PREVALENCE AND CORRELATES OF PSYCHIATRIC PROBLEMS AMONG ENGINEERING STUDENTS

Pandey AK¹, Adhikari BR², Verma SK³, Bhojak MM⁴

ABSTRACT

INTRODUCTION: Mental health among students represents an important and growing public health concern. College is a place where student learns new experiences which play a vital role in physical as well as psychological growth for the identity consolidation. Engineering students experience frequent psychological distress as of various underlying reasons leading to psychiatric disorders including anxiety, depression, substance use etc.

MATERIAL AND METHODS: The final sample consisted of 196 students (1st year 50, 2nd year 51, 3rd year 48 and final year 47) from the Malviya National Institute of Technology (MNIT) Jaipur, the premier engineering college of Rajasthan, India. Study was conducted in two phases. In first phase, engineering students were administered socio-demographic data sheet and GHQ-60 (General Health Questionnaire) hindi version to ascertain the extent of psychiatric illnesses. False positive cases were dropped and in second phase the diagnosed students with psychiatric problems and control group were individually administered a battery of tests to determine the role of various possible causative factors. Scores obtained on different measures were arranged as per the requirement of research design and statistical procedure.

RESULTS: Out of all the subjects, 24.49% had some psychiatric disorders. Psychiatric disorder was highest i.e. 32% in 1st year students and overall in 36.60% of female students. Statistically significant differences were noted on various applied tools.

CONCLUSION: Students who had higher scores on GHQ-60 scale had more neurotic problems, poor coping skills and abnormal personality traits and had high psychiatric morbidity than the vice versa group.

KEYWORDS: Mental health, Engineering students, Psychiatric problems

- 1. Additional Professor, Department of Psychiatry, B.P. Koirala Institute of Health Sciences, Dharan, Nepal
- 2. Assistant Professor, Department of Psychiatry, B.P. Koirala Institute of Health Sciences, Dharan, Nepal
- 3. Junior Resident, Department of Psychiatry, B.P. Koirala Institute of Health Sciences, Dharan, Nepal
- 4. Ex-Professor, Department of Psychiatry, S.M.S. Medical College, Jaipur, India

For Correspondence

Dr. Arun Kumar Pandey M.B.B.S., M.D. Additional Professor, Department of Psychiatry, B. P. Koirala Institute of Health Sciences, Dharan, Nepal Email: drarkupa@gmail.com

INTRODUCTION

Adolescence is the period of increased awareness of personal identity and individual characteristics. It is the developmental phase that spans the transition period from the state of dependency to self-reliance, decision making and acquiring of basic training to become a good professional and financially viable person. World Health Report (2000) says that 20% of children and adolescents suffer from a disabling mental illness worldwide. It is mostly during this stage that students make up their mind as to what they want to achieve in life. The students struggle with the parent's wish to see them as doctors, engineers, chartered accountants etc. in the future without considering their natural strengths and weakness.

It is during the late adolescence (17+ year of age) that a student starts attending college for higher studies. College life is full of opportunity to enter into new experiences, to explore new relationship and to feel new resources of inner strength and ability. Although the family is the primary socializing agency in the life of the school going child, the college years bring him the long journey of various experiences. As a consequence of these, students frequently experience psychological distress leading to various psychiatric disorders including anxiety, depression, substance use, etc.

The effect of engineering education on the individual student is affected by the frequent emotional, traumatic and stressful process during the preparation for the branch chosen, college chosen, and class ranking which become the goal rather than the learning and preparation for later professional training itself. Studying the relevant literature shows that engineering students due to their specialized nature of education training are subject to more stress than their counterparts. Though some classes of students have been covered extensively for their psychiatric disorders for e.g. medical students, in which various studies shows that as many as one quarter of medical students seek a psychiatric consultation before graduation from medical school.² As no large scale studies have been carried yet so far in the case of engineering students, it appears prudent to deal with the subject.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The sample of the study were 200 students, 50 each from every year that is (1st, 2nd, 3rd, and final year) from the MNIT, Jaipur

the premier engineering college of Rajasthan, India. They were enrolled for the study after getting permission and ethical clearance from the respective authority. At the onset of the study, the subjects were explained about the purpose of the study and informed consent was taken. Students refusing to participate in the study and whose information was incomplete were excluded from the study.

