

PROPERTIES OF WEIGHT FUNCTION

Santosh Ghimire¹, Pushpa Narayan Shrestha²

^{1,2}Department of Engineering Science and Humanities, IOE, Pulchowk Campus, T.U.

¹Email Address: santoshghimire@ioe.edu.np

²Email Address: pn.shrestha2028@gmail.com

Abstract

In this paper, we revisit some of the well known properties of weight function and finally show that if $w_1 \in A_{p_1}$ and $w_2 \in A_{p_2}$ where $p_1, p_2 \in [1, \infty)$ and $p = \max(p_1, p_2)$, then we show that sum function $w_1 + w_2 \in A_p$. Moreover, we establish that $[w_1 + w_2]_{A_p} \leq [w_1]_{A_{p_1}} + [w_2]_{A_{p_2}}$.

Key words: A_1 weight function, Maximal functions, A_p weight function.

1. Introduction

Vector-valued inequalities, extrapolation theory and estimates for certain class of nonlinear differential equation are some of the areas where one can see application of theory of weights. In addition to this, we also find the application of weight theory in the boundary value problems for Laplace's equation in Lipschitz domains. Muckenhoupt's characterization of positive functions w for which the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator M maps $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, w(x)dx)$ to itself, actually gave the better understanding of theory of weighted inequalities which then led to the introduction of A_p class and then class (A_p, A_p) and consequently the development of weighted inequalities. Weighted inequalities are also used widely in harmonic analysis. Readers are suggested [2], [3], [4], [5] and [6] for the theory of weighted inequalities, properties of weights and more.

In order to establish our result, some definitions are in order.

Definition: The uncentered Hardy-Littlewood maximal operators on \mathbb{R}^n over balls B is defined as

$$M(f)(x) = \sup_{x \in B} \text{Avg}_B |f| = \sup_{x \in B} \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |f(y)| dy.$$

Similarly the uncentered Hardy-Littlewood maximal operators on \mathbb{R}^n over cubes Q is defined as

$$M(f)(x) = \sup_{x \in Q} \text{Avg}_Q |f| = \sup_{x \in Q} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f(y)| dy.$$

In each of the definition above, the suprema are taken over all balls B and cubes Q containing the point x . H-L maximal functions are widely used in Harmonic Analysis. For the details about the H-L maximal operators, see Grafakos (1991) and Banelosand Moore (1991).

Definition: A locally integrable function on \mathbb{R}^n that takes values in the interval $(0, \infty)$ almost everywhere is called a weight. So by definition a weight function can be zero or infinity only on a set whose Lebesgue measure is zero.

We use the notation $w(E) = \int_E w(x) dx$ to denote the w -measure of the set E and we reserve the notation $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, w)$ or $L^p(w)$ for the weighted L^p spaces. We note that $w(E) < \infty$ for all sets E contained in some ball since the weights are locally integrable functions.

Definition: A function $w(x) \geq 0$ is called an A_1 weight if there is a constant $C_1 > 0$ such that

$$M(w)(x) \leq C_1 w(x)$$

where $M(w)$ is uncentered Hardy-Littlewood Maximal function given by

$$M(w)(x) = \sup_{x \in B} \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B w(t) dt.$$

If w is an A_1 weight, then the quantity (which is finite) given by

$$[w]_{A_1} = \sup_{Q \text{ cubes in } \mathbb{R}^n} \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |w(t)| dt \right) \|w^{-1}\|_{L^\infty(Q)}$$

is called the A_1 Muckenhoupt characteristic constant of w or simply A_1 characteristic constant of w .

Definition: Let $1 < p < \infty$. A weight w is said to be of class A_p if $[w]_{A_p}$ is finite where $[w]_{A_p}$ is defined as

$$[w]_{A_p} = \sup_{Q \text{ cubes in } \mathbb{R}^n} \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |w(x)| dx \right) \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |w(x)|^{\frac{-1}{p-1}} dx \right)^{p-1}.$$

Here $[w]_{A_p}$ is known as A_p Muckenhoupt characteristic constant of w or simply A_p characteristic constant of w . We remark that in the above definition of A_1 and A_p one can also use set of all balls in \mathbb{R}^n instead of all cubes in \mathbb{R}^n . Next we define class (A_p, A_p) .

