

# Inguinal Exploration for the Management of Impalpable Undescended Testes

Thapa B<sup>1</sup>, Pun MS<sup>2</sup>

## Abstract

**Introduction:** The primary goal of surgical intervention with an impalpable testis is to locate and reposition the gonad. There has been much debate in the management of impalpable undescended testes. Many centres still advocate the role of open inguinal exploration in impalpable testes. **Materials and methods:** This retrospective study included 35 male patients. The clinical notes were reviewed for details of age at operation, side, location and condition of testes intraoperatively and the type of operation performed. Standard approach of inguinal explorations was performed under general anaesthesia. Follow up in first week and after 3 months was done. **Results:** 25(71.42%) impalpable testes were in left side, 9(25.71%) were on right side and 1(2.85%) was bilateral. At exploration 17(47.22%) were intracanalicular, nine (25%) were intraabdominal with seven low and two high, 49(11.11%) were scrotal and six (16.66%) were absent, seven (41.17%) canalicular testes underwent orchidopexy and 10(58.22%) underwent gonadectomy for atrophied testes. Seven (77.77%) low abdominal testes were brought down to scrotum and two (22.22%) high abdominal were brought down to lower inguinal area as a first stage surgery. All 4(100%) scrotal testes were atrophied and gonadectomy performed. Six patients were diagnosed anorchia, 14 (87.5%) of impalpable testes that underwent orchidopexy were in a follow up with excellent results. One high first stage orchidopexy and another canalicular testes were found to have atrophied who did orchidectomy in follow-up. **Conclusion:** Inguinal exploration is a safe, reliable and successful surgical procedure for the management of impalpable testes including intraabdominal testes without procedure related complications.

**Key words:** Impalpable testes, Orchidopexy

<sup>1</sup>Dr. Bijay Thapa, MBBS, MS, Associate Professor and Senior Consultant Paediatric Surgeon, <sup>2</sup>Dr. Madhusudan Pun, MBBS, MS, Head of Department and Senior Consultant Paediatric Surgeon. Both from the department of Paediatric Surgery, Kanti Children's Hospital, Maharajgunj, Kathmandu, Nepal.

## Address for correspondence

Dr. Bijay Thapa, Associate Professor  
Department of Paediatric Surgery  
Kanti Children's Hospital, Maharajgunj  
Kathmandu, Nepal  
Tel No; +977-9851029644  
E-mail: bijaytapa@hotmail.com

**Acknowledgements:** None

**Funding:** Nil

**Conflict of Interest:** None

**Permission from IRB:** Yes

## How to cite

Thapa B, Pun MS. Inguinal Exploration for the Management of Impalpable Undescended Testes. J Nepal Paediatr Soc 2017;37(1):36-40.

doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.3126/jnps.v37i1.16917>

## Introduction

Undescended testis is the most common genital anomaly in male children, having an incidence of 1 to 3% in full-term and 30% in premature new-borns. Undescended testes are commonly categorized as either palpable or impalpable. The majority of undescended testes are easily palpable lying along the normal pathway of descent

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 License.



or ectopically in the groin or perineum. About 20% of undescended testes are impalpable. Testes are impalpable when they are intracanalicular or intra-abdominal and fail to emerge through the external ring, or when they are atrophic, dysgenetic, or absent<sup>1,2,3</sup>.

All undescended testes should be brought to the scrotum as soon as possible preferably before the age of two years so as to reduce the increased risk of complications such as loss of potential for fertility and because retained testes constitute a significant risk to the patient from mortality secondary to malignant degeneration. It is generally accepted that a patient with an undescended testes has approximately a 40-fold increase in the incidence of malignant degeneration of the testicle when compared with a patient with normal testicular descent. Therefore, if inguinal exploration does not reveal an impalpable testis, retroperitoneal and/or intraperitoneal exploration is advisable<sup>6,7,8</sup>.

