



## Research Article

# Genetic Variability and Path Analysis Studies in Barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.)

Mohammad Quamrul Islam Matin<sup>1\*</sup>, Mohammad Amiruzzaman<sup>1</sup>, Md. Motasim Billah<sup>1</sup>,  
Most. Bilkis Banu<sup>2</sup>, Nazmun Naher<sup>3</sup>, Dilwar Ahmed Choudhury<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Plant Breeding Division, Bangladesh Agricultural Research Institute (BARI), Gazipur-1701, Bangladesh

<sup>2</sup>Soil Science Division, Bangladesh Agricultural Research Institute (BARI), Gazipur-1701, Bangladesh

<sup>3</sup>Pulse Research Sub-Station, Bangladesh Agricultural Research Institute (BARI), Gazipur-1701, Bangladesh

<sup>4</sup>Director General's Office, Bangladesh Agricultural Research Institute (BARI), Gazipur-1701, Bangladesh

### Abstract

The present study was carried out to investigate the correlation coefficient, path analysis and genetic variability among some barley varieties for nine characters in a Randomize Block Design (RBD) with three replications in three environments of Bangladesh. High genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV) was obtained from grain/ spike (29.89 %), yield/ plant (28.72%) and effective tiller/plant (21.86 %) and spike length (13.56 %). The characters with high GCV indicated high potential for selection. The highest heritability (Hb) was observed for 1000 seed weight (95.09) followed by yield/ plant (93.98), grain/ spike (92.09) and spike length (69.93), days to heading (72.65) but the lowest Hb was identified for effective tiller/plant (22.41) followed by the plant height (34.21). Those traits with higher heritability may be considered for selection. Grain/ spike had the highest positive direct effect (5.65) on yield followed by 1000 seed weight (4.65), spike length (1.26), yield/ plant (0.66), days to heading (0.55) and days to maturity (0.34). These parameters were identified as direct selection. Direct negative effect on yield was shown by plant height (-0.32) and effective tiller/plant (-0.74). This was an indication of indirect selection.

**Keywords:** Genetic Variability; Path Co-Efficient; Barley

### Introduction

Barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.,  $2n=2x=14$ ) is a cereal grain that was domesticated 10,000 years ago in the fertile crescent indicated by different archaeological evidence. Among the cereals, barley currently ranks fourth after wheat, maize and rice in terms of total production which has an early maturation and a high level of adaptability to stress environment. It serves as a major animal fodder, as base

malt for beer and certain distilled beverages and as a component of various healthy foods.

In many countries of the world it is grown with less care and input in stress environment due to its hardiness. The degree of association between yield and other metric traits is considered for improving yield in breeding programme.

### Cite this article as:

M.Q.I. Matin et al. (2019) Int. J. Appl. Sci. Biotechnol. Vol 7(2): 243-247. DOI: [10.3126/ijasbt.v7i2.24635](https://doi.org/10.3126/ijasbt.v7i2.24635)

### \*Corresponding author

Mohammad Quamrul Islam Matin,  
Plant Breeding Division, Bangladesh Agricultural Research Institute (BARI), Gazipur-1701, Bangladesh  
Email: [quamrul\\_islam76@yahoo.com](mailto:quamrul_islam76@yahoo.com)

Peer reviewed under authority of IJASBT

© 2019 International Journal of Applied Sciences and Biotechnology



This is an open access article & it is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License  
(<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>)

As yield is complex and dependent character, and influenced by many independent characters so, improvement in yield depends upon the improvement of its component characters. The correlation coefficient ensures the degree of association, genetic or non-genetic relationship between two or more characters that forms the basis for selection (Shrimali *et al.*, 2017).

The nature of complex interrelationships among yield components determined by path coefficient and correlation analyses and widely used by plant breeders. Knowledge derived in this way could be used to develop selection criteria to improve crop yield in relation to agricultural practices (Board *et al.*, 1997; Finne *et al.*, 2000; Gravois and McNew, 1993; Samonte *et al.*, 1998 and Sinebo, 2002). Considering the above importance, the present study was conducted to assess the relationship between grain yield and other morphological traits and also identifying the direct and indirect effects on yield.