Study was conducted in two phases. In first phase, engineering students from each year (estimated sample size of 50 students in each year, total 200 in number) were administered sociodemographic data sheet and GHQ-60, Hindi version (Gautam and Nijhawan, 1982)³ to ascertain the extent of psychiatric illnesses among engineering students. On the basis of these questionnaire probable risky cases (who scored 12 or above on GHQ-60) of psychiatric problems were detected. Thereafter these positive cases were personally interviewed to ascertain "Psychiatric Caseness" in these screened subjects. Diagnosis was made in consultation with a qualified psychiatrist according to the ICD-10. False positive cases were dropped.

In second phase, the diagnosed students with psychiatric problems and 30 students randomly selected out of the healthy engineering students (GHQ score less than 12) for the control group were individually administered to battery of tests like Hindi version (Bhatt & Srivastava, 1974) of Middlesex Hospital Questionnaire (MHQ) (Crown & Crisp, 1966)⁴, Coping Response Inventory (Moos, 1992)⁵ and International Personality Disorder Examination for W.H.O (IPDE) to determine the causative role of various factors including neuroses, coping skills and personality disorders respectively. All the protocols were scored as per the guidelines given in the manuals of the respective tests. Scores obtained on different measures were arranged as per the requirement of research design and statistical procedure.

RESULTS

The final sample consisted of 196 out of initially selected 200 engineering students (1st year 50, 2ndyear 51, 3ndyear 48 and final year 47) due to various reasons like non-availability, non-cooperation etc. Out of 196 students, 60 students scored equal or more than 12 on GHQ-60 Hindi version (Gautam and Nijhawan, 1982) and out of them 12 students were not having any psychiatric morbidity after they were interviewed by a psychiatrist and were considered as false positive.

Out of 196 students studied, forty eight i.e. 24.49% had some psychiatric disorders. When students of individual year were studied, it was found that in 1st year the psychiatric disorder was most prevalent being 32%. In subsequent years the percentage of psychiatric disorder was 17.65% in 2nd year students, 20.83% in 3rd year students and 27.66% in 4th year students respectively.

When the prevalence of psychiatric disorder was compared in gender group, in the student of 1st year, 6 out of 9 female students (66.67%) had some psychiatric disorder. In subsequent years the percentage of female students having psychiatric disorder has been shown as in 2nd year: 18.18%;3rd year: 27.27%; 4th year: 40% and overall 36.59%. Whereas in male student the prevalence was lower than the female students(1st year: 24.39%; 2nd year: 17.50%; 3rd year: 18.92%; 4th year: 24.32% and overall 21.29%) as shown in table

Table1: Prevalence of psychiatric problems in engineering college students

S. No.	Groups	M=Male Students; F=Female Students; N=Total Students					
			1 st Year	2 nd Year	3 rd Year	4 th Year	Total
1.	Number of Students with GHQ 12 i.e.	M	12	8	9	12	41
	Mentally Unhealthy Students	F	7	4	3	5	19
		N	19	12	12	17	60
2.	False Positives Based on Psychiatric	M	2	1	2	3	8
	Interview	F	1	2	0	1	4
		N	3	3	2	4	12
3.	Actual Number of Students with	M	10	7	7	9	33
	Psychiatric Problems	F	6	2	3	4	15
		N	16	9	10	13	48
4.	Total Number of Students	M	41	40	37	37	155
		F	9	11	11	10	41
		N	50	51	48	47	196
5.	Rate of Prevalence of Psychiatric	M	24.39	17.50	18.92	24.32	21.29
	Disorders in % in Engineering Students	F	66.67	18.18	27.27	40.00	36.59
		N	32.00	17.65	20.83	27.66	24.49

The scores on MHQ for mentally unhealthy engineering students (MUES)of 1st year; 2nd year; 3rd year, 4th year and control were compared, theywere significantly different among and within group on six out of seven measure of MHQ except Hysterical Neurosis as shown in table 2.