Although a lot of international studies regarding the surgical management of impalpable testes, there are no guidelines. The management of the impalpable undescended testes remains variable depending on available facilities and can be very challenging. Laparoscopy has been used routinely in many centres for the diagnosis and management of impalpable testes<sup>9,10,11</sup>.

The aim of our study was to analyse the outcome of trans-inguinal exploration in the management of the clinically impalpable testes in a tertiary centre from a developing country.

## Materials and Methods

The medical records of 201 patients seen with undescended testes over a 40 months period (January 10, 2013 to May 10 2016) in Kanti children's hospital and BP Smriti hospital were retrospectively reviewed. Among them 35(17%) had impalpable testes. An impalpable testis was defined as one that could not be palpated using a standard technique of clinical examination. The diagnosis of impalpable testis was confirmed by examining the patient under general anaesthesia. Sometimes, genital ultra-sonogram aided the diagnosis. Patients with sexual ambiguity and those palpable cases after general anaesthesia were not included. All the clinical notes were reviewed for details of age, side of undescended testis, location and condition of testes intraoperatively and the type of operation performed. Post-operatively, children received oral antibiotic and analgesic and discharged on the same day or the first

post op day. Follow-up examination after surgery was conducted in a week and three months' time. After that, one follow-up in six-to one-year was advised.

During the exploration, if the testis was not found in the inguinal canal or by following a hernia sac to the internal ring, a retroperitoneal and intraperitoneal exploration is carried out through the deep ring lateral to the inferior epigastric vessels and limited laparotomy was performed. In both approaches, the viable testis is brought down into the scrotum by mobilization of the spermatic pedicle; spermatic vessel ligation is not done in any patient in this series.

The finding of blind-ending vas and vessels indicated vanishing testis and further exploration was not done after removal of the tissue at the termination of the vas and vessels. Orchidectomy was performed when the testis was atrophic. High testes in abdominal were brought down in the inguinal region as a first stage surgery.

## Results

Thirtyfive patients with 36 impalpable testes undergone inguinal exploration. Patient age ranges from 8 months to 13 years of age. Mean age at exploration was 22 months with 54.28% of the procedure done at the age below one year. Left sided was in 25(71.42%), right side 9(25.71%) and 1(2.85%) bilateral. At exploration, 17(47.22%) testes were located in inguinal canal, 9(25%) were intraabdominal and 4 were in scrotum. There were six cases with absence of testes.

Seven(41.17%) intracanalicular testes and all 9(100%) intraabdominal testes underwent orchidopexy. Among the intraabdominal 9, 2 highly located testes underwent 1<sup>st</sup> stage orchidopexy with mini-laparotomy by lateral extension of the incisional incision. 10(58.82%) canalicular and 4(100%) scrotal testes diagnosed as atrophied, hypoplastic or nubbin underwent orchidectomy. In 6 cases a blind ending vas and vessels were identified and diagnosed monorchia and no further exploration done.

Post-operative period of one week to three months was available for review. Excellent result was a testis in proper scrotal position and size. Acceptable result was a palpable normal sized testes in high scrotum. In follow-up, 14 (87.5%) orchidopexy patients had excellent result. One high intrabdominal testes with post first stage orchidopexy and one canalicular testes were found to have atrophied in follow up and orchidectomy was done.

**Table 1:** Age distribution of study population

Age range (months) m	No of patients	Percentage (%)
6~12m	19	54.28
12~24m	11	31.42
24~36m	1	2.85
36~48m	2	5.71
48~60m	0	0
60m~13 yrs.	2	5.71
<b>Total</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>100</b>

**Table 2:** Location of testes

Site	No of testes	Percentage
Left	25	71.42
Right	9	25.71
Bilateral	1+1	2.85
<b>Total</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>100</b>

**Table 5:** Type of surgery in relation to location of testes

Type of surgery	Location of testes				Total
	Canal	Abdominal	Scrotal	Absent	
Orchidopexy	7(41.17%)	7 low (77.77%)	-	-	14(38.88%)
Gonadectomy	10(58.82%)	-	4(100%)	-	14(38.88%)
Exploration only	-	-	-	6	6(16.66%)
1st stage orchidopexy	-	2 high (22.22%)	-	-	2(5.55%)
<b>Total</b>	<b>17(47.22%)</b>	<b>9(25%)</b>	<b>4(11.11%)</b>	<b>6(16.66%)</b>	<b>36(100%)</b>

