Outcome of Corticosteroid Injection for Sciatica through the Caudal Route.

Nagendra Bahadur KC¹, Shriraj Shrestha².

¹Department of Anaesthesiology, Shree Birendra Hospital, Kathmandu; ²Department of Orthopedics, Kist Medical College Kathmandu Nepal.

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Sciatica is an important medical problem with socioeconomic impact; its effective management remains a challenge. Approximately 80% of the total population experiences low back pain at some point in their lives which may be associated with sciatica. As it is more common in adult working group, the pain caused by sciatica can incapacitate a person from doing his or her normal work. So the goal of our treatment is not to cure anatomic abnormalities but rather to reduce pain, which allows the patient to engage in early rehabilitation and return to a more normal lifestyle.

Methods: Fifty patients were initially included in the study and all patients received three injection of 4ml methyle prednisolone acetate (160 mg) and 6 ml of Normal saline that is of total volume 10 ml.at an interval of 48 hours. Among 50 study patients, five patients dropped out in subsequent follow-up. So only forty-five patients were analyzed for final results.

Results: The mean age of the patient was 37.53 years, majority between 30-56 years. Female who were involved in household activities dominated the study group. Comparing the mean (SD) VAS score on the day of presentation 70.00 (16.78) and on 35^{th} day 17.89 (25.23) so it was found to be statistically significant (P<0.001). None of the patients in the study had motor weakness and none of them developed serious complications. But 12(26.7%) patients developed transient headache after the procedure.

Conclusions: As the goal of our treatment was symptomatic pain relief, most of the patient's pain improved at 2 weeks after the steroid injection with no severe complication. This was short term study with encouraging results, but larger scale and longer period of follow ups required for better results.

Keywords: backache; caudal; epidural steroid; sciatica.

INTRODUCTION

Sciatica is the term applied to the condition of pain in the area of distribution of the sciatic nerve¹. Sciatica is an important medical problem with socioeconomic impact; its effective management remains a challenge. Approximately 80% of the total population experiences low back pain at some point in their lives which may be associated with sciatica. As it is more common in

adult working group, the pain caused by sciatica can incapacitate a person from doing his or her normal work. So the goal of our treatment is not to cure anatomic abnormalities but rather to reduce pain, which allows the patient to engage in early rehabilitation and return to a more normal lifestyle. Exerciseprogrammes combined with early return to normal activities havebeen shown to be beneficial in chronic low back pain with sciatica. Otherinterventions may also have a beneficial effect

Correspondance:

Dr. Nagendra Bahadur KC

Department of Anaesthesiology, Shree Birendra Hospital, Kathmandu.

Email: drnkcbinita@gmail.com

like Bed rest with NSAIDS, Traction, Corsets, Yoga, Ultrasounds massage with Anti-inflammatory gel and even Operative methods like Laminectomy with Discectomy can also be done to treat the low back pain. One of the important alternatives is Epiduralinjection of steroids at the affected area for a chronic low back pain where other conservative methods failed to relieve pain. We aimed at testing the effectiveness of three epidural corticosteroid injections at 48 hour intervals for symptomatic management of sciatica as it is the recommended method in France.²

In 1901 the first reports were published of epidural injections of cocaine for low back pain and sciatica. In 1930 Evans reported good results in 22 of 40 patients treated with procaine and saline injection into the sacral epidural space for unilateral sciatica, and in the early 1950s Robecchi and Capral were the first to report epidural injection of cortisone into the first sacral neuroforamen for the treatment of low back pain³.

METHODS

This was a prospective interventional study done inShree Birendra Hospital and KIST Medical college Teaching Hospital in 18 months (Dec 2008 to June2010) with total of 50 patients were collected for study initially, but 5 patients were lost after first follow up. Hence, only 45 cases were included in the final data analysis. In our study, methyl-prednisolone which has predominantly anti-inflammatory action was used. We deposited methyl-prednisolone in the epidural space through the caudal route because it is easy to perform, cost effective and less complication. Our main aim in this study is to determine the efficacy of epidural corticosteroid injection through caudal route in sciatica. To record the pain status using visual analogue scale (VAS) after corticosteroid injection and to assess the SLRT (traight Leg Raising Test). During Pre anesthetic Checkup, detail Performa was filled up with identification of the patients including address, telephone number (if possible). Patients were not admitted but explained and prepared to spend several hours to recover from the procedure. Proper history of pain with its duration, onset, location, radiation, and effect of position, aggravating and relieving factors and any risk factors were asked. Patients job description along with daily activities and work leisure activities hampered and their anxiety towards back pain with sciatica was noted. After the systemic examination, the hip and sacro-iliac joint was thoroughly examined as any pathology in these areas which is causing bach pain. The patient was examined in walking, standing and lying position. Special test like SLRT was done, as it is one of the tension sign indicative of sciatic nerve irritability.

