

# Knowledge, Attitude and Practice Regarding Pharmacovigilance and Consumer Pharmacovigilance among Consumers at Lalitpur District, Nepal

Nisha Jha,<sup>1</sup> Devendra Singh Rathore,<sup>2</sup> Pathiyil Ravi Shankar,<sup>3</sup> Shital Bhandary,<sup>4</sup> Mohammed Alshakka,<sup>5</sup> Sudesh Gyawali<sup>6</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Clinical Pharmacology, KIST Medical College, Lalitpur, Nepal, <sup>2</sup>Department of Pharmacy, NIMS University, Rajasthan, India, <sup>3</sup>Department of Pharmacology, American International Medical University, St. Lucia, caribbean, <sup>4</sup>Department of Community Medicine, Patan Academy of Health Sciences, Lalitpur, Nepal, <sup>5</sup>Department of Pharmaceutics and Clinical Pharmacy, Aden University, Yemen, <sup>6</sup>Department of Clinical Pharmacology, Manipal College of Medical Sciences, Kaski, Nepal

## ABSTRACT

**Background:** Adverse drug reactions (ADRs) can be a big threat to the health of people in Nepal as a variety of medicines are consumed in the country. Involving consumers in pharmacovigilance can strengthen ADR reporting. The study aims to find out knowledge, attitude and practice regarding pharmacovigilance and consumer pharmacovigilance among consumers at Lalitpur district, Nepal

**Methods:** It was carried out in outpatients visiting in KIST Medical College and Teaching Hospital, Lalitpur, Nepal. Participant's knowledge, attitude and practice were measured by noting their agreement with a set of 21 statements along with multiple choice and open ended questions.

**Results:** A total of 157 outpatients were surveyed. The knowledge scores for males (12) was better compared to the females (11), but the scores for attitude and practice were same for both groups. The maximum score for knowledge was 29, attitude was 6 and practice was 10. The overall KAP scores was 45. The total scores for knowledge, attitude and practice for males (24) were better compared to female (22) respondents. Seventy-one patients (68%) who participated in this study favoured establishing a consumer centre for obtaining information about ADRs.

**Conclusions:** Knowledge scores among consumers regarding pharmacovigilance is low and require advocacy and improvement.

**Keywords:** Adverse drug reaction; consumers; reporting systems.

## INTRODUCTION

Adverse drug reactions (ADRs) can be a threat to the health of people in Nepal. There is no mandate to report ADRs by any regulatory authorities in Nepal.<sup>1</sup> Voluntary reporting of ADRs is limited to the healthcare professionals (HCPs) in Nepal.<sup>2</sup> There is no system developed for involving consumers in the system of ADR reporting.<sup>3</sup> The doctor patient ratio in Nepal is not satisfactory.<sup>4</sup> Underreporting remains a big problem worldwide among HCPs, including community pharmacists (CPs).<sup>5-7</sup> The number of retail pharmacies is much greater than the number of health centres.<sup>8</sup> These factors support and encourage self-medication increasing the incidence of ADRs.<sup>9,10</sup> Use of medicines by consumers can be influenced by various factors.<sup>11</sup> Medicine use problems are also evident in

Nepal.<sup>12</sup> Consumer reporting of ADRs is already active in developed countries.<sup>13</sup> Netherlands and Denmark opened their respective national spontaneous reporting systems to the general public in 2003, followed by the United Kingdom (UK) in 2005 and Sweden in 2008.<sup>14-23</sup> Few studies have been done in this area.<sup>24</sup> The main purpose of the study was to evaluate knowledge, attitude and practices of the consumers visiting the out-patient department of KIST Medical College and Teaching Hospital regarding pharmacovigilance.

## METHODS

This cross-sectional study was carried out from May 2015 to September 2015 at KIST Medical College Teaching Hospital, Imadol Village Development Committee (VDC),

**Correspondence:** Dr. Nisha Jha, Department of Clinical Pharmacology and Therapeutics, KIST Medical College, Lalitpur, Nepal. Email: nishajha32@gmail.com, Phone: 9841602808.

Lalitpur district, Nepal. This study was done among individuals visiting various out-patient departments of the institution. Patients visiting the outpatient pharmacy of KIST Medical College Teaching Hospital to purchase medicines after attending the outpatient department of the hospital were selected for this study. A systematic random sampling method was used. Every fifth patient visiting the outpatient pharmacy was interviewed using the questionnaire.

