

Journal of Nobel Medical College

Available Online: www.nepjol.info, www.nobelmedicalcollege.com.np
Volume 5, Number 1, Issue 8, January-July 2016, 9-13

Original Article

Intelligence Quotient Levels and Sub Tests Comparison in Autistic Children

***Vijay Kumar Sah, Hem Sagar Rimal, Archana Rimal**

Department of Pediatrics, Nobel Medical College Teaching Hospital, Biratnagar

Received: 30th May, 2016; Revised after peer-review: 2nd July, 2016; Accepted: 16th July, 2016

Abstract

Background

Autism spectrum disorders (ASD) are disorders of neurodevelopmental origin characterized by social reciprocity deficits, communication deficits, and unusual restricted and repetitive behaviors. In some of these people, measured IQ (Intelligence Quotient) can be normal or even superior or low.

Material and Methods

This is a Retrospective study in autistic children. We have analyzed with autistic disorder, 47 patients had savant skills and 1 patients of MR (Mental Retardation) had savant skills. China- Wechsler Young Children Scale of Intelligence (C-WYCSI) and China-Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children(C-WISC) were used for calculating IQ levels in different age groups.

Results

Asperger's syndrome (AS) children had higher verbal IQ (VIQ) and full scale IQ (FSIQ) compared to autism and high functional autism (HFA) children with statistical difference. Autism children had lower VIQ, performance IQ and FSIQ compared to HFA and AS children with statistical significance. AS children had higher values in C-WISC and C-WYCSI compared to autism children.

Conclusion

Children with Asperger's syndrome have higher full IQ and Sub test IQ compared with autism and HFA children.

Key Words: *Autism, Asperger's syndrome, IQ (Intelligence Quotient) level, high functional autism, mental retardation*

Introduction

Autism spectrum disorders (ASD) are disorders of neurodevelopmental origin characterized by social reciprocity deficits, communication deficits, and unusual restricted and repetitive behaviors. [1-2]. Aside dysfunctions in the behavior, individuals with autism may present multiple undefined or even prominent cognitive functions [3]. In some of these autistic individuals, normal or low and even

superior measured IQ is a possibility. Thus as in savant syndrome, low IQ score is not the required case in all instances.

Material and Methods

This is a retrospective study of autistic children. Patients visiting the out patients department of Child Developmental and Behavioral Division, Nobel Medical College Teaching Hospital Biratnagar, Nepal were enrolled in this study from August 09,

2011 to May 08, 2014. Forty eight cases were enrolled in the study, out of which 47 patients with autistic disorder and 1 patient of MR. Of these 48 children; there were 45 male, and 3 female with mean and SD of age 7.08 ± 2.31 years. Number of Autistic disorders in children group includes 11 Autism, 10 high functional autism (HFA), and 26 Asperger's syndrome (AS), 1 mental retardation (MR). Children with less than two and half years old and those without IQ report were not included in this study.

China- Wechsler Young Children Scale of Intelligence (C-WYCSI) and China-Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children (C-WISC) were used for calculating IQ levels in different age groups. C-WYCSI was used as a test for calculating IQ in children between 4 years and 6 years 6 months. The C-WYCSI is a colorful, current, and interesting IQ test for children. It measures Full Scale IQ, Verbal IQ, Performance IQ, and Processing Speed and has an optional General Language Composite. IQ stands for Intelligent Quotient. It indicates a person's intelligence by an intelligence test. The C-WYCSI is composed of 11 subtests: Knowledge, Picture Naming, Arithmetic, Picture summarizing, Comprehension, Animals lay eggs, Picture Completion, Maze, Visual analysis, Block design, Geometry diagram. C-WISC was used as a test for age range between 6 years and 16 years 11 months.

The C-WISC is a test that does not require reading or writing for individual testing. Oral questions are asked in the verbal subtests with no time limits except for mathematical problems. Nonverbal problems make up the performance subtests, all of which have time limits and bonus points are allowed in some of them for rapid work. Older students requiring speed bonuses to obtain better-than-average scores are the criticizing part of C-WISC-III. The C-WISC is composed of 11

subtests: Information, Similarities, Arithmetic, Vocabulary, Comprehension, Digit span, Picture completion, Picture arrangement, Block design, Object assembly, Coding. Verbal IQ (VIQ), Performance (nonverbal) IQ (PIQ), Full Scale IQ (FSIQ), subtests and IQ percentile rank analysis.

