General Section Original Article



ISSN: 2091-2749 (Print) 2091-2757 (Online)

Correspondence

Thuraya Ahmed Abuhlega Food Sciences and Technology Department, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Tripoli, Tripoli City, Libya Email: t.abuhlega@uot.edu.ly

Peer Reviewers

Prof. Dr. Nabees Man Singh Pradhan, Patan Academy of Health Sciences, Lalitpur, Nepal

Prof. Dr. Jay Shah, Patan Academy of Health Sciences, Lalitpur, Nepal

Submitted

21 Dec 2021

Accepted

08 Mar 2022

How to cite this article

Thuraya Ahmed Abuhlega, Aya Anwar Abduljali. Factors influencing knowledge and behaviors related to food safety during purchasing among consumers in Libya. Journal of Patan Academy of Health Sciences. 2022Apr;9(1):72-81.

https://doi.org/10.3126/jpahs. v9i1.44305

Factors influencing knowledge and behaviors related to food safety during purchasing among consumers in Libya

Thuraya Ahmed Abuhlega¹ © ■, Aya Anwar Abduljalil²

¹Asst. Prof., ²Bachelor's Degree, Food Sciences and Technology Department, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Tripoli, Tripoli City, Libya

Abstract

Introduction: Libyan consumers mainly rely on purchasing food products and preparing them at home. Therefore, knowing the rules of food safety when purchasing is key to protect them from foodborne diseases. This study aims to assess food safety knowledge and behaviours during purchasing among consumers in Libya.

Method: The study was carried out through the internet by Qsurvey and filling paper questionnaires by self-administration method to explore the level of food safety knowledge and practices during purchasing among consumers in Libya, from March to December 2020. Data were analyzed by SPSS. A Chi-square test was used to determine the influence of demographics on the level of knowledge and behaviours. Bivariate correlation coefficient analysis was used to determine the correlation between knowledge and behaviours scores. A p value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Result: There was a total of 1027 consumers surveyed. A good level of food safety knowledge was found in 531(51.7%) and the level of food safety behaviours was moderate in 684(66.6%). The age and monthly income influence food safety knowledge (P<0.05) while gender and age influence food safety behaviour during purchasing (P<0.05).

Conclusion: The level of food safety knowledge was good and the level of behaviours was only moderate. Therefore, there is a need to raise awareness of the population related to food safety.

Keywords: Food safety, knowledge, Libya, consumers, behaviour

Introduction

Foodborne diseases (FBD) are considered a global burden and thus require international efforts that include cooperation and grants, knowledge dissemination, and government commitment, especially in developing countries.¹ Food poisoning was among the fifteen diseases reported in Libya in 2010, with 904 people infected.² The number of food poisoning cases recorded in Libya in the years 2001, 2002, 2003, and 2004 were 297, 278, 129, and 779, respectively.³

Consumers are increasingly concerned about food safety. However, the increase in FBDs incidences indicates that people still have improper practices.4 Since most outbreaks occur at home, restaurants, and/or social events. Attention should be paid to raising awareness.¹ Food safety education requires safe handling training on practices, preparation, and storage.5 The 2018 World Bank report estimates total annual productivity losses in low- and middle-income countries of FBD at \$95.2 billion. While the annual cost of treating these diseases is \$15 billion.6

As a result of the lack of previous studies on food safety knowledge and behaviors during purchasing among the population in Libya. Therefore, this study aimed to evaluate knowledge and behaviors of food safety, explore the factors influencing knowledge and behaviors, and determine the correlation between scores of knowledge and behaviors.

Method

A cross-sectional study was conducted on a random sample of the Libyan population. The number of participants in the study reached 1027, of whom 867 participated through the internet by Qsurvey and 160 by filling out paper questionnaire forms by self-administration method. The survey was conducted from March to December 2020. The questionnaire consisted of three parts: personal information regarding consumers' gender, age, marital status, education level,

and monthly income of the family, knowledge of food safety (21 questions), and awareness of food safety behaviors (20 questions).

The answers to the food Safety during purchasing questions were calculated by being given a score-1 for the correct answer and for the wrong answer a score of zero. Thus, the maximum score was 21. A score of ≤11 represented a poor level of consumer knowledge, 12 to 15 represented good, and 16 to 21 was an excellent level.

