution by dry biomass of total macrphytic community; emergent, submerged and free floating communities are shown in table 3.

Month	Community biomass				
WIOIIII	Emergent	Submerged	Free floating	Total	
January	300. ±7.7 (56)	16.0 ± 1.7 (3)	220.0 ±6.6 (41)	536.0±10.3	
February	$82.8 \pm 4.1 (25.1$	$75.7 \pm 3.8 \ (23)$	$170.6 \pm 5.8 \ (51.8)$	329.1±8.1	
March	$370.6 \pm 8.6 \ (47.6)$	62.1 ± 3.5 (8)	346.6 ± 8.3 (44.4)	779.3±12.4	
April	479.2 ± 9.7 (48)	-	520.0 ± 10.2 (52)	999.2±14.1	
May	313±7.9 (26.8)	-	856.0±13.1 (73.2)	1169.3 ± 15.2	
June	618.5±11.1 (34.4)	-	1034.6±14.3(65.3)	1653.1 ± 18.1	
July	549.2±10.4 (34.7)	-	1034.6±14.3(65.3)	1583.8 ± 17.7	
August	1134.6±15.1(41.6)	-	1590.6±18.3(58.4)	2725.2±23.3	
September	914.5± 13.5 (49.5)	-	932.6± 13.6 (50.5)	1847.1 ± 19.2	
October	1000.0 ± 14.1 (52)	-	924.0 ± 13.5 (48)	$1924.0{\pm}19.6$	
November	526.6± 10.2 (24.8)	-	1600.1±17.8(75.2)	2126.7 ± 20.6	
December	98.3 ± 4.4 (13.8)	-	612.0±11.1 (86.2)	710.3±11.9	

Table 3. Monthly variation in dry biomass (g/m^2) of aquatic communities in a shallow pond. (mean \pm SE), figure in parenthesis represents percent contribution.

Emergents had maximum and minimum biomass on October (1000.0 g/m²) and February (82.2 g/m²) contributing 52 and 25% of the total community, respectively. Free floating had maximum (1600.1 g/m²) and minimum (170.6 g/m²) during November and February contributing 75.2% to 51.8%, of the total community biomass, respectively. However, percent contribution was maximum (86.2%) in December. The free floating *Eichhornia crassipes* dominated community biomass in all months except January, March and October. Submerged were recorded only during winter and early summer month (March), being maximum during February (75.7 g/m²) and minimum during January (16.0 2g/m²). Upadhyay *et al.* (2012) recorded 452-1238 g/m2 dry biomass in deep water and elongated depressions and 748.2 g/m² in a public pond surrounded by agricultural land at Biratnagar township. Among the dominant aquatic macrophytes, dry biomass ranged 4.6-202.9 g/m² and 9.3-1120.5 g/m² for *Alternanthera philoxeroides* and *Eichhornia crassipes*, respectively in Morang district, eastern Nepal (Niroula & Singh, 2012). Rai and Sharma (1991) reported biomass from a eutrophic pond of Bihar (India), which ranged 812.5-1170 g dry wt. /m². Maximum total community biomass (2725.2 g/m²) was in August with major contribution of water hyacinth.

Macrophytic community biomass in the shallow pond may be utilized in the nearby agricultural land as compost. Manipulation of biomass for compost and biogas production could be a cheap and viable solution for nutrient cycling between aquatic and terrestrial ecosystems. Water hyacinth is a troublesome invasive alien species with prolific growth rate that absorb nutrients from the water body and becomes source of potash, phosphorus and nitrogen in the agriculture (Gopal, 1987). Chemical composition is correlated with the chemical status of the water and maximum nutrients are absorbed at the time of maximum growth (Denton, 1967).

Acknowledgements

Thanks are due to Professor Dr. S.N. Jha, Head, Department of Botany, Post Graduate Campus, T.U. Biratnagar, Nepal for providing laboratory facilities and encouragements, and the UGC, Nepal for financial support.