## Discussion

An impalpable testis represents a complex diagnostic and therapeutic challenge to paediatric surgeon because it is difficult to know the position of the impalpable testis preoperatively by radiological and digital examination. The benefit of radiological investigation in this group of patients with impalpable testes remains controversial. Despite the availability of many options now, it is still commonly believed that none of them can accurately evaluate the position or morphology of the testis, with an estimation of 44% overall accuracy of these radiological investigations<sup>3,4,5</sup>.

There are four possible reasons why the testes are not palpable. First the testes may be situated in the scrotum or inguinal canal but they are very small and there is too much subcutaneous adipose tissue. Second, the open processus vaginalis leading the testes to be in inguinal and intraabdominal position intermittently. Third it is in a true abdominal position and fourth the testes are absent<sup>6,7</sup>.

Consideration must be given as to whether the testis is absent or not. Exploration is indicated because

**Table 3:** Location of testes during exploration

Location of testes	No of testes	Percentage (%)
Inguinal canal	17	47.22
Intraabdominal	9	25
Scrotum	4	11.11
Absent	6	16.66
<b>Total</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>100</b>

**Table 4:** Types of surgery performed

Type of surgery with inguinal exploration	No of testes	Percentage
Orchideopexy	14	38.88
Gonadectomy	14	38.88
Exploration only	6	16.66
1 <sup>st</sup> stage orchidopexy	2	5.55
<b>Total</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>100</b>

retained impalpable testes constitute a significant risk to the patient from mortality secondary to malignant degeneration<sup>8</sup>. Therefore when inguinal exploration does not confirm an impalpable testis, retroperitoneal and/or intraperitoneal exploration is advisable. There is no commonly accepted management protocol regarding when and how to operate according to the position of the testis.

The age at operation is also an important factor to bring the testis successfully to the scrotum for the good outcome. It has been reported that early orchidopexy results in a significantly larger testicular volume at follow-up after 12 months, if the operation is performed at age nine months compared to three years<sup>9</sup>. A recent Scandinavian consensus report on treatment of undescended testes recommends orchidopexy before age one year to preserve spermatogenesis<sup>10</sup>. Our series support this where 11 out of 16(68.75%) impalpable testes undergone orchidopexy under the age of one year with good outcome in early follow-up.

Sixteen (45.71%) patients in our study were operated over 12 months of age. Several reasons

behind this are late referral from our general practitioner paediatrician, geographical situation, and long surgical waiting lists and in some other more important chronic diseases being treated first.

Twentyone impalpable testes in our series were located distal to the internal inguinal ring at exploration. True intra-abdominal testis was only nine among which two were in high and seven low abdominal position. The question arises whether performing routine laparoscopy would have been beneficial or not in this group of patients with impalpable testes in our series. Godbole et al in a recent study reviewed the place of laparoscopy for the impalpable testis. They found that by performing a laparoscopy they would have avoided a negative exploration in 42% of cases<sup>11</sup>. Our study has shown that performing a routine laparoscopy in all cases of impalpable testes leads unnecessary laparoscopies, because all of our impalpable testes were localized through an inguinal and trans-peritoneal exploration incision with only two high intraabdominal cases with extension as a mini-laparotomy. Laparoscopy only allows the surgeon to identify and manipulate the testes found above the internal ring. A dilemma arises when no testes are seen and vas and vessels exiting the ring<sup>12,13</sup>. Twentyone (58.33%) of our cases had testes out of internal ring. Therefore laparoscopy would have been unnecessary in them. Chandrasekharam in his study could not show any advantage of routine laparoscopy over inguinal exploration in patient with impalpable testes<sup>14</sup>.