For 20 questions about behaviors during purchasing, the answers to questions were rated as follows: "no" 1 point, "rarely" 2 points, "sometimes" 3 points, "often" 4 points, and "always" 5 points. Behavior scores vary from 20 to 105. Scores from ≤ 52 represent a low level of food safety behavior, 53 to 78 are medium and scores from 79 to 105 are considered high. The questionnaire was examined by 3 experts in the field of food sciences and technology to verify the validity of the questions included in the questionnaire and almost all the recommended observations were taken. Also, 26 questionnaires were distributed initially to a random sample of people, to determine the validity and reliability of the questionnaire. The questions were linguistically simplified to be more clear.

The data analysis was performed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS), Version 22.0. Descriptive statistics were conducted to determine the means, percentages, standard deviations, and frequencies. The chi-square test (X²) was applied to determine the association between the dependent and independent variables. Bivariate correlation coefficient analysis was used to determine the correlation between food safety knowledge scores and food safety behaviors scores. A p<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Result

Of 1027 respondents, 419(40.8%) were females and 608(59.2%) were males. The

majority of the respondents (343, 33.4%) were between the age of 26 and 35 y. More than half of the respondents (563, 54.8%) were single. Regarding the level of education of respondents, 687(66.9%) received a university education. The highest percentage of respondents (352, 34.3%) with a monthly income of >450-1000 Libyan Dinar, Table 1.

More than half of the respondents, 531(51.7%), had a good level of food safety knowledge scoring between 12-15 of the total score and 326(31.7%) of them had an excellent level of food safety knowledge scoring between 16-21 of the total score. Only 170(16.6%) of respondents had a poor level of food safety scoring ≤11. The mean score for food safety knowledge was 14.0±2.78. Regarding the questionnaire on what food contamination means, 942(91.7%) of the participants responded correctly that it means that the food contains chemicals and/or microorganisms that are harmful to health, Table 2. The age and monthly income influenced food safety knowledge (p<0.05), while gender, marital status, and educational level did not influence food safety knowledge (p>0.05), Table 3.

The majority of respondents 684(66.6%) had a moderate level of awareness of food safety behaviors, scoring between 53-78 of the total score. Only 45(4.4%) of respondents had a low level of food safety behaviors, scoring between 21-52 of the total score while 298(29.0%) of the respondents had a high-level scoring between 79-105 of the total score. The mean awareness score was 71.6±10.97. A low percentage of respondents, 189(18.4%) reported that they always the price does not determine the purchase decision of their food, Table 4. The gender and age of respondents influenced food safety behavior during purchasing (p<0.05), and marital status, educational level, and monthly income did not influence food safety behaviour (p>0.05), Table 5.

There was a modest positive linear correlation (r=0.379) between knowledge and behaviors regarding food safety, but the correlation was statistically insignificant (p>0.05).

Table 1. Demographic details of the respondents on knowledge and behavior regarding food safety Demographic variables Categories Ν % 419 40.8 **Female** Gender Male 608 59.2 18-25 322 31.4 26-35 343 33.4 Age (y) 36-45 230 22.4 46- 55 102 9.9 > 55 30 2.9 Single 563 54.8 Marital status Married 464 45.2 **Basic education** 28 2.7 Secondary education 147 14.3 Educational level University education 687 66.9 M.Sc./Ph.D. 165 16.1 ≤450 101 9.80 >450-1000 352 34.3 >1000-2000 185 18.0 Monthly income of the family* >2000-3000 6.1 63 >3000 39 3.8 I do not like to answer 287 28.0

