Kathmandu University Journal of Science, Engineering and Technology KUSET
Ray, Vol.10, No.I, November, 2014, pp 73- 78

ORIGINAL RESEARCH ARTICLE OPEN ACCESS

SOME SEQUENCE SPACES AND THEIR MATRIX TRANSFORMATIONS

S. Ray

[1]Department of Mathematics Tri-Chandra Multiple Campus Ghantaghar Kathmandu, T.U. Nepal

Corresponding author's e-mail: sureshray15@ yahoo.com
Received 6 March, 2014; Revised 9 September, 2014

ABSTRACT
The most general linear operator to transform from new sequence space into another sequence space is actually given by an infinite matrix. In the present paper we represent some sequence spaces and give the characterization of (Sl_∞(p),l_∞) and (Sl_∞(p), c_s).

Key words: sequence space, matrix transformation, Kothe- Toeplitz, duals, sum ability.

Subject classification: 40C0540G05, 42A10, 42B08.

INTRODUCTION
A sequence space is a linear space of functions defined on the set of counting numbers. Thus the sequence space is set of scalar sequence (real or complex) which is closed under coordinate wise addition and scalar multiplication. If it is closed under co-ordinate wise multiplication as well, then it is called the sequence algebra. We are concerned mainly on the problem of identification, inclusion problem and matrix mapping problems. The study of sequence spaces is thus a special case of the more general study of function space, which is in turn a branch of functional analysis. The theory of matrix transformations is a wide field in sum ability; it deals with the characterizations of classes of matrix mappings between sequence spaces by giving necessary and sufficient conditions on the entries of the infinite matrices. The most important applications are Inclusion, Mercerian and Tauberian theorems.
Here, we begin some definitions and notations:

Normed Space: Nor med Space is a pair (X, ||.||) of a linear space X and norm ||.|| on X.

Banach Space: A Banach Space (X, || ||) is a complete nor med space where completeness means that every sequence (x_n) in X with $\| x_m - x_n \| \to 0$ as m, n→∞, there exists x εX such that $\|x_n - x\| \to 0$ as n→∞.

Para norm: A Para norm 'g' defined on a linear space X, is a function: X→ R having the following usual properties:

(i) g(θ)= 0, where θ is the 0 element in X.
(ii) g(x) = g(-x), for all x εX.
(iii) g(x + y) ≤ g(x) +g(y) for all x, y εX.
(iv) The scalar multiplication is continuous that is $\lambda_n \to \lambda$ (n→ ∞) and g(x_n-x) → 0 as n → ∞, for $\lambda_n, \lambda \varepsilon C$ and x_n, x εX, g($\lambda_n x_n$- λ x) → 0 as n → ∞.
(v) g(x) = 0 ⇒ $\boldsymbol{x} = \theta$.

A Para normed Space:
A Para nor med space is a linear space X together with a Para norm g.
The space $\boldsymbol{l}_\infty$(p): Let $\{\boldsymbol{p_k}\}$ be abounded sequence of strictly positive real numbers. We define

$l_\infty(p)$= { x= $\{x_k\}$: $\sup_k |x_k|^{p_k} < \infty$}

For x , y ε $l_\infty(p)$,we define

d(x, y)= $\sup_k |x_k - y_k|^{p_k/M}$

Where M = max (1, sup p_k). $l_\infty(p)$ is a metric space with metric d.

If p_k =p for all k, then we write $l_\infty$for $l_\infty(p)$. Here l_∞ is the set of all bounded sequences x = $\{x_k\}$ of real or complex numbers and is a metric space with the natural metric

d(x, y) = $\sup_k |x_k - y_k|$.

Spaces c(p) and $\boldsymbol{c_o}$(p): With $\{p_k\}$, we define

c(p) ={x = $\{x_k\}$: $|x_k - l|^{p_k}$→0 as k→∞ for some l ε C} and

c_0(p) ={x = $\{x_k\}$: $|x_k|^{p_k}$→0 as k→∞ }

c(p) and c_0(p) are the metric spaces with metric

d(x , y) = $\sup_k |x_k - y_k|^{p_k/M}$, where M = max (1, sup$p_k$).