Six cases with anorchia would have been benefitted by laparoscopy but still they all were diagnosed with a small inguinal approach. Two of our high intraabdominal testes which were done 1<sup>st</sup> stage orchidopexy would have also benefitted but only in regard to extended inguinal scar. Kirsch et. al. reported a large experience with 447 non-palpable testes, all of which were treated through a standard inguinal incision. They concluded that inguinal approach with trans-peritoneal mobilization of vas and vessels is highly successful for the intraabdominal testes<sup>3</sup>. Williams et. al. reported that in 37 of impalpable testes, inguinal exploration was sufficient for deciding

and executing treatment<sup>15</sup>. Adam and Allaway reported good results with inguinal exploration followed by intraperitoneal approach for 110 impalpable testes. They concluded that the advantage of laparoscopy could be achieved by this simple surgery with a favourable cost: benefit ratio<sup>16</sup>.

Gulanikar et al stated that laparoscopic examination for impalpable testis should be considered only when the disorder cannot be diagnosed by inguinal exploration<sup>17</sup>. Our study also support their opinion and advise to perform diagnostic laparoscopic examination only when an impalpable testis is not identified under vision during the inguinal exploration. Laparoscopy increases operative time, anaesthesia time and cost without any significant advantage over open inguinal exploration<sup>19,20,21</sup>.

Inguinal canal in children differs from that in adults. It is clearly shorter, lies in a less oblique plane running in a more anteroposterior direction, and the tissues involved are more elastic. Also the skin and subcutaneous tissues are thinner and more mobile. These paediatric factors allow a degree of surgical freedom of movement and visualization in inguinal with trans-peritoneal exploration for impalpable testes<sup>18,2,22</sup>. This muscle splitting extraperitoneal approach has a low morbidity, allows post-operative regional anaesthesia and a short hospital stay. This technique also preserves the integrity of the inguinal canal. This technique allows optimal exposure of the testes and its blood supply achieving successful orchidopexy<sup>23,24</sup>. Our experience with inguinal approach with intraperitoneal/extraperitoneal exploration made us in conclusion that impalpable testes can be mobilized and brought into the scrotum successfully and safely with excellent results without any procedure related complications.

## Conclusion

Our clinical experience of inguinal approach for the management of impalpable undescended testes is sufficient to mobilize and brought the testes down to scrotum safely with excellent postoperative results.

## References

1. Hueih-ShingHsu. Management of boys with nonpalpable undescended testes. *J Urol* 2012;23(4):103-106. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.urols.2012.10.005>.
2. Hutson JM, Clarke MC. Current management of the undescended testicle. *Semin Pediatr Surg* 2007;16(1):64-70. doi: 10.1053/j.sempedsurg.2006.10.009.
3. Kirsch AJ, Escala J, Duckett JW, Smith GH, Zderic SA, Canning DA and Synder HM. Surgical management of the nonpalpable testis: The Children's hospital of Philadelphia Experience. *J Urol* 1998;159:1340-343.
4. Kanemoto K, Hayashi Y, Kojima Y, Maruyama T, Ito M, Kohri K. Accuracy of ultrasonography and magnetic resonance imaging in the diagnosis of non-palpable testis. *Int J Urol* 2005;12(7): 668-72. doi: 10.1111/j.1442-2042.2005.01102.x