References

- Ambasht, R. S. 1971. Ecosystem study of a tropical pond in relation to primary production of different vegetation zones. *Hydrobiologia* **12**: 57-61.
- APHA, 2005. Standard methods for examination of water and waste water. 20th Ed., USA.
- Brooks, J. M. 1989. An overview of ecological functions and economic values of wetlands. In: Wetland ecology and conservation: Emphasis in Pennsylvania (Eds. S.K. Majumdar, R.P. Brooks, F.J. Brenner & R.W. Tiner). The Pennsylvania Academy of Science, Easton. p. 395.
- Burlakoti, C. & S.B. Karmacharya. 2004. Quantitative analysis of macrophytes of Beeshazar Tal, Chitwan, Nepal. *Himalayan Journal of Sciences* **2(3)**: 37-41.
- Canfield, D.E., J.V. Chapman & J.R. Jones. 1984. Assessing the trophic status of lakes with aquatic macrophytes. *Lakes and Resrv. Manang*. 1: 446-450.
- Denton, J.B. 1967. Certain relationship between the chemical composition of aquatic plants and water quality. *Proc.* 20th Weed Conf.: 354-362.
- Gopal, B. 1987. Water hyacinth. Elsevier Science Publishers, New York.
- Jaiswal, Sadhana. 2011. Growth and nutrient accumulation by *Eichhornia crassipes* (Mart.) Solms in Robertson Lake, Jabalpur, India. *Ecoprint* 18: 91-100.
- Khan, M.A. & M.A. Shah. 2010. Studies on biomass changes and nutrient lock up efficiency in a Kashmir Himalayan wetland ecosystem, India. *Journal of ecology and Natural environment* 2(8): 147-153.
- Mazid, F.Z. 1998-99. Aquatic weeds: Utility and management. Agro Botanica, Bikaner, India.
- Mitsch, W.J., X. Wu, R.W. Nairn, P.E. Weihe, N. Wang, R. Deal & C.E. Boucher. 1998. Creating and restoring wetlands: A whole ecosystem experiment in self-design. *BioScience* 48: 1019-1030. http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/1313458
- Niroula, B. & K.L.B. Singh 2011. Seasonal Variation in Importance Value Index (IVI), Diversity Indices and Biomass of aquatic macrophytes at Biratnagar and adjoining areas, eastern Nepal. *Nepalese Journal of Biosciences* 1: 1-7.
- Niroula, B. & K.L.B. Singh. 2012. Phytosociology of freshwater macrophytes found in Morang district, eastern Nepal. *Journal of Indian Botanical Society* **91(4)**: 333-337.
- Oliver, J.C. & R.J. Hill, 1998. Foreword. In: *Ecology of wetlands and associated systems*. (Majumdar, S.K., E.W. Miller & F.J. Brenner Eds.). The Pennsylvania Academy of Science, Easton, P.A. pp: 1-3.
- Reddy, K.R. & D.L. Sutton. 1984. Water hyacinths for water quality improvement and biomass production. *Journal of environmental quality* **13(1):** 1-8. http://dx.doi.org/10.2134/jeq1984. 1311
- Sharma, U.P. & D.N. Rai, 1989. Biomass of hydrophytes in an eutrophic pond of Bhagalpur (Bihar). *J. Freshwater Biol.* **1(2):** 173-176.
- Sharma, U.P. 1995. Role of macrophytes in the ecosystem of Kawar Lake wetland (Begusarai), Bihar, India. J. Freshwater Biol. 7(2): 123-128.
- Shrestha, P. 2000. Vegetation analysis of aquatic macrophytes by using line intercept method in lake Phewa, Pokhara, Nepal. *Ecoprint* **7**(1): 7-14.
- Upadhyay, B.P., S. Jha, U. Koirala & B. Niroula. 2011. Inventory of ten aquatic habitats of Biratnagar township, Nepal. *Geobios* **38**(4): 285-290.
- Zobel, D.B., P.K. Jha, U.K. Yadav & M.J. Behan. 1987. *A practical manual for ecology*. Ratna Book Distributors, Kathmandu.