Let $1 < p < \infty$. A pair of weights (u, w) is said to be of class (A_p, A_p) if the quantity $[u, w]_{(A_p, A_p)}$ given by

$$[u, w]_{(A_p, A_p)} = \sup_{Q \text{ cubes in } \mathbb{R}^n} \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q u dx \right) \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w^{\frac{-1}{p-1}} dx \right)^{p-1}$$

is finite and the quantity $[u, w]_{(A_p, A_p)}$ is called the (A_p, A_p) characteristic constant of the pair u and w . One can easily show that for any $L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ function f with $0 < f < \infty$ a.e. and its Hardy-Littlewood Maximal function $M(f)$, the pair $(f, M(f))$ is of class (A_p, A_p) for every $1 < p < \infty$ with its characteristics constant independent of f .

Now we state some properties related to weight function:

Property 1: Let k be a nonnegative measurable function such that k, k^{-1} are in $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then if w is an A_p for some $1 \leq p < \infty$, then kw is also an A_p weight function.

Property 2: Suppose that w is in A_p for some $p \in [1, \infty]$ and $0 < \delta < 1$. Then w^δ belongs to A_q where $q = \delta p + 1 - \delta$. Moreover, $[w^\delta]_{A_p} \leq [w]_{A_p}^\delta$.

Property 3: Show that if the A_p characteristics constants of a weight w are uniformly bounded for all $p > 1$, then w is in A_1 .

Please refer [4] for the proof of above properties.

Property 4: Let w_1 and w_2 be two A_1 weights and let $1 < p < \infty$. Then $w_1 w_2^{1-p}$ is an A_p weight and $[w_1 w_2^{1-p}]_{A_p} \leq [w_1]_{A_1} [w_2]_{A_1}^{p-1}$.

Please refer [6] for the proof.

Property 5: Let $w_0 \in A_{p_0}$ and $w_1 \in A_{p_1}$ for some $1 \leq p_0, p_1 < \infty$. Let $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$ and define $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1-\theta}{p_0} + \frac{\theta}{p_1}$ and $w^{\frac{1}{p}} = w_0^{\frac{1-\theta}{p_0}} w_1^{\frac{\theta}{p_1}}$. Then w is in A_p showing that $[w]_{A_p} \leq [w_0]_{A_{p_0}}^{(1-\theta)\frac{p}{p_0}} [w_1]_{A_{p_1}}^{\frac{\theta p}{p_1}}$.

Please refer [6] for the proof.

Property 6: Suppose that weight $w_j \in A_{p_j}$ with $1 \leq j \leq m$ for some $1 \leq p_1, \dots, p_m < \infty$ and let $0 < \theta_1, \dots, \theta_m < 1$ be such that $\sum_{j=1}^m \theta_j = 1$. We then show that the product function given by

$$W := \prod_{j=1}^m w_j^{\theta_j}$$

is an A_p weight function where p is the maximum value of p_1, \dots, p_m .

Please refer [5] for the proof.

Property 7: For this let w is an A_p weight function for some $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $k \geq 1$. We first show that $\min(w, k)$ is in A_p and satisfies the inequality

$$[\min(w, k)]_{A_p} \leq c_p ([w]_{A_p} + 1)$$

where $c_p = 1$ when $p \leq 2$ and $c_p = 2^{p-2}$ when $p > 2$.

Please refer [3] for the proof.

To the above list we now add a property related to weight function. We begin with the statement:

Property: Let $w_1 \in A_{p_1}$ and $w_2 \in A_{p_2}$ where $p_1, p_2 \in [1, \infty)$. If $p = \max(p_1, p_2)$, then we show that sum function $w_1 + w_2 \in A_p$. Moreover, we establish that $[w_1 + w_2]_{A_p} \leq [w_1]_{A_{p_1}} + [w_2]_{A_{p_2}}$.