The procedure was done in Operation Theater, attached with monitor. Patient is put in prone position, with a bolster under the pelvis. With all aseptic precaution, painting and draping was done from lumbosacral junction to the coccyx. The sacral hiatus, located between the two horns of sacral cornu was identified. The soft tissue and skin were anaesthetized with 1%xylocaine.

A 18' Gauge 2" straight beveled needle was advanced between the sacral cornu at about 45 degree, until contact with sacrum was made. The needle was redirected more cephalic, horizontal and parallel to the table, advancing into the sacral canal through the sacrococcygeal ligament and into the epidural space. Aspiration was done for blood or Cerebrospinal fluid and was checked for minimal resistance to air with a 2ml syringe and was palpated over the sacral region. 10ml volume containing 4 ml of methyl prednisolone acetate (160mg) and 6 ml of sterile normal saline was injected though this route. The patient received three injections at 48 hours interval. Bed rest, back exercise and lumber belts were authorized but advised to avoid NSAIDs and Narcotics.

RESULTS

Of the 50 patients recruited, five patients were lost in subsequent follow up and were excluded from the study. Hence only 45 cases were analyzed for the final result. The entirepatients were diagnosed as a case of sciatica. Total 45 patients were evaluated during the study out of which 27 (60%) were female patients and 18 (40%) were male patients. The minimum age in the study was 22 years and the maximum was 56 years. The mean age of the group was 37.53 years with SD of 8.99. The minimum weight in the study group was 40kg and the maximum was 82kg. The mean weight of the group was 60.89kg with a SD of 12.31. 48.9% of the patient was of household activities, 35.6% were laborers and 15.6% were sedentary workers. Out of 45 patients 82.2% were married and 17.8% were unmarried. 53.3% of the patient had complaints in

their right lower limb and 46.7% of the patient had complaints in their left lower limb. 71.1% of the patient had L_5 nerve root involvement and 28.9% of the patient had S_1 nerve root involvement. The minimum duration of pain was 15 days and the maximum duration of pain was 180 days with a mean of 96.78 days with a SD of 46.67. Post procedure Transient Headache was present in 12 (26.7%) patients and were treated with simple analgesic like Paracetamol and advised to drink large volume of fluids.

As the mean VAS pain score has been progressively decreasing during consecutive follow ups. Comparing the mean (SD) VAS on the day of presentation 70.00 (16.78) and on 35th day 17.89 (25.23) was found to be statistically significant(P<0.001) (Table1).

Table 1. The mean VAS pain score on the day of presentation and 35th day.

	N	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. De- viation
VAS on presentation	45	50	100	70.00	16.787
VAS on 35th day	45	0	90	17.89	25.237

P<0.001

Table 3. Radiation to right lower limb.

	N	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation
SLRT on presentation	24	10	70	40.42	13.667
SLRT on 5 th day	24	20	80	50.63	15.695
SLRT on 20th day	24	40	90	72.71	15.179
SLRT on 35 th day	24	20	90	77.08	19.388

The mean (SD) SLRT of right lower limb on the day of presentation was 40.42 (13.66) which improved to a mean (SD) of 77.08 (19.38) on 35^{th} day, which was statistically significant (p < 0.001) (Table 3)

Table 4. Radiation to right lower limb

	Mean	Std Deviation
At presentation	40.42	13.667
On 35 th day	77.08	19.388

P<0.001

The mean SLRT of left lower limb was 36.43 on the day of presentation and 42.14, 65.95 and 72.86 on 5th, 20th and 35th post injection days respectively (Table 5).

Table 2. Corelation between VAS mean pain score on presentation and 35th day with the involvement of different nerve root (Table 2).