^{*}Libyan Dinar

Question	Gender	Correct	Incorrect	Do not	No	p-valu
		answer N/%	answer N/%	know N/%	response N/%	
Food contamination means that it contains	Female	382/37.2	14/1.4	23/2.23	,	
chemicals and/or microorganisms that are	Male	560/54.5	24/2.3	23/2.23	1/0.1	0.390
narmful to health.	Total	942/91.7	38/3.7	46/4.5		
Food with higher nutritional value is higher in	Female	173/16.8	215/20.9	31/3.0		
orice.	Male	219/21.3	354/34.4	34/3.3	1/0.1	0.073
	Total	392/38.1	569/55.3	65/6.3		
Additives are non-nutritive substances that are	Female	338/32.9	38/3.7	42/4.1		
ntentionally added to food to improve its	Male	492/47.9	48/4.7	68/6.6	1/0.1	0.69
properties and are in quantities meeting the regulations controlling them.	Total	830/80.8	86/8.4	110/10.7	, -	
reezing food does not kill bacteria but stops	Female	271/26.4	48/4.7	98/9.5		
their proliferation.	Male	338/32.9	90/8.8	180/17.5	2/0.2	0.01
	Total	609/59.3	138/13.4	278/27.1		
During shopping, you should first buy non-	Female	275/26.8	94/9.2	49/4.8		
perishable food (such as canned and dried food)	Male	408/39.7	131/12.8	69/6.7	1/0.1	0.90
and then buy chilled and frozen food.	Total	683/66.5	225/21.9	118/11.5		
Fresh meat, poultry, and seafood should be	Female	357/34.8	22/2.1	38/3.7		
placed in airtight containers or safely packed in a shopping cart.	Male	508/49.5	55/5. 4	43/4.2	4/0.4	0.04
- 51.0 pp.11.8 501.11	Total	865/84.2	77/7.5	81/7.9		
During shopping, hands should be cleaned	Female	378/36.8	29/2.8	12/1.2		
pefore eating any food samples by carrying wet	Male	484/47.1	90/8.8	34/3.3	0/0.0	0.00
vipes or a bottle of sanitizer.	Total	862/83.9	119/11.6	46/4.5		
When shopping, you should buy food items	Female	284/27.7	103/10.0	32/3.1		
enough for only a week.	Male	401/39.0	163/15.9	44/4.3	0/0.0	0.72
	Total	685/66.7	266/25.9	76/7.4		
food packages with holes, tears, or openings	Female	400/38.9	12/1.2	7/0.7		
hould not be purchased.	Male	581/56.6	17/1.6	10/1.0	0/0.0	0.99
	Total	981/95.5	29/2.8	17/1.7		
rozen food should be solid with no signs of	Female	360/35.0	22/2.1	37/3.6		0.21
product thawing.	Male	506/49.3	49/4.8	53/5.2	0/0.0	
	Total	866/84.3	71/6.9	90/8.8		
ou should feel the coolness of the chilled food	Female	364/35.4	21/2.0	33/3.2		
vhen you buy it.	Male	533/51.9	40/3.9	35/3.4	1/0.1	0.25
	Total	897/87.3	61/5.9	68/6.6		
Failure to seal the jars indicates that the product	Female	386/37.6	15/1.5	17/1.7	2/0.2	0.20
may be contaminated or its contents have been	Male	542/52.8	24/2.3	40/3.9	3/0.3	0.20
ampered with.	Total	928/90.4	39/3.8	57/5.6		
Food cans with deep dents, swelling, rust, or	Female	356/34.6	32/3.1	31/3.0	1/0.1	0.11
pending by the top or side welding indicate	Male	542/52.8	35/3.4	30/3.0	1/0.1	0.11
possible spoilage. From should pick cold packs when buying dairy	Total	898/87.4	67/6.5	61/6.0		
	Female	357/34.8	31/3.0	29/2.8 27/2.6	2/0.2	0.01
products.	Male Total	555/54.0 912/88.8	26/2.5 57/5.6	27/2.6 56/5.4	2/0.2	0.01
ou should buy clean eggs that are free of cracks	Female	406/39.5	5//5.6	56/5.4 8/0.8		
and/or breaks.	Male	596/58.0	5/0.5 5/0.5	7/0.7	0/0.0	0.50
ilia/oi bieaks.	Total	1002/97.5	10/1.0	15/1.5	0/0.0	0.50
buying milk and dairy products at the end of a	Female	328/32.0	49/4.8	41/4.0		
hopping trip reduces their time outside of	Male	520/50.6	49/4.8 55/5.3	32/3.1	2/0.2	0.00
efrigeration.	Total	848/82.6	104/10.1	73/7.1	2,0.2	0.00
Perishable food should be refrigerated within	Female	238/23.2	15/1.5	165/16.0		
wo hours of purchasing it and only one hour if	Male	373/36.3	38/3.7	195/19.0	3/0.3	0.01
he ambient temperature exceeds 32°C.	Total	611/59.5	53/5.2	360/35.0	5,0.5	0.01
ou should use coolers or insulated bags for	Female	234/22.8	82/8.0	101/9.8		
perishable food if the shopping trip from the	Male	341/33.2	139/13.5	128/12.5	2 /0.2	0.31
narket to the house exceeds 30 minutes.	Total	575/56.0	221/21.5	229/22.3	_, 0.2	0.01
Street milk can only be used after it has been	Female	172/16.8	77/7.5	170/16.6		
poiled for half an hour.	Male	261/25.4	141/13.7	206/20.0	0/0.0	0.05
	Total	433/42.2	218/21.2	376/36.6	2, 0.0	2.00
ood is checked to see if it is safe or not by	Female	231/22.5	155/15.1	31/3.0		
asting it.	Male	314/30.6	247/24.0	43/4.2	6/0.6	0.66
	Total	545/53.1	402/39.1	74/7.2	0,0.0	0.00
ood sold in supermarkets and large shopping	Female	239/23.3	131/12.7	49/4.8		
centers is of higher quality than in small shops	Male	312/30.4	233/22.7	63/6.1	0/0.0	0.06
circula is or infiner quarry triair in sinair shops	Total	551/53.7	364/35.4	112/10.9	3/ 0.0	3.00