For sample size calculation, we assumed that the knowledge should be about 40% in our population of respondents. This was obtained from the results of the pilot test and also from the literature review.<sup>25</sup> Total sample size needed with provision for drop outs from the study was 157 respondents. Information like gender, age, profession, ethnicity, educational qualifications, and place of residence were noted. Respondents' KAP were measured by using a set of 21 statements using questions with 12 multiple choice questions and nine open ended questions. Respondents were explained about the multi option system while answering the questions. The scores for knowledge items were given 1 for each possible option. Since the questions were with multi option answer, the score for each question depended on the number of options available. Similarly, for attitude questions, positive attitude was scored as one and the negative attitude as 0. Similarly, for practice, the score was calculated by giving one for each possible options from the multiple choice questions. There were eleven statements for assessing knowledge with a maximum possible score of 29 and six statements for attitude with a maximum possible score of six. Similarly, there were four statements for practice and the maximum possible score for practice was 10. The total scores was obtained by adding the 'Knowledge', 'Attitude' and 'Practice' scores. The maximum total score was 45. The median and interquartile range was calculated for total 'Knowledge', and 'Attitude' and 'overall' scores. The questionnaire addressed different aspects of pharmacovigilance and consumer pharmacovigilance. The topics to be included in the questionnaire were developed on the basis of a thorough review of literature and the authors' experience of important issues related to pharmacovigilance in Nepal.<sup>11,23,26</sup>

The questionnaire included questions based on knowledge attitude and practice studies about pharmacovigilance and consumer pharmacovigilance conducted in Malaysia.<sup>11</sup> Manuscripts and published papers describing similar research and methodological issues were also studied.<sup>11,23</sup> After finalizing the statements, these were further discussed with other faculty members of the pharmacology department of KIST Medical College for

their valuable inputs. Inputs were also obtained from other researchers in the field. The questionnaire was pilot tested among ten consumers with regard to readability and their understanding of the content matter. The questionnaire was developed in English, and then translated into Nepali for better understanding of the respondents. The questionnaire was administered to the patients visiting the out-patient department by the researcher. The collected data were analyzed using SPSS version 19.0 for Windows. The knowledge, attitude and total scores were tested for normality of distribution using one sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. The samples were noted not to follow a normal distribution and median was calculated as a measure of central tendency, interquartile range as a measure of variance and non-parametric tests were used for comparison between different subgroups of respondents.

This study was approved by the Institutional Research Committee of KIST Medical College. All participants were informed about the aims and objectives of the study and invited to participate. Written informed consent was obtained from all interested participants.

## RESULTS

Among the 157 respondents surveyed, maximum were females 90 (57.3%) and only 67 (42.7%) were males. Forty-six (73%) respondents were below 20 years of age, and 57 (36.4%) were from the 21-30 years age group. Sixty-nine (44%) respondents were self-employed/business and 64 (40%) were from the Newar ethnic group. With regard to the educational level, 74 (45.8%) respondents had completed bachelor level followed by 56 respondents who had completed intermediate level of education. Table 1 shows the demographics of the respondents who participated in the study.

**Table 1. Demographic characteristics of respondents.**

Characteristic	Number (percentage)
<b>Gender</b>	
Male	67 (42.7)
Female	90 (57.3)
<b>Age</b>	
< 20	73 (46.5)
21-30	57 (36.4)
31-40	21 (13.4)
41-50	4 (2.5)
51-60	2 (1.2)

<b>Profession</b>	
Service	39 (24.8)
Business	69 (44)
Housewives	18 (11.5)
Students	20 (12.7)
Others	11 (7)
<b>Ethnicity</b>	
Brahmin	37 (23.6)
Chetri	38 (24.2)
Newars	64 (40.8)
Janajatis	18 (11.5)
<b>Qualifications</b>	
Below class 10	13 (8.3)
Intermediate	56 (35.7)
Bachelor	75 (47.8)
Masters	13 (8.3)

Table 2 shows the knowledge, attitude and practice scores among different subgroups of respondents. The knowledge scores for males was higher compared to females, but the scores for attitude and practice were the same for both genders. The total KAP scores for males were found to better compared to females. These differences were however, not statistically significant. Similarly, the knowledge scores for respondents of age group below 20 years was higher compared to other age groups. Again, none of these scores were found to significantly different statistically. Overall scores were similar for respondents belonging to different ethnic groups. The knowledge score for respondents having diploma level of education was greater compared to respondents having higher educational levels. Respondents having educational level below class ten and bachelor level were having maximum scores for knowledge and total scores for KAP.