SPSS 16.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL) was used during the analysis. ANOVA (analysis of variance) and Post Hoc analysis (LSD) was done to find out the difference in various C-WISC, C-WYCSI parameters and IQ difference in autistic children. All the variables are expressed as mean \pm standard deviation. Any case with $p < 0.05$ was considered to be significant.

Results

We compared 3 elements between Autism, HFA and AS. ANOVA (analysis of variance) and Post Hoc analysis (LSD) was done.

Verbal IQ (VIQ) was significant between Autism and HFA (57.6 ± 13.1 vs 75.9 ± 12.1 , $p = 0.010$), highly significant between Autism and AS (57.6 ± 13.1 vs 102.7 ± 17.5 , $p = 0.000$) and highly significant between HFA and AS (75.9 ± 12.1 vs 102.7 ± 17.5 , $p = 0.000$). Performance IQ (PIQ) was significant between Autism and HFA (62.4 ± 14.3 vs 85.7 ± 19.1 , $p = 0.001$) and highly significant between Autism and AS (62.4 ± 14.3 vs 96.3 ± 14.8 , $p = 0.000$). Full Scale IQ (FSIQ) was significant between Autism and HFA (55.4 ± 11.8 vs 78.7 ± 8.4 , $p = 0.000$), significant between Autism and AS (55.4 ± 11.8 vs 99.7 ± 13.7 , $p = 0.000$) and significant between HFA and AS (78.7 ± 8.4 vs 99.7 ± 13.7 , $p = 0.000$). Result showed that AS children had higher VIQ and FSIQ compared to autism and HFA children with statistical significant difference. Autism children had lower VIQ, PIQ and FSIQ compared to HFA and AS children with statistical significance as shown in table 1.

Table 1: VIQ, PIQ and FSIQ in different children group

	Mean and standard deviation for			p * _{va} lue	p ** val ue	p *** _v alue
	Autism n=11	HFA n=10	AS n=26			
VIQ	57.6±13.1	75.9±12.1	102.7±17.5	0.010	0.000	0.000
PIQ	62.4±14.3	85.7±19.1	96.3±14.8	0.001	0.000	0.076
FSIQ	55.4±11.8	78.7±8.4	99.7±13.7	0.000	0.000	0.000

*P** = Autism vs HFA, *p*** Autism vs AS, *p**** HFA vs AS

We compared 11 subtests of C-WISC between Autism, HFA and AS children. ANOVA and Post Hoc analysis (LSD) was done. Information subtest was significant between Autism and AS (5.2 ± 3.7 vs 14.5 ± 6.3 , $p = 0.000$) and it was also significant between HFA and AS (8.8 ± 3.7 vs 14.5 ± 6.3 , $p = 0.048$). Similarities subtest was significant only between Autism and AS (15.2 ± 12.2 vs 34.0 ± 5.2 , $p = 0.000$).

Arithmetic subtest was significant only between Autism and AS (5.5 ± 4.1 vs 13.9 ± 4.8 , $p = 0.000$). Vocabulary subtest was significant only between Autism and AS (4.2 ± 5.0 vs 12.6 ± 7.7 , $p = 0.006$). Comprehension subtest was significant between Autism and AS (4.5 ± 5.1 vs 12.9 ± 4.0 , $p = 0.000$) and significant between HFA and AS (7.4 ± 4.2 vs 12.9 ± 4.0 , $p = 0.010$). Picture completion was significant between Autism and AS (3.0 ± 2.8 vs 6.0 ± 2.5 , $p = 0.016$). Picture arrangement was highly significant between Autism and AS (1.8 ± 1.4 vs 12.8 ± 6.9 , $p = 0.000$) and significant between HFA and AS (4.6 ± 3.6 vs 12.8 ± 6.9 , $p = 0.007$). Block design was significant between Autism and AS (10.2 ± 8.9 vs 23.9 ± 13.4 , $p = 0.008$) and significant between HFA and AS (11.0 ± 4.0 vs 23.9 ± 13.4 , $p = 0.033$).