The spaces c and $\boldsymbol{c_o}$: If p_k = p for all k, then we write c and c_0 for c(p) and c_0(p) respectively. c and c_0 represent the sets of all convergent sequences and null sequences respectively.
Note that c and c_0 are metric spaces with the metric
d(x ,y) = $\sup_k |x_k - y_k|$.
In c if we define $\boldsymbol{\rho}$(x, y) = | lim(x_n –y_n) |,
then although $\boldsymbol{\rho}$(x, y) =0, this does not always imply that x = y.
For example if we take $\boldsymbol{x_k}$ =1/k and $\boldsymbol{y_k}$=0 for all k, observe that the other two axioms of a metric are satisfied by $\boldsymbol{\rho}$Thus $\boldsymbol{\rho}$ is not a metric on c, but is a semi metric.

Duals: If X is a sequence space, We define
x^β = {a=(a_k) : $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k x_k$ is convergent for each x ε X}.

Theorem 1: Let p_k > 0 for every k, then

$[Sl_\infty(p)]^\beta = \bigcap_{N=2}^{\infty}\{a = \{a_k\}: \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k[\sum_{m=1}^{k} N^{1/p_m}]\}$ converges$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} N1/p_k\ |R_k| < \infty,\ N > 1$, where $R_k = \sum_{v=k}^{\infty} a_v$ (we assume that $\sum_{m=1}^{k} z_m$ = o (k > 1)).
Proof: Suppose that x ε $SL_\infty(p)$, we choose N > 1, so that sup$p_{k|\Delta x_k|} p_k < N$,we write

$\sum_{k=1}^{m} a_k x_k = \sum_{k=1}^{m} R_k \Delta x_k - R_{m+1} \sum_{k=1}^{m} \Delta x_k$ ($m = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$) (1)
Since $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}|R_k|\,|\Delta x_k| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}|R_k|\,N1/P_k < \infty$, *it follows that* $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} R_k \Delta x_k$ is absolutely convergent. By corollary 2 in [3], the convergence of $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k(\ \sum_{m=1}^{k} N1/\text{Pm}\ )$ implies that $\lim_{m\to\infty} R_{m+1\sum_{m=1}^{k} N}$1/Pm = o. Hence, it follows from (1) that$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k x_k$ is convergent for each x ε Sl_∞ (p). This yields a ε $(Sl_\infty(p))^\beta$.
Conversely, suppose that aε $(Sl_\infty(p))^\beta$, then by definition, $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k x_k$ is convergent for each x ε $SL_\infty(p)$.
Since e = (1, 1, 1,..) ε $Sl_\infty(p)$and x = [$\sum_{m=1}^{k} N1/\text{Pm}$] ε $Sl_\infty(p)$ so,
$\sum_{v=1}^{\infty} a_v$ and $\sum_{v=1}^{\infty} a_v[\ \sum_{m=1}^{v} N1/\text{Pm}\ ]$ are respectively convergent. By using corollary 2 in [2o], we find that
$\lim_\infty R_{m+1} \sum_{m=1}^{v} N1/\text{Pm}$ = o.
Thus, we get from (1) that the series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} R_k \Delta x_k$ converges for each xε $Sl_\infty(p)$.
Since x ε $Sl_\infty(p)$ if and only if Δx ε $Sl_\infty(p)$. *This implies that* $R = \{R_k\ \varepsilon(\ Sl_\infty(p))^\beta$. It now follows from a theorem 2 in [7] that $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}|R_k|\,N1/\text{pk}$ converges for all N > 1.
This completes the proof of the theorem.