	VAS mean (SD) pain on the day of presentation	
L5 nerve root	68.44 (16.28)	18.28 (23.37)
S1 nerve root	73.85 (18.04)	16.92 (30.38)

Effect on two different nerve roots (L5 and S1 nerve root) shows no statistical significance (p = 0.74).

The mean SLRT of right lower limb was 40.42 on the day of presentation and was 50.63, 72.71 and 77.08 on 5^{th} , 20^{th} and 35^{th} post injection days respectively (Table 3).

Table 5. Radiation to left lower limb

	N	Mini- mum	Maxi- mum	Mean	Std. Devia- tion
SLRT on presentation	21	20	60	36.43	11.417
SLRT on 5 th day	21	20	60	42.14	10.905
SLRT on 20 th day	21	30	90	65.95	18.140
SLRT on 35 th day	21	30	90	72.86	19.402

The mean (SD) SLRT of left lower limb on the day of presentation was 36.43 (11.417) which improved to a mean (SD) of 72.86 (19.402) on 35^{th} day, which was statistically significant (p < 0.001) (Table 6)

Table 6. Radiation to left lower limb

	Mean	Std Deviation
At presentation	36.43	11.417
On 35 th day	72.86	19.402

P<0.001

DISCUSSION

Sciatica accounts a large group of patients attending orthopedicoutpatient department and is a major health problem incapacitating daily activities of life. Study result given by Hult states that upto 80% of people are affected by back pain at sometimes in their life which may be associated with sciatica³. Considering the natural history of back pain it has a spontaneous improvement over time. So the goal of epidural injection is not to cure anatomic abnormalities but rather to reduce pain, which allows patient to engage in rehabilitation and to return to a more normal life style ⁴,otherwise these group of patients continues to be a financial, social and economic burden to the society.

There are both surgical and non-surgical methods for treating sciatica, but our study includes a minimal invasive method of giving epidural steroid injections through the caudal route which acts by its antiinflammatory action around the nerve root and relive pain. In one of the study once a patient is diagnosed with lumbar radiculopathy and herniated nucleus pulposus or lumbar spinal stenosis, the patient is likely to receive a course of non-operative treatment. In most cases, these patients had improvement in their symptoms over time and do not need an operative intervention. These treatments include short bed rest, medications, physio therapy, and epidural steroid injections⁵. In our study we have used three injections of 4ml of methyl prednisolone (160 mg) with 6ml of isotonic saline at an interval of 48 hours through caudal route, as it is the recommended method in France for the management of sciatica². Epidural steroid injections have long been used by multiple disciplines in the treatment of low back pain. Different regime for epidural injection has been used but none have been proved best. A study done by A. Anwar. I. Zaidah and Rozita⁶ compared the effectiveness of triamcinaloneacetonide and methyl prednisolone acetate in patient with sciatica and concluded that there were marked improvement in symptoms in both agents but there were no difference in term of superiority from one agent to another. Epidural injection of methyl prednisolone can be

given through different routes, a study done on 200 consecutive patients referred to a pain clinic for an epidural injection of steroid, one group had lumbar approachand another group had caudal approach to the epidural space. Both groupsthen had epidurography performed using Omnipaque and an imageintensifier to determine the position of theneedle. They found that 93% of lumbarand 64% of caudal epidural injections were correctly placed⁷. Hence in our study the epidural space might probably be equally missed as the method doesn't include a fluoroscopic guidance and contrast injection, which increases the cost and side effect to the patient.

As far as gender distribution is concerned, we found that females are more predominant, with a female to male ratio of 1.5:1. As female population in our community are exposed to both household activities and field works but they still regard themselves as doing household activities only. Whereas male population are subjected only to field works. This may be the reason why females are more prone to develop back pain with sciatica in our community. The VAS mean pain score was statically significant (p<0.001) in both the groups from the day of presentation to 35th day.But a study conducted in 600 autopsy specimens showed disc degeneration to be more common among the male population, without knowing the reason⁸.

Our study showed involvement of fifth lumbar root (71.1%) more than first sacral root (28.9%). This shows that the degeneration of L4-5 vertebrae more than L_5 -S₁ vertebrae which is similar to a study done by Miller et al ⁸ and Clarke NMP et al⁹. Dividing the patient in our study into two groups i.e. one with L5 nerve root involvement and other with S1 nerve root involvement, there was improvement in VAS mean pain score in both the groups regarding the time from the day of presentation to 35th day and was statistically significant (p< 0.001). In our study low back pain with radiation was evaluated on the basis of VAS score and SLRT, on the day of presentation, 5th, 20th and 35th post injection day.