Object assessment was significant only between Autism and AS (4.2 ± 2.2 vs 17.2 ± 10.6 , $p = 0.002$). Coding was significant between Autism and AS (18.9 ± 9.1 vs 40.3 ± 16.7 , $p = 0.001$) and significant between HFA and AS (25.0 ± 3.2 vs 40.3 ± 16.7 , $p = 0.037$) as shown in table 2.

Table 2: C-WISC between autism, HFA and AS children

	Mean and std. deviation for			p * _v alu e	p *** _v alue	p *** valu e
	Autism n=8	HFA n=5	AS n=19			
Information	5.2±3.7	8.8±3.7	14.5±6.3	0.263	0.000	0.048
Similarities	15.2±12.2	25.2±13.6	34.0±5.2	0.058	0.000	0.058
Arithmetic	5.5±4.1	9.4±5.0	13.9±4.8	0.154	0.000	0.066
Vocabulary	4.2±5.0	6.6±4.4	12.6±7.7	0.544	0.006	0.085
Comprehension	4.5±5.1	7.4±4.2	12.9±4.0	0.216	0.000	0.010
Digit span	9.4±2.8	9.0±3.2	11.5±2.7	0.816	0.079	0.084
Picture completion	3.0±2.8	4.4±3.9	6.0±2.5	0.385	0.016	0.263
Picture arrangement	1.8±1.4	4.6±3.6	12.8±6.9	0.385	0.000	0.007
Block design	10.2±8.9	11.0±4.0	23.9±13.4	0.910	0.008	0.033
Object assessment	4.2±2.2	9.6±10.0	17.2±10.6	0.316	0.002	0.110
Coding	18.9±9.1	25.0±3.2	40.3±16.7	0.447	0.001	0.037

*P** = Autism vs HFA, *p*** Autism vs AS, *p**** HFA vs AS

Result showed that there was difference in various C-WISC parameters between AS children in comparison to Autism and HFA children.

We compared 11 subtests of C-WYCSI between Autism, HFA and AS children. ANOVA and Post Hoc analysis (LSD) was done. Knowledge subtest was significant only between Autism and AS (3.3 ± 1.5 vs 10.3 ± 4.6 , $p=0.019$). Picture naming subtest was significant between Autism and HFA (11.0 ± 10.1 vs 23.6 ± 3.2 , $p=0.020$) and significant between Autism and AS (11.0 ± 10.1 vs 29.4 ± 6.5 , $p=0.001$). Arithmetic subtest was significant between Autism and AS (7.0 ± 6.6 vs 13.4 ± 2.8 , $p=0.032$) and significant between HFA and AS (8.2 ± 3.3 vs 13.4 ± 2.8 , $p=0.038$). Picture summary was significant only between Autism and AS (18.0 ± 16.7 vs 44.1 ± 16.1 , $p=0.021$). Comprehension subtest was significant only between Autism and AS (3.0 ± 5.2 vs 11.7 ± 5.5 , $p=0.032$) as shown in table 3.

Table 3: C-WYCSI between Autism, HFA and AS children

	Mean and std. deviation for			p_{*v} alue	p_{**v} alue	p_{***} value
	Autism n=3	HFA n=5	AS n=7			
Knowledge	3.3 ± 1.5	7.0 ± 2.8	10.3 ± 4.6	0.202	0.019	0.157
Picture naming	11.0 ± 10.1	23.6 ± 3.2	29.4 ± 6.5	0.020	0.001	0.149
Arithmetic	7.0 ± 6.6	8.2 ± 3.3	13.4 ± 2.8	0.066	0.032	0.038
Picture summary	18.0 ± 16.7	31.0 ± 9.3	44.1 ± 16.1	0.023	0.007	0.143
Comprehension	3.0 ± 5.2	5.6 ± 4.7	11.7 ± 5.5	0.050	0.032	0.068
Animal lay eggs	43.7 ± 28.2	60.2 ± 12.1	56.4 ± 19.3	0.026	0.054	0.743