## Materials and Method

The experiment was conducted at three locations of Bangladesh namely Gazipur, Jamalpur and Ishurdi during *rabi* 2016-17. Four hull-less barley lines *viz.* INBONL-21/15, INBONL-40/15, Esmaradla M-104/12 and Atabapha12 along with one check variety BARI Barley -7 were evaluated in this study. The trials were laid out in RCB design with three replications. Seeds of each entry were sown in 5m × 2m plot with 25 cm row spacing and continuous sowing. Seeds were sown at Gazipur on 9 December, Jamalpur on 19 December and Ishurdi on 1<sup>st</sup> December. Thinning was done three weeks after date of sowing. Fertilizers were applied at the rate of 100, 60 and 40 kg of N, P, and K per hectare respectively. All

intercultural operations were done in time to raise the crop uniformly. Ten plants from each plot were selected randomly to record data of days to heading, days to maturity, plant height, effective tiller per plant, spike length, grain per spike, 1000 seed weight, grain yield per plant and yield per plot. All the data were processed and analyzed according to Sheoran *et al.* (1998).

## Results and Discussion

Mean sum of squares from pooled analysis revealed significant effects for yield. Most of the characters showed significant treatment effects indicating that the genotypes were differed for those characters indicating the sufficient variability among them (Table 1).

The highest  $\sigma^2g$  (686.38) and  $\sigma^2p$  (706.04) variance were found for grain/ spike (G/S) (Table 2). The lowest magnitude  $\sigma^2g$  (0.04),  $\sigma^2p$  (0.07) variance were observed in plot/yield (kg). High genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV) were obtained from grain/ spike (29.89 %), yield/ plant (28.72%) and effective tiller/plant (21.86 %) and spike length (13.56 %). The characters with high GCV indicated higher potential for selection. Some traits with high GCV observed in *B juncea* for selection (Alam *et al.*, 1988).

The highest phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV) were observed in effective tiller/plant (46.18%) followed by grain/ spike (31.14%) and yield/ plant (29.62%) the lowest in days to maturity (1.90%).

The difference between GCV and PCV of yield indicating the influence of environment on it. Most of the traits showed high heritability which revealed lower influence of environment also observed in the study of Begum *et al.* (2016).

**Table 1:** Pooled analysis of variance using nine characters in barley

| S.V.        | d.f | DH      | DM   | PH    | ET/P  | SL     | G/S      | TSW     | Y/P     | Yld/plot |
|-------------|-----|---------|------|-------|-------|--------|----------|---------|---------|----------|
| Replication | 2   | 1.07    | 3.47 | 27.78 | 1.06  | 0.24   | 27.47    | 1.03    | 8.45    | 0.09     |
| Treatment   | 4   | 30.50** | 5.10 | 57.58 | 11.72 | 3.41** | 706.04** | 31.92** | 30.24** | 0.14*    |
| Error       | 8   | 3.40    | 2.30 | 22.50 | 6.28  | 0.43   | 19.67    | 0.54    | 0.63    | 0.03     |

\* indicates significant at 5% level and \*\* indicates significant at 1% level

Days to heading (DH), Days to maturity (DM), Plant height (PH)(cm), Effective tiller/plant (ET/P), Spike length (SL), (cm), Grain/ Spike (G/S), 1000 seed weight (TSW), Yield/ Plant (Y/P) (g), Plot yield (kg)

**Table 2:** Estimates of genetic parameters for nine characters in barley

| Characters | $\sigma^2g$ | $\sigma^2p$ | GCV (%) | PCV (%) | Hb (%) | GA    | GAPM  |
|------------|-------------|-------------|---------|---------|--------|-------|-------|
| DH         | 9.03        | 12.43       | 5.74    | 6.74    | 72.65  | 5.28  | 10.08 |
| DM         | 0.93        | 3.23        | 1.02    | 1.90    | 28.87  | 1.07  | 1.13  |
| PH         | 11.70       | 34.19       | 3.94    | 6.74    | 34.21  | 4.12  | 4.75  |
| ET/P       | 5.44        | 11.72       | 21.86   | 46.18   | 22.41  | 1.31  | 21.32 |
| SL         | 0.94        | 1.42        | 13.56   | 16.21   | 69.93  | 1.72  | 23.36 |
| G/S        | 686.38      | 706.04      | 29.89   | 31.14   | 92.09  | 29.90 | 59.08 |
| TSW        | 31.38       | 31.92       | 7.81    | 8.01    | 95.09  | 6.50  | 15.69 |
| Y/P        | 9.87        | 10.50       | 28.72   | 29.62   | 93.98  | 6.27  | 57.35 |
| Yld/plot   | 0.04        | 0.07        | 7.42    | 10.02   | 54.79  | 0.29  | 11.31 |