Table 2: Comparison of scores on different measures of MHQ-ANOVA

Domain of MHQ	Group being compared	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Free-Floating Anxiety (FFA)	Between Group	127.101	4	31.7758	15.584	.000
rinalety (1111)	Within Groups	148.848	73	2.039		
	Total	275.949	77	-		
Obsessional traits	Between Group	34.776	4	8.694	8.424	.000
and symptoms	Within Groups	75.339	73	1.032		
(OBS)	Total	110.115	77	-		
Phobic Anxiety	Between Group	36.188	4	9.047	9.747	.000
(PHO)	Within Groups	67.761	73	.928		
	Total	103.949	77	-		
Somatic	Between Group	25.589	4	6.397	2.935	.026
concomitants of	Within Groups	159.090	73	2.179		
anxiety (SOM)	Total	184.679	77	-		
Neurotic Depression	Between Group	061.304	4	40.326	32.656	.000
(DEP)	Within Groups	90.145	73	1.235		
	Total	251.449	77	-		
Hysterical	Between Group	3.187	4	.797	.813	.521
personality traits	Within Groups	71.531	73	.980		
(HYS).	Total	74.718	77	-		
Neurotic	Between Group	1443.942	4	360.985	21.704	.000
	Within Groups	1214.173	73	16.633		
	Total	2658.115	77	-		

Similarly when Groups of MUES of 1st year; 2nd year; 3rd year, 4th year and control were compared than Scores were significantly different among and within group on seven out of eight measure of Coping Response Inventory (CRI) i.e. except seeking rewards (SR) i.e. behavioral attempts to get involved in substitute activities and create new source of information as shown in table 3.

Table 3: Comparison of scores on different measures of CRI-ANOVA

Domain of CRI	Group being	Sum of	df	Mean	F	Sig.
	compared	Squares		Square		
Logical Analysis (LA)	Between Group	541.187	4	135.297	51.817	.000
	Within Groups	190.608	73	2.611		
	Total	731.795	77	-		
Positive Reappraisal (PR)	Between Group	169.185	4	42.296	18.296	.000
	Within Groups	168.763	73	2.312		
	Total	337.949	77	-		
Support Guidance(SG)	Between Group	186.788	4	46.697	17.334	.000
	Within Groups	196.661	73	2.694		
	Total	383.449	77	-		
Problem Solving(PS)	Between Group	131.724	4	32.931	18.905	.000
	Within Groups	127.161	73	1.742		
	Total	258.885	77	-		
Cognitive Avoidance(CA)	Between Group	38.721	4	9.680	3.883	.006
	Within Groups	181.997	73	2.493		
	Total	220.718	77	-		
Resigned Acceptance(AR)	Between Group	132.916	4	33.229	14.743	.000
	Within Groups	164.532	73	2.254		
	Total	297.449	77	-		
Seeking Reward(SR)	Between Group	10.153	4	2.538	1.030	.398
	Within Groups	179.962	73	2.465		
	Total	190.115	77	-		
Emotional Discharge (ED)	Between Group	635.173	4	158.793	88.457	.000
	Within Groups	131.045	73	1.795		
	Total	766.218	77	-		

Scores were significantly different when the Groups of Mentally Unhealthy Engineering Students of 1st year; 2nd year; 3rd year, 4th year and control were compared among and within group on all the nine measure of IPDE-ICD-10 as shown in table 4 below.