**Table 2. Median scores among different subgroups of respondents.**

Characteristics	Knowledge Scores	P-value	Attitude Scores	P-value	Practice Scores	P-value	Total Scores	P-value
<b>Gender</b>								
Male	12	0.203	6	0.067	5	0.164	24	0.064
Female	11		6		5		22	
<b>Age (in years)</b>								
11-20	17		6		6		29	
21-30	11		6		5		23	
31-40	12	0.148	6	0.136	5	0.370	23	0.152
41-50	12		6		5		22	
51-60	11		6		4		22	
<b>Ethnicity</b>								
Brahmin	11		6		5		23	
Chetri	11	0.143	6		5		22	
Newars	12		6	0.769	5	0.030	23	0.046
Janajatis	11		6		4		22	
							23	
<b>Qualifications</b>								
Below class 10	12		6		6		23	
Intermediate	11	0.562	6	0.986	5	0.360	22	0.547
Bachelor	12		6		5		23	
Masters	11		6		5		22	
<b>Profession</b>								
Service	11		6		5		22	
Business	12	0.013	6	0.001	5	0.040	23	0.032
Housewives	12		6		5		24	
Students	13		6		5		24	
Others	13		6		5		22	

Maximum number of patients who participated in this study were in favour of establishing a consumer centre for providing information on adverse drug reactions. They were also of the opinion that proper and adequate knowledge regarding medicines will help prevent possible ADRs and reduce suffering. Thirty four (22%) consumers opined that there is need for the establishment of consumer pharmacovigilance centre at all the hospitals including KIST Medical College. They also mentioned that establishment of such centers would be more helpful for obtaining information about the adverse effects caused due to medicines.

**Table 3. Common statements by the participants for open ended questions.**

Statements	Number of participants
Information about negative effects as adverse effects due to the use of drug will help people to be aware of these effects.	12
To promote consumers right towards health.	6
Information about medicines can help to manage promptly adverse drug reactions in neighbours.	13
Not having information can lead towards accidents and death.	11
This type of centre for consumers should be created in all the hospitals and not only at KIST.	34
Never used medicine, so no idea.	4
Proper knowledge helps for appropriate utilization of the medicines.	21
To raise awareness and prevent the people from suffering.	13
To prevent from the possible dangerous effects.	12
To get the treatment for different type of ADRs.	14
ADRs can cause death of the patient	5

## DISCUSSION

Consumers should be involved in ADR reporting and

pharmacovigilance as they are important stakeholders in the medicine use process.<sup>27</sup> Encouraging consumer reporting is a vital step which can further strengthen the pharmacovigilance system. Studies have shown the beneficial effects of involving consumers in the ADR reporting process.<sup>26,28</sup> In a developing country like Nepal, ADR reporting by consumers may be important in improving reporting rates and strengthening the pharmacovigilance system.

Many people who consume medicines do not have a proper understanding of ADRs as shown by studies reported in the literature.<sup>26, 28</sup> Only 53.5% of patients had a proper understanding about what is meant by an ADR according to research done in a hospital in Ireland, where only 30% of patients on warfarin identified the risk of bleeding as one of the important ADRs.<sup>29</sup> This low level of awareness can be improved by measures like conducting an awareness program and medicine use campaigns as suggested by a research conducted about the ADRs of statins at Beaumont hospital in Ireland.<sup>28</sup> Many consumers agreed that the purpose of ADR reporting was to use drugs more safely.<sup>27</sup> This reflected that people were having a better understanding about ADRs and hence a better cognition about drug safety. A research done in Dublin showed that the patients were mostly unaware of and inaccurate about the risks associated with their medicines.<sup>27</sup> Very few respondents in the present study (2.54%) knew that the department of drug administration (DDA) is the national pharmacovigilance centre in Nepal. The reason for this low awareness about the national pharmacovigilance centre may be less publicity and awareness programs about pharmacovigilance for the general public. There are only eight regional pharmacovigilance centres in Nepal, among which, many are situated in the capital city Kathmandu. Many laypersons were not aware about the existence of the pharmacovigilance program. One of the reasons may be that no legal document or any act related to drugs contained the term 'pharmacovigilance' earlier, but now, a revised and a new edition of the national health policy contains some terms and operational definitions of ADRs.<sup>30</sup> Till date there is no involvement of consumers in the ADR reporting process in Nepal and it has been solely dependent on reporting by the healthcare professionals.<sup>12</sup>