Table 3. Association between the demographic characteristics of the respondents and the knowledge level of food safety

Variable		Total	Total knowledge scores			
		Excellent N(%)	Good N(%)	Poor N(%)		
Gender	Females	136(13.2)	212(20.6)	71(7.0)	0.8404	
	Males	190(18.5)	319(31.1)	99(9.6)		
Age (y)	18- 25	74(7.2)	171(16.7)	77(7.5)	0.0000	
	26- 35	107(10.4)	190(18.5)	46(4.5)		
	36- 45	89(8.7)	107(10.4)	34(3.3)		
	46- 55	45(4.4)	47(4.6)	10(1.0)		
	> 55	11(1.0)	16(1.5)	3(0.3)		
Marital status	Single	164(15.9)	297(28.9)	102(10.0)	0.0912	
	Married	162(15.8)	234(22.8)	68(6.6)		
Educational level	Basic education	8(0.7)	12(1.2)	8(0.8)	0.2634	
	Secondary education	51(5.0)	71(6.9)	25(2.4)		
	University education	205(20)	369 (35.9)	113(11.0)		
	M.Sc./Ph.D.	62(6.0)	79(7.7)	24(2.3)		
Monthly income of family	≤450	19(1.9)	62(6.0)	20(1.9)	0.0294	
	>450-1000	112(10.9)	179(17.4)	61(6.0)		
	>1000-2000	63(6.1)	98(9.5)	24(2.3)		
	>2000-3000	31(3.0)	25(2.4)	7(0.7)		
	>3000	12(1.2)	22(2.1)	5(0.5)		
	I do not like to answer	89(8.6)	145(14.1)	53(5.2)		

Table 4. Food safet	a a la a la acceita una alcouic			
Table 4. Food Safet	v nenaviors diffi	ng miliromasimg a	laatalaise Hate School	