Theorem 2: Let $p_k > o$, *for every* k, *then*
$[\,Sc_o(\text{p})\,]^\beta$ = SM_o(p), where SM_o(p) = $\bigcup_{N>1}\{a = \{a_k\} : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k\,[\ \sum_{m=1}^{k} N\text{-}1/\text{Pm}\ ]$ converges and $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}|R_k|\,N^{-1/p_k} < N > 1\}$.
Proof. Let a ε SM_o(p) and x ε Sco(p). We choose an integer N> 1 *such that* $|\Delta x_k|$pk < N-1.
We have $\sum_{k=1}^{m} a_k x_k = \sum_{k=1}^{m} R_k \Delta x_k$ - $R_{m+1} \sum_{k=1}^{m} \Delta x_k$; (m = 1, 2, 3, …).
Since $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}|R_k \Delta x_k| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}|R_k||\Delta x_k| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}|R_k|\ N^{-1/p_k} < \infty$, it follows that,
$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} R_k \Delta x_k$ is convergent absolutely. The convergence of
$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k\ (\ \sum_{m=1}^{k} N\text{-}1/\text{Pm}\ )$ implies that
$R_{m+1} \sum_{k=1}^{m} N\text{-}1/\text{Pi}$ = o (1) (m→ ∞). Hence $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k x_k$ converges for each x ε SM_o(p). That is, a ε $[\,Sc_o(\text{p})]^\beta$.
Conversely, let a $\varepsilon[Sc_o(\text{p})]^\beta$, *then*
for any x ε SM_o(p), $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k x_k$ converges. Since the sequence x=$\{\sum_{m=1}^{k} N\text{-}1/\text{Pm}\}$ by choosing $\epsilon > \frac{1}{N}$, $(N = 2, 3, \ldots)\varepsilon\ Sc_o$(p) it follows that $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$
$(\ \sum_{m=1}^{k} N\text{-}1/\text{Pm}\ )$ converges [Because $\sum_{m=1}^{k} N$ -1/pm ε Sco(p)]
To show that $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}|R_k|\ N^{-1/p_k} < \infty,\ N > 1$, let us assume that $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}|R_k|\,N^{-1/p_k} < \infty,\ N > 1$, then from Theorem 6 , it follows that R ∉ Mo(p) = [$c_o(p)]^\beta$, then there exists a sequence x = {1/k}, k ≥ 1 ε c_o(p) such that
$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} R_k$ 1/k does not converse. Although, if we define

Kathmandu University Journal of Science, Engineering and Technology KUSET
Ray, Vol.10, No.I, November, 2014, pp 73- 78

y = $\{y_k\}$ by $y_k = \sum_{n=1}^{k} \frac{1}{n}$, then, y ε Sco(p), but $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k y_k = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \{ \sum_{n=1}^{k} \frac{1}{n} \} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} R_k$ 1/k.

Hence $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k y_k$ does not converge for y ε Sco(p), a contradiction is due to the fact that a ε $[Sc_o(\text{p})]^{\beta}$. So

$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |R_k| N^{-1/p_k} < \infty, \; N > 1.$

This completes the proof of the theorem.

MATRIX TRANSFORMATIONS

Let X and Y be any two sequence spaces. Let A = $(a_{n,k})_{n,k=1}^{\infty}$ $(1 \le n, \; k \le \infty)$ be an infinite matrix of scalar entries.

$Ax = (A_n \; (x))_{n=1}^{\infty} \, \varepsilon \, Y$. Where $A_n(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} x_k$ is a convergent sequence for each n (n = 1, 2, 3,…). We say that A defines a matrix map from X into Y and we write A ε (X, Y). By (X, Y), we mean the class of matrices A such that A ε (X, Y). *The main aim is to give the* characterization of the classes (Sl_∞(p),l_∞) and (Sl_∞(p), c_s). We shall first establish the following simple lemma 1.

Lemma 1. Let X and Y be two sequence spaces, and let $\Delta Y = \{$y =$\{y_k\}$: $\Delta y = (y_k - y_{k-1})$ ε Y, $y_o = 0\}$, then A ε (X, Y) if and only $\Delta A = (a_{n,k} - a_{n-1,k})_{n,k=1}^{\infty}$ =$(b_{n,k})_{n,k=1}^{\infty}$ = B ε (X, Y).With lemma1,. (i, ii) in [7] or, Theorem 3 in [7] or, Theorem 5b (i) and Theorem7 in [5], a characterization of the classes ($l(p), Sl_\infty$) or ($l_\infty(p)$, Sl_∞) *or* (($l(p)$, $Sl_\infty(q)$) (q ε l_∞) immediately follows

In [3] the authors have characterized the spaces (Sl_∞(p), l_∞), (Sl_∞(p), c) and (Sl_∞(p), c_s) if the matrix A satisfy following the conditions:

Theorem 3: Let $p_k > o$ for every k then, A ε (Sl_∞(p), l_∞) if

(i)$\sup_n \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} (\sum_{m=1}^{k} N^{1/p_m})] \right| < \infty, N > 1.$

(ii) $\sup_n [\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} N^{1/p_k} |\sum_{v=k}^{\infty} a_{nv}| < \infty, N > 1.$

Proof: We first prove that these conditions are necessary.