Table 4: Comparison of scores on different measures of IPDE-ICD-10-ANOVA

Domain of IPDE	Group being compared	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
PARNOID	Between Group	19.976	4	4.994	9.410	.000
	Within Groups	38.741	73	.531		
	Total	58.718	77	1-		
SCHIZOID	Between Group	59.583	4	14.896	24.566	.000
	Within Groups	44.263	73	.606		
	Total	103.846	77	-		
DISSOCIAL	Between Group	7.659	4	1.915	4.537	.002
	Within Groups	30.803	73	.422		
	Total	38.462	77	1-		
IMPULSIVE	Between Group	26.916	4	6.729	14.865	.000
	Within Groups	33.045	73	.453		
	Total	59.962	77	1-		
BORDERLINE	Between Group	16.497	4	4.124	11.154	.000
	Within Groups	26.990	73	.370		
	Total	43.487	77	1-		
HISTRIONIC	Between Group	39.722	4	9.930	21.759	.000
	Within Groups	33.317	73	.456		
	Total	73.038	77	-		
ANANKASTIC	Between Group	17.061	4	4.265	6.683	.000
	Within Groups	46.593	73	.638		
	Total	36.654	77	1-		
ANXIOUS	Between Group	35.182	4	8.796	12.842	.000
	Within Groups	49.997	73	.685		
	Total	85.179	77	1-		
DEPENDENT	Between Group	20.105	4	5.026	7.794	.000
	Within Groups	47.074	73	.645		
	Total	67.179	77	-		

DISCUSSION

The present study was conducted to study prevalence of psychiatric disorder along with the psychological determinant of mental health of engineering students. Several measures of mental health in these students were investigated including the role of neurotic manifestation, personality constellation and coping strategies.

One of the significant findings emerged from this study relates to considerable high prevalence rate of psychiatric problems in engineering students i.e. overall 24.49% and highest i.e. 32% in 1st year. The plausible explanation of this high rate of psychiatric problem may be several like Engineering College environment being more competitive, more stressful and less cooperative one, anticipation and fear of being ragged, comments on dress code and personality, interpersonal attraction towards opposite sex and fear of lengthy and broad curriculum etc. Similar inferences have been drawn by other researchers (Chandrasekher 1980; Okasha, et al. 1985; Cherian 1998). ⁶⁻⁸

Prevalence rate of psychiatric problems in engineering students was more in case of female students as compared to male students i.e. 36.59 % vs. 21.29 % of gender wise total students. This suggests that women may be experiencing more distress than their male counterparts. Steppacher and Mauser (1974) and Lloyd et al. (1980) also found that the stressors or professional school are more detrimental for women than for men. 9,10

Mentally unhealthy engineering students significantly differed on various scale of MHQ. It was observed that they have significantly scored more on overall neurosis and mostly five of its sub measures (except Hysterical Neurosis and rarely Somatic Anxiety) when compared with healthy students. More or less similar inferences have been drawn by others but using different measures (Lloyd et al. 1980; Firth 1986). 10,11

Coping Response Inventory (CRI) result analysis shows that scores were significantly different between group on seven (except SR i.e. Seeking Reward) out of eight measure of CRI viz LA (Logical Analysis), PR (Positive Reappraisal), SG (Seeking Guidance), PS (Problem Solving), CA (Cognitive Avoidance), RA (Resigned Acceptance) and ED (Emotional Discharge). It is understandable that healthy or approach coping responses are employed by mentally healthy students whereas avoidance coping response were more employed by unhealthy students. These observations are in line with other investigators (Moos R 1993; Xing JG 1996). 5.12

Role of personality disorders assessed by IPDE-ICD-10 reveals that constellation of personality disorders played a major role in mentally unhealthy engineering students. All the nine subtypes of personality disorders turn out to be significantly different between the groups (Paranoid, Schizoid, Dissocial, Impulsive, Borderline, Histrionic, Anankastic, Anxious and dependent personality disorders). Thus, we can safely infer that mentally unhealthy students have significantly more personality disorders than their counter parts who are mentally healthy. More or less, similar findings have been drawn by some researchers employing different measure of personality disorders.