Practices	No	Rarely	Sometimes	Often	Always	No response
	N (%)		N (%)	N (%)	N (%)	N (%)
Price does not determine the purchase decision of my food.	55	59	408	315	189	1
	(5.4)	(5.7)	(39.7)	(30.7)	(18.4)	(0.1)
Promotional items (gifts) provided with food affect my	263	191	274	183	112	4
purchasing decisions.	(25.6)	(18.6)	(26.7)	(17.8)	(10.9)	(0.4)
I pay attention to the trademark or the producer of food	34	51	144	330	466	2
products.	(3.3)	(5.0)	(14.0)	(32.1)	(45.4)	(0.2)
I check the expiration date before buying food products.	12	25	88	177	725	0
	(1.2)	(2.4)	(8.6)	(17.2)	(70.6)	(0.0)
I check for bulges or dents in food containers before I buy	11	17	54	115	827	3
them.	(1.0)	(1.7)	(5.3)	(11.2)	(80.5)	(0.3)
I absolutely refuse and return a food product that I later find	66	93	172	243	453	0
out is defective.	(6.4)	(9.1) 42	(16.7)	(23.7)	(44.1)	(0.0)
I check the safety of food packages and that their contents have not been tampered with before I buy them.	31 (3.0)	(4.1)	67 (6.5)	196 (19.1)	690 (67.2)	(0.1)
,	110	95	(6.5)	266	351	(0.1)
I check if food products in the market are displayed according to the appropriate temperature.	(10.7)	(9.2)	(19.9)	(25.9)	(34.2)	(0.1)
I follow the storage instructions on the food label.	98	124	217	245	338	5
Trollow the storage instructions on the rood label.	(9.5)	(12.1)	(21.1)	(23.9)	(32.9)	(0.5)
I make sure the food product is free of preservatives.	195	226	258	188	157	3
Thake safe the 1000 product is free of preservatives.	(19.0)	(22.0)	(25.1)	(18.3)	(15.3)	(0.3)
I make sure that the food product is free of industrial colors.	183	202	238	188	215	1
	(17.8)	(19.7)	(23.2)	(18.3)	(20.9)	(0.1)
I care about the calorie content of food.	320	202	218	141	145	1
	(31.2)	(19.7)	(21.2)	(13.7)	(14.1)	(0.1)
I pay attention to market cleanliness where I buy food.	16	17	58	211	724	1
	(1.6)	(1.7)	(5.6)	(20.5)	(70.5)	(0.1)
I care about the ingredients in the food I buy.	71	88	198	270	399	1
	(6.9)	(8.6)	(19.2)	(26.3)	(38.9)	(0.1)
I check if the product I purchase has any harmful effects on	65	62	149	220	530	1
human health.	(6.3)	(6.0)	(14.5)	(21.4)	(51.6)	(0.1)
I do not buy homemade food products such as sweets,	179	130	249	223	244	2
harissa, and bread that are offered in the markets.	(17.4)	(12.7)	(24.2)	(21.7)	(23.8)	(0.2)
I buy with satisfaction any food product, regardless of where and	120	77	105	160	563	2
how it was prepared and whether it is healthy or not.	(11.7)	(7.5)	(10.2)	(15.6)	(54.8)	(0.2)
I put frozen food directly into the freezer when I get home.	24	12	40	158	791	2
I should be the state of the st	(2.3)	(1.2)	(3.9)	(15.4)	(77.0)	(0.2)
I check for the veterinary seal of meat quality when	344	169	147	140	226	1 (2.1)
purchasing.	(33.5)	(16.5)	(14.3)	(13.6)	(22.0)	(0.1)
I take non-plastic bags from home when shopping instead	667	148	101	50 (4.0)	59 (5.7)	(0.2)
of using the plastic bags present in the markets.	(65.0)	(14.4)	(9.8)	(4.9)	(5.7)	(0.2)

Table 5. Association between demographic characteristics of the sample population and behavior on food safety

Variable		Total knowledge scores				
	Low N(%)	Moderate N(%)	High N(%)	•		
Gender						
Females	15	258	146	0.0025		
Males	30	426	152	0.0025		
Age (y)						
18- 25	22	222	78			
26- 35	17	226	100			
36- 45	4	154	72	0.0276		
46- 55	1	64	37			
>55	1	18	11			
Marital status						
Single	31	371	161	0.1522		
Married	14	313	137	0.1522		
Educational level						
Basic education	2	18	8			
Secondary education	8	93	46	0.8584		
University education	30	464	193	0.6364		
M.Sc./Ph.D.	5	109	51			
Monthly income of the family						
≤450	5	70	26			
>450-1000	20	228	104			
>1000-2000	8	129	48	0.7791		
>2000-3000	1	45	17	0.7791		
>3000	1	27	11			
I do not like to answer	10	185	92			

Discussion

In the present study males were 608(59.2%), more than females. The result of this study was similar to a previous study conducted in Libya in which males numbered more than females.⁷ This is in contrast to other similar studies conducted in Turkey and Kazakhstan, Jordan, and Libya.⁸⁻¹⁰ The results show the number of single consumers was 563(54.8%), slightly more than married ones. On contrary, the number of married was higher in studies conducted in Turkey and Jordan.¹¹⁻¹³

Regarding the level of education, 852(83%) of respondents had a university education. This finding was similar to a previous study in Jordan where the majority of respondents (176, 85%) had a university education.⁹ However, this finding was in contrast to a study conducted in Palestine.¹¹

Knowledge is defined as the capacity to acquire, retain and use information. It is also a mixture of comprehension, experience, discernment, and skill.¹² In the present study, the mean score of food safety knowledge

(14.0±2.78) in more than half (531, 51.7%) of the consumers reflects a good level. Therefore, educational programs for the population may improve their knowledge about food safety. The results of some studies from Libya have reported lower scores for knowledge among middle and secondary school students, a mean score of 12.1±3.34 (out of the total score of 22) and 14.4±2.71 (out of the total score of 23), respectively.⁸⁻¹⁰ Also, in Kazakhstan, the mean score of consumers' knowledge was 14.74±3.86 (out of the total score of 30) which is lower than the present study.5 In Turkey, the mean score of consumers' knowledge was 20.82±4.20 (out of a total score of 30) which is higher than the obtained finding.5 In another study from Libya, the mean food safety knowledge score of the women was 8.5±0.71 (out of the total score of 11) which is higher than the present study. 13