Suppose that Aϵ (sl_∞(p),l_∞). Since x= (x_k) = ($\sum_{m}^{k} N^{1/p_m}$)

belongs to sl_∞(p), the condition (i)holds. In order to see that (ii) is necessary we assume that

for N>1, $sup_n[\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} N^{\frac{1}{p_k}} \; |\sum_{v=k}^{\infty} a_{nv}| \;] = \infty$.

Let the matrix B be defined by

$$B = (b_{nk}) = (\sum_{v=k}^{\infty} a_{nv}).$$

Then it follows from Theorem 1.12.8 that B $\notin$ (sl_∞(p), l_∞). Hence, there is a sequence x ϵ sl_∞(p) such that

$$sup_k |x_k|^{p_k} = 1 \text{ and } \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{nk} x_k \neq O(1).$$

We now define the sequence y = (y_k) by

$$y_k = \sum_{v=1}^{k} x_v \;\; (\text{k} \, \epsilon \mathbb{N}),$$
$$y_o = \text{o}.$$

Then y ∈ sl_∞(p) and $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk}y_k = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{nk}x_k \neq O(1)$.
This contradicts that Aϵ (sl_∞(p),l_∞). Thus, (ii) is necessary.
We now prove the sufficiency part of the theorem.
Suppose that (i) and (ii) of the theorem hold. Then $A_n \in (sl_\infty(\text{p}))^{\beta}$ for each n ∈ ℕ.

Hence $A_n(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk}x_k$ converges for each n ∈ ℕ *and for each* x ϵ sl_∞(p). Following the argument used in lemma 1, we find that if x ϵ sl_∞(p) such that $sup_k \mid \Delta x_k|^{p_k}$ < N, then

$$|\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk}x_k| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} N^{\frac{1}{p_k}} |\sum_{v=k}^{\infty} a_{nv}| ;$$
$$\leq sup_n[\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} N^{\frac{1}{p_k}} |\sum_{v=k}^{\infty} a_{nv}|];$$
$$< \infty.$$

This proves that AX ∈ l_∞. Hence, the theorem is proved.

Theorem 4: Let $p_{k>}$ o, for every k, then A ε (S$l_\infty(p)$, c) if and only if
(i)R ε ($l_\infty(p)$, c) where R = ($r_{n,k}$) = [$\sum_{v=k}^{\infty} a_{n,v}$] (n, k = 1, 2, 3,…).
(ii) A_n [$\sum_{i=1}^{k} N^{\frac{1}{p}}{}_i$] $\varepsilon\, c$ (n, k =1, 2, 3,…) for all integers, N > 1.
(iii) $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_{n,k}\ \alpha_k$ (k = 1, 2, 3,…).
Proof: Let us first prove the sufficiency condition. For consider any x ε S$l_\infty(p)$, we choose N > 1, *so that* supp$_k$ $|\Delta x_k|^{p_k} < N$. *we* write,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{n,k}x_k = \sum_{k=1}^{m} a_{n,k}\Delta x_k - r_{n+1,m}\sum_{k=1}^{m} \Delta x_k \quad (m = 1, 2, 3,\ldots). \qquad (2).$$

By condition (ii) $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{n,k}$[$\sum_{i=1}^{k} N^{\frac{1}{p}}{}_i$] is convergent for each (n = 1, 2, 3,…).Hence, by corollary 2 in [20] it follows that