The respondents' educational level was directly correlated with their understanding about the purpose of the ADR reporting process and about the safe use of medicines among the consumers. This was similar to the observations noted in a study done in China for evaluating the awareness of ADRs and pharmacovigilance among

the healthcare professionals. The results of the Chinese study showed that respondents with a higher level of education were having a greater level of knowledge and awareness about ADRs.<sup>31</sup> A study done in Sri Lanka regarding the general people's awareness about the mass treatment regimen for filariasis revealed that there was no significant association between the area of residence of the participants and their likelihood to report any experience of ADRs.<sup>32</sup>

About 91.8% of consumers agreed that a consumer ADR reporting system should be beneficial for consumers. Reports from the literature have demonstrated that involving consumers will bring many advantages and benefits to the existing pharmacovigilance systems. Participation of consumers in ADR reporting systems may also address the problem of underreporting of ADRs by healthcare professionals.<sup>33,34</sup> Lay persons have few problems with regards to the understanding of the types of ADRs.<sup>35</sup> In the present study, respondents with bachelor level of education had better scores for knowledge and total KAP scores. This result confirms that bachelor level of education have better awareness and perceptions towards ADR reporting. The consumers having diploma (two years of education after ten years of schooling) level of education were also showing higher scores.

Thirteen consumers (8.3%) emphasized that "Information about medicines can help to manage promptly adverse drug reactions in neighbours". This response from consumers is also in accordance with a study done by researchers in 2009 which focused on educating consumers for reporting ADRs and about the process for reporting ADRs.<sup>35</sup> Consumers have a right to obtain proper information about their medicines.<sup>11</sup> These efforts may reduce the suffering due to ADRs.<sup>35</sup> Nearly 14% of consumers in our study stated that "proper knowledge helps in appropriate utilization of medicines."

There were no significant difference in the response to questions and statements among respondents according to gender, age and education. Almost sixty percent of patients stated that they were not being informed about any ADRs which might occur after taking medicines and also many of them opined that they would prefer visiting a doctor for reporting any ADR verbally. These methods will increase the awareness of the possible risks for getting any ADRs and thus the morbidity and mortality rates due to ADRs would be reduced.<sup>29</sup> Thirty four percent of respondents stated that centres should be established for consumers to obtain authentic information regarding ADRs along with the management. Many respondents agreed that there should be an establishment of a

centre to benefit consumers, not only at the study site, i.e., KIST Medical College, but also at each and every hospital in the country for providing them information about medicines. Currently, there are eight regional pharmacovigilance centre in Nepal and most of them are located in Kathmandu. They can also forward the ADR reports from consumers legally to the national pharmacovigilance centre at DDA. This can go along with a better dissemination of this new system development to strengthen the existing system of pharmacovigilance.

## CONCLUSIONS

Knowledge scores among consumers regarding pharmacovigilance is low and require advocacy and improvement. Consumers can be an important pillar in the existing pharmacovigilance system of Nepal. The awareness about the pharmacovigilance and ADR reporting systems for consumers through establishment of consumer pharmacovigilance centre at the hospitals could be an important action.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors take this opportunity to thank all the participants of the study. They also acknowledge the faculty members of the department of pharmacology at KIST Medical College and the management of the college for allowing us to conduct the study in the college area. We also thank to Mr. Padam Kanta Dahal, Demonstrator at Department of Community Medicine, KIST Medical College for his help in manuscript formatting.