$\sigma^2g$ ,  $\sigma^2p$  - Genotypic variance and phenotypic variance, GCV, PCV- Genotypic and phenotypic coefficient of variation, Hb- Heritability, GA- Genetic advance, GAPM- Genetic advance as percentage of mean

Days to heading (DH), Days to maturity (DM), Plant height (PH)(cm), Effective tiller/plant (ET/P), Spike length (SL), (cm), Grain/ Spike (G/S), 1000 grain weight (TSW), Yield/ Plant (Y/P) (g), Plot yield (kg)

The highest Hb was observed for 1000 seed weight (95.09) followed by yield/ plant (93.98), grain/ spike (92.09) and spike length (69.93), days to heading (72.65) but the lowest Hb identified for effective tiller/plant (22.41) followed by the plant height (34.21). The higher values of heritability of aforementioned traits were considered for selection, supported by Ali et al. (2012) and Moulin et al. (2009).

The highest GA was reported in grain/ spike (29.90) followed by 1000 seed weight (6.50), yield/plant (6.27), days to heading (5.28). The highest GA in grain/ spike resulted to the highest GAPM (59.08).

Five traits such as 1000 seed weight, yield/ plant, days to heading, spike length and yield/ plot showed higher heritability associated with low genetic advance indicated nonadditive gene action. It was also shown by Begum et al. (2016) and Matin et al. (2017). But the character grain/ spike had high Hb value along with high GA suggesting additive gene effects. It was also reported by Panse (1957).

**Genotypic and Phenotypic Correlation Coefficients with Yield**

The genotypic (upper diagonal) and phenotypic (lower diagonal) correlation coefficients for all nine traits were presented (Table 3). The yield had the highest positive genotypic ( $r_g$ ) and phenotypic ( $r_p$ ) correlation coefficient with grain/ spike ( $r_g=0.84^{**}$ ,  $r_p=0.68^{**}$ ). Grafius (1964) showed grains/spikes, spike number/m<sup>2</sup> and kernel weight /spike determined the yield of barley. Shrimali et al.(2017), Singh et al. (1987), Carpici and Celik (2012) mentioned spike length had positive correlation with yield. In many case, genotypic correlation recorded higher than phenotypic correlation which revealed that the association was due to genetic reason (strong coupling phase) (Sharma ,1988).

Negative significant correlation was found in plant height with yield ( $r_g=-1.00^{**}$  and  $r_p=-0.64^{**}$ ), yield/ plant ( $r_g=-1.00^{**}$  and  $r_p=-0.72^{**}$ ) and 1000 seed weight ( $r_g=-0.92^{**}$  and  $r_p=-0.64^{**}$ ) at both genotypic and phenotypic levels.