VAS mean pain score was 70 with SD of 16.78 on the day of presentation which has decreased to 57.56 with SD of 17.07 on 5^{th} post injection day and the difference was found to be statistically significant (p< 0.001) further on 20^{th} post injection day the VAS mean pain score was 28.56 with SD of 16.60, which as compared to 5^{th} day was decreased and was statistically significant (p < 0.001). Similarly the VAS mean pain

score on 35th post injection day was 17.89 with SD of 25.23, which as compared to 20th day, was also found to be statistically significant (p<0.001). There was a significant improvement in VAS mean pain score from the day of presentation to 35th day and was statistically significant (p<0.001).

In a double blind, randomized study of epidural steroid treatment of disc herniation and spinal stenosis found no difference in the result at 6 months between placebo and a single epidural injection¹⁰. We also agreed that the epidural steroid injections are not a cure for disc disease, but they do offer relatively prolong pain free interval without intake of analgesic (NSAID, narcotics). Most studies show a 60% to 85% short term success rate that falls to a 30% to 40% long term (6 months) good result rate. The local effect of steroids has been shown to last at least 3 weeks at a therapeutic level³.

Both the surgeon and patients must realize that the management of sciatica by epidural corticosteroid injection is not a cure but may provide symptomatic relief. It neither stops the pathological processes that allowed the herniation to occur nor restores it back to normal state. The patient must still practice good posture and body mechanics after the procedure. If prolonged relief is to be expected, then some permanent modification of the patient's lifestyle may be necessary³. Therefore epidural corticosteroid injection through caudal route is effective for short duration but its long term efficacy is yet to be studied.

CONCLUSIONS

Giving corticosteroid injection through caudal route is easy and safe way of treating sciatica when conservative treatment fails. This procedure of giving injection was well tolerated by most of the patients and none of the patient developed serious complication. This study showed that the patients are benefited from epidural steroid injection through caudal route.

REFERENCES

- 1. Turek SL, Sciatic nerve and Sciatica, Orthopaedics principles and their applications, 4th edition, Vol 1,509-13.
- 2. Valat JP, Giraudeau B, Rozenberg S, Goupille P, Bourgeois P,VMicheau-Beaugendre V, Soubrier M, Richard S, Thomas E. Epidural corticosteroid injections for sciatica: a randomized, double blind, control clinical trial. Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases. 2003;l(5):317-21
- Canale ST, Lower Back Pain and Disorders of Intervertebral Discs, Campbell's operative orthopaedics, 10th edition, Vol 2.1955-2017
- Stanczak J, Blankenbaker DG. Efficacy of epidural injection of kenalog and celestone in the treatment of low back pain. American Journal of Roentgenology. 2003;181:1255-8. http://dx.doi.org/10.2214/air.181.5.1811255
- Saechin K. Non operative treatment for lumbar disc herniation with radiculopathy and for lumbar spinal stenosis. Current opinion in orthopedics. 1999;10(2):137-41. http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/00001433-199904000-00009
- Zaidah AAI. Prospective randomized single blind study of epidural steroid injection comparing triamcinolone acetonide with methylprednisolone acetate. APLAR journal of Rheumatology. 2005;(1):51-53.
- 7. Price CM, Rogers PD. Comparisons of the caudal and lumbar approaches to the epidural space. Ann Rheum Dis. 2000;59:879-82. http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/ard.59.11.879
- 8. Miller JAA, Schmatz C, Schultz AB. Lumbar disc degeneration: correlation with age, sex and spine level in 600 autopsy specimens. Spine.1988;13:2173.http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/00007632-198802000-00008
- Clarke NMP, Cleak DK. Intervertebral lumbar disc prolpase in children and adolescents. J pediatrorthop. 1983;3:202. http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/01241398-198305000-00009
- 10. Cuckler JM, Bernini PA, Wiesel SW, et al: The use of epidural steroids in the treatment of lumbar radicular pain. JBJS. 1985;67(63):51-2.