There was a weakness in some important knowledge points such as in answering "Food with higher nutritional value is higher in price", where only 392(38.1%) of respondents correctly answered in the present study. Similarly, 305(38.3%) Belgian and Romanian

consumers also reported they use the price for the evaluation of food quality.⁴

The age and monthly income of the family influenced food safety knowledge (p<0.05), but gender, marital status, and educational level did not (p>0.05). Similarly, age influenced the knowledge level of university students in Libya. ¹⁴ Unlike our finding, in Palestine, the educational level influenced knowledge (p<0.05) while age and gender did not influence (p>0.05). ¹¹

Practice is regarded as the application of rules and knowledge that leads to action. 12 The mean of the food safety practices scores of the respondents was 71.6±10.97 of the total score of 105 which falls in the scores range of the moderate level. Unsafe food safety practices are prevalent among consumers of all ages and that may make them prone to food hazards. 15-¹⁶ On the contrary, the level of food safety practices among secondary students was moderate with a mean score, of 37.29±3.54 of a total score of 52. Which was higher than that obtained in this study. 12 Also, in Turkey, the mean was 14.43±2.56 of the total score of 20 which was higher than our finding.⁵ while the mean in Kazakhstan was 11.84±2.9 of the total score of 20 which was lower.⁵ Consumer purchasing behavior is a key of prevent foodborne diseases. Consumers are the last point of contact with food. Therefore, consumers' knowledge and behaviors of food safety have the main role in limiting the prevalence of foodborne disease outbreaks.¹⁷ The results show that Libyan consumers need to raise their awareness of food safety behaviors related to many purchasing behaviors that include price, promotional items, trademark or the producer, rights to return food products, food temperature, storage instruction, preservatives, industrial colors, calorie content, ingredients, homemade food, veterinary seal, non-plastic bags. Our findings were contrary to those of the study in Jordan, where consumers appeared to follow food safety rules when purchasing their food.9

There was an influence of the gender and age of respondents on food safety behavior during purchasing, and marital status, educational level, and monthly income of the family did not influence. Similarly, in Libya, gender influenced the food safety behavior of university students. The result is also in line with results obtained in Jordan except for income which influenced consumer behavior during purchasing. 9

Consumers with more knowledge about food hygiene had better food handling behaviors. However, the finding of our study shows an insignificant modest positive correlation between food safety knowledge and behaviors (r=0.379; p>0.05). On the contrary significant modest positive correlation was found between knowledge and practice scores regarding food poisoning in Palestine (r=0.23, p<0.001). 11

Food safety is a public health issue. The lack of awareness of the consequences of outbreaks of FBDs results in substantial costs to the consumer, health care centers, and food producers, and a decrease in individual productivity, and thus an impact on the country's economy in general. 19 The increase of awareness of food safety is carried out through informative campaigns targeting populations, especially those with limited income and low education levels, by improving the levels of education.²⁰ Based on the results of this study, it seems that Libyan consumers need a continuous and effective program from the competent authorities to address the weaknesses in their knowledge and practices related to food safety. The competent authorities should use their capabilities and perform their assigned role to raise the level of food safety among consumers in the country, which includes: I) Distributing brochures and posters in shops, markets, and malls to explain how to safely deal with food during purchase. II) Intensifying its awareness campaigns about food safety through its websites and pages on the Internet and television. III) Targeting consumers with awareness lectures about the proper handling of food in their workplaces or inviting them to attend in a certain place. IV) Conducting more comprehensive studies to assess the level of food safety awareness among consumers in the whole country.

Conclusion

The findings of this study show that the level of knowledge of Libyan consumers was relatively good and the practices were only moderate. The findings of this study show that age and the monthly income of the family influenced food safety knowledge. Gender and age of respondents influenced food safety behavior during purchasing of food.

Conflict of Interest

None

Funding

None

Author Contribution

Substantial contributions to the conception, design, acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data: TA, AA; Literature review: TA, AA; Drafting the work or revising it critically for important intellectual content: TA; Final approval of the version to be published: TA, AA; Accountable for all aspects of the work for accuracy/integrity: TA; Guarantor: TA.