---

## REFERENCES

1. Jha N, Rathore DS, Shankar PR, Thapa BB, Bhuju G, Alshakka M. Need for involving consumers in Nepal's pharmacovigilance system. *Australas Med J.* 2014;7:191-5. [[PubMed](#)]
2. Palaian S, Izham MIM, Mishra P. Evaluation of the knowledge, attitude and practices on adverse drug reactions and pharmacovigilance among healthcare professionals in a Nepalese hospital- a preliminary study. *Int J Pharmacol.* 2007;6:1.
3. KC B, Alrasheedy AA, Ibrahim MIM. Do community pharmacists in Nepal have a role in adverse drug reaction reporting systems? *Australas Med J.* 2013;6:100-3. [[PMC free article](#)]
4. Ministry of Health and Population, World Health Organization. Nepal pharmaceutical country profile.

- Kathmandu; 2011. Available from: URL:<http://un.info.np/Net/NeoDocs/View/4794>. Accessed 11 Sept 2015.
5. Harmark L, van Grootheest AC. Pharmacovigilance methods, recent developments and future perspectives. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol.* 2008;64:743–52. [\[PubMed\]](#)
  6. Lopez-Gonzalez E, Herdeiro MT, Figueiras A. Determinants of underreporting of adverse drug reactions: a systematic review. *Drug Saf.* 2009;32:19–31. [\[PubMed\]](#)
  7. Irujo M, Beitia G, Bes-Rastrollo M, Figueiras A, Hernández-Díaz S, Lasheras B. Factors that influence under-reporting of suspected adverse drug reactions among community pharmacists in a Spanish Region. *Drug Saf.* 2007;30:1073-82. [\[PubMed\]](#)
  8. KCB, Subish P, Mohamed IMI. PharmD education in Nepal: the challenges ahead. *Am J Pharm Educ* 2011;75(2):38c. [\[PMC free article\]](#)
  9. Kafle KK, Gartoulla RP, Pradhan YM, Shrestha AD, Karkee SB, Quick JD. Drug retailer training: experiences from Nepal. *Soc Sci Med.* 1992;35:1015-25. [\[PubMed\]](#)
  10. Shankar PR, Partha P, Shenoy N. Self-medication and nondoctor prescription practices in Pokhara valley, Western Nepal: a questionnaire-based study. *BMC Fam Pract.* 2002;3:17. [\[PubMed\]](#)
  11. Ahmed AM, Izham IM, Subish P. Importance of consumers pharmacovigilance system in developing countries: a case of Malaysia. *J of Clin and Diag Res.* 2010 August ;4:2929-35.
  12. Jha N, Rathore DS, Shankar PR, Gyawali S, Alshakka M, Bhandary S. An educational intervention's effect on healthcare professionals' attitude towards pharmacovigilance. *Australas Med J* 2014;7(12):478-89. [\[PubMed\]](#)
  13. Van Hunsel F, Härmark L, Pal S, Olsson S, van Grootheest K. Experiences with adverse drug reaction reporting by patients: an 11-country survey. *Drug Saf.* 2012;35(1):45–60. [\[PubMed\]](#)
  14. Van H. The contribution of direct patient reporting to pharmacovigilance [thesis]. Groningen: Rijksuniversiteit Groningen; 2011.
  15. Avery AJ, Anderson C, Bond CM, Fortnum H, Gifford A, Hannaford PC, et al. Evaluation of patient reporting of adverse drug reactions to the UK 'Yellow Card Scheme': literature review, descriptive and qualitative analyses, and questionnaire surveys. *Health Technol Assess.* 2011;15(20):1–234, iii-iv. [\[PubMed\]](#)
  16. Aagaard L, Nielsen LH, Hansen EH. Consumer reporting of adverse drug reactions: a retrospective analysis of the Danish adverse drug reaction database from 2004 to 2006. *Drug Saf.* 2009;32(11):1067–74. [\[PubMed\]](#)
  17. Durrieu G, Palmaro A, Pourcel L, Caillet C, Faucher A, Jacquet A, et al. First French experience of ADR reporting by patients after a mass immunization campaign with influenza A (H1N1) pandemic vaccines: a comparison of reports submitted by patients and healthcare professionals. *Drug Saf.* 2012;35(10):845–54. [\[PubMed\]](#)
  18. Leone R, Moretti U, D'Incau P, Conforti A, Magro A, Lora R, et al. Effect of pharmacist involvement on patient reporting of adverse drug reactions: first Italian study. *Drug Saf.* 2013;36(4):267–76. [\[PubMed\]](#)
  19. Parretta E, Rafaniello C, Magro L, Coggiola Pittoni A, Sportiello L, Ferrajolo C, et al. Improvement of patient adverse drug reaction reporting through a community pharmacist based intervention in the Campania region of Italy. *Expert Opin Drug Saf.* 2014;13(Suppl 1):S21–9. [\[PubMed\]](#)
  20. Vilhelmsson A. A pill for the ill? Depression, medicalization and public health [thesis]. Lund University; 2014. 15. Margraff F, Bertram D. Adverse drug reaction reporting by patients: an overview of fifty countries. *Drug Saf.* 2014;37(6):409–19.
  21. Esther Salgueiro M, Jimeno FJ, Aguirre C, García M, Ordóñez L, Manso G. Direct reporting by patients of adverse drug reactions in Spain [in Spanish]. *Farm Hosp.* 2013;37(1):65–71. [\[PubMed\]](#)
  22. Kubota K, Okazaki M, Dobashi A, Yamamoto M, Hashiguichi M, Horie A, et al. Temporal relationship between multiple drugs and multiple events in patient reports on adverse drug reactions: findings in a pilot study in Japan. *Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf.* 2013;22(10):1134–7. [\[PubMed\]](#)
  23. Herxheimer A, Crombag R, Alves TL. Direct patient reporting of adverse drug reactions; a fifteen-country survey and literature review. *Health Action International Europe*; 2010.
  24. Jha N, Bajracharya O, Shankar P. Knowledge, attitude and practice towards medicines among school teachers in Lalitpur district, Nepal before and after an educational intervention. *BMC Public Health.* 2013,13:652. [\[PubMed\]](#)
  25. Praveen S, Prakash RJ, Manjunath GN, Gautham MS, Kumar N. Adverse drug reaction reporting among medical and dental practitioners: a KAP study. *Indian J of Med Specialties.* 2013;4:10-5.