**Table 3:** Genotypic (upper diagonal) and phenotypic (lower diagonal) correlation with yield

|        | DH                  | DM                  | PH                  | ET/P                | SL                  | G/S                 | TSW                 | Y/P                 | Y/plot              |
|--------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| DH     |                     | 1.00 <sup>**</sup>  | 0.70 <sup>**</sup>  | 0.65 <sup>**</sup>  | 0.06 <sup>NS</sup>  | -0.50 <sup>*</sup>  | 0.39 <sup>NS</sup>  | 0.37 <sup>NS</sup>  | -0.38 <sup>NS</sup> |
| DM     | 0.505 <sup>*</sup>  |                     | 0.66 <sup>**</sup>  | 1.00 <sup>**</sup>  | 0.79 <sup>**</sup>  | -0.37 <sup>NS</sup> | 0.17 <sup>NS</sup>  | 0.19 <sup>NS</sup>  | -0.09 <sup>NS</sup> |
| PH     | 0.48 <sup>*</sup>   | 0.22 <sup>NS</sup>  |                     | 1.00 <sup>**</sup>  | 0.43 <sup>NS</sup>  | -1.00 <sup>**</sup> | 1.00 <sup>**</sup>  | 1.00 <sup>**</sup>  | -1.00 <sup>**</sup> |
| ET/P   | 0.13 <sup>NS</sup>  | -0.27 <sup>NS</sup> | 0.46 <sup>NS</sup>  |                     | 0.09 <sup>NS</sup>  | -1.00 <sup>**</sup> | 1.00 <sup>**</sup>  | 1.00 <sup>**</sup>  | -1.00 <sup>**</sup> |
| SL     | -0.07 <sup>NS</sup> | 0.03 <sup>NS</sup>  | 0.10 <sup>NS</sup>  | 0.47 <sup>NS</sup>  |                     | -0.52 <sup>*</sup>  | 0.27 <sup>NS</sup>  | 0.17 <sup>NS</sup>  | -0.19 <sup>NS</sup> |
| G/S    | -0.44 <sup>NS</sup> | -0.36 <sup>NS</sup> | -0.68 <sup>**</sup> | -0.47 <sup>NS</sup> | -0.29 <sup>NS</sup> |                     | -0.98 <sup>**</sup> | -0.96 <sup>**</sup> | 0.84 <sup>**</sup>  |
| TSW    | 0.30 <sup>NS</sup>  | 0.13 <sup>NS</sup>  | 0.71 <sup>**</sup>  | 0.62 <sup>**</sup>  | 0.21 <sup>NS</sup>  | -0.94 <sup>**</sup> |                     | 1.00 <sup>**</sup>  | -0.92 <sup>**</sup> |
| Y/P    | 0.36 <sup>NS</sup>  | 0.04 <sup>NS</sup>  | 0.61 <sup>**</sup>  | 0.64 <sup>**</sup>  | 0.14 <sup>NS</sup>  | -0.89 <sup>**</sup> | 0.94 <sup>**</sup>  |                     | -1.00 <sup>**</sup> |
| Y/plot | -0.40 <sup>NS</sup> | -0.16 <sup>NS</sup> | -0.64 <sup>**</sup> | -0.45 <sup>NS</sup> | 0.09 <sup>NS</sup>  | 0.68 <sup>**</sup>  | -0.64 <sup>**</sup> | -0.72 <sup>**</sup> |                     |

\* indicates significant at 5% level and \*\* indicates significant at 1% level  
 Days to heading (DH), Days to maturity (DM), Plant height (PH)(cm), Effective tiller/plant (ET/P),Spike length (SL), (cm), Grain/ Spike (G/S), 1000 grain weight (TSW), Yield/ Plant (Y/P) (g), Plot yield (kg)

**Table 4:** Direct (bold) and indirect effect of different traits at genotypic level on yield

| Characters | DH          | DM          | PH           | ET/P         | SL          | G/S         | TSW         | Y/P         | $r_g$ with Yield.   |
|------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|---------------------|
| DH         | <b>0.55</b> | 0.46        | -0.23        | -0.48        | 0.07        | -2.84       | 1.84        | 0.25        | -0.38 <sup>NS</sup> |
| DM         | 0.73        | <b>0.34</b> | -0.22        | -0.75        | 1.01        | -2.14       | 0.82        | 0.13        | -0.09 <sup>NS</sup> |
| PH         | 0.38        | 0.23        | <b>-0.32</b> | -1.39        | 0.54        | -6.28       | 5.01        | 0.83        | -1.00 <sup>**</sup> |
| ET/P       | 0.36        | 0.35        | -0.61        | <b>-0.74</b> | 0.50        | -8.20       | 6.42        | 0.92        | -1.00 <sup>**</sup> |
| SL         | 0.03        | 0.28        | -0.14        | -0.07        | <b>1.26</b> | -2.93       | 1.27        | 0.11        | -0.19 <sup>NS</sup> |
| G/S        | -0.28       | -0.13       | 0.38         | 1.07         | -0.65       | <b>5.65</b> | -4.57       | -0.63       | 0.84 <sup>**</sup>  |
| TSW        | 0.22        | 0.06        | -0.35        | -0.96        | 0.34        | -5.55       | <b>4.65</b> | 0.66        | -0.92 <sup>**</sup> |
| Y/P        | 0.21        | 0.07        | -0.41        | -1.03        | 0.21        | -5.40       | 4.69        | <b>0.66</b> | -1.00 <sup>**</sup> |

Residual effect= 0.028

### Path Coefficient Analysis

The determination of correlation co-efficient associated with characters may not always provide an exact picture of the relative importance of direct and indirect influence of each of yield components of yield. So to find out a clear picture of the inter-relationship between yield and other yield attributes, direct and indirect effects were worked out using path analysis both at genotypic and phenotypic level that also estimated relative importance of each components as well. The yield was considered as a resultant variable other characters estimated as causal or independent variable (Shahidul et al., 2015).

