

FIXED POINT THEOREMS FOR OCCASIONALLY WEAKLY COMPATIBLE MAPS IN G -METRIC SPACE

Saurabh Manro

School of Mathematics and Computer Applications, Thapar University, Patiala (Punjab)

Corresponding address: sauravmanro@hotmail.com

Received 11 May, 2012; Revised 04 February, 2013

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we prove common fixed point theorems for a pair of occasionally weakly compatible maps in Symmetric G -metric space. Our results generalize and extend several relevant common fixed point theorems from the literature.

Key words: Symmetric G -metric space, occasionally weakly compatible maps, weakly compatible maps.

Subject classification: 2000 AMS: 47H10, 54H25

INTRODUCTION

In 1992, Dhage[1] introduced the concept of D – metric space. Recently, Mustafa and Sims[5] shown that most of the results concerning Dhage’s D – metric spaces are invalid. Therefore, they introduced G – metric space. For more details on G – metric spaces, one can refer to the papers [5]-[8].

In 2006, Mustafa and Sims[6] introduced the concept of G -metric spaces as follows:

Definition 1.1.[6] Let X be a nonempty set, and let $G: X \times X \times X \rightarrow R^+$ be a function satisfying the following axioms:

(G1) $G(x, y, z) = 0$ if $x = y = z$,

(G2) $0 < G(x, x, y)$, for all $x, y \in X$ with $x \neq y$,

(G3) $G(x, x, y) \leq G(x, y, z)$, for all $x, y, z \in X$ with $z \neq y$,

(G4) $G(x, y, z) = G(x, z, y) = G(y, z, x) = \dots$ (symmetry in all three variables) and

(G5) $G(x, y, z) \leq G(x, a, a) + G(a, y, z)$ for all $x, y, z, a \in X$, (rectangle inequality)

then the function G is called a generalized metric, or, more specifically a G – metric on X and the pair (X, G) is called a G – metric space.

If condition (G6) also satisfied then (X, G) is called Symmetric G -metric space.

(G6) $G(x, y, y) = G(x, x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$.

Definition 1.2.[6] Let (X, G) be a G -metric space, and let $\{x_n\}$ a sequence of points in X , a point ‘ x ’ in X is said to be the limit of the sequence $\{x_n\}$ if $\lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} G(x, x_n, x_m) = 0$, and one says that sequence $\{x_n\}$ is G -convergent to x .

Thus, that if $x_n \rightarrow x$ or $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$ in a G -metric space (X, G) then for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a positive integer N such that $G(x, x_n, x_m) < \varepsilon$ for all $m, n \geq N$.

Proposition 1.1.[6] Let (X, G) be a G – metric space. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) $\{x_n\}$ is G -convergent to x ,
- (2) $G(x_n, x_n, x) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$,
- (3) $G(x_n, x, x) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$,
- (4) $G(x_m, x_n, x) \rightarrow 0$ as $m, n \rightarrow \infty$.

Definition 1.3.[6] Let (X, G) be a G – metric space. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ is called G – Cauchy if, for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a positive integer N such that $G(x_n, x_m, x_l) < \varepsilon$ for all $n, m, l \geq N$; i.e. if $G(x_n, x_m, x_l) \rightarrow 0$ as $n, m, l \rightarrow \infty$

Proposition 1.2.[6] If (X, G) is a G – metric space then the following are equivalent:

- (1) The sequence $\{x_n\}$ is G – Cauchy,
- (2) for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist a positive integer N such that $G(x_n, x_m, x_m) < \varepsilon$ for all $n, m \geq N$.

Proposition 1.3.[6] Let (X, G) be a G – metric space. Then the function $G(x, y, z)$ is jointly continuous in all three of its variables.

Definition 1.4.[6] A G – metric space (X, G) is said to be G -complete if every G -Cauchy sequence in (X, G) is G -convergent in X .

Proposition 1.4.[6] A G – metric space (X, G) is G – complete if and only if (X, d_G) is a complete metric space.