Let Q be a fixed cube in R^n . Let us use $\|f\|_{L^r(Q)}$ to denote $\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f|^r dt\right)^{\frac{1}{r}}$. Since $w_1, w_2 \geq 0$, it follows that $\frac{1}{w_1 + w_2} \leq \min\left(\frac{1}{w_1}, \frac{1}{w_2}\right)$. This gives:

$$\left\| \frac{1}{w_1 + w_2} \right\|_{L^{\frac{1}{p-1}}(Q)} \leq \min\left(\left\| \frac{1}{w_1} \right\|_{L^{\frac{1}{p-1}}(Q)}, \left\| \frac{1}{w_2} \right\|_{L^{\frac{1}{p-1}}(Q)} \right) \text{-----(1)}$$

Now since, $p = \max(p_1, p_2)$, we have $\frac{1}{p-1} = \min\left(\frac{1}{p_1-1}, \frac{1}{p_2-1}\right)$ and therefore we have

$$\left\| \frac{1}{w_k} \right\|_{L^{\frac{1}{p-1}}(Q)} \leq \left\| \frac{1}{w_k} \right\|_{L^{\frac{1}{p_k-1}}(Q)} \text{-----(2)}$$

for $k=1,2$ since the function $t \rightarrow \|f\|_{L^t(Q)}$ is increasing.

Now combining (1) and (2) we have

$$\left\| \frac{1}{w_1 + w_2} \right\|_{L^{\frac{1}{p-1}}(Q)} \leq m \text{----- (3)}$$

where $m = \min\left(\left\| \frac{1}{w_1} \right\|_{L^{\frac{1}{p_1-1}}(Q)}, \left\| \frac{1}{w_2} \right\|_{L^{\frac{1}{p_2-1}}(Q)} \right)$. Finally, (3) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\|w_1 + w_2\|_{L^1(Q)} \left\| \frac{1}{w_1 + w_2} \right\|_{L^{p-1}(Q)} &\leq [\|w_1\|_{L^1(Q)} + \|w_2\|_{L^1(Q)}] m = \|w_1\|_{L^1(Q)} m + \|w_2\|_{L^1(Q)} m \\
&\leq \|w_1\|_{L^1(Q)} \left\| \frac{1}{w_1} \right\|_{L^{p_1-1}(Q)} + \|w_2\|_{L^1(Q)} \left\| \frac{1}{w_2} \right\|_{L^{p_2-1}(Q)} \\
&\leq \sup_{Q \text{ cubes in } \mathbb{R}^n} \|w_1\|_{L^1(Q)} \left\| \frac{1}{w_1} \right\|_{L^{p_1-1}(Q)} + \sup_{Q \text{ cubes in } \mathbb{R}^n} \|w_2\|_{L^1(Q)} \left\| \frac{1}{w_2} \right\|_{L^{p_2-1}(Q)} \\
&= [w_1]_{A_{p_1}} + [w_2]_{A_{p_2}}
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we have $\sup_{Q \text{ cubes in } \mathbb{R}^n} \|w_1 + w_2\|_{L^1(Q)} \left\| \frac{1}{w_1 + w_2} \right\|_{L^{p-1}(Q)} \leq [w_1]_{A_{p_1}} + [w_2]_{A_{p_2}}$.

This gives $[w_1 + w_2]_{A_p} \leq [w_1]_{A_{p_1}} + [w_2]_{A_{p_2}}$.

This proves the property.

References

1. Ba~nelos R. and Moore C.N (1991), “*Probabilistic Behavior of Harmonic Functions*”, BirkhauserVerlag, USA.
2. Ghimire S. (2014), “*Two Different Ways to Show a Function is an A_1 Weight Function*”, The Nepali Mathematical Sciences Report, Volume 33(1).
3. Ghimire S. (2014), “*Weighted Inequality*”, Journal of Institute of Engineering, Volume10(1).
4. Ghimire S. (2014), “*Some Properties of A_p weight functions*”, Journal of Institute of Engineering, Volume 12(1).
5. GrafakosLoukas (2009), “*Modern Fourier Analysis*”, Second Edition, Springer.
6. Parajuli V. and Ghimire S. (2015), “*Product of A_p weight functions*”, Journal of Institute of Engineering, Volume 11(1).
7. Parajuli V. and Ghimire S. (2015), “*Properties related to weight functions*”, Journal of Advanced College of Engineering ,Vol.3, (2017)