As yield is a complex trait and normally affected by several components and selection based primarily only on correlations escaping the cause and effect relationship might be misleading (Uddin et al., 2015).

A number of barley researchers sought to explain the relations of yield-related components by using path coefficient analysis. Those researchers obtained results that included some discrepancies. Some studies reported that grain yield was determined by three yield components, e.g., spike number per m<sup>2</sup>, kernel number per spike and kernel weight per spike (Grafius, 1964). Some studies concluded that spike number per m<sup>2</sup> was the primary determinant of grain yield in barley (Dofing and Knight, 1994). On the other hand, Singh et al. (1987) found that grain yield in barley was significantly correlated with plant height and spike length and that these two components had high positive direct effects on yield.

So, the genotypic correlation coefficients ( $r_g$ ) have been partitioned into direct and indirect effects through path coefficient analysis (Table 4) to find a clear picture. Grain/spike had the highest positive direct effect (5.65) on yield followed by 1000 seed weight (4.65), spike length (1.26), yield/ plant (0.66), days to heading (0.55) and days to maturity (0.34). These parameters were identified as direct selection. Grain/spike showed the maximum positive correlation with yield (0.84). Shrimali et al. (2017) also found that days to flowering, days to maturity, spike length, no. of effective tillers/plant and yield per plant had high positive direct effects on yield which was also in agreement with the studies of Mittal et al. (2009), Kundalia et al. (2006) and Najeeb et al. (2004). The rest of the traits exhibited negative correlation with yield. Direct negative effect on yield was shown by plant height (-0.32) and effective tiller/plant (-0.74). It was also supported by Shrimali et al. (2017) at phenotypic and genotypic level respectively. This was an indication of indirect selection. Direct negative effect on yield also mentioned in some parameters of maize in an study of Matin et al. (2017), which indicated the effectiveness of indirect selection.

The residual effect was recorded 0.028 indicating there might have some other parameters that have not been considered but influenced the grain yield.

### Conclusion

High genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV) were obtained from grain/ spike, yield/ plant and effective tiller/plant and spike length. The characters with high GCV indicated high potential for selection. The highest heritability (H<sub>b</sub>) was observed for 1000 seed weight followed by yield/ plant, grain/ spike and spike length, days to heading but the lowest H<sub>b</sub> identified for effective tiller/plant followed by the plant height. The higher values of heritability of these traits were indicative for selection. Grain/ spike had the highest positive direct effect on yield followed by 1000 seed weight, spike length, yield/ plant, days to heading and days to maturity. These parameters were identified as direct selection. While direct negative effect on yield was shown by plant height and effective tiller/plant suggesting the indirect selection.

### References

- Alam MS, Das MK and Khair ABMA (1988) Genetic variation, correlation and path analysis of *B. juncea* L. *Bangladesh J Plant Breed Genetics* **1**(1&2): 116-122.
- Ali Q, Elahi M, Ahsan M, Tahir MHN and Basra SMA (2012) Genetic evaluation of maize (*Zea mays* L.) associations for growth related seedlings traits. *IJAVMS* **6**(3): 164-172. DOI: [10.5455/ijavms.20110608051727](https://doi.org/10.5455/ijavms.20110608051727).
- Begum S, Ahmed A, Omy SH, Rohman MM and Amiruzzaman M (2016) Genetic variability, character association and path analysis in maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Bangladesh J Agri Res* **41**(1): 173-182. DOI: [10.3329/bjar.v41i1.27682](https://doi.org/10.3329/bjar.v41i1.27682)
- Board JE, Kang MS and Harville BG (1997) Path analyses identify indirect selection criteria for yield of late planted soybean. *Crop Sci* **37**: 879-884. DOI: [10.2135/cropsci1997.0011183X003700030030x](https://doi.org/10.2135/cropsci1997.0011183X003700030030x)
- Carpici EB and Celik N (2012) Correlation and path coefficient analyses of grain yield and yield components in two-rowed of barley (*Hordeum vulgare* convar. *distichon*) Varieties. *Not Sci Biol* **4**(2): 128-131.
- Dofing SM and Knight CW (1994) Yield component compensation in unicum barley lines. *Agron J* **86**: 273-276. DOI: [10.2134/agronj1994.00021962008600020012x](https://doi.org/10.2134/agronj1994.00021962008600020012x)
- Finne MA, Rognli OA and Schjelderup I (2000) Genetic variation in a Norwegian germplasm collection of white clover (*Trifolium repens* L.): Correlation and path coefficient analyses of agronomic characters. *Euphytica* **112**: 57-68. DOI: [10.1023/A:1003716316395](https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1003716316395)
- Grafius JE (1964) A geometry for plant breeding. *Crop Sci* **4**: 241-246. DOI: [10.2135/cropsci1964.0011183X000400030001x](https://doi.org/10.2135/cropsci1964.0011183X000400030001x)
- Gravois KA and McNew RW (1993) Genetic relationships among and selection for rice yield and yield components. *Crop Sci*