Proposition 1.5.[6] Let (X, G) be a G – metric space. Then, for any x, y, z, a in X it follows that:

- (i) If $G(x, y, z) = 0$, then $x = y = z$,
- (ii) $G(x, y, z) \leq G(x, x, y) + G(x, x, z)$,
- (iii) $G(x, y, y) \leq 2G(y, x, x)$,
- (iv) $G(x, y, z) \leq G(x, a, z) + G(a, y, z)$,
- (v) $G(x, y, z) \leq \frac{2}{3}(G(x, y, a) + G(x, a, z) + G(a, y, z))$,
- (vi) $G(x, y, z) \leq (G(x, a, a) + G(y, a, a) + G(z, a, a))$.

In 1996, Jungck [2] introduced the notion of weakly compatible maps as follows:

Definition 1.5.[2] A pair of self mappings (f, g) of a metric space is said to be weakly compatible if they commute at the coincidence points i.e. $Tu = Su$ for some u in X , then $TSu = STu$.

Definition 1.6. Let (X, G) be a Symmetric G -metric space. f and g be self maps on X . A point x in X is called a coincidence point of f and g iff $fx = gx$. In this case, $w = fx = gx$ is called a point of coincidence of f and g .

Definition 1.7[3]: A pair of self mappings (f, g) of a Symmetric G -metric space (X, G) is said to be weakly compatible if they commute at the coincidence points i.e., if $fu = gu$ for some u in X , then $fgu = gfu$.

It is easy to see that two compatible maps are weakly compatible but converse is not true.

Definition 1.8[3]: Two self mappings f and g of a Symmetric G -metric space (X, G) are

said to be occasionally weakly compatible (*owc*) iff there is a point x in X which is coincidence point of f and g at which f and g commute.

Lemma 1.1[3]: Let (X, G) be a Symmetric G -metric space. f and g be self maps on X and let f and g have a unique point of coincidence, $w = fx = gx$, then w is the unique common fixed point of f and g .

MAIN RESULTS

Following to Matkowski[5], let Φ be the set of all functions ϕ such that $\phi: [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ be a non-decreasing function with $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \phi^n(t) = 0$ for all $t \in [0, +\infty)$.

If $\phi \in \Phi$, then ϕ is called Φ - map. If ϕ is Φ - map, then it is an easy matter to show that

$$(A) \quad \phi(t) < t \text{ for all } t \in [0, +\infty);$$

$$(B) \quad \phi(0) = 0.$$

From now unless otherwise stated, we mean by ϕ the Φ - map. Now, we introduce and prove our result.

Theorem 2.1: Let (X, G) be a Symmetric G -metric space. If f and g are *owc* self maps on X and

$$G(fx, fy, fy) \leq \phi [\max\{G(gx, gy, gy), G(gx, fy, fy), G(gy, fx, fx), G(gy, fy, fy)\}] \quad (2.1)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Then f and g have a unique common fixed point.

Proof: Since f and g are *owc*, there exist a point $u \in X$ such that $fu = gu$ and $fgu = gfu$. We claim that fu is the unique common fixed point of f and g . We first assert that fu is a fixed point of f .

For, if $ffu \neq fu$, then from equation (2.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} G(fu, ffu, ffu) &\leq \phi [\max\{G(gu, gfu, gfu), G(gu, ffu, ffu), G(gfu, fu, fu), G(gfu, ffu, ffu)\}] \\ &= \phi [\max\{G(fu, ffu, ffu), G(fu, ffu, ffu), G(ffu, fu, fu), G(ffu, ffu, ffu)\}] \\ &= \phi [\max\{G(fu, ffu, ffu), G(fu, ffu, ffu), G(fu, fu, ffu), 0\}] \\ &= \phi [\max\{G(fu, ffu, ffu), G(fu, ffu, ffu), G(fu, ffu, ffu)\}] \\ &= \phi [G(fu, ffu, ffu)] < G(fu, ffu, ffu) \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. So $ffu = fu$ and $ffu = fgu = gfu = fu$. Hence fu is a common fixed point of f and g .