Reference

- Stratev D, Odeyemi OA, Pavlov A, Kyuchukova R, Fatehi F, Bamidele FA. Food safety knowledge and hygiene practices among veterinary medicine students at Trakia University, Bulgaria. Journal of infection and public health. 2017 Nov 1;10(6):778-82. I DOI I PubMed I Google Scholar I Full Text I Weblink I
- World Health Organization. Food safety.
 World Health Organization; 2020 | Weblink |
- Faour-Klingbeil D, CD Todd E. Prevention and control of foodborne diseases in middle-east north african countries: review of national control systems. International journal of environmental research and public health. 2020 Jan;17(1):70. I DOI I PubMed I Google Scholar I Full Text I Weblink I
- 4. Petrescu DC, Vermeir I, Petrescu-Mag RM. Consumer understanding of food quality,

- healthiness, and environmental impact: A cross-national perspective. International journal of environmental research and public health. 2020 Jan;17(1):169. | DOI | PubMed | Google Scholar | Full Text | Weblink |
- Sanlier N, Dağdeviren A, Bilici S, Abubakirova A.
 Determining the knowledge of food safety and purchasing behavior of the consumers living in Turkey and Kazakhstan. African Journal of Microbiology Research. 2011 Sep 16;5(18):2724-32. I DOI I Google Scholar I Full Text I Weblink I
- Temitayo IO. Knowledge and Practices of Food Safety among Senior Secondary School Students of International School, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Nigeria. Texila International Journal of Public Health. 2017;5(1):163-78. I Google Scholar I Full Text I
- Grace D. Food safety in low and middle income countries. International journal of environmental research and public health. 2015 Sep;12(9):10490-507. I DOI I PubMed I Google Scholar I
- 8. Abuhlega TA, Greesh MI. Knowledge and awareness of food safety among middle school students in Tripoli, Libya. Journal of Patan Academy of Health Sciences. 2021 Apr 30;8(1):58-68. I DOI I Google Scholar I Full Text I Weblink I
- Alrabadi NI, Al-massad M, Alboqai O. Food safety: a study of Jordanian consumer's knowledge and practices. World Appl Sci J. 2013;22(1):35-40. I DOI I Google Scholar I Full Text I Weblink I
- Abuhlega TA. Awareness of Food Safety among 1874 Secondary Students in Tripoli city, Libya. Jordan Journal of Agricultural Sciences. Jordan Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2020; Nov 16(2):39-53. I PubMed I Google Scholar I Full Text I Weblink I
- 11. Zyoud SE, Shalabi J, Imran K, Ayaseh L, Radwany N, Salameh R, Sa'dalden Z, Sharif L, Sweileh W, Awang R, Al-Jabi S. Knowledge, attitude and practices among parents regarding food poisoning: a cross-sectional study from Palestine. BMC public health. 2019 Dec;19(1):1-0. | DOI|PubMed I Google Scholar I Full Text I Weblink I
- 12. Badran IG. Knowledge, attitude and practice the three pillars of excellence and wisdom: a place in the medical profession. Eastern Mediterranean Health Journal. 1995;1 (1): 8-16. | Google Scholar | Full Text |
- 13. Abuhlega T, Al turki D. Knowledge of food safety rules among women and their

- perception and attitude towards their application in the celebration halls at Tripoli, Libya. Al-Mukhtar Journal of Sciences. 2022(under publication).
- 14. Abuhlega T, Ben Lama F, Elmejrab S. Assessment of food safety knowledge and practices in a sample of University of Tripoli students. Journal of the Saudi Society for Food and Nutrition. 2020; 13(1):1-9. | Full Text |
- 15. Byrd-Bredbenner C, Maurer J, Wheatley V, Cottone E, Clancy M. Observed food safety behaviours of young adults. British Food Journal. 2007a Jul 10. | DOI| Google Scholar I Full Text I Weblink I
- 16. Byrd-Bredbenner C, Maurer J, Wheatley V, Schaffner D, Bruhn C, Blalock L. Food safety self-reported behaviors and cognitions of young adults: results of a national study. Journal of food protection. 2007b Aug;70(8):1917-26. | DOI | PubMed I Google Scholar I Full Text I Weblink I

