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#### Abstract

We exhibit that the Singular Value Decomposition of a matrix $A_{n x m}$ implies a natural full-rank factorization of the matrix $A$.
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## 1. Introduction

Let's consider a matrix $A_{n x m}$ such that rank $A=p$, then its full-rank factorization means the existence of matrices $F_{n x p}$ and $G_{p x m}$ with the properties [1]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=F G, \quad \operatorname{rank} F=\operatorname{rank} G=p ; \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

then in Section 2, we show that the Singular Value Decomposition (SVD) [3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12] gives a natural full-rank factorization of $A$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n x m}=U_{n x p} W_{p x m}, \quad \operatorname{Col} A=\operatorname{Col} U \quad \& \quad \text { Row } A=\operatorname{Row} W . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 2. SVD and Full-rank Factorization

For any real matrix $A_{n x m}$, Lanczos $[9,10]$ introduces the Jordan matrix $[5,11,13]$ :

$$
S_{(n+m) x(n+m)}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & A  \tag{3}\\
A^{T} & 0
\end{array}\right),
$$

and he studies the eigenvalue problem:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S \vec{\omega}=\lambda \vec{\omega}, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the proper values are real because $S$ is a real symmetric matrix. Besides:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{rank} A \equiv p=\text { Number of positive eigenvalues of } S \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that $1 \leq p \leq \min (n, m)$. Then the singular values or canonical multipliers follow the scheme:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{p},-\lambda_{1},-\lambda_{2}, \ldots,-\lambda_{p}, 0,0, \ldots, 0 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is, $\lambda=0$ has the multiplicity $n+m-2 p$. Only in the case $p=n=m$ can occur the absence of the null eigenvalue.

The proper vectors of $S$, named 'essential axes' by Lanczos, can be written in the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{\omega}_{(n+m) x 1}=\binom{\vec{u}}{\vec{v}}_{m}^{n}, \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

then (3) and (4) imply the Modified Eigenvalue Problem:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n x m} \vec{v}_{m x 1}=\lambda \vec{u}_{n x 1}, \quad A_{m x n}^{T} \vec{u}_{n x 1}=\lambda \vec{v}_{m x 1} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

hence:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{T} A \vec{v}=\lambda^{2} \vec{v}, \quad A A^{T} \vec{u}=\lambda^{2} \vec{u} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

with special interest in the associated independent vectors with the positive eigenvalues because they permit to introduce the matrices:

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{n x p}=\left(\vec{u}_{1}, \vec{u}_{2}, \ldots, \vec{u}_{p}\right), \quad V_{m x p}=\left(\vec{v}_{1}, \vec{v}_{2}, \ldots, \vec{v}_{p}\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

verifying $U^{T} U=V^{T} V=I_{p x p}$ because:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{u}_{j} \cdot \vec{u}_{k}=\vec{v}_{j} \cdot \vec{v}_{k}=\delta_{j k} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

therefore $\vec{\omega}_{j} \cdot \vec{\omega}_{k}=2 \delta_{j k}, j, k=1,2, \ldots, p$. Thus, the SVD express $[7,8,9,10,14]$ that $A$ is the product of three matrices:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n x m}=U_{n x p} \Lambda_{p x p} V_{p x m}^{T}, \quad \Lambda=\operatorname{Diag}\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{p}\right) . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

This relation tells that in the construction of $A$ we do not need information about the null proper value; the information from $\lambda=0$ is important to study the existence and uniqueness of the solutions for a linear system associated to $A$.

The expression (12) is a natural full-rank factorization of $A$ because it has the structure (2) with $U_{n x p}$ given by (10) and:

$$
W_{p x m}=\Lambda_{p x p} V_{p x m}^{T}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\lambda_{1} \vec{v}_{1}^{T}  \tag{13}\\
\vdots \\
\lambda_{p} \vec{v}_{p}^{T}
\end{array}\right)
$$

then it is clear that $\operatorname{Col} U=\operatorname{Col} A \& R \operatorname{Row} W=\operatorname{Row} V^{T}=\operatorname{Col} V=\operatorname{Row} A$, in according with (2), therefore the columns of $A$ are all linear combinations of the columns of $U$, and the rows of $A$ are all linear combinations of the rows of $W$. In [2], there is the factorization of (1) for the particular case $n=m$ and $p<n$, that is, for singular square matrices.

## 3. Conclusion

Our approach shows that the Singular Value Decomposition gives a natural full-rank factorization for an arbitrary matrix, which is useful to determine the Moore-Penrose pseudoinverse [1, 10, 14].
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