Now we prove uniqueness. Suppose that $u, v \in X$ such that $fu = gu = u$ and $fv = gv = v$ and $u \neq v$. Then from equation (2.1),

$$\begin{aligned} G(u, v, v) = G(fu, fv, fv) &\leq \phi [\max\{G(gu, gv, gv), G(gu, fv, fv), G(gv, fu, fu), G(gv, fv, fv)\}] \\ &= \phi [\max\{G(u, v, v), G(u, v, v), G(v, u, u), G(v, v, v)\}] \\ &= \phi [\max\{G(u, v, v), G(u, v, v), G(v, v, u), 0\}] \\ &= \phi [\max\{G(u, v, v), G(u, v, v), G(u, v, v), 0\}] \\ &= \phi [G(u, v, v)] < G(u, v, v) \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. So $u = v$. Therefore, the common fixed point of f and g is unique.

Theorem 2.2: Let (X, G) be a Symmetric G -metric space. Suppose that f, g, S, T are self maps on X and that the pairs $\{f, S\}$ and $\{g, T\}$ are each *owc*. If

$$G(fx, gy, gy) < \max \{ G(Sx, Ty, Ty), G(Sx, fx, fx), G(Ty, gy, gy), G(Sx, gy, gy), G(Ty, fx, fx) \}, \quad (2.2)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Then f, g, S and T have a unique common fixed point in X .

Proof: By hypothesis, there exists points $x, y \in X$ such that $fx = Sx$ and $gy = Ty$. We claim that $fx = gy$. For, otherwise, by (2.2)

$$\begin{aligned} G(fx, gy, gy) &< \max \{ G(Sx, Ty, Ty), G(Sx, fx, fx), G(Ty, gy, gy), G(Sx, gy, gy), G(Ty, fx, fx) \} \\ &= \max \{ G(fx, gy, gy), G(fx, fx, fx), G(gy, gy, gy), G(fx, gy, gy), G(gy, fx, fx) \} \\ &= \max \{ G(fx, gy, gy), 0, 0, G(fx, gy, gy), G(gy, gy, fx) \} \\ &= \max \{ G(fx, gy, gy), G(fx, gy, gy), G(fx, gy, gy) \} = G(fx, gy, gy) \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. This implies that $fx = gy$. So $fx = Sx = gy = Ty$. Moreover, if there is another point z such that $fz = Sz$, then, using (2.2) it follows that $fz = Sz = gy = Ty$ or $fx = fz$ and $w = fx = Sx$ is the unique point of coincidence of f and S . Then by Lemma 1.1, it follows that w is the unique common fixed point of f and S . By symmetry, there is a unique common fixed point $z \in X$ such that $z = gz = Tz$.

Now, we claim that $w = z$. Suppose that $w \neq z$. Using (2.2),

$$\begin{aligned} G(w, z, z) &= G(fw, gz, gz) \\ &< \max \{ G(Sw, Tz, Tz), G(Sw, fw, fw), G(Tz, gz, gz), G(Sw, gz, gz), G(Tz, fw, fw) \} \\ G(w, z, z) &< \max \{ G(w, z, z), G(w, w, w), G(z, z, z), G(w, z, z), G(z, w, w) \} \\ &= \max \{ G(w, z, z), 0, 0, G(w, z, z), G(z, z, w) \} \\ &= \max \{ G(w, z, z), G(w, z, z), G(w, z, z) \} = G(w, z, z) \end{aligned}$$

This is a contradiction. Therefore $w = z$ and w is a unique point of coincidence of f, g, S and T . By Lemma 1.1, w is the unique common fixed point of f, g, S and T .

Corollary 2.1: Let (X, G) be a Symmetric G -metric space. Suppose that f, g, S and T are self maps on X and that the pairs $\{f, S\}$ and $\{g, T\}$ are each *owc*. If

$$G(fx, gy, gy) \leq h m(x, y, y) \text{ where } m(x, y, y) = \max\{G(Sx, Ty, Ty), G(Sx, fx, fx), G(Ty, gy, gy), [G(Sx, gy, gy), G(Ty, fx, fx)]/2\}, \quad (2.3)$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and $0 \leq h < 1$, then f, g, S and T have a unique common fixed point in X .