Picture completion	4.7 ± 4.5	10.2 ± 5.8	10.0 ± 5.4	0.186	0.178	0.951
Maze	9.7 ± 11.9	15.0 ± 10.2	20.4 ± 10.8	0.051	0.174	0.407
Visual analysis	22.0 ± 5.2	27.6 ± 8.1	31.4 ± 5.4	0.025	0.054	0.327
Block design	2.7 ± 4.6	13.6 ± 10.9	13.4 ± 6.5	0.008	0.076	0.971
Geometric diagram	4.7 ± 5.0	8.6 ± 8.3	12.8 ± 9.0	0.052	0.175	0.394

p^* = Autism vs HFA, p^{**} Autism vs AS, p^{***} HFA vs AS

Result showed that there was difference in various C-WYSCI parameters between AS children in comparison to Autism children. AS children had higher C-WYSCI values in comparison to autism children and there was statistical significant difference seen in knowledge, picture naming, arithmetic, picture summary and comprehension parameters.

Discussion

During our study AS children have higher VIQ, FSIQ compared with Autism and HFA children with statistical significance. Asperger (1944/1991) has spoken of autistic intelligence as a true creative intelligence, adding for success in science or art [4]. And, Fitzgerald (2004), spoke of a number of intellectual prodigies having autistic traits [2] embracing the fact that a person with extraordinary skills might fall within the challenges autistic spectrum. When applied to autistic child, the term ‘mental disability’ can include disorders as Autism, High Functional Autism (HFA), Asperger’s Syndrome (AS). In some of the autistic individuals, normal or low and even superior measured IQ is a possibility. Thus a low IQ score is not the required case in all instances. Some autistic individuals score in the ordinary or extraordinary range on mostly used IQ tests, or at least on few

sub-tests that constitute the overall IQ test battery [5-8].

In our study, there was difference in various C-WISC parameters between AS children in comparison to autism and HFA children. AS children had higher values compared to autism children and statistical difference with $p < 0.05$ was seen in all parameters except digit span. In our study, there was difference in various C-WYSCI parameters between AS children in comparison to Autism children. AS children had higher C-WYSCI values in comparison to autism children and there was statistical significant difference seen in knowledge, picture naming, arithmetic, picture summary and comprehension parameters.

Limitations

1. This study has comparatively small sample size. This can lead to some bias during the analysis. A large scale study may be needed for investigating further.

2. We only had few investigations while comparing these children. It may be more helpful to find out the changes in the brain of these children and to justify the reasons for higher full scale IQ and sub IQ test, savant skills in AS children if CT, f-MRI etc. were done.

Conclusions

Children with Asperger's syndrome have higher full IQ and Sub test IQ compared with autism and HFA children.

References

- [1] Palmen SJ, van Engeland H, Hof PR, Schmitz C, Neuropathological findings in autism, *Brain*. 127 (2004) 2572–83.
- [2] Klauck SM. Genetics of autism spectrum disorder. *Eur J Hum Genet* 14:6 (2006) 714–20
- [3] Happé F, Frith U, *Autism and talent*. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2010.
- [4] Asperger, H. 1944/1991 Die 'Autistischen Psychopathen' im Kindesalter. *Arch. Psychiatr. Nervenkr.* 117, 76–136.(doi:10.1007/BF01837709) [Translated by U. Frith (ed.) *Autism and Asperger's syndrome*, pp. 37–92. Cambridge UK: Cambridge University Press].
- [5] Miller, L. 1989 *Musical savants: exceptional skill in the mentally retarded*. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- [6] Selfe, L. (1977). *Nadia: A case of extraordinary drawing ability in an autistic child*. London: Academic Press.
- [7] Treffert, D. 1989 *Extraordinary people: understanding 'Idiot Savants'*. New York, NY: Harper & Row.
- [8] Young, R. L. 1995 *Savant syndrome: processes underlying extraordinary abilities*. PhD dissertation, University of Adelaide, Adelaide, South Australia