26. Blenkinsopp A, Wilkie P, Wang M, Routledge PA. Patient reporting of suspected adverse drug reactions: a review of published literature and international experience. *Br J Clin Pharmacol.* 2007;63:148-56. [\[PubMed\]](#)
27. Shrestha NP, Ludeman E. "Safety of Medicinal Products in Nepal: Pharmacovigilance Assessment and Performance." Submitted to the US Agency for International Development by the Systems for Improved Access to Pharmaceuticals and Services (SIAPS) Program, Management Sciences for Health, Arlington, VA, USA. 2013.
28. Van Hunsel F, Passier A, van Grootheest K. Comparing patients' and healthcare professionals' ADR reports after media attention: the broadcast of a Dutch television programme about the benefits and risks of statins as an example. *Br J Clin Pharmacol.* 2009;67:558-64. [\[PubMed\]](#)
29. Cullen G, Kelly E, Murray FE. Patients' knowledge of adverse reactions to current medications. *Br J Clin Pharmacol.* 2006;62:232-6. [\[PubMed\]](#)
30. Shrestha IB, Pathak LR. Review of National Health Policy 1991. Nepal: Ministry of Health and Population (MoHP) and NHSSP; 2012.
31. Xu H, Wang Y, Liu N. A hospital-based survey of healthcare professionals in the awareness of pharmacovigilance. *Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf.* 2009;18:624-30. [\[PubMed\]](#)
32. Jarensiripornkul N, Chaisrisawadsuk S, Chaiyakum A, Kraska J. Patient self-reporting of potential adverse drug reactions to non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs in Thailand. *Pharm World Sci.* 2009;31:559-64. [\[PubMed\]](#)
33. Lopez-Gonzalez E, Herdeiro MT, Figueiras A. Determinants of under-reporting of adverse drug reactions: a systematic review. *Drug Saf.* 2009;32:19-31. [\[PubMed\]](#)
34. Fernandopulle RB, Weerasuriya K. What can consumer adverse drug reaction reporting add to existing health professional-based systems? Focus on the developing world. *Drug Saf.* 2003;26:219-25. [\[PubMed\]](#)
35. Wirtz V, Taxis K, Dreser A. Pharmacy customers' knowledge of side effects of purchased medicines in Mexico. *Trop Med and Int Health.* 2009;14:93-100. [\[PubMed\]](#)