Proof: Since (2.3) is a special case of (2.2), the result follows immediately from Theorem 2.2.

Theorem 2.3. Let A, B, S and T be self maps of Symmetric G -metric space (X, G) , satisfying the following conditions:

$$(2.4) \quad A(X) \subset T(X), B(X) \subset S(X),$$

$$(2.5) \quad \text{pairs } (A, S) \text{ or } (B, T) \text{ satisfies property } E.A.,$$

$$(2.6) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X,$$

$$G(Ax, By, By) < \phi [\max \{ G(Sx, Ty, Ty), G(Sx, By, By), G(Ty, By, By) \}]$$

where $\phi \in \Phi$. If one of $A(X), B(X), S(X)$ or $T(X)$ is complete subsets of X then pairs (A, S) and (B, T) have coincidence point.

Further, if (A, S) and (B, T) are weakly compatible then A, B, S and T have unique common fixed point in X .

Proof: Suppose the pair (B, T) satisfies the property $(E.A.)$. Then there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Bx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_n = p \text{ for some } p \in X.$$

Since $B(X) \subset S(X)$, there exists a sequence $\{y_n\}$ in X such that

$$Bx_n = Sy_n = p. \text{ Hence } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sy_n = p.$$

We shall show that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ay_n = p$.

From (2.6), we have

$$G(Ay_n, Bx_n, Bx_n) < \phi [\max \{ G(Sy_n, Tx_n, Tx_n), G(Sy_n, Bx_n, Bx_n), G(Tx_n, Bx_n, Bx_n) \}]$$

Taking limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(Ay_n, p, p) &< \phi [\max \{G(p, p, p), G(p, p, p), G(p, p, p)\}] \\ &= \phi [\max \{0, 0, 0\}] = \phi(0) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

This implies, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ay_n = p$.

Thus we have, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ay_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sy_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Bx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_n = p$.

Suppose that $S(X)$ is a complete subspace of X . Then $p = Su$ for some $u \in X$.

Subsequently, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ay_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Bx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_n = p = Su$$

Now, we shall show that $Au = Su$.

From (2.6), we have

$$G(Au, Bx_n, Bx_n) < \phi [\max \{G(Su, Tx_n, Tx_n), G(Su, Bx_n, Bx_n), G(Tx_n, Bx_n, Bx_n)\}]$$

Taking limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} G(Au, Su, Su) &< \phi [\max \{G(p, p, p), G(p, p, p), G(p, p, p)\}] \\ &= \phi [\max \{0, 0, 0\}] = \phi(0) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have $Au = Su$. Therefore (A, S) have coincidence point.

The weak compatibility of A and S implies that $ASu = SAu$ and thus $AAu = ASu = SAu = SSu$.

As $A(X) \subset T(X)$, there exists $v \in X$ such that $Au = Tv$. We claim that $Tv = Bv$.

Suppose not, from (2.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} G(Au, Bv, Bv) &< \phi [\max \{G(Su, Tv, Tv), G(Su, Bv, Bv), G(Tv, Bv, Bv)\}] \\ &= \phi [\max \{0, G(Au, Bv, Bv), G(Au, Bv, Bv)\}] \\ &= \phi [G(Au, Bv, Bv)] < G(Au, Bv, Bv), \end{aligned}$$

this implies, $Au = Bv$.

Hence, $Tv = Bv$. Therefore (B, T) have coincidence point

Thus we have $Au = Su = Tv = Bv$.

The weak compatibility of B and T implies that $BTv = TBv = TTv = BBv$.

Finally, we show that Au is the common fixed point of A, B, S and T .