Costello et al in the review of epidemiology of psychiatric disorders have opined that "onset before adulthood may be a characteristic of the majority of adult mental disorders".

Psychiatric epidemiologists should move beyond their current opinion and policies to develop collaborations with their colleagues involved in preventing mental illness as well as with social policy analysts, who are currently at the fore front of developing, implementing and evaluating intervention.

This also indicates that with timely psychiatric intervention, students can be helped to achieve better academic and other achievements.

CONCLUSION

On the basis of the findings of this study it can be concluded that prevalence of psychiatric problems in engineering students is in line with the earlier studies done on general population and on other professional students. Socio demographic factor like gender were found to be associated with these disorders. Students differed on various measures of neurosis and unhealthy coping strategies and personality disorders were found to be significantly contributing to these disorders. An attempt should be made to overcome these factors enabling engineering students to realize their actual potential in the field of engineering.

REFERENCES

- Sacks MH, Frosch WA, Kesselman M, Parker L. Psychiatric problems in third-year medical students. Am J Psychiatry 1980;137(7):822-5.
- 2 WHO. The World Health Report 2000. Health Systems: Improving performance. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2000.
- 3 Gautam S, Nijhawan M, Kamal P. Standardization of Hindi Version of Goldberg's General Health Questionnaire. Indian Journal of Psychiatry 1987; 29 (1): 63-66.
- 4 Crown S, Crisp AH. A short Clinical Diagnostic Self Rating Scale for Psychoneurotics, The Middlesex Hospital Questionnaire (M.H.Q.). British Journal of Psychiatry 1966; 112:917. http://dx.doi.org/10.1192/bjp.112.490.917
- Moos, RH. Coping Response Inventory 1992. Stanford University California.
- 6 Chandra Shekhar CR, Sharma SC, Kapur RL, Kaliaperumal V. Mental morbidity among post graduate and research students. Indian Journal of Psychiatry 1980; 22:89-93.

- 7 Okasha A, Kamel M, Lotaif F, Khalil AH, Bishry Z. Academic difficulty among male Egyptian university students. II. Associations with demographic and psychological factors. Br J Psychiatry. 1985;146:144-50. http://dx.doi.org/10.1192/bjp.146.2.144
- 8 Cheiran VI, Charian L. University Student's adjustment problems. Psychology Rep. 1998; 82 (3. 2): 1135-8.
- 9 Steppacher RC, Mausner JS. Suicide in male and female physicians. JAMA 1974 15;228(3):323-8.
- 10 Lloyd C, Alexander AA, Rice DG, Greenfield NS. Life events as predictors of academic performance. J Human Stress 1980 Sep;6(3):15-25. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/ 0097840X.1980. 9936094
- 11 Firth J. Levels and sources of stress in medical students. Br Med J (Clin Res Ed). 1986 May 3;292(6529):1177-80. http:// dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmj.292.6529.1177
- 12 Xing G, George E. Antecedents and consequences of negative life event in adulthood- a longitudinal study. Am J Psychiatry 1996; 152: 21-26.
- 13 Mishra HK. Personality patterns of the high and low achievers in engineering education. Doctoral dissertation, IIT Kharagpur 1962.
- 14 Shanmugham TE. Personality factor underlying drug use among college students. Psychological studies 1979; 24: 24-34.
- 15 Costello EJ, Foley DL, Angold A.10 yr research update review: The epidemiology of child and adolescent psychiatric disorders II, Developmental epidemiology. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry 2006; 45:825. http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/ 01.chi. 0000184929.41423.c0
- 16 Sarda R, Kimmatkar N, Hemnani JT, Hemnani TJ, Mishra P, Jain SK. Prevalence of Psychiatric Disorders in Western U.P. Region-A School Based Study. International journal of Scientific Study 2013;1(3): 70-76.