- 17. Sanlier N, Baser F. The relationship among food safety knowledge, attitude, and behavior of young Turkish women. Journal of the American College of Nutrition. 2020 Apr 2;39(3):224-34. | DOI| PubMed I Google Scholar I Weblink I
- Garayoa R, Cordoba M, Garcia-Jalon I, Sanchez-Villegas A, Vitas AI. Relationship between consumer food safety knowledge and reported behavior among students from health sciences in one region of Spain. Journal of food protection. 2005 Dec;68(12):2631-6. | DOI I PubMed |
- Webb M, Morancie A. Food safety knowledge of foodservice workers at a university campus by education level, experience, and food safety training. Food control. 2015 Apr 1;50:259-64. | DOI | Google Scholar | Full Textnn | Weblink |
- Bektas ZK, Miran B, Uysal OK, Gunden C. Consumer awareness for food safety in Turkey. Bulgarian Journal of Agricultural Science. 2011 Jan 1;17(4):470-83. | Google Scholar I Weblinkl

Supplement

Questionnaire

Part 1. Demographic characteristics of the consumers

- 1. Gender: a. Female b. Male
- 2. Age in y: a. 18-25 b. 26-35 c. 36-45 d. 46-55 e. >55.
- 3. Martial Status: a. Single b. Married.
- 4. consumers' Education: a. Basic b. Secondary c. University d. M.Sc./Ph.D.
- 5. Income: a. ≤ 450 b.> 450-1000 c. >1000-2000. d. >2000-3000 e. >3000 f. I don't like to answer.

Part 2. Questions on knowledge of food safety, please (V) one correct answer.

N Yes No Don't know

- Food contamination means that it contains chemicals and/or microorganisms that are harmful to health.
- 2 Food with higher nutritional value is higher in price.
- Additives are non-nutritive substances that are intentionally added to food to improve its properties and are in quantities meeting the regulations controlling them.
- 4 Freezing food does not kill bacteria but stops their proliferation.
- During shopping, you should first buy non-perishable food (such as canned and dried food) and then buy chilled and frozen food
- Fresh meat, poultry, and seafood should be placed in airtight containers or safely packed in a shopping cart.
- During shopping, hands should be cleaned before eating any food samples by carrying wet wipes or a bottle of sanitizer.
- 8 When shopping, you should buy food items enough for only a week.
- 9 Food packages with holes, tears, or openings should not be purchased.
- 10 Frozen food should be solid with no signs of product thawing
- 11 You should feel the coolness of the chilled food when you buy it.
- Failure to seal the jars indicates that the product may be contaminated or its contents have been tampered with.

- 13 Food cans with deep dents, swelling, rust, or bending by the top or side welding indicate possible spoilage.
- 14 you should pick cold packs when buying dairy products.
- 15 You should buy clean eggs that are free of cracks and/or breaks.
- Buying milk and dairy products at the end of a shopping trip reduces their time outside of refrigeration.
- 17 Perishable food should be refrigerated within two hours of purchasing it and only one hour if the ambient temperature exceeds 32°C.
- 18 You should use coolers or insulated bags for perishable food if the shopping trip from the market to the house exceeds 30 minutes.
- 19 Street milk can only be used after it has been boiled for half an hour.
- 20 Food is checked to see if it is safe or not by tasting it.
- 21 Food sold in supermarkets and large shopping centers is of higher quality than in small shops

Part 3. Food Safety Practices, please (v) one correct answer.

- N Practice
- Price does not determine the purchase decision of my food.
- 2 Promotional items (gifts) provided with food affect my purchasing decisions.
- 3 I pay attention to the trademark or the producer of food products.
- 4 I check the expiration date before buying food products.
- 5 I check for bulges or dents in food containers before I buy them.
- 6 I absolutely refuse and return a food product that I later find out is defective.
- 7 I check the safety of food packages and their contents have not been tampered with before I buy them.
- 8 I check if food products in the market are displayed according to the appropriate temperature.
- 9 I follow the storage instructions on the food label.
- 10 I make sure the food product is free of preservatives.
- 11 I make sure that the food product is free of industrial colors.
- 12 I care about the calorie content of food.
- 13 I pay attention to market cleanliness where I buy food.
- 14 I care about the ingredients in the food I buy.
- 15 I check if the product I purchase has any harmful effects on human health.
- 16 I do not buy homemade food products such as sweets, harissa, and bread that are offered in the markets.
- 17 I buy with satisfaction any food product, regardless of where and how it was prepared and whether it is healthy or not.
- 18 I put frozen food directly into the freezer when I get home.
- 19 I check for the veterinary seal of meat quality when purchasing.
- 20 I take non-plastic bags from home when shopping instead of using the plastic bags present in the markets.

No Rarely Sometimes Often Always