From (2.6), suppose $Au \neq AAu$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} G(Au, AAu, AAu) &= G(Au, Au, AAu) \quad \{ \text{by definition of symmetric space} \} \\ &= G(AAu, Bv, Bv) < \phi [\max \{G(SAu, Tv, Tv), G(SAu, Bv, Bv), G(Tv, Bv, Bv)\}] \\ &= \phi [\max \{G(AAu, Bv, Bv), G(AAu, Bv, Bv), G(Bv, Bv, Bv)\}] \\ &= \phi [\max \{G(AAu, Bv, Bv), G(AAu, Bv, Bv), 0\}] \\ &= \phi [G(AAu, Bv, Bv)] < G(AAu, Bv, Bv), \end{aligned}$$

This gives, $AAu = Bv = Au$ and thus $AAu = Au$.

Therefore, $Au = AAu = SAu$ is the common fixed point of A and S .

Similarly, we prove that Bv is the common fixed point of B and T . Since $Au = Bv$, Au is common fixed point of A, B, S and T . The proof is similar when $T(X)$ is assumed to be a complete subspace of X . The cases in which $A(X)$ or $B(X)$ is a complete subspace of X are similar to the cases in which $T(X)$ or $S(X)$, respectively is complete subspace of X as $A(X) \subset T(X)$ and $B(X) \subset S(X)$.

Finally now we show that the common fixed point is unique. If possible, let x_0 and y_0 be two common fixed points of A, B, S and T . Suppose $x_0 \neq y_0$, then by condition (2.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} G(x_0, y_0, y_0) &= G(Ax_0, By_0, By_0) \\ &< \phi [\max \{G(Sx_0, Ty_0, Ty_0), G(Sx_0, By_0, By_0), G(Ty_0, By_0, By_0)\}] \end{aligned}$$

$$= \phi [\max \{G(x_0, y_0, y_0), G(x_0, y_0, y_0), G(y_0, y_0, y_0)\}]$$

$$= \phi [G(x_0, y_0, y_0)] < G(x_0, y_0, y_0),$$

this implies $x_0 = y_0$.

Therefore, the mappings A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point.

Corollary 2.2. Let A, B and S be self maps of Symmetric G -metric space (X, G) , satisfying the following conditions:

(2.7) $A(X) \subset S(X), B(X) \subset S(X)$,

(2.8) pairs (A, S) or (B, S) satisfies property $E.A.$,

(2.9) for all $x, y \in X$,

$$G(Ax, By, By) < \phi [\max \{G(Sx, Sy, Sy), G(Sx, By, By), G(Sy, By, By)\}]$$

where $\phi \in \Phi$. If one of $A(X), B(X)$ or $S(X)$ is complete subsets of X then pairs (A, S) and (B, S) have coincidence point.

Further, if (A, S) and (B, S) are weakly compatible then A, B and S have unique common fixed point in X .

Proof: Take $T = S$ in Theorem 2.3.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author is grateful to an anonymous referee for his fruitful suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] Dhage B C, Generalized metric spaces and mappings with fixed point, *Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc.* 84 (1992) 329.
- [2] Jungck G, Commuting mappings and fixed point, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 83(1976) 261.
- [3] Jungck G & Rhoades B E, Fixed point Theorems for occasionally weakly compatible mappings, *Fixed point theory*, 7(2006) 286-296
- [4] Matkowski J, "Fixed point theorems for mappings with a contractive iterate at a point," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 62(2) (1977) 344.
- [5] Mustafa Z & Sims B, Some remarks concerning D-metric spaces, *Proceedings of International Conference on Fixed Point Theory and Applications, Yokoham Publishers, Valencia Spain, July 13-19(2004)* 189.
- [6] Mustafa Z & Sims B, A new approach to a generalized metric spaces, *J. Nonlinear Convex Anal.*, 7(2006) 289.
- [7] Mustafa Z, Obiedat H & Awawdeh F, Some fixed point theorems for mappings on complete G-metric spaces, *Fixed point Theory and Applications*, Article ID 18970, (2008) 12.
- [8] Mustafa Z, Shatanawi W & Bataineh M, Existence of fixed points results in G-metric spaces, *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, (2009) Article ID. 283028, 10 pages.
- [9] Shatanawi W, Fixed point theory for contractive mappings satisfying ϕ -maps in G-metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, (2010) Article ID 181650, 9 pages doi:10.1155/2010/181650.