5. Sigrid M. P. Nijs, Sebastiaan W. Eijsbouts, Gerard C. Madern, Paul M. M. Leyman, Maarten H. Lequin and Frans W. J. Hazebroek. Nonpalpable testes: is there a relationship between ultrasonographic and operative findings? *Pediatr Radiol* 2007;37(4):374-379. Doi: 10.1007/s00247-007-0425-1
6. Gearhart JP, Jeffs RD. Diagnostic maneuvers in cryptorchidism. *Semin Urol* 1988;6(2):79-83.
7. Piet RH Callewaert, Mohammad S. Rahnama'i, Bart T. Biallostowski, Philip EV van Kerrebroeck. Scrotal Approach to Both Palpable and Impalpable Undescended Testes: Should It Become Our First Choice? *Urology* 2010;76(1):73-77. doi: 10.1016/j.urology.2009.09.096.
8. Cortes D, Thorup JM, Visfeldt J. Cryptorchidism: aspects of fertility and neoplasms. A study including data of 1,335 consecutive boys who underwent testicular biopsy simultaneously with surgery for cryptorchidism. *Horm Res* 2001;55(1):21-7. https : // doi.org/10.1159/000049959
9. Kollin C, Karpe B, Hesser U, Granholm T, Ritzen EM. Surgical treatment of unilaterally undescended testes: testicular growth after randomization to orchiopexy at age 9 months or 3 years. *J Urol* 2007;178(4Pt2):1589-93. doi:10.1016/j.juro.2007.03.173.
10. Ritzén EM, Bergh A, Bjerknes R, Christiansen P, Cortes D, Haugen SE et. al. Nordic consensus on treatment of undescended testes. *Acta Paediatr* 2007;96(5):638-43. doi:10.1111/j.1651-2227.2006.00159.x
11. Godbole PP, Morecroft JA. Laparoscopy for the impalpable testis. *Br J Surg* 1997;84(10):1430-432. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2168.1997.02817.x
12. Heiss KF and Shandling B. Laparoscopy for the Impalpable Testes: Experience with 53 Testes. *J Pediatr Surg* 1992;27(2):175-78.
13. Gouda Mohamed El-labban. Laparoscopic versus Open Orchiopexy Approach for the Management of Non-Palpable Undescended Testis. *JPSS* 2013;7(4):18-23.
14. Chandrashekharan VV. Laparoscopy vs inguinal exploration for nonpalpable undescendent testes. *Indian J Pediatr.* 2005;72(12):1021-23.
15. Williams EV, Appanna T, Foster ME. Management of the impalpable testes. A six year review together with a national experience. *Postgrad Med J* 2001;77(907):320-322. doi: http : //dx.doi.org/10.1136/pmj.77.907.320
16. Adam AS, Allaway AJ. The difficult orchidopexy: the value of the abdominal pre-peritoneal approach. *BJU Int* 1999;83(3):290-93.
17. Gulanikar AC, Anderson PA, Schwarz R, Giacomantonio M. Impact of diagnostic laparoscopy in the management of the unilateral impalpable testis. *Br J Urol* 1996;77(3):455-57.
18. Kanemoto K et al. The management of of nonpalpable testes with combined groin exploration and subsequent transinguinal laparoscopy. *J Urol* 2002;167:674-76. doi: http : //dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0022-5347(01)69122-5.
19. Singh RR, Rajimwale A, Nour S. Laparoscopic management of impalpable testes :comparison of different techniques. *Pediatr Surg Int* 2011;27(12):1327-30. doi: 10.1007/s00383-011-2970-9
20. Argos Rodriguez MD, Unda Freire, Ruiz Orpez A, Garcia Lorenzo C. Diagnostic and therapeutic laparoscopy for nonpalpable testis. *Surg Endosc* 2003;17(11):1756-758. doi: 10.1007/s00464-002-8592-5
21. Bae KH, Park JS, Jung HJ, Shin HS. Inguinal approach for the management of unilateral non-palpable testis : Is diagnostic laparoscopy necessary?. *J Pediatr Urol* 2014;10(2):233-236. doi: http : //dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jpuro.2013.09.022
22. Youngson GG and Jones PF. Management of the Impalpable Testis: Long-Term Results of the Preperitoneal Approach. *J Pediatr Surg* 1991;26(5):618-20. doi: http : //dx.doi.org/10.1016/0022-3468(91)90722-6.
23. Bianchi A. Management of the impalpable testis, the role of microvascular orchidopexy. *Pediatr Surg Int* 1990;5(1):48-53.
24. King LR. Orchiopexy for impalpable testis: high spermatic vessel division is a safe maneuver. *J Urol* 1998;160(6Pt 2):2457-460.