$\lim_{m\to\infty} r_{n+1,m} \sum_{i=1}^{k} N^{\frac{1}{p}} i$ = o. By condition (i), R ε ($l_\infty(p)$, c), and since x ε S$l_\infty(p)$ *if and only if* $\Delta x\ \varepsilon\ l_\infty(p)$. *Hence, by corollary* [2] *in* [8] *it follows that*
$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}|r_{n,k}|N^{1/p_k}$ is uniformly convergent in n and $\lim_{n\to\infty} r_{n,k}$ exists for each (k = 1, 2, 3,…)
Since $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}|r_{n,k}||\Delta x_k| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}|r_{n,k}|\ N^{1/p_k}$, from (2) we find that $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{n,k}x_k$ is absolutely and uniformly convergent in n. Finally, we have
$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{n,k}x_k = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha_k x_k$. This proves the sufficiency condition.
The necessities of (iii) and (ii) are respectively obtained by taking x = e = (1, 1, 1,…) ε S$l_\infty(p)$ *and* x = [$\sum_{i=1}^{k} N^{\frac{1}{p}}{}_i$] (k = 1, 2, 3,…), i ε S$l_\infty(p)$.Now consider the necessity of (i).If it is not true, then there exists x = (x_v) $\varepsilon\ l_\infty(p)$ with supp$_v|x_v|p_v$ = 1 such that $[\sum r_{n,v}x_v]^\infty \notin c$. *Al*hough if we define a sequence y = (y_k) by
$y_v = \sum_{i=1}^{v} x_i$ (v = 1, 2, 3,…), then y ε S$l_\infty(p)$ but [$\sum_{v=1}^{\infty} a_{n,v}y_v = \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} r_{n,v}x_v$] $\notin c$. This contradicts the fact that A ε (S$l_\infty(p)$, c) and therefore (i) must hold.
Before characterizing the class (S$l_\infty(p)$,c_s), we add one more notation, for any
n > 1, *we write*
t_n (AX) = $\sum_{t=1}^{v} A_i\ (x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{n,k}x_{k,}$ [x ε S$l_\infty(p)$], where B = ($b_{n,k}$) = [$\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i,k}$]
(n = 1, 2, 3, …).This complete the proof of the theorem.

Kathmandu University Journal of Science, Engineering and Technology KUSET
Ray, Vol.10, No.I, November, 2014, pp 73- 78

Theorem 5: Let $p_{k>}$ o, for every k, then A ε (S$l_{\infty}(p)$, c_s) if and only if
(i)C ε ($Sl_{\infty}(p)$, c_s) where C = ($C_{n,k}$) = {$\sum_{i=1}^{n}[\sum_{V=K}^{\infty} a_{rv}$]} (n, k = 1, 2, 3,…).
(ii) B_n [$\sum_{i=1}^{k} N^{\frac{1}{p}}$] ε c_s (n, k =1, 2, 3…) for all integers, N > 1.
(iii) $\lim_{n\to\infty} b_{n,k}$ = $\lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i,k}$ = β_k (k = 1, 2, 3,…).

Proof: This theorem follows immediately from theorem (4);
Let us first prove the sufficiency condition. For consider any x ε S$l_{\infty}(p)$, we choose N > 1, *so that* suppk $|\Delta x_k|$pk < N. *we* write,
$\sum_{k=1}^{m} b_{n,k}x_k$ = $\sum_{k=1}^{m} c_{n,k}\Delta x_k$ _ C_n, m+1 $\sum_{k=1}^{m} \Delta x_k$ (m = 1, 2, 3,…) and the convergence of $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{n,k}[\sum_{i=1}^{m} N^{1/p_i}$] implies that
$\lim_{m\to\infty} C_{n,m+}$ 1$\sum_{i=1}^{m} N^{1/p_i}$ = 0.
Characterization of $(l(p), Sc_o(q))$, qεl_{∞} follows from Theorem 5 (ii) [9] with lemma 1.
This completes the proof of the theorem.

REFERENCES

[1] Wilansky A, *Sumability through functional analysis*, North Holland Amsterdam NewYork , Oxford ,1984.

[2] Chaudhary B .and Nanda S. *Functional analysis with applications*, Wiley eastern limited, 1989.

[3] Chaudhary B and Mishra S K. *A note on Kothe Toeplitz duals of certain sequence spaces and their matrix transformations* International J. Math. Sci. (18) No. 4 (681 -688) New work [1995] .

[4] Fricke G. H. and Fridy J. A, *Sequence transformations that guarantee a given rate of convergence*, Pacific Journal Math 146 (2), (1990) 239.

[5] Maddox I. J and Lascarides C. G, *The weak completeness of certain sequence spaces*, J. Nat. Acad. Math. India 1 (1983) 86.

[6] Maddox I. J, *Paranormed sequence spaces generated by infinite matrices*, Proc. Cambridge phil Soc. 64 (1968)335.

[7] Lascarides C. G and Maddox I. J, *Matrix transformation between some class of sequences*, Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc 68 (1970)99.

[8] Kizmaz H, *On certain sequence spaces*, Canadian Math. Bull. 24 (2) (1981)126.

[9] Maddox I J, *Elements of functional Analysis*, Cambridge, 1970.