- 33: 249-252. DOI: 10.2135/cropsci1993.0011183X003300020006x
- Kundalia SK, Singh PP and Solomon S (2006) Interrelationship of yields and associated characters in hull-less barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.). *Int J Plant Sci Res* **33**(1-4).
- Matin, MQI, Uddin MS, Rohman MM, Amiruzzaman M, Azad AK and Banik BR (2017) Genetic variability and path analysis studies in hybrid maize (*Zea mays* L.). *American Journal of Plant Sciences* **8**: 3101-3109. DOI: [10.4236/ajps.2017.812209](https://doi.org/10.4236/ajps.2017.812209)
- Mittal VP, Brar KS and Singh P (2009) Interrelationships and path coefficient analysis for yield and component characters in barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.). *J Agri Sci* **5**(1): 151-153.
- Moulin S, Baret F, Bruguier N and Bataille C (2009) Assessing the vertical distribution of leaf chlorophyll content in a maize crop. INRA- Unite Climate, Soil, Environment (CSE), pp: 7803-7929.
- Najeeb S and Wani SA (2004) Correlation and path analysis studies in barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.). *Nat J Plant-Improvement* **6**(2): 124-125.
- Panse VG (1957) Genetics or quantitative characters in relation to plant breeding. *Indian J Genet Plant Breed* **17**(1): 318-346.
- Samonte SO, Wilson LT and McClung M (1998) Path analyses of yield and yield-related traits of fifteen diverse rice genotypes. *Crop Sci* **38**: 1130-1136. DOI: [10.2135/cropsci1998.0011183X003800050004x](https://doi.org/10.2135/cropsci1998.0011183X003800050004x)
- Shahidul I, Haque MM, Bhuiyan SR and Hossain S (2015) Path coefficient analysis and Correlation coefficient effects of different characters on yield of *Brassica rapa* L. *Plant* **4**(6): 51-55. DOI: [10.11648/j.plant.20160406.12](https://doi.org/10.11648/j.plant.20160406.12)
- Sharma JR (1988) Statistical and biometrical techniques in plant breeding. New Age international (Pvt.) Ltd. New Delhi, Reprint: 2008: p. 35.
- Sheoran OP, Tonk DS, Kaushik LS, Hasija RC, and Pannu RS (1998) Statistical Software Package for Agricultural Research Workers. Department of Mathematics Statistics, CCS HAU, Hisar, 139-143.
- Shrimali J, Shekhawat AS and Kumari S (2017) Correlation and path analysis studies in barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) genotypes under normal and limited moisture conditions. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences* **6** (8): 1850-1856. DOI: [10.20546/ijcmas.2017.608.218](https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2017.608.218)
- Sinebo W (2002) Yield relationships of barleys grown in a tropical highland environment. *Crop Sci* **42**: 428- 437. DOI: [10.2135/cropsci2002.4280](https://doi.org/10.2135/cropsci2002.4280)
- Singh M K, Pandey RL and Singh RP (1987) Correlation and path coefficient analysis in barley grown on saline soil. *Current Agric* **11**(1-2): 55-58.
- Uddin MS, Ahmed A, Hossain MG, Karim ANMS, Talukder MZA, Almas T, Ahmed S. and Amiruzzaman M (2015) Selection criteria, evaluation and associated genomic regions for multiple stress tolerance (salinity, water logged, low-P) in maize. Annual Report- maize and Barley Improvement, Plant Breeding Division, Bangladesh Agricultural Research Institute (BARI), Gazipur-1701, Bangladesh. pp.220-221.